The association between body mass index and hypertension is different between East and Southeast Asians
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ABSTRACT
Background: Few studies have allowed direct comparison of the association between body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) and hypertension in different Asian ethnicities.
Objective: We compared the association of BMI with hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults and determined BMI cutoffs that best predicted hypertension in these populations.
Design: We included 7562 Chinese, 18,502 Indonesian, and 77,758 Vietnamese participants aged 18–65 y. Blood pressure, weight, and height were measured by trained health workers. To define an optimal BMI cutoff, we computed and searched for the shortest distance on receiver operating characteristic curves.
Results: Despite a low mean BMI, the prevalences of hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese men were 22.9%, 24.8%, and 11.7%, respectively. At all BMI levels, the sex-specific prevalence and 14.4%, respectively, and in women were 16.6%, 26.9%, and 11.7%, respectively. At all BMI levels, the sex-specific prevalence of hypertension was higher in Indonesian adults than in Chinese and Vietnamese adults (P < 0.05 at almost all BMI levels). The overall and stratified analyses suggested optimal BMI cutoffs of 23–24, 21–22.5, and 20.5–21 for Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults, respectively. The cutoffs were 0.5–1.0 units higher in women than in men and in the older (41–65 y) than in the younger (18–40 y) participants.

INTRODUCTION
Asian populations tend to develop chronic diseases at a lower body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) compared with other races and ethnicities (eg, whites, Hispanics, blacks, and Polynesians) (1). The main explanation for the ethnic difference is that Asians tend to have higher total body fat, abdominal fat, and visceral fat at a given BMI than do other races and ethnicities (2, 3). Asians, however, comprise many ethnic subgroups that differ in body composition, genotype, age structure, lifestyle, culture, religion, and socioeconomic status (2, 4). Thus, we would expect to find ethnic differences in the association between BMI and disease risk across Asian populations. The assumption of ethnic difference has not been verified in representative samples of East and Southeast Asians.

Although a BMI cutoff of 23 has been widely used to identify a moderate to high risk of cardiovascular disease in Asians (1), it is still unknown whether different Asian populations need different BMI cutoffs to define overweight. A BMI cutoff of 23–24 has been proposed by some authors who used sensitivity, specificity, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses in Chinese (5–8) and Indian (9) populations. However, these studies did not represent any Southeast Asian populations. The study by Huxley et al (10), which included a pooled sample of East, South, Southeast, and Middle East Asian populations, showed an optimal BMI cutoff for overweight of ≈24. The analysis, however, did not allow direct comparisons of the optimal BMI cutoffs in different Asian populations. Our study compared the association of BMI with hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults aged 18–65 y and determined optimal BMI cutoffs for predicting hypertension in these populations.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS
Study population
We used 3 data sets from recent representative surveys: the China Health and Nutrition Survey in 2004 (CHNS; n = 7562), the Indonesian Family Life Survey in 2000 (IFLS; n = 18,502), and the Vietnam National Health Survey in 2002 (VNHS; n = 77,758). The surveys were described elsewhere (11–14). To summarize, the CHNS in 2004 was part of an ongoing study established in the late 1980s in 9 China provinces that vary substantially in geography, economic development, public resources, and health indicators. The sample represented ≈50% of the Chinese population. The IFLS in 2000 was part of an ongoing longitudinal survey established in the early 1990s in 13 Indonesia provinces. The sample represented ≈83% of the Indonesian population. The VNHS in 2002 was the largest nationally rep-
representative health survey ever conducted in all 61 Vietnam provinces. Survey instructions, data sets, and questionnaires may be downloaded from the websites of the CHNS (http://www.cpc.unc.edu/china), the IFLS (http://www.rand.org/labor/FLS/IFLS), and the Vietnam Ministry of Health (http://www.moh.gov.vn).

We only included men and women aged 18–65 y (nonpregnant or nonlactating at the time of survey) (15), for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (e.g., BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: ≥10 mm Hg). The number of individuals with implausible values was 181, 228, and 548 for the CHNS, IFLS, and VNHS, respectively, which represents 1–2% of the eligible participants with measured weight, height, and blood pressures. Removal of biologically implausible outliers did not affect point estimates, but did increase the precision of the estimate.

Study design

Blood pressure measurements were taken while the participants were in a seated position and on the right arm by trained health workers who followed a standardized procedure using regularly calibrated mercury sphygmomanometers (CHNS), Omron digital devices (IFLS), or aneroid manometers (VNHS) with appropriate-sized cuffs. In the CHNS and VNHS, systolic blood pressure was measured at the first appearance of a pulse sound (Korotkoff phase 1), and diastolic blood pressure was measured at the disappearance of the pulse sound (Korotkoff phase 5); 3 measurements of systolic or diastolic blood pressure were averaged to reduce the effect of measurement errors. In the IFLS, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were obtained with a digital device (11–13). Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, a diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or diagnosis by a doctor (16). We did not include the use of an antihypertensive medication to define hypertension, because 1) in the VNHS and IFLS, information about the treatment was not available, and 2) in the CHNS, only a small proportion of Chinese adults was diagnosed (<7%) or treated (<5%) with any antihypertensive medications and none used the medications without being diagnosed by a doctor. Moreover, sensitivity analysis showed that incorporating these measures produced similar findings but with a smaller sample size.

BMI was calculated based on weight and height, which were measured by trained health workers who followed standardized procedures and used regularly calibrated equipment. The CHNS used SECA 880 scales and SECA 206 wall-mounted metal tapes; the IFLS and VNHS used SECA 890 scales and Shorr measuring boards (11–13). Covariates such as age, sex, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and place of residence were collected by direct interviews. The covariates were measured in the same manner in all surveys.

Statistical methods

We used Poisson regression models to examine the association between BMI and hypertension. Potential confounding factors, such as age (centered at 40 y), sex, smoking habits (dichotomized to never smoker or ever smoker), alcohol consumption (dichotomized to current drinker or nondrinker), and place of residence (urban or rural) were taken into account in regression models. A covariate was considered as an effect-measure modifier if its interaction term with BMI in regression models had a P value <0.15 (chi-square test) or as a confounder if it caused a change in prevalence ratios of >10% compared with those of the fully adjusted models. On the basis of these criteria, the most reduced model had age as an effect-measure modifier (the association between BMI and hypertension was stronger in the younger participants) and sex as confounding factor. We purposedly stratified our analyses by sex to make them comparable with other studies. To estimate the ethnic differences in the BMI and hypertension association, we compared the prevalences and prevalence ratios (PRs), which were obtained from crude, age-adjusted, or age-specific models.

To evaluate an optimal BMI cutoff, we computed and searched for the shortest distance on the sex-specific ROC curve, estimated at each 0.5 unit of BMI. A distance on the ROC curve is equal to \( \sqrt{(1 - \text{sensitivity})^2 + (1 - \text{specificity})^2} \) (8). On the basis of the ROC curves, we estimated the ROC area under the curve (AUC) that measured the predictability of hypertension by BMI. The AUC values ranged from 0.5 (lowest-no prediction) to 1.0 (highest-perfect prediction). Given the large sample sizes in each ethnicity, we performed stratified analyses by age and sex groups. We used 2-sided independent t tests to compare 2 means and chi-square tests to compare different proportions or test for trends of categorized variables. We conducted all analyses using Stata software version 9.2 (Stata Inc, College Station, TX).

Role of the funding sources and ethical consideration

The authors had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The sponsors were not involved in the study design; the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; and the writing or submission of the manuscript for publication. The Institutional Review Board of the School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, reviewed and approved the study.

RESULTS

The prevalence of hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese women was 16.6%, 26.9%, and 11.7%, respectively. Indonesian women had the highest mean diastolic and systolic blood pressures compared with the Chinese and Vietnamese women. The prevalence of hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese men was 22.9%, 24.8%, and 14.4%, respectively. Indonesian men had the highest mean diastolic and systolic blood pressures compared with Chinese and Vietnamese men (Table 1).

The mean BMIs of Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese women were 23.1, 22.4, and 20.4, respectively. The BMI distributions were skewed to the right (skewness values: 0.5–1.0) (Figure 1A). The mean BMIs of Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese men were 23.1, 21.2, and 20.2, respectively. The BMI distributions in men were also skewed to the right (skewness values: 0.5–1.0) (Figure 1B). Chinese women and men had a higher prevalence of overweight (BMI ≥ 25) than did Indonesian or Vietnamese women and men (Table 1).

For each ethnicity, there was a significant trend of increased sex-specific prevalence of hypertension with an increase in BMI.
### TABLE 1
Characteristics of 18- to 65-y-old participants by sex and ethnic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 3913)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 3649)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (y)</strong></td>
<td>44.0 (43.6, 44.4)</td>
<td>39.1 (38.8, 39.4)</td>
<td>38.6 (38.5, 38.8)</td>
<td>43.7 (43.3, 44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension (%)</strong></td>
<td>16.6 (15.4, 17.8)</td>
<td>26.9 (25.9, 27.9)</td>
<td>11.7 (11.3, 12.1)</td>
<td>22.9 (21.5, 24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>117.8 (117.2, 118.3)</td>
<td>124.1 (123.6, 124.7)</td>
<td>116.2 (115.9, 116.4)</td>
<td>122.1 (121.6, 122.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>76.7 (76.4, 77.1)</td>
<td>80.4 (80.1, 80.6)</td>
<td>73.4 (73.3, 73.6)</td>
<td>80.1 (79.7, 80.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>23.1 (23.0, 23.2)</td>
<td>22.4 (22.3, 22.5)</td>
<td>20.4 (20.3, 20.4)</td>
<td>23.1 (23.0, 23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (%)</strong></td>
<td>15 to &lt;18.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>6.0 (5.3, 6.8)</td>
<td>14.3² (13.5, 15.1)</td>
<td>25.9² (25.3, 26.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5–22.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>47.2 (45.6, 48.8)</td>
<td>46.6 (45.5, 47.8)</td>
<td>58.7² (58.1, 59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23–24.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>19.8 (18.6, 21.1)</td>
<td>15.5² (14.7, 16.3)</td>
<td>9.2² (8.9, 9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–29.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>23.4 (22.1, 24.8)</td>
<td>19.1² (18.2, 20.0)</td>
<td>5.7² (5.5, 6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–35 kg/m²</td>
<td>3.6 (3.0, 4.1)</td>
<td>4.5 (4.0, 4.9)</td>
<td>0.5² (0.4, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td>56.4 (56.1, 56.7)</td>
<td>50.7² (50.4, 50.9)</td>
<td>47.3² (47.2, 47.5)</td>
<td>64.5 (64.2, 64.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>156.2 (156.0, 156.4)</td>
<td>150.2² (150.0, 150.3)</td>
<td>152.4² (152.3, 152.5)</td>
<td>167.1 (166.8, 167.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0, 0.2)</td>
<td>0.6² (0.4, 0.8)</td>
<td>0.5² (0.4, 0.6)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.8, 6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>3.1 (2.5, 3.6)</td>
<td>5.1² (4.6, 5.6)</td>
<td>1.9² (1.7, 2.1)</td>
<td>58.9 (57.3, 60.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol drinker (%)</td>
<td>8.9 (8.0, 9.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.9² (1.7, 2.1)</td>
<td>62.3 (60.7, 63.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban residence (%)</td>
<td>34.0 (32.5, 35.4)</td>
<td>45.5² (44.4, 46.7)</td>
<td>26.5² (26.0, 27.1)</td>
<td>34.1 (32.6, 35.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Point estimates (Est) and 95% CIs were estimated with the use of survey and weighted commands to represent ~50%, 83%, and 100% of the Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese populations, respectively. The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: >10 mm Hg). BP, blood pressure.

2 Significantly different from Chinese, P < 0.05 (2-sided independent t test or chi-square test).

3 Significantly different from Indonesian, P < 0.05 (2-sided independent t test or chi-square test).

4 Defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, a diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or a previous diagnosis by a doctor.
At each BMI level, the sex-specific prevalence of hypertension was higher in Indonesian adults than in Chinese and Vietnamese adults (P < 0.05 at almost all BMI levels) (Figure 2, A and B). The predicted prevalence of hypertension (in a hypothesized population in which all participants were aged 40 y) remained higher in Indonesian adults at all BMI levels than in Chinese and Vietnamese adults (P < 0.05 for all) (Figure 2, C and D). The prevalence estimates were precise (see Supplemental Table 1 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

On average, each unit increase in BMI was associated with an increase of 18%, 8%, and 16% (women) and of 14%, 12%, and 14% (men) in the PR for hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults, respectively (crude models). In women, there was a significant trend of increased ethnic-specific PRs with an increase in BMI (P for trend < 0.001) in both crude and age-adjusted models. PR was highest in Chinese women and lowest in Indonesian women (Figure 3, A and B). There was also a significant trend of increased sex-specific PRs with an increase in BMI in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese men (P for trend < 0.001). PR was comparable in men, except among the obese (BMI ≥ 30), in an age-adjusted model (Figure 3, C and D). The PR estimates were precise (see Supplemental Table 2 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

Based on the shortest distance on the ROC curve (corresponding to the largest sum sensitivity and specificity), optimal BMI cutoffs were 23.5, 22.0, and 20.5 in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults, respectively. A BMI of 25 provided lower sensitivities, especially in Vietnamese and Indonesian adults (Figure 4A). Similar trends were found in women (Figure 4B) and men (Figure 4C). Based on the ROC curve approach, optimal BMI cutoffs in Chinese adults were larger than those in Indonesian (~1.5 units) and Vietnamese (~3 units) adults. BMI cutoffs were ~0.5–1.0 unit higher in women than in men and in the older (41–65 y) than in the younger (18–40 y) participants. Stratified and overall analyses showed optimal BMI cutoffs of

![FIGURE 1. Distribution of BMI (in kg/m²) in women (A) and men (B). The samples included men from China (n = 3913), Indonesia (n = 8888), and Vietnam (n = 39,711) and women from China (n = 3649), Indonesia (n = 9614), and Vietnam (n = 38,047). The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: ≥10 mm Hg). Data were weighted to represent ~50%, 83%, and 100% of the Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese populations, respectively.]

![FIGURE 2. Prevalence of hypertension by BMI level in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults: crude prevalence in women (A), crude prevalence in men (B), at age of 40 y in women (C), and at age of 40 y in men (D). The samples included men from China (n = 3913), Indonesia (n = 8888), and Vietnam (n = 39,711) and women from China (n = 3649), Indonesia (n = 9614), and Vietnam (n = 38,047). The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: ≥10 mm Hg). Data were weighted to represent ~50%, 83%, and 100% of the Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese populations, respectively. The 95% CIs for the prevalence estimates are presented in Supplemental Table 1 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue. *Significantly different from the Chinese, P < 0.05 (chi-square test). †Significantly different from the Vietnamese, P < 0.05 (chi-square test). P for trend < 0.001 for all.]

independent test). Significantly different from the Vietnamese, $P < 0.05$ (2-sided independent $t$ test). $P$ for trend $< 0.001$ for all.

FIGURE 3. Prevalence ratios of hypertension by BMI level in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese: crude value in women (A), crude value in men (B), age-adjusted in women (C), and age-adjusted in men (D). The samples included men from China ($n = 3913$), Indonesia ($n = 8888$), and Vietnam ($n = 39,711$) and women from China ($n = 3649$), Indonesia ($n = 9614$), and Vietnam ($n = 38,047$). The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: $\geq 10$ mm Hg). Data were weighted to represent $\sim 50\%$, $83\%$, and $100\%$ of the Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese populations, respectively. The 95% CIs for the prevalence estimates are presented in Supplemental Table 2 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue. *Significantly different from the Chinese, $P < 0.05$ (2-sided independent $t$ test). $^{1}$Significantly different from the Vietnamese, $P < 0.05$ (2-sided independent $t$ test).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found ethnic differences in the association between BMI and hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults. The differences could be explained by the ethnic variation in body fat. For example, at the same age, sex, and BMI, Indonesians have more body fat than do Chinese adults (17). On the assumption that total visceral fat is linearly associated with total body fat, Indonesians would have more visceral fat at a given BMI and thus have a higher cardiovascular disease risk than do Chinese adults (18, 19).

Differences in genes and gene-environment interactions would be another potential explanation for the differences in the BMI-specific prevalence of hypertension. Findings from gene-family environment, twin, and migration studies showed the roles of genetic, ethnic, and environmental factors in the development of chronic diseases (20–23). Chinese and Vietnamese populations are expected to share more genetic background than the Indonesian population because they evolved from a branch of *Homo sapiens* that differed from the branch that evolved into the Indo-

socioeconomic status (25, 26), which lead to different prevalences of hypertension. In-depth analyses that take into account genetic, individual, and environmental factors are still needed to explore the complex association between BMI and related chronic diseases.

The variation in blood pressure measurement protocols is unlikely to alter our overall conclusion. First, the surveys (the CHNS, IFLS, and VNHS) followed a standardized protocol for measuring blood pressures (11–13, 16). Second, the regularly calibrated sphygmomanometers used in these surveys are in the list of recommended equipment (27). Finally, rounding error in measuring blood pressure was not an issue in any of the surveys. However, because the first measurement of blood pressure is usually higher than the next measurements (16), blood pressures would be systematically elevated in the IFLS compared with the mean of 3 measurements (if they had been ideally collected). Compared with the mean of 3 measurements, the first measurement caused a negligible overestimation of systolic and diastolic blood pressures ($<0.15$ and $<0.05$ mm Hg in the CHNS and $<1.25$ and $<0.75$ mm Hg in the VNHS). A sensitivity analysis with a subtraction of 1.5 mm Hg from systolic and 1.0 mm Hg from diastolic blood pressures in the IFLS showed similar PR, AUC, and BMI cutoffs (see Supplemental Tables 4 and 5 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue). A similar conclusion was found in another sensitivity analysis in which the first measurement of blood pressure in the CHNS and VNHS was used (see Supplemental Tables 6 and 7 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue).

Our study appears to provide stronger evidence of ethnic differences in the association between BMI and hypertension than does a study by Tesfaye et al (28), who found higher mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures and prevalences of hypertension in
the Indonesians than in the Vietnamese adults. However, the BMI-hypertension association might be inflated in the Indonesian sample because it included older, heavier participants who lived in urban areas (10% in the Indonesian and 0% in Vietnamese samples were urban residents). Also, because the absolute values of corresponding BMI quintiles were larger in the Indonesian sample, the association would be systematically elevated in the Indonesians compared with the Vietnamese adults. To overcome these limitations, we 1) used large representative samples that ensured the generalizability of the finding; 2) used the same BMI categories in all populations, which ensured the comparability across countries; and 3) compared age-specific prevalence of hypertension in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults, which helped to eliminate the difference in age structures in different populations.

The ethnic difference in the association between BMI and hypertension is not the only explanation for the ethnic variation in optimal BMI cutoffs. The sensitivity and specificity of a screening test depend on distributions of exposure, outcome, and other covariates. For example, if we hold other variables unchanged and add a constant to BMI, the optimal BMI level will increase with the added value. This mathematic imputation shows that the BMI distribution affects optimal BMI level for predicting a disease outcome. Our finding that a higher BMI cutoff is found in a population with a higher mean BMI is consistent with previous studies (5, 7, 9).

However, the difference in mean BMI could not explain all of the variation in optimal BMI cutoffs. An increase in cardiovascular disease risk, which is associated with an increase in BMI, allows us to detect elevated cardiovascular disease risk at a lower BMI, and thus, leads to a decrease in optimal BMI cutoffs. In combination with other complex changes or differences in environment, although we know the difference in mean BMI of given populations, we are not able to predict the difference in optimal BMI cutoffs. For example, in our samples, the ethnic difference in the mean BMI was not proportionally related to the variation in the optimal BMI cutoffs. In this sample, Chinese men had a higher mean BMI, but a lower optimal BMI cutoff compared with another Chinese sample (5); Chinese women had the same mean BMI, but a higher optimal BMI cutoff compared with Indian women (9). Also, South Asian Canadians had a higher mean BMI, but a lower BMI cutoff based on lipid factors compared with Chinese Canadians (22).

Because the variation in the mean BMI and prevalence of hypertension are probably clustered by groups of ethnicities, genetic factors, age, sex, lifestyles, and socioeconomic status, an ethnic- or country-specific BMI cutoff should be understood as the combination of all factors.

The recommendation to lower BMI cutoffs for Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults is consistent with results from large-scale, cross-sectional studies in Asian populations (5, 7–10). In those studies, a BMI cutoff of 22–24 was associated with an increase in the prevalences of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and cardiovascular diseases. The explanations for the ethnic differences were presented elsewhere (1, 29, 30). To summarize, first, Asian ethnicities tend to have more total body fat (2, 3) and more abdominal and visceral fat (31, 32) at a given BMI than do other races and ethnicities, which lead to increased cardiovascular disease risk (18, 19). Second, differences in gene, environmental, and gene-environment interactions (18, 20, 23–

**FIGURE 4.** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the prediction of hypertension by BMI in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults: in both sexes (A), in women (B), and in men (C). The samples included men from China (n = 3913), Indonesia (n = 8888), and Vietnam (n = 39,711) and women from China (n = 3649), Indonesia (n = 9614), and Vietnam (n = 38,047). The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: ≥10 mm Hg). The 95% CIs of the area under the ROC curve are presented in Supplemental Table 3 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue.
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TABLE 2

Optimal BMI cutoffs (in kg/m²) for overweight by sex and age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th></th>
<th>Indonesian</th>
<th></th>
<th>Vietnamese</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Cutoff</td>
<td>Sen</td>
<td>Spe</td>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>7562</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>18,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–40 y</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>11,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–65 y</td>
<td>4719</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>7129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3913</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>8888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–40 y</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–65 y</td>
<td>2462</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3649</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>9614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–40 y</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>6173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–65 y</td>
<td>2257</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The participants were 18- to 65-y-old men and women (nonpregnant or nonlactating) for whom measurements of weight, height, and blood pressure were complete and plausible (eg, BMI: 15–35; weight: 30–150 kg; height: 130–190 cm; and difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures: ≥10 mm Hg). Area under the curve (AUC) values range from 0.5 (no prediction) to 1.0 (perfect prediction). The 95% CI values of the AUCs are presented in Supplemental Table 3 under “Supplemental data” in the online issue. Sen, sensitivity; Spe, specificity.

2 Significantly different from Chinese, P < 0.05 (2-sided independent t test or chi-square test).

3 Significantly different from Indonesian, P < 0.05 (2-sided independent t test or chi-square test).

26, 33) might lead to ethnic variation in blood pressure phenotypes. Third, there is also speculation that any insults during fetal development and infancy might have also resulted in the elevated risks (34–38). In addition, Asian populations are younger and have a lower mean BMI than do Western populations, which both lead to a decrease in optimal cutoffs.

Our findings were based on large, recent, representative samples from China, Indonesia, and Vietnam, which ensured the generalizability of the findings to respective populations. However, similar to other cross-sectional studies, we cannot determine that the exposure to a higher BMI had preceded hypertension. Compared with optimal BMI cutoffs for overweight for Chinese adults obtained from the CHNS 2000–2004 cohort (30), these optimal BMI cutoffs in Chinese (from the CHNS cross-sectional sample in 2004) were 0.5–1.0 units larger. Given that almost all studies aimed at determining optimal BMI cutoffs for Asians were based on cross-sectional samples, a certain adjustment for the cross sectional cutoffs might be needed.

In conclusion, although ethnic differences exist in the association between BMI and hypertension and in optimal BMI cutoffs for overweight in Chinese, Indonesian, and Vietnamese adults, an optimal BMI cutoff for overweight of <25 may be more appropriate for the East and Southeast Asian populations. A lower BMI cutoff is important in developing countries because in these countries, overweight and related chronic diseases are becoming emerging problems (39) and are not adequately prevented, diagnosed, and managed (40). Country-specific or even country-, sex-, and age-specific BMI cutoffs for overweight would be needed to identify persons at a high risk of cardiovascular diseases and to reduce health and economic burdens of both overweight and chronic diseases.
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