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1. Definitions

1.1. Introduction

Ulcerative colitis is a life long disease arising from an interaction between genetic and environmental factors, but observed predominantly in the developed countries of the world. The precise aetiology is unknown and therefore medical therapy to cure the disease is not yet available. Within Europe there is a North–South gradient, but the incidence appears to have increased in Southern and developing countries in recent years.\textsuperscript{1,2} Patients may live with a considerable symptom burden despite medical treatment (66% describe interference with work and 73% with leisure activities\textsuperscript{3}) in the hope that the aetiology of ulcerative colitis will shortly be revealed and a cure emerges. Although this is conceivable in the next decade, clinicians have to advise patients on the basis of information available today. Despite randomized trials there will always be many questions that can only be answered by the exercise of judgement and opinion. This leads to differences in practice between clinicians, which may be brought into sharp relief by differences in emphasis between countries.

The Consensus endeavours to address these differences. The Consensus is not meant to supersede the guidelines of different countries (such as those from the UK,\textsuperscript{4} or Germany\textsuperscript{5}), which reach broadly the same conclusions since they are, after all, based on the same evidence. Rather, the aim of the Consensus is to promote a European perspective on the management of ulcerative colitis (UC) and its dilemmas. Since the development of guidelines is an expensive and time-consuming process, it may help to avoid duplication of effort in the future. A European Consensus is also considered important because an increasing number of therapeutic trials recruit from Central and Eastern European countries (such as those from the UK,\textsuperscript{4} or Germany\textsuperscript{5}), which reach a European consensus.

This document sets out the current European Consensus on the diagnosis and management of UC, reached by the European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) at a meeting held in Berlin on 20th October 2006. ECCO is a forum for specialists in inflammatory bowel disease from 23 European countries. Like the initial Consensus on the management of Crohn’s disease,\textsuperscript{6} the current Consensus is grouped into three parts: definitions and diagnosis; current management; and management of special situations. This first section concerns aims, methods and definitions of the Consensus, as well as classification, diagnosis, imaging and pathology of UC. The second section on current management includes treatment of active disease, maintenance of medically-induced remission and surgery of UC. The third section on special situations includes pouch disorders, cancer surveillance, pregnancy, paediatrics, psychosomatics, extra-intestinal manifestations and alternative therapy.

The strategy to reach the Consensus involved five steps:

1. Relevant questions on each of 14 separate topics concerning diagnosis and treatment of UC were devised by the chairmen and their working party. The questions were focused on current practice and areas of controversy in the task force topic, sent around to the other chairmen to avoid duplication, and then to all 59 participants in the Consensus conference. Participants were asked to answer the questions based on their experience as well as evidence from the literature (Delphi procedure).\textsuperscript{7}

2. In parallel, the working parties performed a systematic literature search of their topic with the appropriate key words using Medline/Pubmed and the Cochrane database, as well as their own files. The evidence level (EL) was graded (Table 1.1) according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine.\textsuperscript{8}

3. Provisional guideline statements on their topic were then written by the chairmen, based on answers to the questionnaire as well as the literature evidence and were circulated.

### Table 1.1  Levels of evidence and grades of recommendation based on the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine (for details see http://www.cebm.net/levels_of_evidence.asp#refs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Diagnostic study</th>
<th>Therapeutic study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Systematic review (SR) with homogeneity of level 1 diagnostic studies</td>
<td>Systematic review (SR) with homogeneity of randomized controlled trials (RCTs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Validating cohort study with good reference standards</td>
<td>Individual RCT (with narrow Confidence Interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Specificity is so high that a positive result rules in the diagnosis (&quot;SpPin&quot;) or sensitivity is so high that a negative result rules out the diagnosis (&quot;SnNout&quot;)</td>
<td>All or none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>SR with homogeneity of level &gt;2 diagnostic studies</td>
<td>SR (with homogeneity ) of cohort studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Exploratory cohort study with good reference standards</td>
<td>Individual cohort study (including low quality RCT; e.g., &lt;80% follow up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>&quot;Outcomes&quot; research; ecological studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>SR with homogeneity of 3b and better studies</td>
<td>SR with homogeneity of case-control studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Non-consecutive study; or without consistently applied reference standards</td>
<td>Individual case-control study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Case-control study, poor or non-independent reference standard</td>
<td>Case-series (and poor quality cohort and case-control studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, or based on physiology, bench research or &quot;first principles&quot;</td>
<td>Expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, or based on physiology, bench research or &quot;first principles&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grades of recommendation

- **A** Consistent level 1 studies
- **B** Consistent level 2 or 3 studies or extrapolations from level 1 studies
- **C** Level 4 studies or extrapolations from level 2 or 3 studies
- **D** Level 5 evidence or troublingly inconsistent or inconclusive studies of any level
first among the working party and then among the participants.

4. The working parties then met in Berlin on the 20 October 2006 to agree the statements. Participants gathered under the Chairmanship of EF Stange and SPL Travis to agree the final version of each guideline statement. Technically this was done by projecting the statements and revising them on screen until a Consensus was reached. Consensus was defined as agreement by >80% of participants, termed a Consensus Statement and numbered for convenience in the document. Each recommendation was graded (RG) according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine. Based on the level of evidence (Table 1.1).

5. The final document on each topic was written by the chairmen in conjunction with their working party. Consensus guideline statements in bold are followed by comments on the evidence and opinion. Statements are intended to be read in context with qualifying comments and not read in isolation. The final text was edited for consistency of style by SPL Travis and EF Stange before being circulated and approved by the participants. In some areas the level of evidence is generally low, which reflects the paucity of randomized controlled trials. Consequently expert opinion is included where appropriate.

1.2. Definitions

Common agreement has been reached by ECCO about frequently used terms. While the significance of some terms (such as ‘early’- or ‘pattern of relapse’) is undetermined, such terms reflect clinical decision-making (such as when to start immunomodulators) and are considered helpful as a consequence. The arbitrariness of some definitions is recognised, but the Consensus considers it useful to agree the terminology.

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory condition causing continuous mucosal inflammation of the colon without granulomas on biopsy, affecting the rectum and a variable extent of the colon in continuity, which is characterised by a relapsing and remitting course. Colitis yet to be classified is the term best suited for the minority of cases where a definitive distinction between UC, Crohn’s disease, or other cause of colitis cannot be made after the history, endoscopic appearances, histopathology of multiple mucosal biopsies and appropriate radiology have been taken into account.

Indeterminate colitis is a term preserved for pathologists to describe a colectomy specimen which has overlapping features of ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. It has distinct prognostic factors related to further surgery (Section 7.5.7, first following paper in same issue).

1.2.1. Distribution of disease (see Section 2.1)

The Montreal classification (Table 1.2) for defining the distribution of disease was favoured by 52/59 participants. This is taken to mean the maximal, macroscopic extent of disease at colonoscopy, since the long-term prognosis in the past has used the extent of disease as defined by barium enema. The implications of more extensive microscopic disease are not understood. The poor correlation between macroscopic and microscopic extent of disease (kappa = 0.39) is recognised. So too is the limitation of an extent-based classification when the extent varies over time, underlining the dynamic nature of inflammatory bowel disease.

1.2.2. Active disease

For the purposes of this Consensus, clinical disease activity is grouped into remission, mild, moderate and severe. Precise definitions of disease activity are appropriate, since confusion arises if the terms are used to refer only to the least, intermediate or most severe third of cases that the physician can recall at the time. Among Consensus participants, 31/59 considered Truelove and Witts’ criteria useful in clinical practice (summarized, Table 1.3), in conjunction with sigmoidoscopy to confirm active colitis.

16/59 favoured the Mayo score (Table 1.4), with its modifications. The value of the different indices for the purpose of clinical trials is beyond the scope of the Consensus.

---

**Table 1.2** Distribution of ulcerative colitis (from)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1 Proctitis</td>
<td>Involvement limited to the rectum (i.e. proximal extent of inflammation is distal to the rectosigmoid junction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 Left-sided</td>
<td>Involvement limited to the proportion of the colon distal to the splenic flexure (analogous to ‘distal’ colitis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3 Extensive</td>
<td>Involvement extends proximal to the splenic flexure, including pancolitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 1.3** Disease activity in ulcerative colitis, adapted from Truelove and Witts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate ‘in between mild and severe’</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloody stools/day</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>4 or more if ≥6 and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>&lt;90 bpm</td>
<td>≤90 bpm or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>&lt;37.5 °C</td>
<td>≤37.8 °C or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>&gt;11.5 g/dL</td>
<td>≥10.5 g/dL or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>&lt;20 mm/h</td>
<td>≤30 mm/h or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or CRP Normal</td>
<td>≤30 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 1.4** Mayo score and [www.gastrojournal.org](http://www.gastrojournal.org) for full details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mayo index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stool frequency</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1–2/day</td>
<td>3–4/day</td>
<td>5/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal bleeding</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;normal Streaks</td>
<td>&gt;normal Obvious</td>
<td>Mostly blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosa</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Mild friability</td>
<td>Moderate friability</td>
<td>Spontaneous bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician’s global assessment</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
but has recently been reviewed.\textsuperscript{15} ECCO recognises the need to validate clinical and endoscopic scoring systems. The Montreal classification (Table 1.5)\textsuperscript{9,10} is largely based on Truelove and Witts' criteria, since this reflects clinical practice. Severe colitis (or 'acute severe colitis') is preferred to 'fulminant colitis', because the term 'fulminant' is ill-defined. It was coined in 1950 when it referred to a single attack going on to death within 1 year,\textsuperscript{16} which no longer has relevance today. Severe colitis defined according to Truelove and Witts' criteria (Table 1.3 and Section 5.1, first following paper in same issue) is easy to apply in outpatients, determine a course of action (hospital admission for intensive treatment) and an outcome (only 70% respond to intensive therapy). These criteria are recommended for identifying acute severe colitis by The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG)\textsuperscript{17} and the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI),\textsuperscript{18} as well as ECCO.

Moderate colitis has become necessary to distinguish from mildly active disease, because the efficacy of some treatments may differ (Section 5, first following paper in same issue). The simplest clinical measure to distinguish moderate from mildly active colitis is the presence of mucosal friability (bleeding on light contact with the rectal mucosa at sigmoidoscopy). The technique of assessing mucosal friability at flexible sigmoidoscopy has yet to be standardised. One approach is to apply sufficient pressure on the mucosa with closed biopsy forceps to create a dimple, maintain the pressure for 3 s and then define friability if bleeding occurs from the pressure point. This has yet to be validated.

### 1.2.3. Remission

Remission is defined as complete resolution of symptoms and endoscopic mucosal healing (Section 2.2.4). Combining clinical and endoscopy is appropriate for clinical trials.\textsuperscript{15,19} but remission rates vary by as much as two-fold depending on the definition of remission used in the trial.\textsuperscript{20} In clinical practice, 33/59 participants agreed that 'remission' meant a stool frequency \(\leq 3/\text{day} \) with no bleeding and no urgency. Remission defined by individual patients has an 86% sensitivity and 76% specificity for a regulatory-defined remission (absence of visible blood and absent mucosal friability), indicating that sigmoidoscopy to confirm mucosal healing is generally unnecessary in practice.\textsuperscript{21}

### Table 1.5 Montreal classification of disease activity in ulcerative colitis\textsuperscript{9}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stools/day</th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Pulse</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Haemoglobin</th>
<th>ESR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0 (Asymptomatic)</td>
<td>≤ 4</td>
<td>Minimal,</td>
<td>&lt; 37.5 °C</td>
<td>&lt; 10.5 g/dL</td>
<td>&lt; 30 mm/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 (Mild)</td>
<td>&gt; 4</td>
<td>May be present</td>
<td>or no signs of systemic toxicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 (Moderate)</td>
<td>≥ 6 and present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3 (Severe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.2.4. Response

Response is defined as clinical and endoscopic improvement, depending (for the purpose of clinical trials) on the activity index used. In general, this means a decrease in the activity index of \(\geq 30\%\), plus a decrease in the rectal bleeding and endoscopy subscores, but there are many permutations.\textsuperscript{15}

### 1.2.5. Relapse

The term relapse is used to define a flare of symptoms in a patient with established UC who is in clinical remission, either spontaneously or after medical treatment. In the Consensus, 47/59 considered rectal bleeding an essential component of relapse, and 29/59 believed that a combination of rectal bleeding with an increase in stool frequency and abnormal mucosa at sigmoidoscopy was necessary to define relapse. In clinical trials, the criteria for relapse should be predefined with the score that is being used for an individual study.\textsuperscript{15}

### 1.2.6. Early relapse

An arbitrary, but clinically relevant period of \(<3\) months after achieving remission on previous therapy defines early relapse. The therapeutic significance needs to be defined.

### 1.2.7. Pattern of relapse

Relapse may be infrequent (\(\leq 1/\text{year}\)), frequent (2 relapses/\(\geq 2\) relapses/\(\geq 2\) relapses/year), or continuous (persistent symptoms of active UC without a period of remission).\textsuperscript{22} Although the terms are arbitrary, they are considered clinically relevant. An alternative approach that defines disease activity over a 5 year period has been proposed (Section 6.1.2, first following paper in same issue), but this seems more relevant to epidemiological studies, since what matters for everyday practice is what is likely to happen in the next year. The prognostic significance needs to be determined. Nevertheless, care should be taken to distinguish between terms that describe disease activity at a point in time and those that describe the longitudinal pattern (or 'behaviour') of the disease (Sections 1.2.2 and 2.2.1). The term 'chronic active disease' has been used in the past to define a patient who is dependent on, refractory to, or intolerant of steroids, or who has disease activity despite immunomodulators. Since this term is ambiguous it is best avoided. Instead, arbitrary, but more precise definitions are preferred, including steroid-refractory or steroid-dependence.

### 1.2.8. Steroid-refractory colitis

Patients who have active disease despite prednisolone up to 0.75 mg/kg/day over a period of 4 weeks. This was agreed by 45/58 participants, is consistent with the definition for steroid-refractory Crohn's disease\textsuperscript{6} and others.\textsuperscript{15} The definition is however likely to evolve, with a reduction in the duration of steroid therapy as the threshold for biologic therapy changes.

### 1.2.9. Steroid-dependent colitis

Patients who are either

i) unable to reduce steroids below the equivalent of prednisolone 10 mg/day within 3 months of starting steroids, without recurrent active disease, or

ii) who have a relapse within 3 months of stopping steroids.

This was agreed by 52/58 participants and is consistent with the definition for steroid-dependent Crohn's disease\textsuperscript{6}.
Although an alternative definition of relapse within 30 days of completing a course of steroids, or steroids at a dose of 15–25 mg/day for at least 6 months has been proposed.15 As with steroid-refractoriness, the definition is likely to evolve as the threshold for biologic therapy changes.

The ECCO definition of steroid-dependence requires that the total duration of steroids does not exceed 3 months before a threshold equivalent to prednisolone 10 mg/day is reached. Patients are still considered steroid-dependent if they relapse within 3 months of stopping steroids. Although these limits are arbitrary, they serve as guidance for clinical practice and may be used for uniformity in clinical trials. The aim should be to withdraw steroids completely.

1.2.10. Immunomodulator-refractory colitis
Patients who have active disease or relapse in spite of thiopurines at an appropriate dose for at least 3 months (i.e. azathioprine 2–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1 mg/kg/day in the absence of leucopenia). The definition is arbitrary, but has increasing clinical relevance when deciding on the place of biological therapy or surgery.

1.2.11. Refractory distal colitis
Defined as persistent symptoms due to colonic inflammation confined to the rectum (proctitis), or left side of the colon (more commonly the rectosigmoid colon), despite treatment with oral and topical steroids for 6–8 weeks. This represents a common clinical dilemma, although whether it is a separate entity is unclear.

1.2.12. New patient
A patient with active UC presenting at, or shortly after diagnosis, with no previous therapy for UC.

1.2.13. Alternative therapy
One that is used in place of conventional medicine.

1.2.14. Complementary therapies
Similar treatments used alongside conventional medicine (see section on Alternative therapies for comment).

1.2.15. Expert opinion
The term 'expert' is used here to refer to the opinion of the specialists in inflammatory bowel disease representing multiple disciplines from 23 European countries who contributed to the ECCO Consensus. In some sections opinions from individual members of other expert bodies were obtained, including individuals of the European Society of Pathology (ESP) working group on Digestive Diseases, the European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) and the European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN).

2. Classification

2.1. Classification according to disease extent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECCO Statement 2A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The extent of ulcerative colitis influences the patient's management. Disease extent influences the treatment modality and determines if oral and/or topical therapy is initiated [EL1b, RG B]. Disease extent influences start and frequency of surveillance [EL2, RG B]. Therefore, a classification according to extent of disease is recommended [EL5, RG D]. The preferred classification is an endoscopic classification as outlined in the Montreal classification into ulcerative proctitis (limited to the rectum), left-sided colitis (up to the splenic flexure) and extensive colitis, and by maximal extent upon follow up [EL5, RG D].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are several reasons why patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) should be classified according to disease extent. First, the extent of inflammation will influence the patient's management and influence the choice of delivery system for a given therapy. Indeed, the location and extent of the colitis will determine if oral and/or topical therapy is initiated. For instance, topical therapy in the form of suppositories (for proctitis) or enemas (for left-sided colitis) is often the first line choice, but oral therapy — often combined with topical therapy is appropriate for extensive colitis (beyond the splenic flexure) [EL1b, RG B]. Second, the extent of colitis influences the start and the frequency of surveillance [EL2, RG B]. In the

Table 2.1 Endoscopic scores for ulcerative colitis commonly used in clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Normal: matt mucosa, ramifying vascular pattern clearly visible, no spontaneous bleeding, no bleeding to light touch</th>
<th>Abnormal, but non-haemorrhagic: bleeding to light touch, but no spontaneous bleeding seen ahead of the instrument on initial inspection</th>
<th>Severe haemorrhagic: spontaneous bleeding seen ahead of instrument at initial inspection and bleeds to light touch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baron et al.156</td>
<td>Normal or inactive disease</td>
<td>Mild (erythema, decreased vascular pattern, mild friability)</td>
<td>As 1, with a friable mucosa, but not spontaneously bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schroeder et al.158</td>
<td>Normal, smooth, glistening mucosa, with vascular pattern visible; not friable</td>
<td>Granular mucosa; vascular pattern not visible; not friable; hyperaemia</td>
<td>As 2, but mucosa spontaneously bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feagan et al.159</td>
<td>Abnormal, but non-haemorrhagic: appearances between 0 and 2</td>
<td>As 1, with a friable mucosa, but not spontaneously bleeding</td>
<td>As 1, with a friable mucosa, but not spontaneously bleeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These tables provide a framework for understanding the extent of ulcerative colitis and guide the choice of treatment, surveillance, and monitoring in clinical practice.
population-based study from Sweden, population extent of disease was one of the risk factors for development of colorectal cancer in 3117 UC patients followed up from 1 to 60 years after diagnosis. Whereas no increased relative risk (RR) was attributed to disease confined to the rectum, the RR for left-sided colitis and extensive colitis (previously called pancolitis) were 2.8 (95%CI 1.6–4.4) and 14.8 (95%CI 11.4–18.9) respectively. Therefore, patients with left-sided and extensive colitis are generally advised to have surveillance colonoscopy from 8 to 10 years after symptom onset, but patients with proctitis do not need surveillance (Section 9.2, second following paper in same issue). The contribution of disease extent at diagnosis to the risk of malignancy has been confirmed more recently by the EC-IBD study group.

Once agreed that classification according to disease extent is important, the next question is which classification best to use? The Consensus group agreed that the preferred classification is an endoscopic classification into proctitis, left-sided colitis and extensive colitis (beyond the splenic flexure), as defined by the Montreal Working Group on the Molecular classification of IBD9,10 (Section 1.2, Table 1.2). A fourth extent-group of proctosigmoiditis was abandoned, because it lacks any scientific background and does not have direct therapeutic consequences.

2.2. Classification according to disease severity

ECCO Statement 2B Classification of ulcerative colitis based on disease severity is useful for clinical practice and dictates the patient's management [EL1b, RG B]. Disease severity influences the treatment modality and determines if no, oral, intravenous or surgical therapy is initiated. Indices of disease severity have not been adequately validated. Clinical, laboratory, imaging and endoscopic parameters, including histopathology assist physicians in patients' management [EL2, RG B]. There is no fully validated definition of remission. The best way of defining remission is a combination of clinical parameters (i.e. stool frequency ≤3/day with no bleeding) and a normal mucosa at endoscopy [EL5, RG D] (majority vote).

2.2.1. Activity and pattern of disease

In a population-based study from Copenhagen County, Langholz et al. showed that approximately 50% of patients will be in clinical remission every year at any time.25 However, the cumulative probability of a relapsing course after 25 years of follow up amounted to 90%. The disease activity in the first 2 years after diagnosis indicated (with 70–80% probability) an increased probability of 5 consecutive years of active disease and was therefore judged to be a good parameter to predict the future pattern of disease. This is a helpful practical point to be used by clinicians when advising patients and making management decisions.

A distinction should be made between disease activity at a point in time (remission, mild, moderate, severe) and the response of disease to treatment (using terms such as 5-ASA or steroid responsive, steroid-refractory, biologic dependent etc.). The two should not be confused by sloppy terminology that describes mildly active disease that is steroid-dependent as 'severe'. The consequences (biologic therapy, colectomy) may indeed be considered 'severe', but disease activity remains mild. See also Section 3.5.

2.2.2. Choice of index

A classification of UC based on disease activity and severity is important because it influences patient's management. The severity of the inflammation will be determined if no therapy, oral therapy, intravenous or surgical therapy is initiated in a given patient. Over the years, many disease activity indices or criteria have been proposed (see Section 1.2.2 and Ref. 15 for a review), but none has been adequately validated. The Consensus recognises the need for validated clinical and endoscopic indices that relate to outcome or treatment decisions. Although modifications of the original Truelove and Witts' criteria (Section 1.2.1, Table 1.3) are used in daily practice, the modified Mayo score (Section 1.2.1, Table 1.4) is used more frequently in current clinical trials.15 For clinical practice, the Consensus group judged that a combination of clinical features, laboratory findings, imaging modalities and endoscopic parameters, including histopathology will all assist physicians in their patients' management. Endoscopic scoring is illustrated in Section 3.5 and Table 2.1. There is a need for systematic study of this area.

2.2.3. Clinical and laboratory markers of severity

Among objective clinical features, bloody stool frequency, body temperature and heart rate are good predictors of outcome. Laboratory markers have been studied intensively with varying degrees of success. The widely used acute phase protein C-reactive protein in this respect is a less good marker for assessing disease activity in UC than Crohn's disease, except for acute severe colitis, where it has established value in both adults and children.26–28 A raised CRP (≥45 mg/L at day 3 following hospital admission for severe colitis together with more than 8 stools a day is highly predictive for need for colectomy (Section 5.2.5, first following paper in same issue). Other positive (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, serum procalcitonin29) or negative (albumin) acute phase proteins have been studied, but none has demonstrated clear superiority (for review see Ref. 30). More recently, faecal markers have demonstrated promising results. The most studied markers are faecal calprotectin and lactoferrin, but elastase and the more recent marker S100A12 have also shown accuracy at detecting colonic inflammation.31–33 It must be stressed however that none of these markers is specific for UC, since they merely represent colonic inflammation with an influx of neutrophils into the gut mucosa, with subsequent shedding of their granules into the gut lumen.

2.2.4. Remission

As with the definition of disease activity, there has also not been a fully validated definition of remission. The Consensus group agreed that the best way of defining remission is a combination of clinical parameters (stool frequency ≤3/day with no bleeding) and normal or quiescent mucosa at endoscopy (majority vote, Section 1.2.3).20
2.3. Classification according to age at onset or concomitant primary sclerosing cholangitis

ECCO Statement 2C
A classification of UC according to age at onset is not useful [EL2; RG C]. Classification of UC according to the concomitant presence of PSC is important because it influences patients’ management (surveillance) [EL2; RG C].

A classification according to age at onset is not useful because it does not affect patient’s management. All current available therapies for UC have shown equal efficacy in children with young age at onset compared to adults. The risk of colorectal cancer in patients with the onset of UC in childhood almost certainly reflects the duration of disease (Section 9.1.2, second following paper in same issue). However, concomitant primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is an important feature to take into account when giving care to patients with UC given its increased associated risk for colorectal cancer.23,36 This influences decisions on surveillance colonoscopy (Sections 9.1.2 and 9.2.4; second following paper in same issue).

2.4. Use of molecular markers

ECCO Statement 2D
No evidence-based recommendation can be made to implement the routine clinical use of molecular markers (genetic, serologic) for the classification of UC patients [EL2; RG C].

2.4.1. Serology
A number of (auto)antibodies have been described in UC patients, of which the atypical perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmatic antibodies (pANCA) are best known. Positive pANCA serology is found in approximately 50–60% of patients, although large variability exists due to differences in methodology.37,38 Overall, pANCA has shown good accuracy to differentiate CD from UC,39–41 but their sensitivity is far from high enough to justify their use in diagnosis. These antibodies also lack accuracy in patients with colitis yet to be classified, where these markers would be of greatest clinical value.42 A number of other antimicrobial antibodies as ASCA, OmpC, I2, cBlr anti-flagellin, ALCA, ACCA, are found mainly in patients with Crohn’s disease.43–46

2.4.2. Genotyping
The very active field of IBD genetics has led to the identification of several genes, most of which are implicated in a susceptibility to Crohn’s disease, but some also linked to UC. The HLA region is without any doubt the region most associated with UC,47 but the Interleukin-23 Receptor (IL23R) gene on chromosome 14,48 the DLG5 gene on chromosome 10,49 the Multidrug Resistance gene (MDR)-1 and the Toll Like Receptor (TLR) genes, have shown associations with UC.50–58 Since UC is a complex multifactorial disease, the disease-associated mutations in these genes will never be sufficient to cause disease, nor will the absence of mutations be a guarantee of remaining free of disease. Therefore, testing for these genetic variants is not recommended for clinical purposes.

3. Diagnosis and imaging

3.1. Introduction
Ulcerative colitis (UC) primarily presents in late adolescence and early adulthood, although the diagnosis may be made at any age. A small peak in incidence has been demonstrated in some populations after the fifth decade of life.59 Ulcerative colitis appears to affect both sexes equally. The inflammation characteristically commences in the rectum and extends proximally in a continuous, confluent and concentric manner to affect a variable extent of the colon, or its entire mucosal surface. The definitions and classification of the extent of UC are covered in Sections 1.1 and 2.1 (Table 1.2).60 The proximal extent of inflammation may progress or regress over time, but after disease regression the distribution of inflammation tends to match the extent of previous episodes in the event of relapse. The view that UC represents continuous colonic inflammation has, however, been challenged by reports of a rectal sparing variant and peri-appendiceal patchy inflammation.61 Symptoms depend on the extent and severity of disease, extra-intestinal manifestations and concurrent therapy. Enteral pathogens may alter the clinical presentation.

3.2. Clinical features and risk factors

3.2.1. Clinical features of ulcerative colitis

ECCO statement 3A
Symptoms of ulcerative colitis are dependent upon extent and severity of disease, and most commonly include bloody diarrhoea, rectal bleeding, and/or rectal urgency. Nocturnal defeacation is also often reported. Systemic symptoms of malaise, anorexia, or fever are features of a severe attack [EL5, RG D].

The primary presenting symptom of ulcerative colitis is visible blood in the stools and is reported by more than 90% of patients. Associated symptoms generally reflect the endoscopic severity of the disease as a measure of mucosal damage and may differ according to disease extent.61–71 Loose stools (or a decrease in stool consistency) for more than six weeks differentiates UC from most infectious diarrhoea.72 Patients with extensive active UC present with chronic diarrhoea almost invariably associated with rectal bleeding, or at least visible blood in the stools. Such patients also describe rectal urgency, tenesmus, passage of mucopurulent exudates, nocturnal defeacation and crampy abdominal pain, or ache over the left iliac fossa prior to and relieved by defeacation. In contrast, patients with proctitis usually present with rectal bleeding, urgency, tenesmus, and occasionally severe constipation.64,66,67 Anal and minor perianal lesions may complicate severe diarrhoea, but although simple fistulae may occasionally occur in UC, recurrent or complex perianal fistulae should always raise the suspicion of Crohn’s colitis.
The onset of UC is usually insidious and symptoms are often present for weeks or even months before medical advice is sought. The disease may present with intermittent episodes of symptoms or as a severe attack (in about 15%) with systemic symptoms including weight loss, fever and tachycardia, or even nausea and vomiting. Extra-intestinal manifestations, especially an axial or peripheral arthropathy, episceritis and erythema nodosum may accompany the presentation in about 10% and rarely precede intestinal symptoms. Thromboembolism is more frequent in UC than the general population, but is generally associated with active disease and pancolitis.

3.2.2. Risk factors for ulcerative colitis

ECCO statement 3B
Smoking exerts a universal protective effect against developing UC and is associated with a milder course of disease [EL2b, RGB]. Appendicectomy has been shown to provide some protection against subsequently developing UC and in reducing its severity if performed for 'true' appendicitis at a younger age [EL2b, RGB]. The use of non-selective NSAIDs is probably associated with increased risk for exacerbating UC [EL2b, RGB]. Short-term treatment with COX-2 inhibitors is probably safe [EL1b, RGB]. A family history of CD or UC increases the risk for developing UC in another family member [EL2b, RGB].

Active tobacco smoking has a protective effect on the development and severity of UC. In contrast, ex-smokers have about a 70% greater risk of developing the disease, which is often more extensive and refractory than in those who have never smoked. Rates of hospital admission and colectomy are also higher in ex-smokers than in never-smokers. Improvements in symptoms and a milder course of disease have been reported in ex-smokers who resume smoking, but the effect is inconsistent. Smoking may also prevent the development of primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), or pouchitis after colectomy and ileal pouch anal anastomosis, or even nausea and vomiting. Extra-intestinal manifestations, especially an axial or peripheral arthropathy, episceritis and erythema nodosum may accompany the presentation in about 10% and rarely precede intestinal symptoms. Thromboembolism is more frequent in UC than the general population, but is generally associated with active disease and pancolitis.

3.3. History, examination and diagnosis

3.3.1. Medical history

ECCO statement 3C
A full medical history should include detailed questioning about the onset of symptoms, particularly recurrent episodes of rectal bleeding or bloody diarrhoea, urgency, tenesmus, abdominal pain, incontinence, nocturnal diarrhoea, and features of extra-intestinal manifestations. Recent travel, food intolerances, contact with enteric infectious illnesses, medication (including antibiotics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), smoking habit, sexual practice, family history of IBD and previous appendicectomy should be explored [EL5, RG D].

The diagnosis of UC is suspected from the clinical symptoms (Section 3.2.1). Infectious or drug-induced forms of colitis should be excluded. The absence of rectal bleeding or symptoms in a current smoker should raise questions about a diagnosis of UC, since Crohn’s colitis would be more likely. Enquiry should be made into the family history and patients asked about possible ocular, oral, joint or skin manifestations.

3.3.2. Examination

ECCO statement 3D
In patients with UC physical examination should include general well-being, pulse rate, body temperature, blood pressure, body weight and height, abdominal examination for distention and tenderness, perineal inspection, digital rectal examination, oral inspection, and check for eye, skin and/or joint involvement. Physical examination may be unremarkable in patients with mild or even moderate disease [EL5, RG D].

Findings on physical examination depend on the extent and severity of UC. Examination of patients with mild or moderate activity is usually unremarkable, apart from blood on rectal
3.3.3. Diagnosis

**ECCO statement 3E**

A gold standard for the diagnosis of ulcerative colitis is not available. The diagnosis should be established by a combination of medical history, clinical evaluation, and typical endoscopic and histological findings. An infective cause should be excluded. Where there is doubt about the diagnosis, endoscopic and histological confirmation is necessary after an interval [EL5, RG D]

The natural history of UC is characterised by episodes of relapse and periods of remission, and occasionally by an unremitting, continuous course (about 5%). A single acute episode followed by prolonged remission may also occur in about 5%. The frequency of relapse (pattern of disease) is usually defined in the first 3 years, and may be characterised as frequent (≥2 relapses/year) or infrequent (≤1 relapse/year, Sections 1.2.7 and 2.2.1). It helps patients to establish the diagnosis, extent and severity of the disease rapidly, because this influences treatment options and possibly disease progression. Since there is no single pathogenic marker, the diagnosis relies on a combination of medical history, endoscopic findings, histological features on multiple colonic biopsies and negative stool tests for infectious agents. It is unreasonable to expect the histopathologist alone to make the diagnosis (Section 4), but normal mucosal biopsies effectively exclude active UC as a cause of symptoms. In 10% of patients during the 5 years after initial onset of symptoms, the diagnosis will be changed to Crohn’s disease or the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease discounted. Endoscopic and histological confirmation of the diagnosis is considered essential. In a minority of patients it is not possible to characterise the cause of colitis; see Section 1.1 for correct usage of the terms ‘colitis-yet-to-be-classified’ and ‘indeterminate colitis’.9,11

3.4. Investigation and procedures to establish a diagnosis

3.4.1. Initial investigations

**ECCO statement 3F**

Initial laboratory investigations should include a full blood count, serum urea, creatinine, electrolytes, liver enzymes, iron studies, and C-reactive protein (CRP) [EL5, RG D]. CRP and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are useful markers to monitor the response to treatment in severe colitis [EL2b, RGB]. Microbiological testing for infectious diarrhoea including *Clostridium difficile* toxin is recommended [EL2b, RG B]. Additional stool tests may be necessary for patients who report a recent travel abroad [EL5, RG D]

Every patient with active disease at presentation should have a full blood count, inflammatory markers (CRP or ESR), electrolytes and liver function tests, along with a stool sample for microbiological testing. Laboratory signs of chronic inflammation may be normal in mild or moderate distal UC. The full blood count may reveal thrombocytosis as a result of the chronic inflammatory response, anaemia indicating disease severity or chronicity and leucocytosis, raising the possibility of an infectious complication.

For UC excluding proctitis, CRP broadly correlates with clinical activity. In patients with severe clinical activity, an elevated CRP is generally associated with an elevated ESR, anaemia and hypoalbuminaemia. These have been used as predictive biomarkers to assess the need for colectomy in acute severe colitis [EL4, EL10, EL09] (Section 5.2.5, first following paper in same issue). Neither CRP nor ESR is specific enough to differentiate UC from infectious or other causes.

The initial diagnosis of UC requires the elimination of infectious causes of symptomatic colitis. Stool specimens should be cultured for common pathogens including specific assays for *Clostridium difficile* toxin A and B, *Campylobacter* spp, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Additional tests may be tailored to the medical history, such as examination of fresh, warm stool samples for amoebae or other parasites.

**ECCO statement 3G**

In patients with an established diagnosis of UC microbial testing is recommended in cases of severe or refractory relapse. This includes testing for *Clostridium difficile* and *Cytomegalovirus* infection [EL4, RG C]

It is not routinely recommended to screen for pathogens such as *C. difficile* at each flare of the disease, due to infrequent positive results. In contrast, microbial stool tests should be performed during refractory or severe relapse, and in those with a history of antibiotic therapy within an arbitrary 3 months, since *C. difficile* infection is more common in these circumstances and associated with a poor clinical outcome. Flexible sigmoidoscopy may be superior to stool *C. difficile* cytotoxin assay in patients with pseudomembranous colitis and is appropriate for patients with diarrhoea where the stool test is negative.

Reactivation of *Cytomegalovirus* (CMV) is common in ulcerative colitis, particularly (but not invariably) in immunosuppressed patients with severe colitis. The clinical relevance of this finding remains uncertain, but CMV infection may cause refractory or severe relapse. The optimal method for detecting clinically relevant CMV infection in patients with colitis has not yet been established. Occasional intranuclear inclusion bodies consistent with CMV on histopathology do not necessarily indicate clinically significant infection, but multiple intranuclear inclusions are usually significant. CMV should be considered in patients with refractory or severe colitis (Section 3.5.3) and if detected, advice taken from virologists about the significance and appropriate therapy.
3.4.3. Biomarkers

ECCO statement 3H

Although faecal inflammatory markers are generally not considered sufficient to be included routinely in the diagnostic work up of UC, calprotectin, a neutrophil-derived protein, merits further consideration [EL2b, RGB]

The most widely studied serological markers are perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (pANCA) and anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies (ASCA). In most series pANCA are found in up to 65% of patients with UC and in less than 10% of patients with Crohn’s disease. It should be noted that the incidence of pANCA in UC may depend upon local laboratory expertise and geographical latitude.121,122 In view of the current limited sensitivity of these markers, their routine use for the diagnosis of ulcerative colitis and for therapeutic decisions is not clinically justified.123

Of the faecal markers of intestinal inflammation, neutrophil-derived proteins such as calprotectin, elastase, lysozyme and lactoferrin, have been evaluated in IBD.124-126 Faecal calprotectin appears to be the most sensitive, non-invasive biomarker that reflects intestinal inflammation in established IBD.127 However, as with all faecal tests, calprotectin lacks the specificity to discriminate between types of inflammation. Therefore, its use as a diagnostic tool in UC is limited.

3.4.4. Procedures recommended to establish the diagnosis

ECCO statement 3I

For suspected UC, colonoscopy, preferably with ileoscopy, and segmental biopsies including the rectum are the preferred procedures to establish the diagnosis and extent of disease [EL5, RGD]. Patients with a severe attack should have abdominal radiography and active disease confirmed by sigmoidoscopy as a first line procedure [EL5, RGD]

Colonoscopy with intubation of the terminal ileum and segmental mucosal biopsies are preferred to sigmoidoscopy for patients with suspected UC. The clinical context and availability needs to be considered: colonoscopy and bowel preparation is best avoided in patients with acute severe colitis to avoid procedural delays and a higher risk of perforation. Colonoscopy establishes the diagnosis and disease extent in the large majority of cases. It appears to be more cost-effective than index sigmoidoscopy.60,128

A plain abdominal radiograph is not a diagnostic test for UC, but is valuable in the initial assessment of patients with suspected severe UC (Section 3.5.3). Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy and mucosal biopsy are recommended in patients with upper gastrointestinal symptoms. Wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE) represents an advance in bowel imaging, but large prospective studies are needed to confirm the diagnostic relevance in ulcerative colitis. WCE is a potentially useful clinical technique for categorising those patients with colitis yet to be classified, although a normal WCE does not exclude Crohn's disease.129

3.5. Assessment of extent, severity and activity

3.5.1. Signs of discontinuous inflammation in ulcerative colitis

ECCO statement 3J

When there is macroscopic and histological rectal sparing, or the presence of a caecal patch in newly diagnosed colitis evaluation of the small bowel is indicated [EL 5, RGD]. Involvement of the appendix only in left sided or extensive colitis is a common feature of UC and requires no further diagnostic work up to exclude CD [EL 3a, RGC]

3.5.1.1. Rectal sparing and caecal patch. Macroscopic and microscopic rectal sparing have been described in children presenting with UC prior to treatment.130-133 In adults, a normal or patchy inflammation in the rectum is more likely to be due to topical or systemic therapy for UC.134,135 Patchy inflammation in the caecum is referred to as ‘caecal patch’ and is observed in patients with left-sided colitis. The natural history of patients with patchy right colonic inflammation seems to be similar to those with isolated left-sided UC.136,137 Whenever there is a discontinuous pattern of inflammation in colitis, a diagnostic work up of the small bowel is indicated to exclude Crohn’s disease.

3.5.1.2. Appendiceal skip lesions. Involvement of the appendix as a skip lesion is reported in up to 75% of patients with UC.93-95 Appendiceal inflammation has been associated both with a more responsive course of disease and a higher risk of pouchitis after ileal pouch anastomosis.138-141 Both findings require confirmation.

3.5.1.3. Backwash ileitis. Continuous extension of macroscopic or histological inflammation from the caecum into the most distal ileum is defined as ‘backwash ileitis’. It is observed in up to 20% of patients with pancolitis. Rarely, ileal erosions may occur in patients without caecal involvement and this challenges the pathogenic theory that backwash ileitis is caused simply by reflux of caecal contents into the ileum.142-144 A more refractory course of ulcerative colitis has been suggested in those with backwash ileitis.143 Additional imaging of the small bowel should be considered in cases of macroscopic backwash ileitis, to differentiate UC from Crohn’s disease.

3.5.1.4. Small bowel. Small bowel radiology (by enteroclysis, follow-through, CT enteroclysis, MR enteroclysis, or WCE (reviewed in the ECCO Consensus on diagnosis and imaging in Crohn’s disease145) is not routinely recommended. Where there is diagnostic difficulty (rectal sparing, atypical symptoms, macroscopic backwash ileitis) then clinicians should discuss imaging with an appropriate radiologist and results viewed in the context of the clinical history.145
3.5.2. Activity indices in ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3K**

Instruments for measuring clinical and/or endoscopic disease activity in UC are available, but none has been subjected to an adequate validation process. In daily routine such indices are barely used. The incorporation of a simple clinical and/or endoscopic scoring system is desirable, intended to improve care of UC patients and to realise a standardised IT system for IBD. Immediate admission to hospital is warranted for all patients fulfilling Truelove & Witts’ criteria for severe colitis to prevent delayed decision-making which may lead to increased perioperative morbidity and mortality [EL4, RGD].

Clinical, endoscopic and combined activity indices for ulcerative colitis have been reviewed15 (Sections 1.1.2 and 2.2.2). At present, disease activity scoring for UC is the preserve of clinical studies. However, based on the need to standardise documentation of IBD patients on a European level, the incorporation of a simple, valid clinical and/or endoscopic scoring system in electronic patient files is warranted. The original classification of severe UC was proposed by Truelove and Witts in 195513 and has stood the test of time, warranted. The incorporation of a simple clinical and/or endoscopic scoring system in electronic patient files is warranted. The original classification of severe UC was proposed by Truelove and Witts in 195513 and has stood the test of time, because it is easy to remember and apply. This classification is still considered to be the tool of choice to identify readily those outpatients in need of immediate admission to hospital and intensive treatment.146

3.5.3. Investigations for acute severe colitis on admission

Patients should have their full blood count, inflammatory markers (C-reactive protein, or ESR), electrolytes and liver function tests measured, along with a stool sample for culture and assay for *C. difficile* toxin.146

A plain abdominal radiograph should be performed, not only to exclude colonic dilatation (≥6.0 cm), but also to estimate the extent of disease and look for features that predict response to treatment. The proximal extent of disease broadly correlates with the distal distribution of faecal residue; in 51 episodes of severe colitis, this guide overestimated the extent in 18% and underestimated it in 8%.108 The presence of mucosal islands (small, circular opacities representing residual mucosa isolated by surrounding ulceration), or more than two gas-filled loops of small bowel on the radiograph are associated with a poor response to treatment.146

A flexible sigmoidoscopy should confirm the diagnosis of severe colitis and help exclude infection, particularly with *Cytomegalovirus*.116,117,149 If it is strongly suspected that CMV might be responsible for deterioration (such as a patient on immunomodulators in association with a high fever), it is appropriate to request urgent histopathology. An answer can be available within 4 h. Phosphate preparation before flexible sigmoidoscopy is considered safe, but is probably best avoided in patients with a dilated colon. Full colonoscopy in patients with acute severe colitis is not recommended. Purgative preparation can provoke dilatation and colonic perforation is a real hazard of colonoscopy during active disease. Endoscopic criteria for severe colitis include extensive mucosal abrasions, deep ulcerations, mucosal detachment on the edge of these ulcerations and well-like ulceration,150,151 but all of these can be assessed at flexible sigmoidoscopy.

3.5.4. Reassessment of extent and severity of ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3L**

Routine colonoscopy for patients with UC in remision is unnecessary until the start of a surveillance programme [EL5, RGD]. Endoscopic reassessment is appropriate at a relapse, or for steroid-dependent or -refractory UC or when considering colectomy [EL5, RGD].

Despite the importance of disease location in determining the prognosis, the risk of cancer and the choice of therapy, the appropriateness of periodic restaging after index colonoscopy has never been studied. The value of endoscopic reassessment of disease extent prior to a surveillance programme is much debated. Consequently ECCO statement 3L only represents expert opinion. Colonoscopy is more sensitive than barium studies for estimating disease extent, but the risk of malignancy is historically based on contrast studies and colonoscopy defines a different extent to histopathology.60,152–154 Chromoendoscopy better correlates with the disease extent determined by histopathology, but the procedure is time consuming and requires a level of expertise not universally available.155 Drug-induced clinical remission may not be associated with endoscopic or histological remission, but the prognostic implications of endoscopic re-evaluation in quiescent disease have yet to be determined.60 The area calls for systematic study.

3.6. Endoscopy, ultrasound and colonography

3.6.1. Endoscopic features of ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3M**

No endoscopic feature is specific for UC. The most useful endoscopic features of UC are considered to be continuous and confluent colonic involvement with clear demarcation of inflammation and rectal involvement. [EL2b, RGB] Endoscopic severity of UC may be best reflected by the presence of mucosal friability, spontaneous bleeding and deep ulcerations [EL2b, RGB].

Endoscopic changes characteristically commence proximal to the anal verge and extend proximally in a continuous, confluent and concentric fashion. The demarcation between inflamed and normal areas is usually clear and may occur abruptly within millimetres, especially in distal disease. The endoscopic features of mild inflammation are erythema, vascular congestion of the mucosa and loss of visible vascular pattern. Moderately active colitis is characterised by a coarse granular
appearance, mucosal erosions and mucosal friability (bleeding to light touch). Severe colitis is characterised by spontaneous bleeding and ulceration (Table 1.3). The choice of endoscopic score is complex and has been reviewed. In contrast to Crohn's disease, ulcers in severe UC are always embedded in inflamed mucosa. The presence of deep ulcerations is a poor prognostic sign. In longstanding disease, mucosal atrophy can result in loss of haustral folds, luminal narrowing and pseudopolyps.

### 3.6.2. Abdominal ultrasound and scintigraphy in ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3N**

Transabdominal and hydrocolonic ultrasound are of secondary value for defining the extent of UC [EL3, RGC]. Doppler ultrasound is a complementary technique for assessing disease activity in expert hands [EL2b, RGD]

Abdominal ultrasound screens for small bowel or colonic inflammation with a sensitivity of 80–90%. Ultrasound has the advantage of being low cost and non-invasive, but the accuracy is very much dependent on the skill of the operator and there is low specificity for differentiating UC from other causes of colonic inflammation. Hydrocolonic ultrasound (abdominal ultrasonography in conjunction with retrograde instillation of water in the colon) has a high sensitivity for identifying active colitis, but the method is too cumbersome for day to day clinical practice. Doppler ultrasound of the superior and inferior mesenteric arteries has been used to evaluate disease activity and risk of relapse. It should not, however, be considered a standard procedure. For this method to be viable, further prospective, multi-centre studies are needed.

Leukocyte scintigraphy is safe, non-invasive and potentially allows assessment of the presence, extent and activity of inflammation, but the method lacks specificity. It is unreliable if patients are taking steroids. Novel markers to detect intestinal inflammation which are not associated with exposure to radiation are being developed.

### 3.6.3. Virtual colonography in ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3O**

Virtual colonography is an evolving technology. The limited data currently available do not demonstrate a diagnostic value for assessing the disease extent in patients with suspected or proven UC [EL4, RGC]

Few studies on a limited number of patients have investigated MR-colonography or CT-colonography in UC. The results are conflicting and subtle changes of the mucosa such as erosions or flat polyps are insufficiently visualized. Because of these limitations, virtual colonoscopy is no alternative to standard colonoscopy in patients with UC at present.

### 3.7. Colonic stenosis in ulcerative colitis

**ECCO statement 3P**

Each colonic stenosis in UC should raise the suspicion of colorectal carcinoma. Multiple biopsies should be taken and a surgical opinion should be sought. When endoscopic intubation of the colon is not possible, imaging procedures, such as double contrast barium enema, CT and/or MRI colonography may be employed [EL5, RGD]

In longstanding ulcerative colitis, a colonic stricture signifies an increased risk for colorectal carcinoma and requires histological and surgical expertise. If colonoscopy is incomplete due to stricture, then double or even single contrast barium enema is the first choice procedure. CT colonography can reveal the mucosal pattern and colitis proximal to a stricture but may not identify all lesions seen on colonoscopy.

### 4. Histopathology

#### 4.1. General

In ulcerative colitis, histopathology is used for diagnosis, the assessment of disease activity and the differentiation of intraepithelial neoplasia (dysplasia). The latter will be addressed separately.

#### 4.1.1. Considerations

Several factors have influenced the accuracy of the histopathological diagnosis of ulcerative colitis, as it has in Crohn's disease. The advent of colonoscopy as the diagnostic procedure of choice has had consequences. It has allowed the analysis of multiple biopsies from different segments of the colon. More biopsies are obtained, often early in the evolution of the disease. Furthermore, biopsies can be obtained in young children presenting with bloody diarrhoea. In addition, the introduction of new therapies inducing mucosal healing has made the pathologists aware of the impact of treatment upon the microscopic features. This has changed the approach to histopathological diagnosis in the past decade.

#### 4.1.2. Evaluation of the literature

Articles reporting original research into the reproducibility, sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of individual features useful for the histopathological diagnosis of ulcerative colitis were sought from the literature, using Medline and Pubmed. As selection criteria, only those features which achieved moderate reproducibility judged by the kappa statistic, or findings confirmed by several studies were considered. In addition, we have reviewed studies describing and defining diagnostic microscopic features. The literature can be divided into groups, depending upon the number (one, or multiple) of biopsies examined, or the duration of the disease. In ten studies multiple biopsies were examined (including two comparing the diagnostic value of both single and multiple biopsies).
The literature on the duration of the disease can also be divided. The first group is composed of studies with biopsies obtained in patients with an established diagnosis of ulcerative colitis, based on extended clinical follow up. Disease duration varies between 6 ± 3 weeks and 12 years. In these studies patients with doubtful criteria were generally excluded. A second group is composed of retrospective studies without clear data on the duration of the disease. These papers are retrospective studies and can be pooled with the first group, because the diagnosis is again established through a period of follow up. A third group applies to studies on biopsies obtained early after onset of the disease, before treatment. For early onset disease, the duration of disease varies between 4 and 14 days (3.69 ± 0.52 days after the appearance of rectal bleeding, or 10 days after initial symptoms). In these studies, the diagnosis was subsequently confirmed by follow up of the patients and are prospective studies. Children are mainly included in the third group.

4.2. Microscopic features — definitions

A large number of microscopic features have been evaluated. They can be broadly classified into

- architectural features
- epithelial abnormalities, and
- inflammatory features.

Architectural features include crypt branching, crypt distortion, crypt atrophy and surface irregularity. Epithelial cell abnormalities are mucin depletion and Paneth cell metaplasia. Inflammatory features include increased lamina propria cellularity, basal plasmacytosis, basal lymphoid aggregates, lamina propria eosinophils.

4.2.1. Crypt architectural abnormalities

**Crypt branching:** two or more branched (bifurcated) crypts in a well oriented section, whether the branching is in the vertical or horizontal axis. When applied to a single crypt, the feature is less specific. The pathogenesis can be accounted for by regeneration following previous damage or destruction (cryptolysis).

**Mucosal (crypt) distortion:** irregularities in crypt size (i.e. variable diameter), spacing, orientation (i.e. loss of parallelism), or shape (including branching with a cystic configuration). In some studies this includes separation from the underlying muscularis mucosa. Samples from the anal transition zone or columnar cuff (sometimes wrongly termed "low rectal biopsies") are not suitable for the assessment of crypt branching or mucosal distortion.

**Mucosal (crypt) atrophy and crypt density:** a combination of crypt depletion (thinned-out crypts, generally recognised by a distance of more than one crypt diameter between crypts) and an increase in the distance between the muscularis mucosa and the base of the crypts. Some authors emphasise either crypt depletion or an increased distance between the muscularis mucosa and the base of the crypts rather than both features. An increase in the intercryptal space and the crypt–muscularis mucosa distance may be normal in the caecum and distal rectum. The distance between the muscularis mucosa and the crypt base should not be evaluated in the vicinity of lymphoid follicles. The pathogenesis can be explained as a consequence of crypt death from disease. If all crypt cells die, crypts cannot regenerate and disappear within 48 h in experimental animals. However, if one or more clonogenic cells survive the insult, rapid proliferation regenerates the crypt within 72–96 h in experimental animals. The mucosa subsequently heals by clonal expansion and the number of crypts that survive to regenerate following a cytotoxic insult correlates with symptom severity in animal models. A number of growth factors affect crypt regeneration in murine models. Nevertheless, it remains unclear what size of (uncrushed) biopsy is adequate for proper evaluation and how many levels of the biopsy need to be examined properly to evaluate atrophy.

**Surface irregularity:** Surface irregularity (synonyms include villous surface, villiform surface, or villous mucosa) means wide crypt mouths, giving the mucosal surface a finger-like appearance. The impression is due to separation of crypts and a semantic distinction between "irregular surface" and "villous surface" has been proposed, according to the villous–crypt ratio.

4.2.2. Epithelial cell abnormalities

**Paneth cell metaplasia:** Paneth cells are normally extremely uncommon in the colon distal to the splenic flexure, being present in 0–1.9% of non-IBD controls. The presence of Paneth cells in the distal colon can be termed Paneth cell metaplasia. The pathogenesis is related to epithelial regeneration and repair.

**Mucin depletion:** defined as a reduction in number of goblet cells or depleted mucin within cells.

4.2.3. Inflammatory features

**Basal plasmacytosis:** defined either as the presence of plasma cells around (deep 1/5th of the lamina propria) or below the crypts, alongside or penetrating the muscularis mucosa. Basal plasmacytosis is also referred to as subcryptal plasma cells, plasmacytosis with extension in the base of the mucosa, or accumulation of plasma cells between the base of the crypts and the muscularis mucosa. The abnormality can be focal or diffuse and subcryptal location of the cells is not always present.

**Lamina propria cellularity:** evaluated according to density, composition and distribution. An increase in the total number of plasma cells, lymphocytes, histiocytes and eosinophils is a feature of all types of colorectal inflammation and is of limited discriminant value. In ulcerative colitis the cellular infiltrate is diffuse and transmucosal.

Increased **density** has been described as "a subjectively abnormal" infiltrate, a "prominent" increase (assessed by widening of the intercryptal space by the inflammatory infiltrate or simple "hypercellularity"). The increase is difficult to quantify. Increased lamina propria cellularity may also be absent in quiescent disease, following treatment, or in the natural course of the disease. Furthermore, increased lamina propria cellularity may persist in infective colitis and is a normal feature of caecal biopsies.
The composition has been examined to resolve these dilemmas. Some authors discriminate between an increase in neutrophils alone and an increase in both round cells and neutrophils. Neutrophils may be present in the lamina propria or between epithelial cells, are readily recognised and a reproducible feature of inflammation. More than three neutrophils in the lamina propria outside capillaries may be abnormal, but the exact number has not been agreed. Neutrophils are a feature of cryptitis with migration of neutrophils through the crypt epithelium, inducing crypt disruption and crypt abscesses, which may be responsible for cell surface damage or disruption. The diagnostic value of neutrophils in ulcerative colitis, however, is limited because they are also present in infective colitis and other forms of colitis. In contrast, eosinophils in the lamina propria are highly variable. An increase has been noted in ulcerative colitis and a potential diagnostic value has been proposed, but data were obtained from studies of longstanding disease.

The distribution of the lamina propria cellular inflammatory infiltrate has been divided into: focal (normal background cellularity with areas of increased cellularity); patchy (abnormal background cellularity with variable intensity); and diffuse (abnormal background cellularity with an overall increase in density). These terms are preferred. Confusion is caused when the term “discontinuous” is used to describe both focal and patchy changes in some studies, or used as a synonym for focal in others. A diffuse increase can be either superficial (confined to the superficial and middle thirds of the lamina propria) or transmucosal (usually maximal in the lower third). The distribution can be evaluated in a single sample or between multiple samples from the same site. To avoid diagnostic error, the criteria of diffuse transmucosal inflammation for diagnosing ulcerative colitis should be avoided in biopsies from early onset disease in children, or after treatment and when disease is resolving or quiescent. In these circumstances the biopsy may be normal or show focal changes.

Basal lymphoid aggregates: nodular collections of lymphocytes between the base crypt and muscularis mucosae, without germinal centres. At least two aggregates are needed for this feature to be considered abnormal.

Stromal changes: diffuse thickening of the muscularis mucosae or a double muscularis mucosae (which is unusual, but characteristic when present) have been observed in longstanding active and quiescent ulcerative colitis.

Backwash ileitis: ileal inflammation in ulcerative colitis is called backwash ileitis, despite the fact that the backwash or reflux pathogenesis has never been established. ‘Backwash ileitis’ should be in continuity with colonic inflammation (see also Section 3.5.1) and the lesions in the caecum should show a similar, or greater degree of active inflammation. The ileal lesions in ‘backwash ileitis’ are characterised by active inflammation in the villi and lamina propria, together with shortening and blunting of the villi. Focal, isolated ileal erosions, mucous gland metaplasia or patchy oedema with mild active inflammation are features suggestive of Crohn’s disease.

4.3. Microscopic features — appraisal of the diagnosis

4.3.1. Early stage disease

It has been proposed that a non-specific increase in the inflammatory infiltrate in the lamina propria in combination with absent crypt architectural distortion, indicates a diagnosis of acute, infective colitis rather than ulcerative colitis. This finding, however, is not confirmed in those studies of patients with early onset colitis (within 10 days of symptoms, Section 4.1.2).

Basal plasmacytosis at the initial onset has a high predictive value for the diagnosis of IBD [EL1b, RG D]. Repeat biopsies after an interval may help to solve differential diagnostic problems and establish a definitive diagnosis especially in adults, by showing additional features [EL 5, R G D]
biopsies obtained from patients with colitis at an early stage. Crypt architectural changes were observed in biopsies obtained between 16 and 30 days after onset, but not in earlier biopsies. In another study abnormal architecture was found in all biopsies obtained within days of onset, but in this study disease onset was defined by loss of blood and not by other symptoms. Crypt distortion and mucosal atrophy may return to normal or remain unchanged after resolution of symptoms.

**ECCO Statement 4D**
In young children or patients with an aberrant presentation of colitis, UC should always be considered in the differential diagnosis even if the pathology is not typical [EL1b RG B]

Reliable diagnostic features may be absent from biopsies obtained in early onset disease, in acute severe colitis, or in patients with an atypical immunological response (such as young children, or patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis). The routine use of additional techniques such as immunohistochemistry is not recommended at present.

**4.3.2. Established disease**

**ECCO Statement 4E**
A diagnosis of established ulcerative colitis is based upon the combination of: basal plasmacytosis (defined as presence of plasma cells around (deep part of the lamina propria) or below the crypts (subcryptal)), heavy, diffuse transmucosal lamina propria cell increase and widespread mucosal or crypt architectural distortion [EL 1a, RG A]

The exact number of features needed for diagnosis has not been established. A correct diagnosis of ulcerative colitis is reached in approximately 75% of the cases when two or three of the four features, severe crypt architectural distortion, severe decreased crypt density, irregular surface and heavy diffuse transmucosal inflammation are present, in the absence of genuine granulomas. The diagnostic value of basal plasmacytosis is confirmed by studies of biopsies obtained in established disease, being present in up to 63% of cases. The feature is rare in non-IBD colitis, but it is also common in Crohn’s disease. Basal plasmacytosis decreases and can disappear during treatment. A heavy, diffuse, transmucosal, lamina propria cell infiltrate favours a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis, but patchy inflammation can occasionally be seen in ulcerative colitis or, when multiple biopsies are examined, a single piece may have evidence of chronic colitis and others have normal mucosa. The heavy, diffuse transmucosal lamina propria cell increase can be absent in young children (<12 years). It can decrease in intensity and become patchy during the natural evolution of the disease or subsequent to treatment. This feature is therefore mainly useful for the diagnosis in established disease. Its absence does not exclude a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis.

**ECCO Statement 4G**
Basal plasmacytosis is a good diagnostic feature in established ulcerative colitis [EL 2, RG B]. A heavy, diffuse transmucosal lamina propria cell increase is a good diagnostic feature in established active disease [EL 2, RG B]. Distribution of inflammation along the colon, with a decreasing gradient of inflammation from distal to proximal is in favour of a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis in an untreated patient [EL5 RG D]

General or widespread crypt epithelial neutrophils (cryptitis and crypt abscesses) favour a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis. However these lesions may occur in infections and other types of colitis [EL 2b, RG B]. Lamina propria and intraepithelial neutrophils are absent in inactive or quiescent disease [EL 2b, RG B]

**ECCO Statement 4H**
General or widespread crypt epithelial neutrophils favour a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis, but crypt abscesses and cryptitis can also occur in infective colitis, although they are less prominent. Neutrophils are absent during inactive or quiescent disease.

Basal lymphoid aggregates favour a diagnosis of established ulcerative colitis, but may occur in Crohn’s colitis and are not useful in early onset disease.
Paneth cell metaplasia favours a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis. The predictive value is high but the sensitivity is low. It is not seen in biopsies obtained early in the disease and appears to be related to established disease. Mucin depletion also favours a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis. It correlates with disease activity, so is a helpful, but not pivotal diagnostic feature. Mucin preservation in association with active disease, however, may favour a diagnosis of Crohn’s disease rather than ulcerative colitis.

4.4. Microscopic features — disease activity

The pathology report should give an indication of the activity of the disease.

Disappearance of mucosal inflammation following treatment has been observed, so biopsies are also used for distinguishing between quiescent and active disease, as well as different grades of activity. Scoring systems have been introduced for the assessment of disease activity, particularly for therapeutic trials. The potential value of histopathology for predicting relapse and evaluating adequate control of inflammation has implications for therapeutic management and reducing the risk of neoplasia. Both epithelial damage in association with neutrophils and basal plasmacytosis have been proposed as markers of disease activity and the prediction of relapse. The scope of this text does not permit detailed analysis of these scoring systems.

4.5. Conclusions

The evolution of the microscopic features that are useful for a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis is a time and disease-activity dependent process. This notion is confirmed by experimental studies. In early onset disease, few or no characteristic features may be present. In established disease the diagnosis can be based upon a combination of basal plasmacytosis, crypt architectural abnormalities, diffuse transmucosal inflammatory infiltrate and epithelial surface irregularity. The natural evolution from active to quiescent disease and treatment also have an impact on microscopic features. In quiescent disease, few features may persist, neutrophils are notably absent and biopsies may be normal.

It appears important to distinguish between different situations for the diagnosis of ulcerative colitis:

- Biopsies obtained during the initial phase of the disease (within two weeks of onset of symptoms, including young children and without treatment)
- Biopsies obtained from patients with established disease before treatment (symptoms for more than 4–6 weeks)
- Biopsies obtained from patients with established disease after treatment (examination of previous biopsies is desirable).

In every patient, including children, the diagnostic yield can be increased when multiple biopsies from different segments of the colon are examined, including the rectum and the ileum, although these should be carefully labelled for proper assessment.
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