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ABSTRACT
Objective To provide a set of high-quality time-series physiologic and event data from anesthetic cases formatted in an easy-to-use structure.

Materials and methods With ethics committee approval, data from surgical operations under general anesthesia were collected, including physiologic data, drug administrations, events, and clinicians’ comments. These data were de-identified, formatted in a combined CSV/XML structure and made publicly available.

Results Two separate datasets were collected containing physiologic time-series data and time-stamped events for 34 patients. For 20 patients, the data included 400 physiologic signals collected over 20 h, 274 events, and 597 drug administrations. For 14 patients, the data included 23 physiologic signals collected over 69 h, with 286 time stamped comments.

Discussion Data reuse potentially saves significant time and financial costs. However, there are few high-quality repositories for accessible physiologic data and clinical interventions from surgical cases. De-identifying records assists with overcoming problems of privacy and storing the data in a format which is easily manipulated with computing resources facilitates access by the wider research community. It is hoped that additional high-quality data will be added. Future work includes developing tools to explore and visualize the data more efficiently, and establishing quality control measures.

Conclusion An approach to collecting and storing high-quality datasets from surgical operations under anesthesia such that they can be easily accessed by others for use in research has been demonstrated.

INTRODUCTION
The advent and proliferation of electronic anesthetic records provides a potential source of data for improving clinical knowledge and practice.1–4 Much information in electronic anesthetic records could be used in research to improve patient care.5 Indeed, data from anesthetic records have been used to good effect for research purposes over the years.5 8–11 A set of generic data would be useful—for example, freely available intraoperative time series of interventional and physiologic data could be used to test diagnostic or alarm algorithms, to estimate sample sizes for certain prospective interventional studies, or to set standards for physiologic and pharmacologic models in human patient simulators.12 There may be many other applications for such data, particularly if databases were large and their quality high. So far, we know of few publicly accessible databases of this type.

Understandably, the traditional approach to collected data has often been protectionist. Some researchers have been reluctant to share their data as they fear the loss of their competitive edge and intellectual property. Indeed, not all data are suitable for open access. However, we think that some data sources that are difficult to access should be made more accessible. The raw data obtained in research can often be analyzed in ways and for purposes not envisaged by those who collected it. The UK Colonial Registers and Royal Navy Logbooks project provides an excellent example: data from ships logs originally created for navigational purposes and safety are now being used to help predict climate change.13

Awareness of the value of open data is growing and there have recently been moves to make it compulsory for publicly funded researchers to share the results and also the data from their research. The NIH has a policy on this,14 and other publicly funded institutions are following suit: any research funded above a certain sum must have a data management plan and either the data must be made freely available or a compelling reason to the contrary must be provided.14

An open approach to data is not without its challenges. Collection of high-quality medical intervention and physiologic data is costly and time consuming. The quality of data varies considerably. For example, the detail of how physiologic signals have been collected (including issues of calibration, attention to time stamps, accuracy of transducers, and so on) can make a big difference to the value of the data. Conditions are often made on the use of data by ethics committees who may place great emphasis on the rights of the patients who consented explicitly for a particular research project and not other projects. This is an important subject, but can be dealt with through the process of approval and consent. From a technical perspective, the format in which data are collected may impede easy reuse and dissemination. Proprietary formats or software may require licenses and specialist knowledge. Particular datasets may also be difficult to locate. It is certainly more problematic to store accessible raw data than to make the scholarly article arising from those data available for open access.15 Rice has outlined a variety of levels for sharing data, ranging from the “Holy Grail of the data grid” to the “typical status quo” of the personal or networked hard drive.15

There does seem to be a large demand for open access data, as shown by the number of downloads from existing sets. For example, The Biomedical Signal Processing Laboratory at Portland State University, USA (http://bsp.pdx.edu/), has a signal repository for research and their website receives around 300 hits a month (http://tinyurl.com/...
METHODS
Ethics committee approval was obtained to collect anonymous data from anesthetized patients and to make the data freely available (NTX/07/16/EXF). We selected patients with no comorbidities undergoing shoulder arthroscopy. We used the SAFERSleep system (Safer Sleep LLC, Tennessee, Nashville, USA)29 to set the highest data sampling rate (0.2 Hz; the default rate is 0.03 Hz) to collect physiologic data and information on events such as drugs administered, the surgical incision, and the insertion of an endotracheal tube: typically this information is captured manually using barcodes. Extraneous data were removed to simplify the database structure and make the data more readable and usable. Identifying data were removed to maintain confidentiality. The SAFERSleep system stores data in a proprietary XML format. We translated these raw data into a simplified XML structure (figure 1) with the support of the manufacturer and via custom R (v2.14.2 for Windows) scripts. The simplifications were designed to remove identifying data for ethical reasons, remove SAFERSleep system data (as described above), and to enhance the records with reference to standardized terms from SNOMED-CT in metadata.

The same XML structure was used to store data collected from a second series of patients undergoing surgery under anesthesia in whom significant blood loss was expected (because of the nature of their surgery—most were radical prostatectomies), as part of a study into the enhancement of anesthesia alarm systems and the development of an expert diagnostic system (EDS). Again, ethics committee approval was given for this study and for publication of the data (NTX/06/08/094). These data were collected at 0.1 Hz from an AS5 anesthesia monitor (GE-Datex-Ohmeda, Helsinki, Finland). Information collected included free-form comments from clinicians about their assessments of blood volume status and other clinical indicators of blood loss, such as fluid administration and use of vasoconstrictors. Data were converted to the XML schema via the use of custom Matlab (v12) scripts.

RESULTS
The Anaesthetic Shoulder Arthroscopy Cases Dataset (http://hdl.handle.net/2292/5378) was established, and presently contains information from 13 male and 7 female patients, 21–70 years of age and weighing 57–110 kg, undergoing shoulder arthroscopy operations under general anesthesia. Each patient had 17–26 variables, 5–24 events, and 18–58 drug administrations measured during their procedure. In total, 400 physiologic data signals were collected over 20 h with 274 events and 597 drug administrations. The data collected for the EDS development (available at http://hdl.handle.net/2292/10357) contains 14 sets of physiologic data and comments. There are a total of 186 variables measured and 286 comments collected over 69 h in this dataset.

Both sets of data have been licensed with an Open Database License (http://www.opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl), linked from the metadata accompanying each dataset. The use of the Open Database License makes the conditions of reuse clear, obviating the need for researchers to contact the creators for permission.

DISCUSSION
We have established two open access datasets of physiologic and interventional data from 34 patients undergoing surgery under anesthesia in defined conditions. The XML schema (figure 1) is sufficiently flexible to allow data to be stored from different sources, as can be seen from the two sets of data collected here. The data format is readable by humans or machines, free tools are available to manipulate the data, and it is simple to extend the dataset. XML was the format preferred to facilitate data interchange between the participants in the USA drug development process31 and is the basis for the HL7 standard.32 A drawback to this form of data storage is a paucity of standards for specialty-based ontologies and schemas.33 Presently, accompanying documentation is required for interpreting the data. Other data formats were considered, including HL7 and CDA but these were considered too complicated for many possible users. This is also, presumably, the reason why similar data have previously been made available as images in addition to simple CSV files.27

The datasets are stored in ResearchSpace@Auckland (http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz), the University of Auckland’s institutional repository, along with a brief abstract describing the data. The repository was developed in 2006 by the University of Auckland library; the initial focus was on developing the collection of PhD theses and technical reports published by departments at the University of Auckland. A small number of theses have accompanying datasets, and this has been the catalyst for the development of a small dataset archive within the repository. The physiologic data stored in the two archives described in this paper are the only ones of their type in the ResearchSpace@Auckland repository. The present service for data is at the “zip and ship” level on the DISC-UK DataShare continuum.15 Datasets in ResearchSpace@Auckland are discoverable as descriptive metadata harvested by Google and other search engines in a controlled manner. The use of permanent URLs for each dataset and a robust disaster recovery system reduce the risk of broken links and lost data. There are limitations to this solution; each dataset is stored individually within the wider dataset archive, but it does allow easy dissemination and reuse of data.

A limitation with the approach taken in this work is the lack of a robust quality assurance system. Potential users of the data can only rely on the authors’ description of the data collection methods to make a judgment on the quality of the data. Ideally, there would be an independent review of the data before, during,
and after its collection. This would require substantial resource and limit the available data. A simpler approach would be to enable a rating system for data such that the community of users can make comments and score the dataset. Such a “reputation system” provides some level of confidence for online trading, for example, and may work as a guide for data users.

One important area where community rating is inappropriate is ethics committee review. Both datasets in this paper received ethics committee approval for collection and public sharing. Ethics review boards should be encouraged to embrace the idea of sharing data, provided that confidentiality of participants is assured, to increase the potential value of data collected at considerable expense and sometimes some inconvenience and risk to patients. Open datasets such as the two described in this paper minimize or eliminate these risks and costs, and allow verification of the original study’s analyses, and open the possibility of additional analyses.

Another limitation to the datasets described is that not all the cases contain the same sets of physiologic signals. All cases contain the commonly measured variables (heart rate, blood pressure, etc) but only some cases contain temperature, for example. This is evident from a cursory examination of the dataset and is because of the differences in clinical practice between cases. No attempt was made in the data collection to change clinical practice and so some cases have additional physiologic data.

The physiologic data were recorded directly from the anesthetic machine. However, event data required a researcher to be present as there are no automated methods of ensuring accurate recording of time and dose of drug administrations, for example. The presence of a researcher in data collection adds considerable time and cost to the creation of such datasets. These factors, combined with the removal of data identifying patients or clinicians and the potential utility of the data, were points...
CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated an approach to collecting and storing high-quality datasets from surgical operations under anesthesia. The Anesthetic Shoulder Arthroscopy Cases Dataset was used as comparison data for simulated physiology, and the dataset of records from patients expected to have blood loss was used to validate an EDS. It is conceivable that the data may be reused for other research purposes, such as pharmacodynamic model validation, simulation creation or validation, or work on diagnostic or predictive algorithms.
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