SEVERE preeclampsia (SP) is a multifocal syndrome usually recognized by new-onset hypertension and proteinuria in the second half of pregnancy, responsible each year for 60,000 maternal deaths worldwide. The risk for serious complications such as pulmonary edema, cerebrovascular accidents, coagulopathy, and hemorrhage is 10- to 30-fold higher among parturients with SP. Fluid resuscitation is a key determinant in the management of these parturients. During pregnancy, cardiac output, heart rate, and stroke volume are increased, whereas vascular resistances are decreased. The filling pressure remains unchanged. Peripartum cardiomyopathy is defined as a dilated cardiomyopathy with altered systolic function. It could explain pulmonary edema during eclampsia. However, pulmonary edema may occur despite cardiomyopathy, especially after excessive fluid administration. SP is associated to increased cardiac output.
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Lung Ultrasound Predicts Interstitial Syndrome and Hemodynamic Profile in Parturients with Severe Preeclampsia
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ABSTRACT

Background: The role of lung ultrasound has never been evaluated in parturients with severe preeclampsia. The authors’ first aim was to assess the ability of lung ultrasound to detect pulmonary edema in severe preeclampsia. The second aim was to highlight the relation between B-lines and increased left ventricular end-diastolic pressures.

Methods: This prospective cohort study was conducted in a level-3 maternity during a 12-month period. Twenty parturients with severe preeclampsia were consecutively enrolled. Both lung and cardiac ultrasound examinations were performed before (n = 20) and after delivery (n = 20). Each parturient with severe preeclampsia was compared with a control healthy parturient. Pulmonary edema was determined using two scores: the B-pattern and the Echo Comet Score. Left ventricular end-diastolic pressures were assessed by transthoracic echocardiography.

Results: Lung ultrasound detected interstitial edema in five parturients (25%) with severe preeclampsia. A B-pattern was associated to increased mitral valve early diastolic peak E (116 vs. 90 cm/s; P = 0.05) and to increased E/E’ ratio (9.9 vs. 6.6; P < 0.001). An Echo Comet Score of greater than 25 predicted an increase in filling pressures (E/E’ ratio >9.5) with a sensitivity and specificity of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.69 to 1.00) and 0.82 (95% CI, 0.66 to 0.92), respectively.

Conclusions: In parturients with severe preeclampsia, lung ultrasound detects both pulmonary edema and increased left ventricular end-diastolic pressures. The finding of a B-pattern should restrict the use of fluid. However, these preliminary results are associations from a single sample. They need to be replicated in a larger, definitive study. (Anesthesiology 2014; 120:906-14)
and mild vasoconstriction. The filling pressure is increased and the diastolic function is impaired.

In this setting, the use of noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring is associated with reduced mortality. National guidelines recommend the use of echocardiography to assess cardiac function in SP. Lung ultrasound facilitates the detection of pulmonary edema and the assessment of congestion in acute heart failure. To our knowledge, except few cases, its role in the parturients with SP has not been evaluated. Our first aim was to assess the ability of lung ultrasound to detect pulmonary edema in the parturients with SP. The second aim was to highlight the relation between B-lines and increased left ventricular end-diastolic pressures (LVEDPs) in parturients with SP.

Materials and Methods

Patient Population

A prospective cohort study was conducted in a single level-3 maternity center from December 2011 to December 2012. Due to the preliminary nature of the study, no attempts were made to adjust the associations for the large number of predictors, and to calculate the number of patients needed. As SP remains a rare disease, we decided to conduct the study during a 1-yr period. On the basis of a prior study, we expected at least 15 patients with SP. After the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Société de Réanimation de Langue Française (CE SRLF 11–360, Paris, France) and an informed consent was obtained, 40 parturients with SP were consecutively enrolled during the study period.

The noninclusion criteria were as following: age below 18 yr, SP during the postpartum period, or refusal to participate to the study. In accordance with French guidelines, SP was defined as one or more of the following clinical features: severe hypertension (systolic arterial pressure 160 mmHg and/or diastolic arterial pressure 110 mmHg on two measurements), renal dysfunction (oliguria <500 ml/day, serum creatinine >135 μM, or proteinuria >5 g/day), acute pulmonary edema, epigastric pain, hemolysis, increased liver enzymes, low platelet count syndrome, visual disturbance, severe headache, polykinetic osteotendinous reflexes, eclampsia, platelet count less than 100 g/l, retroplacental hematoma, or fetal complications.

As soon as the parturient was admitted to delivery room, a certified operator performed both lung ultrasound and echocardiography. This procedure was repeated after delivery. Hence, 40 ultrasonic examinations were performed in the 20 parturients with SP. In the cohort of 20 consecutive healthy parturients, lung ultrasound was performed before delivery according to our local guidelines. Healthy parturients were defined as those of American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1 to 2 without illness, vasoactive medication, multipare gestation, and uterine or placental abnormalities. This healthy cohort served as controls for the antepartum lung ultrasound of the parturients with SP.

At admission, we recorded the following clinical variables: age, gestational age, prior pregnancies, prior history of preeclampsia, body mass index, American Society of Anesthesiologists score, clinical signs of severity, maternal or fetal complications, type of delivery, and type of treatment. Baseline systolic arterial pressure and diastolic arterial pressure were obtained in supine position with a brachial cuff. Heart rate was recorded (Intellivue MP 70; Philips Medical System, Boeblingen, Germany). Pulmonary edema was a clinical diagnosis consisting on a worsening dyspnea and orthopnea along with signs of respiratory compromise (tachypnea, auditory crackles and rales, and hypoxemia). The following biological data were obtained: hemoglobin, platelets, plasma creatinine levels, urate, and urine protein level.

Ultrasound Protocol

Ultrasound assessment was performed with parturient in the supine position using a FUJIFILM Sonosite M-turbo with a P21x 5-1 MHz cardiac transducer for echocardiography with two-dimensional, M-mode, color-flow, continuous, pulsed wave and tissue Doppler imaging. A C60x 5-2 MHz convex transducer was used for lung ultrasound (SonoSite, Bothell, WA). Parturients rested in supine position for at least 10 min before each measurement. Ultrasonography images were stored, converted to Digital Images and Communications in Medicine format, and analyzed off-line. The average values during three consecutive measures were considered for the analyses.

Alveolo-interstitial syndrome was assessed by the measurement of multiple B-lines or “comet tails.” B-lines are defined as discrete laser-like vertical hyperechoic reverberation artifacts that arise from the pleural line extend to the bottom of the screen without fading, and move synchronously with lung sliding. Multiple B-lines 7 mm apart are caused by thickened interlobular septa characterizing interstitial edema. In contrast, B-lines 3 mm or less apart are caused by “ground-glass” areas characterizing alveolar edema. Hyperechoic horizontal artifacts arising from pleural line are called A-lines. Lung consolidation is defined as a subpleural echo-poor region or one with tissue-like echotexture. Pleural effusion is characterized as an anechoic space between parietal and visceral pleura and the presence of respiratory movement of the lung within the effusion (“sinusoid sign,” when using B-mode). Lung ultrasound examination was performed in less than 5 min and was conducted according to three specific methods: (1) the eight-region technique, (2) the 28-rib interspace technique, and (3) the 12-region technique. The eight-region sonographic technique divided each hemithorax in four areas: two anterior areas and two lateral areas. The presence of three or more B-lines in a longitudinal plane between two ribs defined a positive region. Two or more positive regions per side suggested a B-pattern. A A-pattern was defined by less than two positive regions per side.

The Echo Comet Score (ECS) was obtained by the 28-rib interspaces technique dividing the chest wall in
12 areas on the left side (from the second to the fourth intercostal space) and 16 (from the second to the fifth intercostal space) on the right anterior and lateral hemithorax. The sum of B-lines found on each scanning site (from 0 to 10) yields a semiquantifying score (over 280), denoting the extravascular fluid in the lung.

The lung ultrasound score was obtained by scanning 12-rib interspaces with the probe longitudinally applied perpendicular to the wall. Each hemithorax was divided in six areas: two anterior areas, two lateral areas, and two posterior areas. The anterior chest wall (zone 1) was delineated from the parasternal to the anterior axillary line and was divided into upper and lower halves, from the clavicle to the third intercostal space and from the third to the diaphragm. The lateral area (zone 2) was delineated from the anterior to the posterior axillary line and was divided into upper and basal halves. The posterior area (zone 3) was considered as the zone beyond the posterior axillary line. The sum of B-lines found on each scanning site (0: absence; 1: B7 lines: multiple B-lines 7 mm apart; 2: B3 lines: multiple B 3 mm apart; 3: consolidation) yields a score from 0 to 36.

Left ventricular systolic function was visually estimated by “eyeball” ejection fraction of the left ventricular fractional area change from the apical four chambers view.21,22 First, by using pulse wave Doppler, we recorded the early mitral flow peak velocity (E wave) and the late mitral flow peak velocity (A wave). Diastolic function was defined by the E/A ratio. Second, by using tissue Doppler imaging, we recorded the early diastolic mitral annulus displacement velocity (E’ wave). Consequently, we calculated the “early mitral flow peak velocity to early diastolic mitral annulus displacement velocity” (E/E’) ratio that correlates closely to LVEDP.10,23–27 Preload dependency was defined as a variability of subaortic velocity–time integral blood flow (ΔVTI) greater than 12% after passive leg raising test, whereas preload independency was defined by a ΔVTI less than 12%, according to previous studies.13

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using R-Project 2.14 for GNU Linux Ubuntu, Canonical Group Limited (London, United Kingdom). For continuous and ordinal variables, data were expressed as median with interquartile range (25 to 75% quartile). For dichotomous variables, percentages were calculated. Comparisons were performed with the Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. Comparisons of percentages were performed with Fisher exact test. Discrimination of values was assessed with the receiver operating characteristic analysis. The correlation metric was tested using Pearson test.

The receiver operating characteristic curves and their area under curve were computed and displayed with the package pROC.28 Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, positive likelihood ratio (LHR), negative LHR, and rate of good classification were also computed.

Inter- and intraobserver variability was assessed on the ECS and the B-pattern score by two independent observers (C.C. and L.Z.) in 10 consecutive cases. Interobserver variability was calculated as the ratio of the difference between the values obtained by each observer (expressed as absolute value) divided by the average of the values. Intraobserver variability was calculated using two sets of measurement in one patient. Then, the ratio of the differences between the values obtained by the same observer (expressed as absolute value) divided by the average of the two values was calculated. Inter- and intraobserver reproducibility considered for E/E’ ratio and baseline subaortic VTI was that calculated in a previous study.13

**Results**

**Comparison of the Antepartum Pulmonary Pattern in Parturients with SP versus Healthy Parturients**

Six of 20 parturients (30%) with SP had preterm births (<34 weeks). Three fetal deaths (15%) were reported. Eclampsia, hemorrhage, and acute pulmonary edema occurred in two (10%), two (10%), and four (20%) cases, respectively (table 1). The major independent risk factor identified for developing SP was the body mass index (29 vs. 22 kg/m²; P = 0.009). As compared with healthy parturients, the parturients with SP had increased ECS (31 vs. 3; P = 0.02), increased B-pattern (25 vs. 0%; P = 0.047), increased lung ultrasound score (7 vs. 1; P < 0.001), and increased rate of posterior basal lung consolidation (35 vs. 0%; P = 0.01). With respect to diastolic function, the parturients with SP had increased E wave velocity (97 vs. 79 cm/s; P = 0.03) and E/E’ ratio (7.9 vs. 6.6; P = 0.04). Four parturients (20%) with SP had E/E’ ratio greater than 9.5, whereas no healthy parturient had this profile (P = 0.03). Velocity–time index values were higher in the parturients with SP compared with the velocity–time index values in the healthy parturients (21 vs. 17 cm; P = 0.002; table 2).

**Comparison between Lung Ultrasound Pattern and LVEDP**

We conducted 40 ultrasound examinations during the study period in the 20 parturients with SP (20 antepartum and 20 postpartum). An A-pattern was found in 28 examinations (70%) and was associated to a E/E’ ratio less than 9.5 in 27 cases (96%). The 12 examinations (30%) showing a B-pattern were associated to reduced lateral E’ wave velocity (10.4 vs. 12.6 cm/s; P = 0.03), higher E (116 vs. 90 cm/s; P = 0.05), and higher E/E’ ratio (9.9 vs. 6.6; P < 0.001; table 3). We found a linear correlation between E/E’ ratio and the number of B-lines quantified by the ECS (r = 0.66; P < 0.001). Similar relation was found between E and the ECS (r = 0.36; P = 0.018). Increased LVEDP (E/E’ ratio >9.5) was associated to increased ECS (95 vs. 20; P < 0.001; fig. 1).
The prediction of E/E’ ratio of greater than 9.5 by ECS had sensitivity of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.70 to 0.98) and specificity of 0.82 (95% CI, 0.66 to 0.92), respectively. The test showed an area under curve of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81 to 0.99) (fig. 2A). We completed the analysis by representing positive and negative LHRs based on evaluation of inconclusive limits. Figure 2B shows inconclusive limits of lung ultrasound score, defined as sensitivity and specificity above 90%.29,30 The best cutoff value of ECS was 25. This threshold was associated to a positive predictive value of 0.57 (95% CI, 0.28 to 0.82), negative predictive value of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.87 to 1.00), positive LHR of 5.33, negative LHR at 0.0, and Youden index at 0.81. Different threshold values were tested to assess the performance of ECS (see table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B22).

The ECS was correlated with ΔVTI values ($r = 0.54$; $P = 0.001$). A B-pattern was associated to reduced ΔVTI ratios during passive leg rising (2.3 vs. 11.4%; $P = 0.005$) (table 3). The need for oxygen support was higher in the B-pattern group compared with the need for oxygen support in the A-pattern group (67 vs. 18%; $P = 0.002$).

**Intra- and Interobserver Variability**

The intra- and interobserver variability was of 4 and 5% for the ECS, respectively, whereas the reproducibility for E/E’ ratios was of 4.6% (2.6 to 4.9%) and 8.0% (6.7 to 15%).

**Discussion**

The striking finding of this preliminary study was that lung ultrasound detected a B-pattern in 25% of parturients with SP. The incidence was higher than that of clinical edema reported in the literature.31,32 No B-line was observed in healthy parturients, whereas a B-pattern was found in asymptomatic parturients with SP. Interstitial edema is a clinically silent step preceding alveolar edema.33 As showed in a previous study,34 ultrasound lung comets detect extravascular lung water accumulation early in the course of lung injury, whereas the ratio of arterial oxygen pressure to...
Table 2. Lung Ultrasound and Echocardiography in Parturients with Severe Preeclampsia and Healthy Parturients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parturients with Preeclampsia (n = 20)</th>
<th>Healthy Parturients (n = 20)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung ultrasound</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo Comet Score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>31 [0–42]</td>
<td>3 [0–1.2]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Pattern, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung ultrasound score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>7 [1–10]</td>
<td>1 [0–1]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensations, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Echocardiography</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyeball ejection fraction, %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>65 [60–65]</td>
<td>65 [65–65]</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT ms, median (IQR)</td>
<td>205 [182–214]</td>
<td>208 [194–210]</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E velocity cm/s, median (IQR)</td>
<td>97 [80–110]</td>
<td>79 [70–85]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A velocity cm/s, median (IQR)</td>
<td>80 [65–92]</td>
<td>60 [47–71]</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.1 [1.0–1.5]</td>
<td>1.4 [1.2–1.6]</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio &lt;1, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E' velocity &lt;10 cm/s, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E' ratio &gt;9.5, n (%)</td>
<td>7.9 [5.9–8.9]</td>
<td>6.6 [5.8–7.0]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preload dependency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI cm, median (IQR)</td>
<td>21 [19–23]</td>
<td>17 [16–19]</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔVTI %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>9.9 [2.0–19]</td>
<td>8.0 [4.4–12]</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic exclusion, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = late diastolic velocities recorded by pulse wave Doppler; DT = deceleration time; E = early diastolic velocities recorded by pulse wave Doppler; E' = early diastolic wave velocity recorded by tissue Doppler imaging; IQR = interquartile range; VTI = velocity–time integral; ΔVTI = variability of velocity–time integral after passive leg raising test.

Table 3. Association between Lung Ultrasound and Echocardiography Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B-Pattern (n = 12)</th>
<th>A-Pattern (n = 28)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min, median (IQR)</td>
<td>94 [85–104]</td>
<td>90 [82–107]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic arterial pressure, mmHg, median (IQR)</td>
<td>146 [140–160]</td>
<td>150 [144–163]</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic arterial pressure, mmHg, median (IQR)</td>
<td>87 [72–90]</td>
<td>91 [88–97]</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO₂, %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>97 [94–99]</td>
<td>98 [97–100]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuresis, ml/h, median (IQR)</td>
<td>95 [60–200]</td>
<td>70 [50–120]</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical acute pulmonary congestion, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenotherapy, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (67)</td>
<td>5 (18)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid loading, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (58)</td>
<td>17 (61)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Echocardiography</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT ms, median (IQR)</td>
<td>179 [144–217]</td>
<td>205 [180–215]</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E velocity cm/s, median (IQR)</td>
<td>116 [90–129]</td>
<td>90 [74–102]</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A velocity cm/s, median (IQR)</td>
<td>69 [59–89]</td>
<td>75 [64–96]</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.6 [1.1–2.0]</td>
<td>1.1 [0.9–1.4]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E' velocity cm/s, median (IQR)</td>
<td>10.4 [9.0–12.6]</td>
<td>12.6 [11.0–15.0]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E' ratio, median (IQR)</td>
<td>9.9 [8.3–12.4]</td>
<td>6.6 [5.5–8.0]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E' &gt;9.5, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (58)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI cm, median (IQR)</td>
<td>23 [20–26]</td>
<td>19 [18–23]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔVTI %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>2.3 [0.0–7.5]</td>
<td>11 [5.3–19]</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔVTI &lt;12%, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
<td>18 (52)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = late diastolic velocities recorded by pulse wave Doppler; DT = deceleration time; E = early diastolic velocities recorded by pulse wave Doppler; E' = early diastolic wave velocity recorded by tissue Doppler imaging; IQR = interquartile range; SpO₂ = oxygen saturation; VTI = velocity–time integral; ΔVTI = variability of velocity–time integral after passive leg raising test.
inspired oxygen fraction is not impaired. In our study, the detection of B-lines was associated to a slight deterioration of arterial oxygenation easily treated by exogenous oxygen. Thus, lung ultrasound detects lung edema early before severe deterioration of arterial oxygenation.34

However, sonographic B-lines have been related to radiographic alveolar-interstitial syndrome,35,36 lung water score on computed tomography scan,37 extra vascular lung water,38 and diastolic dysfunction.39 In nonpregnant patients, the sensitivity and specificity of lung ultrasound to detect pulmonary edema are 98 and 88%, respectively.16 Guidelines stated that “because of their low sensitivity and specificity, the chest X-ray should not form the primary basis for determining the specific cardiac abnormality responsible for the development of heart failure.”40 The International Evidence-Based Recommendations for Point-of-Care lung ultrasound confirm that lung ultrasound is superior to chest radiograph for diagnosing interstitial syndrome.18

In our study, we noted lung consolidations in 35% of parturients with SP. Due to the strategy of radiation exposure limitation, chest radiographs and lung computed tomography were not available. Further studies using lung ultrasound could identify the prevalence, physiopathology, and prognostic of these consolidations in parturients with SP. Ultrasonographic signs such as lung pulse (absent lung sliding with the perception of heart activity at the pleural line), dynamic air bronchogram, or intraconsolidation shunt (persistent regional blood flow) can facilitate the discrimination between atelectasis (obstructive consolidation) and inflammatory consolidation.41–44

Fig. 1. Echo Comet Score (ECS) in parturients with severe preeclampsia and increased filling pressures (E/E’ ratio >9.5) compared with parturients with severe preeclampsia and low filling pressures (E/E’ ratio <9.5). ECS was increased (95 vs. 20; P < 0.001) in the group with increased left ventricular end-diastolic pressure. There was a linear correlation between E/E’ ratio values and the number of B-lines quantified by the ECS (r = 0.66; P < 0.001). E/E’ = early mitral flow peak velocity to early diastolic mitral annulus displacement velocity ratio.

Fig. 2. Echo Comet Score (ECS). (A) Receiver operating characteristics curve. ECS >25 predicted an increase in filling pressures (E/E’ ratio >9.5) with a sensitivity and specificity of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.69–0.98) and 0.82 (95% CI, 0.66–0.92), positive predictive value of 0.59 (95% CI, 0.33 to 0.82), negative predictive value of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.89 to 0.98), positive likelihood ratio of 5.57, negative likelihood ratio of 0.01, and rate of correct classification of 0.82. The area under the receiver operating characteristics analysis curve (AUC) for ECS = 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81 to 0.99) was used to evaluate the relationship between E/E’ ratio and the number of B-lines. (B) Inconclusive limits of lung ultrasound score, defined as sensitivity and specificity above 90%. E/E’ = early mitral flow peak velocity to early diastolic mitral annulus displacement velocity ratio.
With respect to our preliminary findings, an ECS greater than 90 and a B-pattern predicted E/E’ ratios greater than 9.5, that is, increased LVEDP. We used lateral mitral annulus tissue Doppler index because this signal is less affected by loading conditions.55–57 In addition, recent studies showed that lateral tissue Doppler signals had the best correlations with LVEDP in the patients with normal ejection fractions.23,48,49 In our study, the E/E’ ratio was lower than that commonly associated with increased LVEDP in adults with normal ejection fraction.23 Nevertheless, previous studies suggested that, during pregnancy, the threshold values may be lower than 12.2,50,51 In previous studies, the pulmonary A-pattern is associated to a low LVEDP. This was related to the accuracy of lung ultrasound to detect early every interstitial edema.33 In critically ill patients, a B-pattern cannot differentiate hydrostatic edema and acute lung injury.33 In the parturients with SP, a B-pattern is associated to hydrostatic edema (i.e., increased LVEDP).

In presence of a B-pattern, we found an increased VTI. As VTI directly reflects stroke volume, our results suggest that B-pattern is associated to increased cardiac outputs. This can be due to an excess of fluid administration.52 Thus, fluid should not be administered to the parturients with SP developing a B-pattern.53 In addition, 83% of B-patterns are associated with a ΔVTI less than 12% during passive leg rising, which predicts preload independency.13 Due to the limited power of our study, further investigations should confirm the correlation between B-pattern and preload independency in parturients with SP. One can suggest that a B-pattern is related to peripartum cardiomyopathy. The 2008 ESC guidelines pointed out that peripartum cardiomyopathy is a dilated cardiomyopathy characterized by an unexplained left ventricular systolic dysfunction.4 In our study, as LVEF was evaluated at 65%, a B-pattern during SP probably reflects an excess of fluid administration.

The intra- and interobserver variability is small, respectively, of 4 and 5% for the ECS,55,56 whereas the reproducibility for E/E’ ratios is of 4.6% (2.6 to 4.9%) and 8.0% (6.7 to 15 %), respectively.13 The assessment of B-pattern is reproducible without any variability. Lung ultrasound does not require specific devices.54 Of interest, during pregnancy, lung ultrasound reduces radiation exposure.55 As compared with echocardiography, the determination of LVEDP is easier with lung ultrasound.56 The learning curve for B-line assessment is shorter than 6 weeks.57 For assessing an interstitial syndrome, lung ultrasound should probably be considered as a basic technique.58–60

We have to acknowledge several limitations. We included only 20 parturients with SP during the study period. Actually, SP is a rare disease, making it difficult the implementation of randomized clinical trials. The large number of unadjusted inferences is a weakness of our study. They are presented as exact P value without regard to type-I error. Within this limitation, our preliminary findings suggest that lung ultrasound is an interesting tool for real-time hemodynamic assessment. The feasibility of the procedure was of 100%. However, lung ultrasound has some intrinsic limitations. Physical features, such as obesity, can hamper the ultrasound examination.61 Furthermore, potential adverse ultrasound biological effects have been recently described.62 Despite this finding, ultrasound remain widely used during pregnancy. In addition, operators were not blinded although they were not involved in the management of parturients.

The choice of E/E’ ratio to evaluate LVEDP is a matter of debate. In patients with normal systolic function, the use of E/E’ ratio is recommended to evaluate LVEDP.23 Adding pulmonary venous flow to E/E’ ratio may facilitate LVEDP assessment. However, the assessment of pulmonary venous flow using a transthoracic approach is difficult.53,64 The transesophageal view provides a fine evaluation of pulmonary venous flow,65,66 but its use is limited in conscious parturient. In contrast, the E/E’ ratio is easily performed using a transthoracic approach.67–70

In conclusion, in this single sample of parturients with SP, lung ultrasound is an easy tool to detect pulmonary edema and increased LVEDP. This implies that the use of intravenous fluids in parturients with SP developing a B-pattern should be discouraged. Due to the limitations of this preliminary study, further large investigations are needed to confirm the interest of implementation of this noninvasive monitoring technique in the management of parturients with SP.
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