

3. Neal JM, Bernardis CM, Butterworth JF IV, Di Gregorio G, Drasner K, Hejtmanek MR, Mulroy MF, Rosenquist RW, Weinberg GL: ASRA practice advisory on local anesthetic systemic toxicity. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2010; 35:152–61

(Accepted for publication July 23, 2014.)

Did Preoperative Fixation on Choice of Anesthetic Confound Assessment of Alternative Techniques?

To the Editor:

We commend Vadi *et al.*¹ for their valuable case report of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) and for highlighting human factors that likely contributed to the poor outcome. At the risk of displaying outcome or hindsight bias, we would like to highlight two further educational aspects of the case. One possible scenario is that in deciding on technique the anesthesiologist was fixated on using a peripheral nerve block and discounted the relative merits of alternative techniques. It should be emphasized that for this patient, there were no contraindications to general or neuraxial anesthesia. The blocks necessitated a relatively large total dose of local anesthetic. Moreover, low body weight and site of injection are recognized, independent risk factors for LAST²; notably, that site of injection is associated with high serum levels³ of local anesthetic and increased risk of LAST.⁴

The authors' indicated that they thought the presentation of LAST was atypical. However, the presentation and onset of LAST are extremely variable. Loss of consciousness is a known symptom of LAST and may or may not be preceded by prodromal features.⁵ Recognizing such clinical variability can aid in the prompt diagnosis and management of LAST.

The incorporation and discussion of human factors in the case scenario is particularly welcome. The value of such nontechnical skills are important components of anesthesiology practice and particularly pivotal in crisis resource management (CRM).⁶ Lack of implementation of CRM might have contributed to the delay in calling for help and secondarily to the poor outcome. For instance, as a first step in CRM, calling for aid and engaging helpers in a dialogue assists in critical decision making and might have included saying something like: "I think this patient may have local anesthetic toxicity, but I am unsure; it is unusual, she has many medical problems, what do you think?" This would encourage a structured discussion of the differential diagnosis and treatment priorities. One important by-product of CRM is that all clinicians should feel empowered to make critical treatment decisions. CRM applies to many scenarios in anesthesiology and should be considered important universally, especially in crisis management scenarios like LAST.

In sum, we believe attention to systems issues including consideration of all management options and timely use of

CRM can reduce the risk of LAST specifically and regional anesthesia in general.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Michael J. Barrington, M.B., B.S., F.A.N.Z.C.A., Ph.D.,
Guy L. Weinberg, M.D. St. Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne Medical School, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia (M.J.B.). michael.barrington@svhm.org.au

References

- Vadi MG, Patel N, Stiegler MP: Local anesthetic systemic toxicity after combined psoas compartment-sciatic nerve block: Analysis of decision factors and diagnostic delay. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2014; 120:987–96
- Barrington MJ, Kluger R: Ultrasound guidance reduces the risk of local anesthetic systemic toxicity following peripheral nerve blockade. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2013; 38:289–97
- Tucker GT, Moore DC, Bridenbaugh PO, Bridenbaugh LD, Thompson GE: Systemic absorption of mepivacaine in commonly used regional block procedures. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1972; 37:277–87
- Drasner K: Local anesthetic systemic toxicity: A historical perspective. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2010; 35:162–6
- Di Gregorio G, Neal JM, Rosenquist RW, Weinberg GL: Clinical presentation of local anesthetic systemic toxicity: A review of published cases, 1979 to 2009. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2010; 35:181–7
- Gaba DM: Anaesthesiology as a model for patient safety in health care. *BMJ* 2000; 320:785–8

(Accepted for publication July 23, 2014.)

In Reply:

We thank Drs. Petrar and Montemurro for their letter regarding our case scenario¹ and for outlining the impact of local anesthetic dosing on this case. These considerations are all important and relevant; the subject of this case scenario may indeed have received a relative overdose of local anesthetic. Although local anesthetic dosing is certainly germane to a discussion of systemic toxicity itself, we do not feel the dosing is central to the purpose of this article. Accepting that the case was performed in the manner it was with the dosing and technique used, there is still much to learn from this case. Because diagnostic error is now recognized as a critical problem of huge clinical and financial consequence by safety experts and both the Joint Commission and the American Medical Association, occurring with unacceptable frequency across all medical specialties,² we chose to focus on the cognitive factors that impacted the clinical decision making. These lessons are broadly applicable to all decision-making situations, well beyond the reach of this particular clinical situation.

We also thank Drs. Barrington and Weinberg for their comments on the possibility that fixation error occurred, and the importance of crisis resource management. The