

reasons, the decreased compensation for female anesthesiologists in the study may have a plausible explanation that was not proffered in the article.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Evan G. Pivalizza, M.D., Sam D. Gumbert, M.D., Srikanth Sridhar, M.D., Semhar J. Ghebremichael, M.D., Carlos Artime, M.D., William H. Daily, M.D.** University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas (E.G.P.). [evan.g.pivalizza@uth.tmc.edu](mailto:evan.g.pivalizza@uth.tmc.edu)

### Reference

1. Baird M, Daugherty L, Kumar KB, Arifkhanova A: Regional and gender differences and trends in the anesthesiologist workforce. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2015; 123:997–1012

(Accepted for publication January 26, 2016.)

### In Reply:

We thank Pivalizza *et al.* for the valuable input based on their experiences that they provided on our original article.<sup>1</sup> We agree that one of the potential drivers of gender differences in earnings could be the types of hours worked and the costs and benefits associated with that type of work. Given that after-hour, weekend, and holiday time may be less desirable for many anesthesiologists, employers may need to pay a premium wage to staff their facilities during these times. And, as you argue, it may be the case that women are less likely to work these hours (based on preference or necessity), and this tendency to work traditional hours may account for meaningful differences in earnings.

We are limited by the data we collected in the survey and are therefore unable to examine all of the potential explanations for the gender earnings gap. We also attempted to limit speculation on aspects of the gender wage gap we could not measure by noting that some of the gap may be driven by individual preferences or constraints female anesthesiologists have, while some of it may be employer-driven. We did try to account for the types of facilities in which hours were worked and the percentage of time allocated to various types of care to account for some of the potential difference in the value of the time anesthesiologists are working. Unfortunately, we did not collect data on the times of day or days worked, so we cannot directly test your hypothesis. While we understand that your hypothesis is focused on the timing of call hours rather than on the total number of hours, we do have average weekly call hours and average call hours spent actively providing care. A quick check indicates that including average weekly call hours in the wage regression does reduce the gender earnings gap by \$329 (please refer to the coefficient shown in table 7 in our article<sup>1</sup>) or 0.5% of the total earnings gap.

Future research should continue to explore the important drivers of earnings differences for physicians beyond what we were able to examine in our study.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Matthew Baird, Ph.D., Lindsay Daugherty, Ph.D., Krishna B. Kumar, Ph.D.** RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California (L.D.). [lداugherty@rand.org](mailto:lداugherty@rand.org)

### Reference

1. Baird M, Daugherty L, Kumar KB, Arifkhanova A: Regional and gender differences and trends in the anesthesiologist workforce. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2015; 123:997–1012

(Accepted for publication January 26, 2016.)

## Specific or Nonspecific? There Is Very Little Light at the End of the Tunnel

### To the Editor:

The article by Fragiadakis *et al.*<sup>1</sup> is an interesting attempt in an everlasting quest to establish reliable markers for postsurgical recovery. The authors hypothesized that by testing presurgical immunologic parameters, individuals with expected delayed recovery can be identified. Whole blood was stimulated with several ligands aimed at mimicking an immunologic environment in blood during surgery followed by a correlational study linking the activation of several pathways to the psychosomatic measures of recovery (fatigue, pain, and functional impairment). In conclusion, the authors showed an impressive correlation between the activation of nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) and studied clinical endpoints.

Activation of the immune system is often a nonspecific act. NF-κB is one of the most ubiquitous proteins activated by virtually any stressor or insult to the immune system. It would be expected that NF-κB-mediated pathway will be activated during surgery-induced stress. The study confirmed a pretty well-established link between psychosomatic markers of well-being and generalized systemic inflammatory response heralded by activation of NF-κB. However, the nature of the study precludes a final determination that suggested pathways are truly a cause, not a bystander, of the impaired recovery. Another important question is whether any manipulation lowering the activation of NF-κB benefits patients and speeds up postsurgical recovery? The authors also pointed out that most of the immunologic pathways are interconnected; thus, affecting one of them will have widespread consequences. Furthermore, how much can the activation of the immune system be decreased or increased by manipulation of NF-κB or Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 system?<sup>2</sup> The authors described a three- to five-fold difference between individuals with respect to the level of activation. Such a wide range of responses can affect statistical correlational analysis and