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ABSTRACT

Obstetric anesthesia has evolved over the course of its history to encompass comprehensive aspects of maternal care, ranging from cesarean delivery anesthesia and labor analgesia to maternal resuscitation and patient safety. Anesthesiologists are concerned with maternal and neonatal outcomes, and with preventing and managing complications that may present during childbirth. The current review will focus on recent advances in obstetric anesthesia, including labor anesthesia and analgesia, cesarean delivery anesthesia and analgesia, the effects of maternal anesthesia on breastfeeding and fever, and maternal safety. The impact of these advances on maternal and neonatal outcomes is discussed. Past and future progress in this field will continue to have significant implications on the health of women and children. (Anesthesiology 2018; 129:192-215)
Obstetric anesthesiologists have contributed to interdisciplinary initiatives advancing maternal safety (fig. 1). Randomized control trials and impact studies improved understanding that neuraxial labor analgesia does not independently influence the risk for cesarean delivery. Post-partum pain management has improved, and multimodal strategies have been enhanced such that analgesic efficacy is maximized while maternal and fetal side effects are minimized. Anesthesia effects on lactation, maternal fever, neonatal acid-base status, and cognitive development continue to be explored. Safer care systems emphasize low-dose neuraxial anesthesia, hemorrhage preparedness and management, and team crisis simulation. In this review, we focus on obstetric anesthesia advancements over the last two decades, with emphasis on the past decade. Continuing progress will have important consequences to obstetric medicine, anesthesiology, and perioperative patient care.

**Labor Analgesia and Anesthesia**

**Methods of Labor Analgesia**

**Neuraxial Analgesia: Initiation and Maintenance.** Labor neuraxial analgesia is usually initiated by one of two methods: epidural or combined spinal-epidural analgesia (fig. 2). Combined spinal-epidural analgesia is often used for initiation of analgesia in advanced labor because of rapid onset of effective analgesia. Combined spinal-epidural analgesia has faster onset (2 to 5 min) than epidural analgesia (15 to 20 min), greater uniformity in sensory blockade, and improved sacral dermatome coverage. While some studies report greater satisfaction and sense of control associated with combined spinal-epidural analgesia, the meta-analyses do not support this observation. Some experts have argued that confirmation of correct epidural catheter placement is delayed following initiation of combined spinal-epidural analgesia; however, a 2016 study suggests that may not be the case, and favors combined spinal-epidural analgesia for earlier detection of failed epidural analgesia. Other studies have shown that epidural catheters sited as part of a combined spinal-epidural technique fail less often, both during labor and for intrapartum cesarean delivery. A 2014 meta-analysis did not find a definitive benefit of combined spinal-epidural analgesia for catheter replacement rates, supplemental epidural dosing, and epidural vein cannulation; although the meta-analysis was limited by significant between-study heterogeneity. A higher risk of uterine tachysystole after combined spinal-epidural analgesia than epidural analgesia has been reported and may be attributable to the rapid decrease in circulating catecholamines (which have a tocolytic effect) that accompanies rapid-onset of labor analgesia.

A modification of the combined spinal-epidural technique is dural puncture epidural analgesia. In this technique, the epidural space is identified and the dura is punctured with a 25-gauge or smaller pencil-point spinal needle, but no intrathecal medication is injected; an epidural catheter is threaded in the routine manner. Dural puncture epidural analgesia may be associated with improved sacral analgesia compared to epidural analgesia, with less pruritus, hypotension, supplemental epidural doses, and uterine tachysystole than combined spinal-epidural analgesia. A likely mechanism is the dural hole acts as a conduit to enhance epidural medication translocation into the intrathecal space, allowing enhanced coverage of sacral nerve roots while avoiding the side effects associated with conventional combined spinal-epidural analgesia. Dural...
puncture epidural analgesia may be a viable technique for patients with a suspected difficult airway or failed epidural labor analgesia, for whom confirmation of correct epidural needle placement is critical, without incurring the side effects of spinal medication dosing.

Modern labor analgesia favors initiation and maintenance of analgesia with low-dose local anesthesia and opioid solutions to minimize risks of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (unintentional intravascular injection) or high- or total-spinal anesthesia (unintentional intrathecal injection). These low-dose strategies also minimize hemodynamic effects and placental drug transfer.¹⁶ Dilute local anesthetics reduce the risk for motor block which may contribute to instrumental delivery and postpartum nerve palsies.¹⁷ Initiation of contemporary labor epidural analgesia combines low-dose, long-acting amide local anesthetics, typically a bolus of 5 to 15 ml bupivacaine, 0.0625% to 0.125%, with a lipid soluble opioid, typically fentanyl 50 to 100 µg or sufentanil 5 to 10 µg.¹⁸ The drugs used to initiate combined spinal-epidural analgesia may vary based on the stage of labor. An opioid-only intrathecal dose (e.g., fentanyl 25 µg) is highly effective

---

Fig. 2. Epidural analgesia technique (A) versus combined spinal-epidural technique (B). In epidural analgesia, the epidural space is located using an epidural needle, by a loss-of-resistance technique. A 19- to 20-gauge epidural catheter is threaded into the space and used to dose medications. In combined spinal-epidural analgesia, the epidural space is located in the same fashion, and prior to threading the epidural catheter, a small 25- to 27-gauge spinal needle is introduced through the epidural needle to puncture the dura and to bolus a single dose of local anesthetic with or without opioid. The spinal needle is removed and a 19- to 20-gauge epidural catheter is threaded for subsequent dosing. Figure reprinted with permission from Eltzschig HK, Lieberman ES, Camann WR: Regional anesthesia and analgesia for labor and delivery. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:319–32.
in treating pain associated with the first stage of labor, although it is accompanied by a high incidence of pruritus; a combination of intrathecal local anesthetic and lipid soluble opioid (e.g., bupivacaine 1.25 to 2.5 mg and fentanyl 15 µg) effectively treats somatic pain of the late first and second stages of labor. Epicural analgesia is usually maintained with an infusion of bupivacaine 0.05% to 0.1% with fentanyl 1.5 to 3 µg/ml or sufentanil 0.2 to 0.33 µg/ml at a rate of 8 to 15 ml/h into the epidural space. Combining local anesthetic with lipid soluble opioid allows for profound visceral and somatic analgesia. The synergy between opioid and local anesthetic medications allows dose-reduction of both drugs, minimizing side-effects.

**Continuous Epidural Infusion versus Programed Intermittent Bolus.** Prior to the advent of infusion pump technology, maintenance of labor analgesia occurred by manual intermittent boluses throughout labor. A major disadvantage of this maintenance strategy was that analgesia would eventually regress, leading to recurrence of pain, requiring another manual bolus; thus, analgesia was episodic. With the advent of infusion pumps, continuous epidural infusion techniques became popular. This technique resulted in more stable analgesia and reduced supplemental epidural dosing for breakthrough pain compared to manual intermittent bolus strategies. As technology improved, patient-administered bolusing (patient-controlled epidural analgesia) was introduced. Evidence from randomized trials support that analgesia is superior when patient-controlled epidural analgesia is used with a background infusion compared to without a background infusion. Patient-controlled epidural analgesia is preferable to fixed-rate continuous epidural infusion because of lower total local anesthetic dose consumption, lower incidence of motor blockade, and reduced need for anesthesia provider interventions. Settings for patient-controlled epidural analgesia are variable, but generally include a background infusion of bupivacaine 0.05% to 0.1% with fentanyl 1.5 to 3 µg/ml or sufentanil 0.2 to 0.33 µg/ml at 5 to 8 ml/h, a bolus of 5 to 10 ml, and a lockout interval of 10 to 20 min.

Programed intermittent epidural bolus has been recently investigated for maintenance of labor epidural analgesia. Rather than administering the maintenance dose as a continuous infusion, with or without patient-controlled epidural analgesia, it is administered by the infusion pump programed to deliver boluses of epidural solution at regular intervals. The likely mechanism of improved analgesia is greater medication spread in the epidural space; the epidural catheter is usually sited in a midlumbar epidural interspace, and satisfactory labor analgesia requires coverage of both low-thoracic and sacral dermatomes (fig. 3). One dosing strategy involves a solution of bupivacaine 0.625% with fentanyl 2 µg/ml with an intermittent epidural bolus of 6-ml every 30 min, in addition to patient-controlled epidural analgesia allowing a 5-ml bolus with 10-min lockout. The programed intermittent epidural bolus technique allows maintenance of analgesia with less local anesthetic without impairing maternal analgesia and satisfaction, is associated with fewer supplemental epidural doses (less breakthrough pain), and has reduced risk for motor block and instrumented delivery. In one trial, motor block occurred more frequently (odds ratio 21.2, 95% CI, 4.9 to 129.3, \( P < 0.001 \)) and earlier in women
randomized to receive continuous epidural infusion compared with a programed intermittent epidural bolus to maintain analgesia. Instrumental delivery occurred more frequently in the continuous epidural infusion group (20% vs. 7%, \( P = 0.03 \)). A meta-analysis of nine trials showed lower local anesthetic dose and higher satisfaction scores with programed intermittent epidural bolus. Higher local anesthetic doses may be associated with reduced pelvic floor muscle tone, reduced mobility, impaired Valsalva maneuvers, and risk for instrumental delivery. Administration of local anesthetic by continuous infusion is inherently safer than bolus dosing. Bolus dosing by a human (anesthesia provider or patient) offers safety because the presence of pain suggests that the catheter is not malpositioned in the subarachnoid space. A potential disadvantage of programed intermittent epidural bolus is unintentional high neuroblockade that may accompany catheter migration into the intrathecal space.

Newer equipment now enables use of programed intermittent epidural bolus in clinical practice. The focus of current research is identifying optimal settings for epidural bolus volume and interval, bolus infusion rate, and local anesthetic concentration.

**Systemic Opioids for Labor Analgesia.** Systemic opioids are an alternative option for women for whom neuraxial analgesia may be contraindicated, cannot be achieved (technical failure to place an epidural catheter), or who prefer an alternative method of labor analgesia. A common approach involves fentanyl patient-controlled intravenous analgesia, typically 25 µg every 10 to 15 min, with an hourly lockout of 100 µg. In the past decade, remifentanil patient-controlled intravenous analgesia has gained popularity due to its titratability and short latency (60 to 90 s). However, timing the self-administered bolus dose with the peak of uterine contractions is difficult; the peak analgesic effect typically occurs with the second contraction after the button is pushed, and contraction frequency may be irregular. Because remifentanil is rapidly metabolized by plasma esterases, it is appealing for reduced fetal placental transfer, and for rapid fetal clearance of drug. Remifentanil patient-controlled intravenous analgesia provides reasonable analgesia and maternal satisfaction, but maternal sedation, respiratory depression, and apnea are well-described. In one trial, the risk for maternal oxygen desaturation was significantly higher in women receiving remifentanil compared to fentanyl. Monitoring of respiratory variables (respiratory rate, end-tidal carbon dioxide, pulse oximetry, heart rate, and pulmonary index) has low positive predictive values for surveillance of maternal apnea. Therefore, remifentanil patient-controlled intravenous analgesia should be accompanied by continuous respiratory monitoring; we believe this monitoring is ideally achieved by 1:1 provider observation (nurse, midwife, or anesthesia provider).

Remifentanil patient-controlled intravenous analgesia is not superior to neuraxial labor analgesia techniques. A meta-analysis of five randomized trials found higher pain scores in women receiving remifentanil. However, one randomized trial noted that while pain scores reductions were greater with neuraxial analgesia, patient satisfaction scores were not different. These findings support the repeated observation that patient satisfaction for labor analgesia is not driven solely by reductions in pain intensity. In a 2014 to 2015 survey, only 36% (95% CI, 26 to 46) of academic obstetric units in the United States used remifentanil for labor analgesia, with most doing so less than five times a year.

Compared to remifentanil, fentanyl patient-controlled intravenous analgesia for labor analgesia has a lower rate of maternal sedation and respiratory depression; however, it has a higher rate of neonatal respiratory depression requiring resuscitation at delivery. In one study, 59% of neonates whose mothers used fentanyl compared with 25% for remifentanil patient-controlled intravenous analgesia required resuscitation (odds ratio, 4.33; 95% CI, 1.75 to 10.76). Remifentanil may offer modest analgesic advantage over fentanyl (mean visual analog scale score, remifentanil: 46 mm vs. fentanyl 60 mm, \( P < 0.01 \)).

**Nitrous Oxide.** There is a renewed interest in the United States in nitrous oxide for labor analgesia, although it has been integrated into labor analgesia in other parts of the world (e.g., Europe) for many years. Women who use nitrous oxide report improved maternal satisfaction and coping compared to no analgesia, although its analgesic efficacy is inferior to neuraxial labor analgesia. These findings are not surprising, given that maternal experience is known to be influenced by factors such as a sense of control and ability to participate in decision-making, and is not exclusively influenced by the provision of effective labor analgesia.

Nitrous oxide for labor analgesia has a long history of safe maternal use, although rigorous study is lacking and questions remain regarding neonatal-childhood outcomes and occupational risks of exposure. In experimental models and in some clinical settings, nitrous oxide has been suggested to be neurotoxic and genotoxic, with potential adverse effects on the hematologic and immunologic systems. Several studies have reported no adverse neonatal events of this nature after maternal exposure to nitrous oxide for labor, although these studies have been limited by flaws in study design, conduct, analysis, and reporting. Nitrous oxide is a potent greenhouse gas, although some experts contend that medical use of nitrous oxide has little environmental impact. Occupational exposure (reproductive toxicity) may be a concern if nitrous oxide delivery does not employ robust scavenging equipment.

Nitrous oxide for labor analgesia and neuraxial analgesia result in similar degrees of maternal satisfaction. Its analgesic efficacy exhibits high inter-individual variability. However, interest in increasing women’s choices for labor analgesia and patient satisfaction in United States hospitals makes offering nitrous oxide during labor analgesia an attractive option.
Pharmacogenomics and Pain Genetics. Scientific advancements in genetic medicine will likely allow development of personalized pain management strategies in the future, but our current knowledge is still inadequate for precision labor analgesia. For example, a single nucleotide polymorphism of the β-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1, A118G) may be present in up to 30% of the obstetric population, and is linked to altered responsiveness to neuraxial opioids; the polymorphism increases binding and potency of β-endorphins.44 These properties are linked to later request for analgesia and lower neuraxial fentanyl and sufentanil dose requirements (ED50) in labor, compared to women with the wild-type alleles.44,45 In apparent contrast to these study results are the findings of a study from Asia; women who were homozygous for the A118G polymorphism had increased opioid dose requirements after cesarean delivery, and more breakthrough pain.46 A 2009 meta-analysis of studies of the effect of the OPRM1 A118G polymorphism on pain included studies from North America, Asia, and Europe and found no effect of the polymorphism on opioid dose requirement.47

The influence of genetic polymorphisms on labor progress has been investigated. Terkawi et al. found that polymorphisms in the β-adrenergic receptor gene were linked to labor pain; however, these polymorphisms explained less than 1% of the inter-subject variability.48 Similarly, catechol-O-methyltransferase and oxytocin gene receptor polymorphisms were linked to slower transitions to active labor and slower latent phase of labor.49 While genetic factors will likely not entirely explain inter-individual differences in labor pain and labor progress, continuing advances in pain genetics and pharmacogenetics may contribute to our future ability to provide individualized therapies for labor pain and analgesia.

**Table 1. Challenges to Definitive Investigations on Labor Neuraxial Analgesia Effect on Risk for Instrumental Delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor/Confounder</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density of neuraxial block at second stage of labor</td>
<td>Dense analgesia may: (1) impair maternal expulsive efforts (motor block); (2) impede maternal coordination of expulsive effort with uterine contraction (dense sensory block); (3) excessively relax pelvic floor muscle tone and impair fetal head rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrician practice</td>
<td>None of the trials are blinded, therefore, obstetricians who make the decision to perform an instrumental vaginal delivery are not blinded to group allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrician practice</td>
<td>Obstetricians may be more likely to perform instrumented delivery in a woman with effective second stage analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice type</td>
<td>Trials on this topic have been performed in academic centers, where an obligation to teach instrumental delivery exists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice type</td>
<td>Randomized control trials from academic centers have shown an association between neuraxial analgesia and instrumental delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors influencing degree of neuraxial block</td>
<td>Impact studies (pre-post studies) carried out primarily at military medical centers or other nontraining institutions have failed to find an association between neuraxial analgesia and instrumental delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors influencing degree of neuraxial block</td>
<td>Higher local anesthetic concentrations and higher total doses are linked to higher risk for instrumental delivery; method of neuraxial analgesia maintenance (i.e., continuous infusion, programed intermittent bolus) show variable results for rates of instrumental vaginal delivery, primarily driven by differences in concentration and motor block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of neuraxial analgesia initiation</td>
<td>Comparisons of combined spinal-epidural and epidural techniques for outcome of instrumental delivery have had conflicting results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table based on Wong CA: Epidural and spinal analgesia/Anesthesia for labor and vaginal delivery, Obstetric Anesthesia: Principles and Practice. Edited by Chestnut DH, Mosby, 2014, pp 496.18

**Effect of Labor Analgesia on Labor Progress and Mode of Delivery**

**Labor Neuraxial Analgesia and Risk for Instrumental Delivery.** Epidural labor analgesia has been linked to increased risk for instrumental vaginal delivery, although the nature of the relationship is controversial. Challenges to definitive investigations include obstetrician practice and the likelihood that instrumental delivery is attempted more often when effective neuraxial analgesia is present (table 1). Understanding the relationship between neuraxial analgesia and operative delivery is important because modern obstetrical skills in instrumental vaginal delivery is declining;8,50 this trend may result in rising, indirect associations between labor neuraxial analgesia and increased rates of second stage cesarean deliveries.

Meta-analyses of randomized trials comparing labor neuraxial analgesia to systemic opioids found that the mean duration of the first and second stages of labor were prolonged in neuraxial analgesia groups by 30 min and 15 min, respectively, and the rate of instrumental vaginal delivery was increased in women receiving neuraxial analgesia (relative risk, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.28 to 1.57; 23 trials, 7,935 women).51 However, many of the trials that were included in the meta-analyses used epidural bupivacaine concentrations of 0.25%. This concentration is considered high, by modern standards. Addressing this concern, the Comparative Obstetric Mobile Epidural Trial Study compared low-dose labor epidural techniques to a “traditional” or high-dose technique in a randomized controlled design.52 The high-dose group received epidural analgesia initiated with 10 ml bupivacaine 0.25% (25 mg), with subsequent boluses of 10-ml bupivacaine 0.25% (25 mg) on request (but no more than hourly). One low-dose group receieved epidural bupivacaine 0.1%
with fentanyl 2 μg/ml; analgesia was maintained with an
infusion. The second low-dose group had combined spi-
nal-epidural initiation (spinal dose: bupivacaine 2.5 mg
and fentanyl 25 μg) and maintenance analgesia by inter-
mittent injections of 0.1% bupivacaine with fentanyl.
The investigators found that high-dose epidural analgesia
was associated with a reduced rate of normal sponta-
neous vaginal delivery. These differences were explained by
reduced instrumental vaginal delivery rates in the low-
dose groups. There was no difference in total dose of
local anesthetic between groups, likely due to method of
analgesia maintenance: the high-dose group had medica-
tion delivered by intermittent bolus, whereas the low-dose
group had medication delivered by continuous infusion.
Specific analgesic technique and drug combination/dose
may be influential; a meta-analysis comparing combined
spinal-epidural and epidural analgesia showed that instru-
mental deliveries were lower in combined spinal-epidural
compared to “high-dose” epidural analgesia, but not com-
pared to “low-dose” epidural analgesia. The true effect
and impact of labor epidural analgesia on risk for instru-
mental delivery remains poorly understood.

More recently, an observational study of more than
600,000 deliveries in the Netherlands did not demonstrate
a change in instrumental delivery rates despite almost tri-
pling the labor neuraxial analgesia rate from 7.7 to 21.9%
over 10 yr. A meta-analysis of 28,443 patients showed
no effect of increasing availability of labor neuraxial anal-
gesia on instrumental delivery rates. Concentration and
motor function may be important; a meta-analysis of 11
randomized trials compared the instrumental delivery rate
in high- versus low-concentration local anesthetic solu-
tion groups, and low-concentration strategies were linked
to reduced risk for assisted vaginal delivery and motor
block. Many studies have noted a relationship between
total local anesthetic dose and motor blockade, but the
association between motor blockade and instrumental
delivery has been inconsistent. Although controversy per-
sists, the available evidence suggests that functional labor
analgesia is associated with risk for instrumental delivery,
possibly by virtue of analgesic density and motor impair-
ment. Instrumental vaginal delivery may increase risk
for lacerations and other perineal injuries, neonatal facial
or cranial injuries, and pelvic organ prolapse. Given these
undesirable outcomes, the goal of modern labor epidural
analgesia favors minimizing motor blockade by initiating
and maintaining analgesia using low-concentration local
anesthetic solutions. Nevertheless, minimizing risk for
instrumental delivery while maximizing patient comfort
requires skillful attention to individual patient needs and
clinical circumstances.

Mode of Delivery. Early observational studies identified
an association between neuraxial labor analgesia and
increased rates of cesarean delivery; however, the rela-
tionship is not surprising given that women requesting
neuraxial analgesia are more likely to be experiencing
more painful labor. Factors associated with more pain-
ful labor are themselves associated with an increased risk
for cesarean delivery (e.g., fetal malrotation, fetal-pelvic
disproportion, dysfunctional labor). Early trials were
limited by methodologic concerns, including mixed pop-
ulations of nulliparous and parous women, use of differ-
ent types of neuraxial analgesia, inconsistent density of
blockade, and high protocol violation and study group
crossover rates. A study from Parkland Hospital in
Dallas, Texas (where the patient population is primarly
indigent and labor is managed by the same group of
obstetricians and midwives) compared the cesarean deliv-
ery rate in women receiving epidural analgesia to women
receiving systemic meperidine analgesia. A per proto-
col analysis suggested that the cesarean delivery rate was
higher among women who used epidural analgesia (9% vs.
3.9%). However, the rate of crossover from meperidine
to the epidural group was approximately 33%. After per-
forming an intent-to-treat analysis, the cesarean delivery
rate was not different (6%) between groups. In a subse-
quent study at the same hospital, there was no difference
in cesarean delivery rates when intravenous patient-con-
trolled analgesia was used as a control. Use of this meth-
oodology resulted in better analgesia in the control group;
only 5 of 357 patients crossed over.

A 2011 systematic review of 38 randomized trials did
not identify a link between labor epidural analgesia and
risk for cesarean delivery. Impact studies (comparison
of the institution’s cesarean delivery rate before and after
the introduction of a neuraxial labor analgesia service)
have shown no association between labor neuraxial anal-
gesia and cesarean delivery. Altogether, although
the debate persists, the evidence does not support that
neuraxial labor analgesia increases the risk for cesarean
delivery.

“Early” labor epidural analgesia (i.e., epidural analgesia
performed during the latent phase of labor) was historically
believed to be a risk factor for cesarean delivery. Observa-
tional trials suggested that women who requested neuraxial
analgesia early in labor (commonly defined as cervical dila-
tion less than 4 cm) had a higher cesarean delivery rate. This
translated into a common practice among obstetric
practitioners in the 1990s, advising their patients to avoid
epidural analgesia in early labor.

In contrast to observational trials, multiple random-
ized control trials comparing early to later initiation of
labor neuraxial analgesia failed to find a link between
early use and risk for cesarean delivery (table 2). These
trials compared early labor neuraxial analgesia and
systemic opioid analgesia; women randomized to receive
early systemic opioid analgesia received neuraxial anal-
gesia later in labor. The trials were well controlled; and
crossover rates were not excessive. In two separate tri-
als, Chestnut et al. found early epidural analgesia among
nulliparous women was not associated with increased risk for cesarean delivery in both spontaneous and oxytocin-induced or oxytocin-augmented labor.65,66 These findings were important because they supported the provision of epidural analgesia during latent labor, whereas this practice was formerly thought to increase risk for cesarean delivery. Later, Wong et al. also found no difference in the rate of cesarean delivery among women who received combined spinal-epidural analgesia at less than 4 cm of cervical dilation compared with those who received epidural analgesia later in labor; onset and intensity of analgesia were superior in the combined spinal-epidural analgesia group.64 Ohel et al. found similar results; the rates of cesarean delivery in women who received early compared with late epidural analgesia were similar (13% vs. 11%, P = 0.77).68

Considering these findings, the data linking labor epidural analgesia to cesarean delivery may be better explained by the observation that women with more painful labors, especially early labor pain, are more likely to require cesarean deliveries due to obstetrical factors such as fetal macrosomia, malrotation, and dysfunctional labor.71–73 The practice of avoiding neuraxial labor analgesia in early labor for fear that it will adversely affect the mode of delivery should be completely abandoned.7

**Progress of Labor.** While some studies have demonstrated a modest prolongation of the first stage of labor (mean approximately 30 min),74 others have shown neuraxial analgesia is associated with faster labor. Wong et al. and Ohel et al. found early labor neuraxial analgesia resulted in faster labor compared to treating early labor pain with systemic opioids and initiating neuraxial analgesia later in labor.64,68 A 2017 meta-analysis did not find a relationship between low-concentration epidural analgesia and the duration of labor; however, studies were of low quality and the CIs were wide.75

The reasons for the conflicting results are multifold. Methodologically, trials differ in how they define the onset of labor. Epidural analgesia may delay cervical examination due to effective analgesia (examinations establishing full cervical dilation are typically deferred until the parturient complains of rectal pressure). Epidural analgesia has been linked to both increased and decreased uterine activity.8,76–78 Decreased uterine activity may be explained by coadministration of intravenous fluid, reducing circulating antidiuretic hormone, and reducing endogenous oxytocin (both hormones are produced by the posterior pituitary gland).77 Increased uterine activity may be explained by a rapid reduction in circulating catecholamines associated with initiation of analgesia,8,78 the withdrawal of β2-adrenergic activity (tocolytic) may result in frequent and more intense uterine contractions leading to uterine tachysystole. Heterogeneous effects of epidural analgesia on uterine activity and first stage of labor may also be explained by variability in neurophysiologic responses to labor, pain, and analgesia.79

Effective epidural analgesia is associated with a prolonged second stage of labor, with an estimated mean difference of 15 min, which is not clinically meaningful.74 However, the duration of the second stage of labor at the 95th percentile may be prolonged up to 2 h in both nulliparous and parous women with epidural analgesia.80,81 The impact of prolonged second stage of labor on maternal and neonatal outcomes deserves scrutiny. Older studies have not shown adverse maternal or neonatal outcomes associated with prolonged second stage of labor, provided that the fetal heart rate tracing remains reassuring and there is progressive fetal descent.82–84 However, in a large multicenter observational study...
study, longer periods of active pushing were associated with an increased relative risk for neonatal complications, such as mechanical ventilation, sepsis, brachial plexus palsy, encephalopathy, and death, although the absolute risk was low.85 Other studies have shown an increased risk of adverse maternal outcomes (e.g., chorioamnionitis, high-degree lac-

erations, atony, hemorrhage, fever) for every additional hour spent in the second stage of labor.86,87 Given the association between prolonged second stage of labor and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, the effect that neuraxial analgesia may have on labor duration remains an important research question.

Neuraxial Anesthesia for External Cephalic Version. External cephalic version is a procedure wherein a breech fetus at 36 to 39 weeks gestation is manually rotated to the vertex. Cephalic version is a procedure wherein a breech fetus at 36 to 39 weeks gestation is manually rotated to the vertex. Anesthesia for external cephalic version is the ability to convert to surgical anesthesia in the event of emergency cesarean delivery. Disadvantages of neuraxial analgesia/anesthesia for external cephalic version include hypotension and delayed hospital discharge, both of which may be dose-dependent. Hypotension is typically easily treated, but requires close monitoring. An economic analysis on the use of neuraxial anesthesia for external cephalic version found it to be cost-effective, assuming an improved success rate of at least 11% from a baseline of 38%.95 This finding is explained by the large differences in costs between vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery.

Oral Intake in Labor. Aspiration pneumonitis or solid gas-

tic content asphyxiation was a leading cause of anesthesia-
related maternal mortality.9 The stomach shifts cephalad, displacing the lower-esophageal sphincter into the thorax.96 Lower esophageal sphincter pressure declines by 50% during pregnancy.97 Reduced motilin produces slower intestinal transit times.98 While pregnancy does not increase gastric emptying time, endogenous or exogenous opioids prolong gastric emptying times.99,100

To address aspiration-related maternal mortality in the middle part of the twentieth century, the following practices became the cornerstone of modern obstetric anesthesia practice: (1) widespread use of neuraxial anesthesia; (2) oral intake restrictions during labor; (3) preanesthetic acid administration; (4) rapid-sequence induction for general anesthesia; (5) improvements in anesthesia training; and (6) improvements in advanced airway devices. These practices are reflected in current American Society of Anesthesiologists recommendations.7 Because of these practices, maternal mortality from aspiration has declined to extremely low levels (estimated case fatality rate, 6.5 per million anesthetics in the United States).5,101,102 Closed claims analysis shows a sig-

ificant reduction in malpractice claims from aspiration.103 Because of the modern rarity of aspiration-related mortality, and with growing interest in limiting medical interventions during low-risk labor, liberalizing oral intake during labor is appealing.104 The World Health Organization advocates no interference with a woman’s desire to eat and drink during low-risk labor.105 Liberalizing oral intake might have advantages for patient satisfaction, and it seems intuitive that providing energy during a demanding metabolic period might improve outcomes. Nil per os practices in pregnancy have been linked to a state of “accelerated starvation” due to shifts to glycolytic and gluconeogenesis metabolic pathways.106

Early studies shed light on outcomes with liberalized oral intake strategies in labor.107–109 In one study, women were randomized to a light meal or to water; epidural analgesia with opioid-containing solutions was permitted.109 Women in the light diet group had lower plasma β-hydroxybutyrate and nonesterified fatty acids, indicating ketosis preven-

tion. However, there were no differences in lactate, labor duration, Apgar scores, and umbilical cord blood gases. Light diet consumers were more likely to vomit, and vom-

ited higher volumes of particulate matter, during labor. In
another study, rates of vomiting were similar between water and sports drinks, while reduced markers of ketoacidosis without increases in gastric volumes were found in sports drink consumers.107 A large trial found no differences in the rate of vaginal delivery, duration of labor, cesarean delivery, or vomiting.108

Meta-analyses in low-risk deliveries show no effect of food intake on mode of delivery and neonatal well-being, although pooled data were insufficient to address the risk for aspiration.110,111 There are two possible interpretations of these data. First, given the contemporary rarity of aspiration, maternal wishes should take priority, and oral intake guidelines liberalized to allow maternal decision-making for light meals during low-risk labors. Alternatively, women seem to tolerate limited oral intake in labor without negative consequences, and considering the large decrease in maternal mortality since nil per os strategies were implemented, there is no need to liberalize oral intake restrictions. Current American Society of Anesthesiologists guidelines allow clear liquid intake in uncomplicated labor and complete avoidance of particulate and solid food.7 Nil per os strategies for parturients undergoing elective surgery (e.g., scheduled cesarean delivery or postpartum tubal ligation) include fasting 2 h for clear liquids and 6 to 8 h for solid food, depending upon fat content.7

Considering the historical context in which nil per os strategies developed, along with ethical and logistical challenges of conducting a trial addressing harm, we will likely continue seeing global and cultural discrepancies on oral intake during labor. Based on available data and history, our practice is to avoid solid food and particulate liquid ingestion in labor, particularly if parenteral or neuraxial opioids were administered, to allow glucose-containing clear liquids as tolerated, and to restrict oral intake in individuals after considering comorbidities that may increase the risk for cesarean delivery or aspiration (e.g., obesity, diabetes mellitus, suspected difficult airway, and nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracing).

Anesthesia for Cesarean Delivery

Advances in Spinal Anesthesia for Cesarean Delivery

Single-shot spinal anesthesia is the most common technique for cesarean delivery due to its simplicity, quality of sensory blockade, and reliability. In contrast to epidural anesthesia, the total local anesthetic dose is lower; there is no risk for local anesthetic systemic toxicity and there is minimal fetal drug transfer.12,112 The effective dose for hyperbaric bupivacaine in 95% of patients (ED95) is 13 mg when administered with intrathecal fentanyl and morphine. Higher doses (e.g., 15 mg) are associated with longer duration, but also with higher sensory blockade to cervical dermatomes, and a higher incidence and degree of hypotension.113

Adding a lipid-soluble opioid (e.g., fentanyl, sufentanil) to local anesthesia enhances intraoperative anesthesia by reducing the total dose of local anesthetic, reducing hypotension, nausea, and vomiting.114 This enhanced anesthesia is associated with less stimulation upon surgical traction of the viscera, contributing to a lower rate of nausea, vomiting, and intraoperative supplemental analgesia compared to omission of intrathecal fentanyl or sufentanyl.114 Adding morphine (a water-soluble opioid) confers postoperative analgesia of up to 36 h.115 Epinephrine (0.1 to 0.2 mg) is often added in clinical practice, producing a 15% increase in block duration and improving the quality of intraoperative analgesia, while increasing block recovery time.116 Clonidine improves intraoperative analgesia and reduces shivering and hyperalgesia, but is associated with hypotension and sedation; its use in this setting is off-label.117

Conversion of Epidural Analgesia to Surgical Anesthesia

Epidural anesthesia is converted to surgical anesthesia by administering high-concentration local anesthetic. Fifteen to 20 ml lidocaine, 2% with epinephrine 1:200,000 is commonly used. The addition of 8.4% sodium bicarbonate (1 ml for every 10 ml local anesthetic solution) alkalinizes the local anesthetic solution, which hastens onset of action. Fifteen to 20 ml 2-chloroprocaine, 3% may be used for urgent deliveries because of its shorter latency. Successful conversion to epidural anesthesia is critical to avoid general anesthesia; emergency general anesthesia is linked to poor outcomes (postoperative pain and sedation, intraoperative awareness, postpartum hemorrhage, and morbidity and mortality from aspiration or failed tracheal intubation). The ability to successfully convert epidural analgesia to anesthesia for intrapartum cesarean delivery has been proposed as a quality metric; in the United Kingdom, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines state that general anesthesia should be used in less than 1% of all elective cesarean deliveries and less than 5% of emergency cesarean deliveries.118

Several risk factors for failed conversion include delivery urgency, supplemental analgesia during labor, initiation by epidural rather than combined spinal-epidural technique, and anesthesia by generalist compared with obstetric anesthesiologists.11,112 In one study, generalist anesthesiologists had significantly increased risk for failed conversion of epidural analgesia to anesthesia for cesarean delivery (odds ratio 4.6, 95% CI 1.8 to 11.5).11 Reasons for increased successful conversion by obstetric anesthesiologists may include increased likelihood to manipulate the catheter, active management of breakthrough labor pain, assessment of catheter functionality and analgesic quality throughout labor, integration of information on labor and maternal-fetal status into analgesia management, and enhanced team communication to anticipate intrapartum cesarean delivery.11

Intraoperative Hypotension: The Ideal Vasopressor for Cesarean Delivery

Hypotension after spinal anesthesia is caused by a decrease in systemic vascular resistance; cardiac output increases.119 The ideal vasopressor to maintain uterine perfusion has been an area of intense research for several decades. Uteroplacental blood flow lacks autoregulation, making it directly...
dependent on uterine perfusion pressure and inversely proportional to uterine vascular resistance. Pure α₁-adrenergic receptor agonists (phenylephrine) were expected to reduce uterine blood flow and induce fetal acidosis, and ephedrine was found to be superior to α₁-agonists in fetal animal studies. The first human trials comparing phenylephrine and ephedrine were conducted in the late twentieth century. Neonatal outcomes (umbilical artery pH, base excess) were better in groups randomized to phenylephrine. No study found neonatal depression despite very large maternal doses of phenylephrine (in one study the 75th percentile dose was 2,130 µg). Consistently, the incidence of nausea and vomiting is lower with phenylephrine infusion. While maternal bradycardia occurred with phenylephrine, patients were asymptomatic and no adverse events were noted.

Ephedrine is associated with fetal acidosis due to placental transfer and direct fetal metabolism activation, but not from uterine blood flow perturbation. Experts conclude the efficacy and safety of phenylephrine make it superior for systemic vascular resistance restoration after spinal anesthesia. Prophylactic phenylephrine infusions (es. intermit- tent boluses) are effective in preventing hypotension and require fewer anesthesia provider interventions. The current evidence supports prophylactic phenylephrine, titrated to maintain blood pressure near baseline (the usual dose range is 25 to 100 µg/min).

Notably, most research comparing vasopressor therapy for cesarean delivery has been in healthy women undergoing elective cesarean delivery. Investigations for neonatal outcomes in maternal-fetal dyads with compromised placental function (e.g., preeclampsia) have been lacking. In 2017, a randomized double-blind trial compared phenylephrine and ephedrine infusion strategies in women with preeclampsia presenting for cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia. There were no differences in umbilical arterial pH between groups. Similarly, among women with preeclampsia with severe features who also had nonreassuring fetal status, a bolus dose of phenylephrine to treat spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension did not result in better fetal acid-base status compared with ephedrine. It appears that for preeclamptic patients undergoing cesarean delivery, fetal outcomes are not influenced by choice of phenylephrine or ephedrine for prevention or treatment of spinal-anesthesia induced hypotension.

Several investigators suggest norepinephrine has characteristics of the “ideal” vasopressor to prevent and treat hypotension, but current evidence is limited. In one trial, patients receiving norepinephrine had higher heart rate and cardiac output compared with phenylephrine. The incidence of nausea and vomiting did not differ. Norepinephrine use was associated with lower umbilical artery and vein plasma catecholamine concentration and higher umbilical venous pH and oxygen content, potentially indicating higher uteroplacental oxygen delivery; the absolute differences were small (oxygen content phenylephrine, 11.8 ml/dl; oxygen content norepinephrine, 12.7 ml/dl; P = 0.047). In a study on postspinal anesthesia hypotension in cesarean delivery, norepinephrine 8 µg was equivalent to phenylephrine 100 µg for the treatment of the first episode of hypotension. Considering the existence of a highly effective standard (phenylephrine infusion), additional accumulation of evidence is necessary before nor- epinephrine becomes a new standard.

**Supplemental Oxygen**

While supplemental oxygen is often routinely applied during cesarean delivery, evidence supporting improvement in maternal and neonatal outcomes is lacking, and some suggest it may cause harm by promoting free-radical generation and lipid peroxidation. A trial of 80% versus 30% oxygen during cesarean delivery did not prevent wound infections or endometritis. A meta-analysis of 11 trials of supplemental oxygen found no benefit for maternal desaturation and neonatal Apgar scores. No convincing evidence of harm was identified, although higher maternal and neonatal markers of free-radicals were measured when supplemental oxygen was administered; the clinical significance of these findings is not clear. Data are lacking on the benefits or harms of supplemental oxygen in women with comorbid conditions (e.g., preeclampsia, obesity, labor with nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracing) or in intrauterine resuscitation. Theoretically, these neonates may be at increased risk of harm with hyperoxia because of greater lipid peroxidation from ischemia-reperfusion injury. The available evidence suggests that routine supplemental oxygen for scheduled, healthy cesarean deliveries with neuraxial anesthesia is not beneficial and its elimination may improve patient comfort.

**Postcesarean Delivery Pain and Analgesia**

Pain after cesarean delivery is heterogeneous in expression and intensity. The ability to predict the severity and chronicity of postcesarean delivery pain has the potential to personalize anesthetic care by identifying the patients at highest risk for severe pain and debilitation. Recent work has focused on psychometric and psychophysical profiling. Expected postoperative pain, baseline anxiety, and baseline fear of pain are independent predictors for increased postoperative opioid use, accounting for 40% of variance in postoperative pain and opioid used. Pan et al. validated a three-item questionnaire predicting pain after cesarean delivery; a follow-up study applied the questionnaire to a tailored analgesia regimen targeted at women at high risk for severe postcesarean delivery pain. This type of work is key to advancing individualized pain management strategies in obstetrics.

Multimodal analgesia is the gold standard for postcesarean delivery analgesia. A common strategy uses neuraxial morphine, scheduled nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and acetaminophen, and limits systemic opioids to the treatment of breakthrough pain. Neuraxial morphine is the most effective component of postcesarean delivery analgesia. It is easy to administer, inexpensive, and provides superior and prolonged analgesia for both static and dynamic pain. Its dynamic pain advantage is important.
for functional mobility in this population. Neuromuscular blockade is contraindicated in patients with renal disease, e.g., renal dysfunction in preeclampsia) and a history of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery.

Peripheral Nerve Blocks for Postcesarean Delivery Analgesia

When other postcesarean delivery pain management modalities are compared to neurexual morphine, neurexual morphine consistently performs best for analgesic quality (fig. 4). Nevertheless, alternative modes of postcesarean delivery analgesia have been proposed. Peripheral nerve blocks for Pfannenstiel and low-transverse incisional pain have been examined, including transversus abdominis plane, quadratus lumborum, and ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric blocks, and continuous wound infiltration. Transversus abdominis plane block is not superior to intrathecal morphine for postcesarean delivery analgesia. In a comparison of intrathecal morphine combined with ropivacaine transversus abdominis plane block to intrathecal morphine combined with a sham block, there were no differences in pain with movement at 24 h, and no differences in supplemental opioid dose. Two meta-analyses concluded that transversus abdominis plane block is not superior to intrathecal morphine, but transversus abdominis plane block may be useful when neurexual morphine is not part of the pain management strategy (e.g., cesarean delivery with general anesthesia, contraindications to neurexual morphine). The likely explanation for these findings is that transversus abdominis plane block is useful for treating incisional pain, but not visceral pain. A transversus abdominis plane block may be helpful for “rescue” analgesia for breakthrough pain after neurexual morphine. Transversus abdominis plane block may be associated with subclinical signs of local anesthetic systemic toxicity, therefore, patients must be monitored closely after transversus abdominis plane block. Considering the evidence, the addition of transversus abdominis plane block to the gold standard (multimodal analgesia) is not routinely necessary for effective postcesarean delivery analgesia.

A quadratus lumborum block may have advantages over the transversus abdominis plane block because of its more superficial location (easier ultrasound visualization, theoretically improved safety). It involves deposition of local anesthetic into the fascial plane located between the quadratus lumborum and erector spinae muscles; this space is continuous with the paravertebral space, thus enhancing medication spread to the include the sympathetic chain. In two randomized trials, quadratus lumborum block combined with spinal anesthesia was found to be superior to spinal anesthesia alone, and to transversus abdominis plane block with spinal anesthesia. A major limitation of these trials was the absence of comparison to intrathecal morphine (spinal anesthesia regimens did not have intrathecal morphine), therefore, no conclusions currently can be made about the superiority of the block to current standard of care.

Local anesthetic wound infiltration may be beneficial if cesarean delivery is performed under general anesthesia, but not under spinal anesthesia. Continuous wound infiltration improves pain on movement and reduces opioid use, but high infusion rates required to achieve this benefit lead to wound leakage, and low patient and practitioner acceptability. Risk for surgical site infection is not increased, but these studies have not been powered for this outcome. Continuous wound infiltration is less effective than parenteral morphine and NSAIDs. Most trials have not included neurexual morphine comparisons, so no definitive comments can be made about superiority to neurexual morphine. Similar to other nerve blocks, trials comparing ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric blocks to intrathecal morphine have not shown a benefit, but these blocks may have a role in rescue analgesia. Overall, while multimodal analgesia with neurexual morphine, NSAIDs, and acetaminophen is the gold standard for postcesarean delivery pain, supplemental analgesia using transversus abdominis plane, quadratus lumborum, ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric blocks, or wound infiltration may be useful in cases of breakthrough pain, or when the gold standard multimodal analgesia cannot be delivered (e.g., cesarean delivery under general anesthesia, contraindications to NSAID administration).

Obstetric Anesthesia Outcomes

Effects of Labor Analgesia on the Fetus

Fetal bradycardia is occasionally observed after initiation of neurexual labor analgesia. One trial found the incidence of fetal bradycardia was higher after combined spinal-epidural
than epidural analgesia (32% vs. 6%), although the study was limited by nonstandardized spinal dosing and monitoring for only 15 min after injection. One trial found fetal bradycardia was higher after intrathecal sufentanil 7.5 µg only compared with sufentanil 1.5 µg combined with epinephrine 2.5 µg and bupivacaine 2.5 mg. Although the authors concluded that the rate of fetal bradycardia was directly related to the intrathecal sufentanil dose, this conclusion requires further study; the low-dose sufentanil was administered in combination with other drugs (i.e., more than one variable was manipulated among groups). Importantly, there were no differences in neonatal outcomes (Apgar score, umbilical artery pH). A 2016 meta-analysis of 17 randomized trials found that fetal heart rate abnormalities are more likely to occur with combined spinal-epidural techniques; however, a sensitivity analysis including only studies that used low-concentration epidural bupivacaine was underpowered to determine whether a difference in fetal bradycardia exists. Whether the observed fetal heart rate abnormalities are tied to worse neonatal outcomes is unclear. The mechanism of analgesia-mediated bradycardia is thought to be rapid decrease in circulating epinephrine concentration with the onset of neuraxial analgesia. Epinephrine is a tocolytic, and its acute withdrawal may contribute to uterine tachysystole, reducing placental perfusion time (only occurs in uterine diastole). Reassuringly, studies have not found a difference between combined spinal-epidural and epidural techniques and emergency cesarean delivery. The usual measures of in utero fetal resuscitation (change in maternal position, intravenous fluid bolus, discontinuation of exogenous oxytocin) are usually successful in restoring fetal heart rate. Occasionally, administration of a tocolytic (nitroglycerin, terbutaline) is necessary.

**Fig. 4.** Postcesarean delivery pain management options and anatomical locations of peripheral nerve blocks. PCEA = patient-controlled epidural analgesia.
**Breastfeeding**

Neuraxial analgesia's effect on breastfeeding is controversial. Most studies are observational and results are conflicting; some have identified a negative association, some found no relationship, and some found a positive relationship. Studies lack control for multiple confounding variables (e.g., dosing and type of analgesia, intrapartum interventions, timing and method of breastfeeding measurements, social support, maternal return-to-work status) known to influence breastfeeding success. Factors likely more important than labor epidural analgesia include early maternal-infant bonding, skin-to-skin contact, and breastfeeding support. A randomized trial found that epidural infusion solutions containing fentanyl concentrations as high as 2 μg/ml for maintenance of labor analgesia did not impact rates of successful breastfeeding at six weeks postpartum.

Breastfeeding outcomes after general versus neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery are also unclear. In one study, women receiving general and neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery were similarly successful in breastfeeding in the immediate postpartum period (96% regional vs. 89% general); however, at 6 months, fewer women who received general anesthesia were breastfeeding (39% vs. 71%).

Results were similar from an observational trial in Turkey, where women self-select either general or neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery. However, women who self-select general anesthesia likely differ in other factors known to affect breastfeeding success. Postoperative pain control is likely important; postoperative epidural analgesia is linked to successful breastfeeding and infant weight gain.

**Fever and Neonatal Sepsis Workup**

Labor neuraxial analgesia is associated with intrapartum fever of noninfectious inflammatory origin. Multiple studies support that labor epidural analgesia is linked to clinical fever (temperatures greater than 38.0°C). Study limitations include uncontrolled factors such as obstetric management, selection bias, crossover and dropout, and measurement error. Concerningly, maternal fever in general (not restricted to epidural-associated fever) is associated with poor neonatal outcomes, including assisted ventilation, low 1- and 5-min Apgar scores, seizures, and hypotonia.

These outcomes occur more commonly in women who receive epidural analgesia and had a fever, but not among women who received epidural analgesia and remained afebrile.

Neonatal sepsis evaluation and maternal and neonatal antibiotic exposure is significantly increased among mother-infant dyads with labor epidural-associated fever. Current evidence supports that maternal fever related to labor epidural analgesia is noninfectious and inflammatory in origin, mediated by cytokines. Among women receiving labor epidural analgesia, those with elevated IL-6 levels on admission are more likely to develop fever. Other proposed theories include local anesthetic agonism of the TRPV-1 ("capsaicin") receptor, triggering the release of IL-6 and other inflammatory cytokines. Besides increased risk for neonatal sepsis evaluation and prophylactic treatment, it is not clear whether labor epidural-associated fever impacts short- or long-term adverse infant outcomes. Research is now focusing on the implications of noninfectious inflammation on neonatal outcomes. Future work should also emphasize diagnostic means to differentiate labor epidural-associated fever from fever caused by chorioamnionitis and funisitis (inflammation within the umbilical cord), as the latter are known to be linked to poor neonatal outcomes.

**Infant and Childhood Neurocognitive Outcomes**

Some observational studies have linked intrapartum anesthetic exposure to autism spectrum disorders; others have failed to demonstrate this relationship. The challenges in conducting and interpreting these studies lie in the multiple confounders which independently impact risk for autism spectrum disorders (e.g., maternal conditions requiring anesthetic exposure, social environments dictating the same). An imperative exists to determine the effects of maternal anesthetic exposure on fetal, neonatal, and childhood neurocognitive outcomes, but currently there is little evidence that these considerations should change anesthetic clinical decision-making during labor and delivery.

**Depression**

Several studies suggest labor analgesia interventions may be associated with reduced postpartum depression risk. In 2014, Ding et al. found that epidural labor analgesia in Chinese women was associated with a reduced risk for postpartum depression (odds ratio 0.31; 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.82). There were several methodologic limitations to the study. The cohort may not have been depression-free upon enrollment and there was a high loss-to-follow-up rate in the epidural analgesia group, possibly inflating the protective effect of epidural analgesia.

Nevertheless, an established relationship between pain and depression exists in the nonobstetric population, and given the dearth of data on this relationship in obstetrics, additional research is needed. The link between labor pain and postpartum depression may be biologic; activation of neural networks in psychologic pain overlap with physical pain neural networks. Pain catastrophizing is known to be linked to severity of the experienced physical pain. Other data suggest that analgesia may explain the protective relationship between the use of labor neuraxial analgesia and postpartum depression symptoms, although the relative influence of labor analgesia on postpartum depression may be less than other established risk factors such as baseline anxiety or depression, obesity, and genital tract trauma during delivery. An observational study noted a protective interaction effect for depression among women who planned and actually used labor epidural analgesia; women who planned to avoid labor epidural analgesia, but ultimately requested and used it, had higher risk for positive...
postpartum depression screening, but this relationship was thought mediated by difficult labor rather than unmet expectations. In view of the uncertainty in existing literature, coupled with plausible psychologic and biologic mechanisms explaining the relationship between labor pain and postpartum depression, additional research is clearly indicated to determine the true relationship between labor pain, labor analgesia, and postpartum depression; if a link is established, targeted approaches using preventative labor analgesic therapies for vulnerable women may prove to be protective for postpartum depression.

**Anesthesiology Contributions to Maternal Safety**

**Mortality due to Anesthesia**

Anesthesia-related maternal mortality has decreased significantly over the last half-century. Maternal mortality ratios due to anesthesia in the United States are currently estimated at 1.0 per million live births—a 59% reduction from the period of 1979 to 1990. Morbidity and mortality associated with modern-day anesthesia care are often associated with complications of neuraxial anesthesia (e.g., high or unrecognized spinal catheters), Importantly, anesthesiologists continue to play a key role in the prevention of non–anesthesia-related direct and indirect maternal deaths, such as those caused by hemorrhage, hemodynamic instability, critical illness, and sepsis.

**Postpartum Hemorrhage and Patient Blood Management**

Postpartum hemorrhage is a leading cause of maternal morbidity, cardiac arrest, and mortality worldwide. It accounts for approximately 12.5% of pregnancy-related deaths (1.8 deaths per 100,000 live births) in the United States. Most cases of hemorrhage-related maternal mortality are preventable. Protocolized approaches to postpartum hemorrhage have been developed, which have been shown to result in improved outcomes in many settings. The National Partnership for Maternal Safety is a multidisciplinary work group including anesthesiologists, maternal-fetal medicine specialists, obstetricians, nurses, and nurse-midwives. The group has provided a consensus bundle on best practices for obstetric hemorrhage. Despite the evidence showing improvement in outcomes, there appears to be limited adoption of these protocols; in 2014, only 67% of academic obstetric anesthesia units in the United States reported the use of a postpartum hemorrhage protocol, with greater use in hospitals with delivery volumes more than 3,000 per year. Additional work to identify barriers to protocol adoption in low-volume centers will shed light on implementation strategies.

Maternal hematologic physiology differs from the nonpregnant state; severe obstetric hemorrhage is more likely to be associated with early hypofibrinogenemia. In the setting of postpartum hemorrhage, early assessment of fibrinogen levels should be undertaken; levels less than 200 mg/dl should prompt aggressive monitoring and treatment. The American Society of Anesthesiologists guidelines specify that fibrinogen levels should be treated early in obstetric hemorrhage. Over-transfusion and under-resuscitation both carry risks. Efforts aimed at avoiding over-transfusion are likely in the best interest of the parturient as restrictive transfusion strategies are linked to lower risks for infections, cardiac events, and death. However, this goal must be balanced with risk of under-resuscitation, because maternal death from hemorrhage is often attributable to delayed recognition and under-resuscitation.

Professional society guidelines for obstetric blood management differ from each other and from nonobstetric guidelines. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists specifically recommends cell salvage for women with rare antibodies and if banked blood is not available, and for women who refuse allogeneic transfusion. Cell salvage may also limit allogeneic blood consumption and be cost-saving. Point-of-care testing has gained attention for its potential use in postpartum hemorrhage due to rapid results and detection of hyperfibrinolysis. Thromboelastography may be useful in assessing clot strength and thrombin generation. However, in major obstetric hemorrhage, laboratory testing performed better at detecting large aberrations in coagulation values, which correlated better with estimated blood loss, than thromboelastography. Point-of-care testing to guide component transfusion in obstetric hemorrhage may mitigate allogeneic transfusion, but whether laboratory-guided transfusion improves maternal outcomes has not been well studied.

The administration of antifibrinolytic agents (tranexamic acid) in obstetric hemorrhage has received recent attention. Its prophylactic use in planned cesarean deliveries leads to clinically insignificant bleeding differences. Thromboembolic complication data in this population have been lacking. In 2017, results were published from the World Maternal Antifibrinolytic Trial, which compared tranexamic acid versus placebo in 20,060 women with a clinical diagnosis of postpartum hemorrhage. In 198 hospitals in 21 countries were included, primarily low-resource settings with high rates of maternal hemorrhage deaths. Women randomly received tranexamic acid 1 g or placebo. Death due to hemorrhage was significantly reduced in women who received tranexamic acid (1.5% vs. 1.9%; risk ratio, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.00; P = 0.045). The need for laparotomy to control bleeding was reduced (risk ratio 0.64; 95% CI, 0.49 to 0.85; P = 0.002). Importantly, maternal death was reduced by 31% if tranexamic acid was given within 3 h of birth. Tranexamic acid was beneficial regardless of cause of hemorrhage (e.g., trauma, atony). The risk of hysterectomy and thromboembolic events were not different. The authors concluded that tranexamic acid should be given as soon as possible in postpartum hemorrhage regardless of cause, or after any bleeding associated with hemodynamic instability.
Early Warning Systems

The Modified Early Obstetric Warning System was first described and recommended by the United Kingdom’s Confidential Enquiries into Maternal and Child Health, a national program that investigated all maternal deaths and other adverse outcomes. The group recognized that late recognition of maternal morbidity was contributing to poor outcomes and recommended a warning/screening system that included vital signs parameters (e.g., temperature, blood pressure, respiration, neurologic response, and urine output). A study published in 2011 validated these parameters and established threshold for elevated morbidity. The parameters performed well as a screening tool, with a sensitivity of 89%, specificity of 79%, and negative predictive value of 98%. In the United States, modifications were proposed by the National Partnership for Maternal Safety, based on expert consensus from a multidisciplinary group of obstetricians, nurses, midwives, and anesthesiologists. The group recommend immediate action if any of the maternal early warning criteria in figure 5 were met. Anesthesia providers are instrumental to early hemorrhage recognition, treatment, and implementation of Maternal Early Warning Systems and should actively participate in establishing these systems.

Oxytocin Protocols

Active management of the third stage of labor reduces postpartum hemorrhage risk. Prophylactic uterotonic agents (oxytocin) are given and controlled umbilical cord traction for placenta delivery is performed. Studies published in the past decade, primarily by anesthesiologists, have identified safe methods for oxytocin administration for active management of the third stage of labor. The motivation to provide safe oxytocin doses stems from the uncommon but severe side effects associated with oxytocin, including dose-dependent cardiac conduction abnormalities, coronary vasospasm, and severe acute hyponatremia leading to seizures (oxytocin bears structural similarity to vasopressin). Furthermore, high doses of oxytocin are not necessary to achieve clinical gains for active management of the third stage of labor. A randomized trial compared oxytocin administration using a “rule-of-threes” algorithm to “wide open” infusion of oxytocin (30 units in 500 ml normal saline). In the “rule-of-threes”
group, a 3-unit/3 ml oxytocin bolus was administered immedi-
ately after cesarean delivery, with optional repeat boluses
of 3-unit/3 ml oxytocin at 3 min and at 6 min after delivery.
This approach resulted in uterine tone at 3, 6, 9, and 12 min
after delivery that was no less adequate than standard treat-
ment. The control group received significantly more oxyto-
cin, while there were no differences in blood loss or need for
additional uterotonic agents.206

Oxytocin is often given as an infusion due to its short
half-life of 1 to 5 min, thus a low-dose infusion protocol has
been studied. George et al. estimated that the oxytocin infu-
sion ED90 for satisfactory uterine tone in women undergoing
elective cesarean delivery is 0.3 units/min (18 units/h).207
Pre–post studies following the institutional introduction of
low-dose oxytocin infusion protocols have found reduced
total dose of oxytocin with no impact on rates of postpartum
hemorrhage, volume of estimated blood loss, or secondary
uterotonic administration.208,209

Oxytocin receptor desensitization may explain the risk
for postpartum hemorrhage from refractory atony in intra-
partum cesarean delivery following oxytocin exposure dur-
ing labor.210,211 In vitro tests involving human myometrial
strips exposed to 2 h of oxytocin pretreatment versus control
demonstrated that the motility index (frequency × ampli-
tude) of strips not exposed to oxytocin were significantly
greater than those pretreated with oxytocin.212,213 In vitro
testing has not identified whether “resting periods” are effec-
tive in resensitizing myometrium. Therefore, giving more
oxytocin in the setting of desensitization may not achieve the
desired effect of increased uterine tone; in these cases, a dif-
f erent uterotonic agent that works by a different mechanism
is indicated. In another study, the ED90 of oxytocin infu-
sion for women with prior labor exposure to oxytocin was
44 units/h, much higher than the ED90 for women without
prior exposure to oxytocin.214 However, this higher dose is
associated with more side effects, including nausea, vomit-
ing, and ST segment depression. Further in vivo and in vitro
investigations may elucidate the clinical significance of oxy-
tocin desensitization, and may inform oxytocin protocols for
women exposed to oxytocin during labor.

Safety Bundles

The National Partnership for Maternal Safety’s goal is to
reduce maternal morbidity and mortality in the United States.
The United States is the only country in the developed world
that has had increasing rates of maternal mortality since 1990.
The maternal mortality ratio in the United States was 12.4 per
100,000 live births (95% CI, 11.1 to 13.9) in 1990; by 2013, it
increased to 18.5 (95% CI, 14.8 to 22.9).215 Maternal morbidity
and mortality are frequently preventable, and guidance on best
practices is instrumental in preventing maternal deaths.187 The
National Partnership for Maternal Safety has developed safety
“bundles” for maternal care in the areas of obstetric hemo-
rrhage, hypertension in pregnancy, perinatal depression and anxi-
ety, reduction of primary cesarean birth, support after a severe
maternal event, and venous thromboembolism.216–218 Bundles
are based on the best available evidence and are endorsed by
multiple professional groups including the American College of
Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American Society of Anes-
thesiologists, the American College of Nurse-Midwives, and the
Association of Women’s Health, Obstetric, and Neonatal Nurses,
among others. Each bundle is organized into five major areas:
readiness, recognition, response, reporting, and systems learning.
The resources are free and openly available to the public at www.
safehealthcareforeverywoman.org (accessed March 9, 2018).
Given the anesthesia provider’s expertise in resuscitation and
systems-based response, we are ideal participants in multidisci-
plinary shared leadership strategies to implement these bundles.

Conclusions

Advances in obstetric anesthesia over the last decade have
spanned multiple areas. Enhancements in neuraxial labor analgesic
techniques, postpartum neuraxial pain management modalities,
and prevention of intraoperative hypotension during cesarean delivery have contributed to
improvements in care. Still more progress is needed in many
areas, including questions about acute postpartum pain and
its potential influence on chronic pain, the influence of
labor pain on perinatal depression, labor epidural-associated
fever, and the impact of labor analgesia on the duration of
the second stage of labor and instrumental vaginal delivery.
Current and future scientific work on individual physiologic
characteristics of pain, labor progress, and other aspects of
obstetric care may enhance clinicians’ ability to personal-
ize obstetric anesthesia therapies and interventions. Com-
parative effectiveness studies on diagnostic and treatment
modalities for pain during labor and the puerperium,
the progress of labor, and obstetric hemorrhage, as well as the
effects of these modalities on patient-centered outcomes,
are necessary as our discipline advances further into the
twenty-first century.
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