

## E R R A T A

The following figure captions and figure are reprinted from “Possible Sources of Discrepancy Between Sphygmomanometer Cuff Pressure and Blood Pressure Quantified in a Collapsible-Tube Analogue,” by C. D. Bertram and K. S. A. Butcher, *JOURNAL OF BIOMECHANICAL ENGINEERING*, Vol. 114, Feb. 1992, pp. 68–77. Due to a printer’s error Fig. 5(b) was omitted and incorrect captions for Figs. 8 and 10 were printed. The correct captions and Fig. 5(b) appear below.

**Fig. 8** The variation with flow-rate of each of the three predictions terms in Fig. 7. Regression lines are shown. Symbols:  $\bullet$  = transmural pressure from the tube law;  $\circ$  = inertial contribution to pressure drop along the tube;  $\diamond$  = viscous pressure drop, corrected for tube profile change with flow-rate according to Fig. 4.

**Fig. 10** Pressures relative to  $p_1$ , the target of indirect methods, versus flow-rate, with regression line fits. Symbols:  $\diamond$  =  $p_e$ ;  $\circ$  = the combination of  $p_e$  and the tube-law contribution (an estimate of  $p$ , the pressure in the tube throat);  $\diamond$  = viscous pressure drop;  $\equiv$  = the sum of viscous and inertial pressure drops (a second estimate of  $p$ ). The influence of the longitudinal tension term is not shown.

