

Furthermore, COI studies generally use average costs of activities to estimate total costs. Because several elements of the cost of activities, such as hospital days, will remain fixed when such activities are reduced (say, by prevention), total disease costs are likely to be gross overestimates of potential cost savings. To aid policy making, marginal analysis is the key, starting with estimates of current uses of resources (but not full COI studies), identification of possible changes in how these resources could be used, and enumeration of the costs and benefits of these proposed changes.

A third criticism of COI studies is their reliance on the "human capital" method to value time lost from productive activity through absenteeism, premature mortality, and premature retirement. The human capital method involves the use of earnings data, which may bias resource allocation toward diseases affecting white middle-class males. Furthermore, the use of such an approach in most studies may lead to overestimation of the costs of diabetes. Early retirement and loss of life will result in less lost production for society than is estimated if those who retire or die can be replaced from the pool of unemployed labor that exists in most countries (6). This methodological development does not appear to have been taken into account by recent COI studies on diabetes.

A final, and more trivial, criticism is that the use of results of COI studies in resource allocation would seem to provide strange incentive signals to health care providers; the more costly your disease, the more resources you will be allocated! Whether this may encourage inefficiency is not clear, but such a theoretical possibility exists.

We do not deny that good epidemiological data are required on the health and social consequences of diabetes and its complications. These data inform us that diabetes presents a major challenge to society, something that is already recognized, as evidenced by the recent substantial increase in federal funding for diabetes treatment and research (7). Why add a (very rough) monetary value to fairly accurate epidemiological estimates? Research monies may be better spent on estimating potentially preventable and treatable fractions associated with diabetes and the costs and benefits of specific activities aimed at prevention and treatment. One potential start to this process is to promote economic evaluations alongside existing

randomized trials, as was done with the DCCT (5).

Of course, one often needs to go beyond the lifetime of a trial to establish the magnitude of future costs saved (or incurred) by an intervention. To model the future, a set of reference costs is required to permit estimation of the lifetime costs saved by preventing diabetes and its associated conditions. Some aspects of existing cost studies may be useful in this regard (8). A combination of economic evaluation alongside trials and data on reference costs is needed to provide the information that will lead to improved value for health care dollars spent on diabetes, a disease already estimated to cost \$98 billion annually (1).

CAM DONALDSON, PHD  
K.M. VENKAT NARAYAN, MD

From the Health Economics Research Unit (C.D.), University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, U.K.; and the Division of Diabetes Translation (K.M.V.N.), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.

Address correspondence to Cam Donaldson, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Dr. NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4N1 Canada. E-mail: c.donalds@ucalgary.ca.

#### References

1. American Diabetes Association: Economic consequences of diabetes mellitus in the U.S. in 1997. *Diabetes Care* 21:296-309, 1998
2. Huse DM, Oster G, Kilen AR, Lacey MJ, Colditz GA: The economic costs of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 262:2708-2712, 1989
3. Warner DC, McCandless RR, De Nino LA, Cornell JE, Pugh JA, Marsh GM: Costs of diabetes in Texas, 1992. *Diabetes Care* 19:1416-1419, 1996
4. Roesler J, Bishop D, Walseth J: Economic cost of diabetes mellitus: Minnesota, 1988. *MMWR* 40:229-231, 1991
5. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: Lifetime benefits and costs of intensive therapy as practiced in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *JAMA* 276:1409-1415, 1996
6. Koopmanschapp MA, van Ineveld BM: Towards a new approach for estimating indirect costs of disease. *Soc Sci Med* 34:1005-1010, 1992
7. Harris JF: Clinton hails budget deal for its support of fight against diabetes. *The Washington Post*, 3 September 1997, Sect. A, p. 8
8. Rubin RJ, Altman WM, Mendelson DN: Health care expenditure for people with diabetes mellitus, 1992. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 78:809A-809F, 1994

## Mutations in HFE, the Hemochromatosis Candidate Gene, in Patients With NIDDM

Genetic hemochromatosis (GH) is a frequent autosomal recessive disorder of iron metabolism characterized by excess iron deposition in various organs. In patients with GH, the frequency of diabetes ranges from 10 to 60%. Increased blood glucose levels and insulinemia have been recently reported in middle-aged men with high serum ferritin concentrations (1). Because iron metabolism is abnormal in some subjects heterozygous for GH, such individuals might be at increased risk for the occurrence of diabetes. The characterization of HFE, a strong candidate gene for GH, allows for the investigation of this hypothesis. HFE is a major histocompatibility complex class I-like gene in which two mutations have been described: a G/A transition at nucleotide 845, responsible for a Cys-to-Tyr substitution at position 282, and a C/G transition at nucleotide 187, resulting in a His-to-Asp substitution at position 63. The Cys282Tyr mutation is closely associated with GH, since 80-100% of GH patients are homozygous for this mutation, compared with 0.2% of control subjects (2). The role of the His63Asp mutation is less clearly defined.

We have analyzed the frequency of these two HFE mutations in 184 unselected patients with NIDDM and in 87 healthy blood donors of the same ethnic origin. The two regions of the HFE gene containing the mutations were amplified by polymerase chain reaction. The Cys282Tyr mutation creates a new *RsaI* site, which was identified by enzyme digestion of the amplification products. The His63Asp mutation was detected by allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridization assay (2). The mutations were present at the same frequencies in NIDDM patients and in the control population: 9.2 and 8% of the NIDDM patients and the control subjects, respectively, expressed the Cys282Tyr mutation, and 25.5 and 26.1% the His63Asp mutation. There was no significant difference in the distribution of the various phenotypic and genotypic combinations at the two loci between the two populations. Altogether, allelic frequencies for the Cys282Tyr mutation were

