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SUMMARY The incidence of retention/impaction of the permanent lower second molar (M₂inf) lies between 0.6/1000 and 3/1000. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the craniofacial morphology, the frequency of dental anomalies and the inclination of the affected M₂inf and the adjacent first molar in patients with arrested eruption of M₂inf. The overall goal was to elucidate the aetiology of arrested tooth eruption and to present the characteristics of these patients in order to improve diagnosis and treatment planning.

Radiographic material (profile radiographs and orthopantomograms) from 19 patients (nine females and 10 males; 13–19 years of age at the time of referral) were analysed. The ages of the patients when profile radiographs were taken for cephalometric analysis varied from 8 to 16 years.

The study shows that this group of patients, compared with a reference group, had an increased sagittal jaw relationship (Class II). Specifically, the mandibular prognathism was less, the mandibular gonial angle smaller, the mandibular alveolar prognathism enlarged and the maxillary incisor inclination less than in the reference group.

Furthermore, this group of patients had a more frequent occurrence of morphological tooth anomalies, such as root deflections, invaginations, and taurodontism. However, none of the patients with arrested eruption of M₂inf had agenesis of the lower third molar. The study did not reveal an association between the degree of inclination of the M₂inf and that of the first molar in the same region.

The results of this investigation show that conditions such as the craniofacial morphology and deviations in the dentition are associated with arrested eruption of M₂inf. Therefore, it is important to evaluate these conditions in future diagnosis and treatment planning of patients with arrested eruption of M₂inf.

Introduction

It is important to diagnose arrested eruption of the permanent lower second molar (M₂inf) as early as possible, since treatment at a late stage can be complicated and present a difficult clinical problem.

Seemingly, a small group of patients presents with arrested eruption of permanent molars without any apparent relationship to systemic diseases or local physical obstacles to eruption. The incidence of retention/impaction of M₂inf lies between 0.6/1000 (Grover and Lorton, 1985) and 3/1000 (Johnsen, 1977). Apart from case reports, only a few studies have been published in this field (Evans, 1988; Varpio and Wellfelt, 1988; Wellfelt and Varpio, 1988; Raghoebard et al., 1989, 1992; Philipsen et al., 1992).

Evans (1988) showed that in the UK there had been an increase in the incidence of impacted/retained M₂inf from 1976 to 1986. An association was demonstrated between impaction of M₂inf and the occurrence of crowding in the lower jaw and the degree of mesial inclination of the impacted molar. Even though there was a marked decrease over the same period in early loss of primary teeth and in the destruction of primary molars, these factors were not found to
contribute directly to clarifying the aetiology of impaction of M₂\text{inf}.

Varpio and Wellfelt (1988) showed that nearly all patients with impacted M₂\text{inf} had a third molar anlage and the retention was related to crowding in the molar region. They found bilateral mal-position of M₂\text{inf} in 23 per cent, and that there was a predominance of impaction on the right side. Most of the impacted teeth were in a mesio-angular position, but in approximately 25 per cent a vertical position of the tooth and other anomalies were seen. In that study, impaction of M₂\text{inf} was observed most frequently in males. Their conclusion was that lack of space was the cause of retention of M₂\text{inf} molar in the mesio- and disto-angular positions, whereas local factors, for instance ankylosis which can be difficult to diagnose, were the reason for retention in a vertical position. Wellfelt and Varpio (1988) evaluated possible treatment methods and results, concluding that denudation is often the only treatment. The treatment of mesially inclined molars was the most successful, and it was not always necessary to extract the third molar. The treatment of partially retained, distally inclined M₂\text{inf} showed the poorest prognosis, and the inclination was not improved by extraction of the third molar. Molars in a vertical position were considered to be ankylosed.

Raghoebar et al. (1989) examined 26 secondarily retained M₂\text{inf} histologically and found that all of them had ankylosed regions which could not clinically be diagnosed with certainty (percussion sound, radiography). It is still not clear, though, whether the state of ankylosis was a result of arrested eruption or if it was the primary cause resulting in arrested eruption.

Philipsen et al. (1992) showed, in a histological study, that in the gingiva which covered the retained M₁ and M₂, the occurrence of classical odontogenic tumours could be diagnosed in 24.3 per cent. In 29.7 per cent they found a condition of hamartomatous character, not previously described, which they termed ‘odontogenic giant cell fibromatosis’. In the remaining 45.9 per cent there were no histomorphological changes. The odontogenic tumours were associated more often with arrested eruption of the first molar than of the second molar (ratio 8:1), and ‘odontogenic giant cell fibromatosis’ occurred most frequently in the mandible (M₁\text{inf} and M₂\text{inf}). In that study it was stated that the overlying gingiva in some patients contained areas that could be diagnosed histologically as odontogenic tumours or as ‘odontogenic giant cell fibromatosis’.

Only a few studies of arrested eruption of M₂\text{inf} have been published, probably because of the rarity of its occurrence. Under normal conditions an association exists between the eruption of the teeth and growth of the jaws (Björk, 1969; Björk and Skieller, 1972, 1983). A former study has also shown that arrest of tooth formation and tooth eruption occur in association with deviations in tooth morphology (Bang et al., 1995).

The purpose of the present study was to clarify the aetiological aspects of arrested eruption of the permanent lower second molar. The aims were to investigate:

1. Whether there was an association between arrested eruption of M₂\text{inf} and the craniofacial morphology. This is a relationship which has not previously been studied.
2. Whether there was an association between arrested eruption of M₂\text{inf} and local or general anomalies of the dentition. This aspect has also not previously been investigated.
3. Whether there was an association between the inclination of M₁\text{inf} and the inclination of M₂\text{inf}.

Materials and methods

Material

The material for this study consisted of selected radiographs of patients who had been referred for surgical treatment of delayed eruption of M₂\text{inf}, either to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery of the Copenhagen University Hospital or to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at the Copenhagen School of Dentistry during the period 1984–1991.

The selection criterion for the patients was arrested eruption of M₂\text{inf}, defined as the M₂\text{inf} not having erupted at the time when more than two-thirds of the root was formed and when the permanent second molars in the maxilla were fully erupted.
For the patients to be included in the study, a lateral cephalogram, taken in a cephalostat, as well as an orthopantomogram had to be available. Of the original selected material of 50 patients, only 19 met the above-mentioned criteria. The reason for most of the exclusions was lack of lateral cephalograms. The sex distribution was nine females and 10 males, with a total of 21 retained M₂inf. The ages of the patients at the time of referral varied from 13 years 3 months to 19 years 4 months (Table 1a), and the patients’ ages at the time the profile radiograph was taken varied from 8 years 7 months to 16 years 2 months (Table 1b).

Methods

Cephalometric method. Twenty-seven reference points were marked directly on the profile radiographs and then digitized with the aid of the TIOPS® computer program (TIOPS Aps, Algade 12, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark). Seventeen angular measurements (Table 2, Figure 1) were calculated according to Björk (1960).


Each variable in the study group was tested by Student’s t-test, but no significant sexual dimorphism was found. Similarly, the analysis of variance regarding age showed no significant differences. The patients were therefore pooled and their mean facial morphology was compared with a known reference group consisting of mean values for 12- and 20-year-old Swedish youths (Björk, 1947, 1960).

The difference in the mean was assessed by Student’s t-test and t-values were calculated. The levels of significance were set at 5, 1, and 0.1 per cent, indicated by 1, 2, or 3 asterisks.

The method error \( S(i) \) was calculated by repeating the registration of variables on the radiographs at approximately monthly intervals for the entire patient material. No significant differences were found between the two series of recordings (Table 3).

Registration of dental anomalies. Anomalies of the retained M₂inf and of the dentition in general

---

**Table 1** (a) Age distribution at time of referral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15⁴</td>
<td>1⁹</td>
<td>13³</td>
<td>19⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15³</td>
<td>1⁴</td>
<td>13⁷</td>
<td>17⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15³</td>
<td>1⁶</td>
<td>13³</td>
<td>19⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Age distribution when profile radiographs were taken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12⁷</td>
<td>2⁸</td>
<td>10²</td>
<td>16²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1⁸</td>
<td>8⁷</td>
<td>14¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12⁹</td>
<td>2²</td>
<td>8⁷</td>
<td>16²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** List of cephalometric variables according to Björk (1960).

1. n–s–ar Angulation of cranial base
2. n–s–ba Angulation of cranial base
3. ML/RL Mandibular jaw angle
4. s–n–ss Mandibular prognathism
5. s–n–pg Mandibular prognathism
6. s–n–sm Mandibular prognathism
7. ss–n–pg Sagittal jaw relationship
8. ss–n–sm Sagittal jaw relationship
9. NSL/NL Maxillary inclination
10. NSL/NL Mandibular inclination
11. NL/ML Vertical jaw relationship
12. pr–n–ss Maxillary alveolar prognathism
13. ILs/NL Maxillary incisor inclination
14. IL/ML Mandibular incisor inclination
15. CL/ML Mandibular alveolar prognathism
16. OLsNL Maxillary zone
17. OL/ML Mandibular zone

ML, the mandibular line, tangent to the base of the mandibular corpus through gn; RL, the ramus line tangent to the posterior margin of the mandibular ramus through ar; NSL, the nasion–sella line through n and s; NL, the nasal line through sp and pm; ILs, the long axis through the upper incisors from the incisal point to the apex; ILi, the long axis through the lower incisors from the incisal point to the apex; CL, the chin line, tangent to the mental prominence through id; OLs, the superior occlusal line from the incisal point to the distobuccal cusp of M₁sup; OLi, the inferior occlusal line from the incisal point to the distobuccal cusp of M₁inf.
were evaluated qualitatively on orthopantomograms and intra-oral radiographs of each individual included in the study. The material was examined twice by two investigators. Only observations on which there was agreement were recorded. The following deviations from the normal dental morphology were recorded:

**Crown morphology**

1. Crown size: a large tooth crown was noted if there was a distinct difference in the size of the crowns bilaterally.
2. Crown shape: invagination in the upper front, determined radiographically by a distinct enamel outline or filling at the site of the dental tubercle.

**Root morphology**

3. Root length: a short root was noted when the crown/root ratio was maximum 1:1.5 (or when the root was clearly shorter than that of the contralateral tooth).
4. Root shape: root deflection, when there was a distinct mesial or distal deflection of the root.

Tauro-, meso-, or hyper-taurodontism in accordance with the criteria described by Schulze (1987).

Long, gracile roots (Kjær, 1995).

In addition to these, other deviations were recorded as follows: ankylosis, agenesis, retention, internal resorption and osteoma.

---

**Figure 1** Diagram of contours and cephalometric landmarks used in the cephalometric evaluation of a lateral cephalogram, according to Björk (1960).

**Table 3** Statistical data for the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>S(i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n–s–ar</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>114.63</td>
<td>131.81</td>
<td>122.40</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n–s–ba</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>125.02</td>
<td>139.41</td>
<td>131.26</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML/RL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>114.89</td>
<td>135.32</td>
<td>122.40</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–ss</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>88.66</td>
<td>82.39</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–pg</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74.80</td>
<td>85.54</td>
<td>78.67</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–sm</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73.92</td>
<td>84.91</td>
<td>77.85</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss–n–pg</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss–n–sm</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSL/NL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–3.36</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSL/ML</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>40.56</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL/ML</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr–n–ss</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–0.47</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL/NL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>87.03</td>
<td>118.64</td>
<td>106.08</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL/ML</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>83.21</td>
<td>107.62</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/ML</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>57.81</td>
<td>85.43</td>
<td>73.59</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL/NL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL/ML</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>23.49</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Registration of inclination of M$_{2\text{inf}}$. The inclination of the retained M$_{2\text{inf}}$ in relation to that of the first permanent molar on the same side was measured on the orthopantomograms as described by Evans (1988). From the tangent to the tips of the cusps, a perpendicular line was constructed through the middle of the crown and root on the affected M$_{2\text{inf}}$, and on the adjacent M$_{1\text{inf}}$ (Figure 2). The angle between these lines was measured twice and the mean of the two measurements was used to assess the inclination. Angles greater than 40 degrees were assigned mesial inclination, between 40 and –20 degrees vertical position, and less than –20 degrees distal inclination.

Results

Craniofacial morphology

The results of the morphological analysis of the study group compared with the reference group are shown in Table 4. The mandibular jaw angle

![Diagram of the measurement of the angle between the inclination of M$_{2\text{inf}}$ and the first molar in the same region. The angle indicates the inclination of M$_{2\text{inf}}$.](image)

Table 4  Comparison of the facial morphology of the study group with the reference group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group ($n = 19$)</th>
<th>Reference group$^1$ (estimated $n = 243$)</th>
<th>Differences (mean) Study group – reference group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n–s–ar</td>
<td>122.4 ± 4.89</td>
<td>124 ± 5</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n–s–ba</td>
<td>131.26 ± 4.2</td>
<td>131 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML/RL</td>
<td>122.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>126 ± 6</td>
<td>−3.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–ss</td>
<td>82.39 ± 2.52</td>
<td>82 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–pg</td>
<td>78.67 ± 2.55</td>
<td>79 ± 3.5</td>
<td>−1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s–n–sm</td>
<td>77.85 ± 2.57</td>
<td>79 ± 3.5</td>
<td>−1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss–n–pg</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.73**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss–n–sm</td>
<td>4.54 ± 1.76</td>
<td>3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.54**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSL/NL</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.83</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSL/ML</td>
<td>31.37 ± 4.46</td>
<td>33 ± 6</td>
<td>−1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL/ML</td>
<td>24.98 ± 4.81</td>
<td>25 ± 6</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr–n–ss</td>
<td>2.28 ± 1.36</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL/NL</td>
<td>106.08 ± 7.56</td>
<td>110 ± 6</td>
<td>−3.92*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL/ML</td>
<td>95.53 ± 7.2</td>
<td>94 ± 7</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/ML</td>
<td>73.59 ± 5.98</td>
<td>70 ± 6</td>
<td>3.59*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL/NL</td>
<td>11.83 ± 4.04</td>
<td>10 ± 4</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL/ML</td>
<td>19.1 ± 2.64</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Björk, 1960.

*P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01.
(ML/RL) in the study group was 3.6 degrees smaller and differed significantly from the reference group \((P < 0.01)\). The mandibular prognathism \((s–n–pg)\) was 1.33 degrees less \((P < 0.05)\) in the study group than in the reference group. The sagittal jaw relationship \((ss–n–sm)\) in the study group was on average significantly different from the reference group: 1.54 degrees greater \((P < 0.01)\) (Class II). The upper incisor inclination \((ILs/NL)\) was significantly less in the study group than in the reference group: 3.92 degrees \((P < 0.05)\). The mandibular alveolar prognathism \((CL/ML)\) was 3.59 degrees greater \((P < 0.05)\) in the study group than in the reference group. There were no significant differences in the other variables studied.

### Dental anomalies

The number of anomalies in dental morphology in the dentition and anomalies in the morphology of the \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption in the study group are summarized in Table 5 and illustrated in Figures 4–7. Taurodontism of the permanent upper second molars was observed in seven patients \((37\% \text{ of all patients})\) and of \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption in two patients. Deviant root morphology was registered in seven patients, in the form of long, gracile roots in five patients, and short root shape in two subjects. Root deflections were recorded on other teeth in the dentition in 11 patients \((58\%)\), and on six \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption. In seven patients \((37\%)\) invaginations in the upper anterior incisors could be demonstrated. The crown size was larger in three patients, two of these crowns being on \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption.

In addition to the above deviations, radiographic signs of ankylosis were registered on three of the \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption, and internal resorption on one \(M_2\inf\) with arrested eruption. Moreover, osteoma was registered in one patient, agenesis of maxillary second premolars in one patient, and retention of a right maxillary canine in one patient. In none of the patients in the study group was agenesis of the permanent lower third molar observed.

#### Table 5  Dental anomalies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly</th>
<th>Dentition in general (number of patients 19)</th>
<th>Retained (M_2\inf) (number of teeth 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large crown (same side as retained (M_2\inf))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invagination upper front</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short root shape</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root deflection</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root deflection upper premolars</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurodontism (M_2\sup)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long, gracile roots</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankylosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenesis maxillary second premolars</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Gorlin and Goldman, 1970.  
\(^3\)Schulze, 1987.  
\(^4\)Gorlin and Goldman, 1970.  
\(^5\)Gorlin and Goldman, 1970.  
\(^6\)Gorlin and Goldman, 1970.
Inclination of \( M_2 \text{inf} \)

None of the molars with arrested eruption were distally inclined. Seven teeth were positioned with a mesial inclination and 14 teeth were positioned vertically. Figure 3 shows the occurrence of the different inclinations of \( M_2 \text{inf} \) with arrested eruption in relation to the inclination of the permanent first molar on the same side.

Orthopantomograms from the sample studied, showing arrested eruption of \( M_2 \text{inf} \) and examples of dental anomalies and type of \( M_2 \text{inf} \) inclinations, are demonstrated in Figures 4–7.

Discussion

This study shows that an association exists between craniofacial morphology and the occurrence of arrested eruption of \( M_2 \text{inf} \).

The method error was assessed by double measurement of all profile radiographs and no
systematic errors were revealed by the final measurement. The magnitude of the $S(i)$ values was in agreement with previous studies (Solow, 1966; Blok, 1991; Nodal et al., 1994). The cephalometric variables showed large variability in the study group. This is presumably because most of the patients who participated in the study were orthodontic patients with major deviations in craniofacial morphology.

Patients with arrested eruption of M2 inf had in general an increased sagittal jaw relationship (Class II) compared with the reference group. The incisal maxillary inclination in the study group was less than in the reference group. It is remarkable that the mandibular jaw angle was smaller in the study group than in the reference group, and that the mandibular alveolar pro- gnathism was greater in the study group than in the reference group. According to Björk (1969), and Björk and Skieller (1972, 1983), these are the important signs seen in patients with a vertically directed condylar growth, in which the entire mandibular dentition erupts in an anterior direction.

Even though the patient material on which this investigation has been conducted is small, the study suggests that patients with arrested eruption of M2 inf have a characteristic craniofacial morphology which ought to be taken into account for treatment purposes.

Furthermore, it should be mentioned that the reference group employed in this investigation was selected on the basis of ethnic (Nordic) and age criteria. Ideally, a control group should be chosen at random among children without an orthodontic treatment indication and the control group should be studied by the same method as the study group, but ethical considerations do not allow this.

In most of the patients in the study group dental morphological anomalies were registered. The assessment of the occurrence of these anomalies must be regarded with caution. It is known from other studies that some of the registrations, for example, the assessment of root length, call for standardized radiographic technique and knowledge of the normal crown/root ratio (Lind, 1972; Jakobsson and Lind, 1973; Andreasen and Andreasen, 1985). In the present retrospective study, standardized radiographs were not taken, because the study material was submitted by different dental clinics, and the assessment of root length and shape, as well as of crown size and shape, is therefore open to discussion. A considerably higher frequency of root deflections was observed in the dentition. This was seen in the premolar region in the maxilla in 37 per cent of the patients. In comparison, the occurrence in a normal population is 11.8 per cent (Schulze, 1987). Similarly, a very high frequency of invaginations was registered in the upper anterior region, namely 37 per cent, compared with the normal 3–10 per cent (Gorlin and Goldman, 1970). The occurrence of taurodontic permanent upper second molars was likewise
remarkably great, 37 per cent compared with the normal 1.4–5.8 per cent (Schulze, 1987). It is known that taurodontism occurs together with other dental anomalies (Stoy, 1960; Stenvik et al., 1972; Jørgensen, 1982; Schulze, 1987; Kjær, 1995).

Kjær (1995) and other authors (Lind, 1972; Newman, 1975; Levander and Malmgren, 1988; Linge and Linge, 1991) have shown that there is a greater occurrence of dental anomalies in patients with an increased risk of root resorption in connection with orthodontic treatment. It is conceivable that an association exists between dental morphological deviations and malfunction of the periodontal membrane, such as arrested tooth formation and tooth eruption. This agrees with the findings of Bang et al. (1995).

The study shows that in patients with arrested eruption of $M_2$ inf morphological anomalies in the dentition occur more frequently than in other dentitions. However, it should be borne in mind that the study group consisted in part of patients who required orthodontic treatment, and it is probably in this group of patients that there is a higher occurrence of dentitional anomalies.

It is remarkable that all patients in the study group had the germ of the third permanent molar, which is normally only seen in 63.4–77.5 per cent (Gorlin and Goldman, 1970). This finding agrees with the observations of Varpio and Wellfelt (1988). This presence of the third molars results in some unanswered questions:

1. Is the third molar responsible for the lack of space for $M_2$ inf?
2. Can the presence of the third molar influence the prediction of the retention of $M_2$ inf?
3. Is there a relationship between the mineralization stage of $M_2$ inf and that of the third molar, and is there a connection between the mineralization stages and general skeletal maturity?

Svendsen and Björk (1988) have studied the retention of the lower third molar and demonstrated an association between retention and early general skeletal maturity assessed on hand radiographs. An association was also described between retention of lower third molars and late mineralization of the tooth. To answer these questions a larger sample of patients with longitudinal radiographs should be available.

Evans (1988), and Varpio and Wellfelt (1988) found a connection between the retention of $M_2$ inf and the occurrence of crowding, both generally in the mandible and locally in the molar region. In the present study it was difficult from the material available to judge dental alignment. Therefore, an estimate of crowding was not included in the analysis.

Of the 19 patients, five did not receive orthodontic treatment. Crowding could be one of the indications for treatment in the 14 patients treated. One could also envisage that in some cases an original lack of space in the anterior region of the dentition was ‘displaced’ posteriorly in the dental arch in connection with orthodontic treatment.

Evans (1988) concluded that there was a connection between impaction of $M_2$ inf and the degree of mesial inclination. Varpio and Wellfelt (1988) found that most of the impacted teeth were in a mesio-angular position, and that the molars positioned vertically were probably ankylosed. In the present study the majority of the molars with arrested eruption were positioned vertically, and in three of the teeth ankylosis could be demonstrated radiographically and/or clinically. However, according to Raghoebear et al. (1989), it is not possible to diagnose ankylosis with certainty clinically, but only histologically.

Relationships between dental anomalies and craniofacial morphology have previously been described, for example in patients with multiple developmentally absent teeth, where the absence of 13–21 permanent teeth occurred in patients with a significantly more anteriorly inclined mandible, a reduced vertical jaw relationship, a smaller mandibular jaw angle and a more prognathic mandible than in patients only missing the germs of 5–12 permanent teeth (Nodal et al., 1994).

The present study of eruption disorders of $M_2$ inf suggests that retention of single teeth is not only a local deviation, but probably part of an extended deviant developmental disorder. The aetiology of arrested eruption of $M_2$ inf is not solved, but the study has indicated various associated features which are new observations and which might lead to more precise clarification in the future.
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