

Concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 and Brain Tumor Risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition

Sabine Rohrmann^{1,3}, Jakob Linseisen^{1,4}, Susen Becker¹, Naomi Allen⁵, Brigitte Schlehofer², Kim Overvad⁷, Anja Olsen⁸, Anne Tjønneland⁸, Beatrice S. Melin⁹, Eiliv Lund¹⁰, Paolo Vineis^{11,13}, Sara Grioni¹⁴, Rosario Tumino¹⁵, Domenico Palli¹⁶, Amalia Mattiello¹⁷, Catalina Bonet¹⁸, Maria-Dolores Chirlaque^{19,20}, María-José Sánchez^{20,21}, Laudina Rodríguez²², Miren Dorronsoro^{20,23}, Eva Ardanaz^{20,24}, Pagona Lagiou^{25,28}, Antonia Trichopoulou^{25,26}, Dimitrios Trichopoulos^{27,28}, Laure Dossus¹, Verena A. Grote¹, Heiner Boeing²⁹, Krasimira Aleksandrova²⁹, H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita^{30,31}, Fränzel J.B. van Duijnhoven³⁰, Petra H.M. Peeters³², Kay-Tee Khaw³³, Nicholas J. Wareham³⁴, Timothy J. Key⁶, Sabina Rinaldi³⁵, Isabelle Romieu³⁵, Valentina Gallo¹², Dominique S. Michaud¹², Elio Riboli¹², and Rudolf Kaaks¹

Abstract

Background: Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-I) is important in normal brain development but in the adult brain, IGF-I overexpression may be a risk factor for tumor development.

Methods: We examined the association between circulating concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 in relation to risk of gliomas (74 low-grade, 206 high-grade gliomas), meningiomas ($n = 174$) and acoustic neuromas ($n = 49$) by using a case-control design nested in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. IGF-I and IGFBP-3 were measured by ELISAs. Conditional logistic regression was used to compute ORs and corresponding 95% CIs.

Results: The risk of low-grade gliomas was elevated with increased IGF-I (OR = 3.60, 95% CI: 1.11–11.7; top vs. bottom quartile) and decreased with elevated IGFBP-3 concentrations (OR = 0.28, 95% CI: 0.09–0.84) after mutual adjustment of these two factors; these results became nonsignificant after exclusion of the first year of follow-up. No association was observed for high-grade gliomas or meningiomas. Both high IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations were associated with risk of acoustic neuromas (IGF-I: OR = 6.63, 95% CI: 2.27–19.4, top vs. bottom tertile; IGFBP-3: OR = 7.07, 95% CI: 2.32–21.6), even after excluding the first year of follow-up.

Conclusion: High concentrations of IGF-I might be positively associated with risk of low-grade gliomas and acoustic neuromas, although we cannot exclude reverse causation, in particular for low-grade gliomas.

Impact: Factors of the IGF axis might be involved in the etiology of some types of brain tumors. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 20(10); 2174–82. ©2011 AACR.

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology; ²Group of Environmental Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; ³University of Zurich, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Zürich, Switzerland; ⁴Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Munich, Germany; ⁵Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine; ⁶Cancer Research UK, Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aalborg; ⁸Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁹Department of Radiation Sciences, Oncology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ¹⁰Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway; ¹¹MRC/HPA Centre for Environment and Health, School of Public Health; ¹²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom; ¹³HuGeF Foundation, Torino, Italy; ¹⁴Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milan; ¹⁵Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civile M.P. Arezzo" Hospital, Ragusa; ¹⁶Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Institute (ISPO), Florence; ¹⁷Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy; ¹⁸Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Barcelona; ¹⁹Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Authority, Murcia; ²⁰Consortium for Biomedical Research in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública-CIBERESP); ²¹Escuela

Andaluza de Salud Pública, Granada; ²²Public Health and Participation Directorate, Health and Health Care Services Council, Asturias; ²³Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Spain Department of Public Health of Gipuzkoa, San Sebastian; ²⁴Navarre Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain; ²⁵WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School; ²⁶Hellenic Health Foundation; ²⁷Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece; ²⁸Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; ²⁹Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition, Nuthetal, Germany; ³⁰National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven; ³¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology; ³²Julius Center, University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMCU), Utrecht, the Netherlands; ³³Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge; ³⁴Medical Research Council (MRC) Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom; and ³⁵International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France

Corresponding Author: Sabine Rohrmann, University of Zurich, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Hirschengraben 84 8001 Zurich, Switzerland. Phone: 41-44-634-5256; Fax: 01141-44-634-4909; E-mail: sabine.rohrmann@ifspm.uzh.ch

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0179

©2011 American Association for Cancer Research.

Introduction

Tumors of the brain include a collection of neoplasms, that is, gliomas, meningiomas, and acoustic neuromas, which vary widely by degree of malignancy. Besides increasing age, high-dose radiation, and some hereditary syndromes, no risk factors for brain tumors have been established and the etiology of brain tumors remains largely unknown (1).

Insulin-like growth factors (IGF) are multifunctional peptides that regulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (2). IGF-I is important in normal brain development to promote the growth process, and deletion of the *IGF-I* gene is associated with reduced brain growth and mental retardation (3). In the adult brain, by contrast, IGF-I overexpression may be a risk factor for tumor development (4), and *IGF-I* and *IGF-II* genes are regularly found to be overexpressed in gliomas and meningiomas (5). *In vitro*, IGF-I receptor and IGF-binding proteins promote mitogenesis and differentiation in glial cells, oligodendrocytes, and neural cells (5). Although most of IGF-I and its binding proteins in the circulation is produced by the liver (6), these peptides are also synthesized locally in most other organs, including the brain and other neural tissues (7). The actions of IGF-I on neural tissues are influenced by the endocrine effects of circulating IGF-I, which can enter the central nervous system (CNS; ref. 5) and paracrine or autocrine effects of IGF-I produced within the tissue itself.

The hepatic production of IGF-I and of its most abundant plasmatic binding protein IGFBP-3 is stimulated by growth hormone (GH; ref. 8). Adult height relates to longitudinal growth rates during childhood and adolescence, which are strongly influenced by levels of IGF-I, although the association of final body stature with circulating IGF-I levels during adulthood is less strong (9). Recently, body height was found to be positively associated with glioma risk in a U.S. cohort study (10). In European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC), body height was associated with IGF-I levels in men but not in women (11). Acromegaly, a condition resulting from excessive GH secretion, has also been found to be associated with an increased risk of CNS tumors (12), although this may partly be because of pituitary irradiation for treating the adenomas responsible for the condition.

To date, only one small nested case-control study with 22 glioma cases and 400 controls examined the associations between serum concentrations of IGF-I and IGF-binding protein (BP)-3, the most important binding protein of circulating IGF-I (8), and glioma risk (13). The authors reported inverse associations of gliomas with both IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations, although only the association between circulating IGF-I and gliomas was statistically significant. This observation is not consistent with findings from many studies that observed direct associations between circulating IGF-I and the risks of several other types of

cancer (14), and contrasts with observations from experimental and histopathologic studies indicating that IGF-I may promote tumor development in the CNS (4, 15).

The aim of this study was to examine the association of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations with the risk of gliomas, meningiomas, and acoustic neuromas in a case-control study nested within the EPIC.

Materials and Methods

Study description

EPIC is a prospective cohort study designed to examine the association of diet and lifestyle with the risk of cancer and other chronic diseases. The cohort comprising a total of more than 500,000 male and female participants was recruited in 23 centers in 10 European countries. Participants were recruited between 1992 and 2000; most centers recruited subjects from the general population but in Utrecht and Florence, only women from breast cancer screening programs were recruited. In addition, the Spanish and Italian centers included blood donors and the French cohort consisted of members of a health insurance for state school employees. A high proportion of participants of the Oxford cohort were vegetarians or health-conscious volunteers. The cohorts of France, Utrecht, Florence, and Norway included women only.

Information on lifestyle and diet was collected during baseline examination. Diet was assessed by using country-specific validated dietary assessment instruments (16, 17). Information on lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, or physical activity but also on education, occupation, or medical and reproductive history has been collected using questionnaires and personal interviews. Anthropometric measurements have been conducted during baseline examination (18).

Following a standardized protocol, a blood sample of 30 mL was collected in all participating EPIC countries. In most centers, except Oxford, blood samples were stored protected from light at 5°C to 10°C, until further processing and aliquoting. In the Oxford center, blood samples were collected throughout the United Kingdom and transported to the laboratory in Norfolk by mail at ambient temperature. In all centers, except Denmark and Sweden, 0.5-mL aliquots of serum, plasma, red blood cells, and buffy coat were filled into plastic straws and stored in liquid nitrogen at -196°C. In the Danish centers, 1-mL aliquots were filled into tubes and stored in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen containers (-150°C). In Sweden, the samples were stored at -80°C.

Case assessment and matching

The follow-up in EPIC is based on population cancer registries in Denmark, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, and Norway. In Germany and Greece, a combination of methods including health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries,

and active follow-up through study subjects and their next-of-kin was used. Mortality data were also collected from either the cancer registry or mortality registries at the regional or national level. For each EPIC center, closure dates of the study period were defined as the latest dates of complete follow-up for both cancer incidence and vital status (dates varied between centers, from December 2002 to December 2006).

By December 2006, 799 histologically confirmed primary brain tumor cases had been recorded in the EPIC database. Of these, we excluded 102 cases because they did not provide a blood sample at recruitment and a further 103 cases because the diagnosis was unspecified beyond that of primary brain tumor (ICD-O M8000). Cases from the center from Malmö were not available for our analyses ($n = 68$); France was excluded from the analysis as self-reported brain tumors had not been histologically confirmed when the study was initiated. Nineteen cases were excluded because of technical problems or insufficient serum volume. The final analytical sample included 505 primary brain tumors, namely 282 gliomas [International classification of diseases for oncology (ICD-O) codes M9380–M9473], 176 meningiomas (ICD-O M9530–M9539), and 49 acoustic neuromas (ICD-O M9540). In addition, glioma cases were divided into high-grade glioma (ICD-O codes: M9440/3, M9441/3, M9442/3, M9401/3, M9380/3, M9451/3) and low-grade glioma (ICD-O codes: M9382/3, M9383/1, M9384/1, M9390/0, M9394/1, M9400/3, M9411/3, M9420/3, M9421/3, M9450/3, M9505/1, M9391/3).

Two controls per case were selected, matched for gender, study (recruitment) center, age at recruitment, date at blood donation, and fasting status at blood donation (time since last consumption of foods or drinks; last meal <6, 3 to 6, or <3 hours before blood draw).

Hormone assays

Serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations were measured in the Immunoassay Laboratory at the German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany. Both peptides were analyzed by ELISAs purchased from Beckman Coulter. Prior to total IGF-I analysis, IGF-I was separated from IGF-I-binding proteins by an acid-ethanol extraction step. Cases and matched controls were measured in singleton within the same batch. Each analytical batch further included 3 different serum quality control samples. Laboratory staff was blinded to the case-control status of the study samples. Mean intra- and interbatch coefficients of variation were 2.9% and 14.4%, respectively, for IGF-I and 1.7% and 15.5%, respectively, for IGFBP-3.

Statistical analysis

To examine the association of IGF-I, IGFBP-3, and molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio with body mass index (BMI), Spearman's partial coefficients of correlation adjusted for age, sex, and EPIC recruitment center were computed.

Conditional logistic regression was used to examine the association of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations with brain tumor risk. We also computed the molar ratio of IGF-I to IGFBP-3 (IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio) as a marker of the estimated IGF-I level biologically available to bind to its receptor. Concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 as well as IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio were categorized into quartiles on the basis of distribution among all controls. Because of the small number of acoustic neuromas, we used tertiles instead of quartiles to examine the respective associations.

Crude models took into account matching criteria; multivariate models additionally adjusted for BMI; in sex-specific quartiles) or waist-hip ratio (WHR; alternatively; in sex-specific quartiles), education (primary school or less; secondary school; vocational training; university; missing), smoking history (never, former, current, and missing), and physical activity (active, moderately active, moderately inactive, and inactive). Adjustments for these factors did not appreciably change any of the results and therefore were excluded from the final models. Thus, only the matching criteria (age, gender, center, follow-up time, time of the day of blood draw, and fasting status) remained as implicit adjustments controlled for through the conditional regression models. Further analyses were conducted with mutual adjustments between IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations.

In subanalyses, in which we stratified by sex, age at diagnosis (<60 years \geq at diagnosis), BMI (<median BMI \geq), and length of follow-up (<median \geq follow-up time in cases = 4.4 years), ORs were estimated for continuous measurements IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio transformed on the log₂ scale. In this scale, a unit increase corresponds to a doubling of concentration. Statistical tests for heterogeneity by sex, age at diagnosis, BMI, and length of follow-up were based on χ^2 statistics, calculated as the deviations of logistic beta-coefficients observed in each of the subgroups, relative to the overall beta-coefficient. Finally, we carried out subanalyses excluding cases diagnosed within the first year of follow-up to examine potential "reverse causation" bias. All analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.1.

Results

The median time between date of study recruitment and diagnosis of tumor among cases was 4.4 years (glioma 4.5, meningioma 4.2, and acoustic neuromas 4.1). Baseline characteristics of cases and controls are shown in Table 1. Cases and controls did not differ by selected baseline characteristics except that meningioma cases had a slightly higher BMI than controls. In controls, circulating levels of IGF-I were not statistically significantly correlated with BMI (Spearman $r = 0.01$; $P = 0.77$), but there were significant correlations of IGFBP-3 (Spearman $r = 0.15$; $P < 0.01$) and molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio (Spearman $r = -0.09$; $P < 0.01$) with BMI.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of cases and controls by brain cancer subentity, EPIC 1994–2006

	Glioma		Meningioma		Acoustic neuroma	
	Cases Mean (SD)	Controls Mean (SD)	Cases Mean (SD)	Controls Mean (SD)	Cases Mean (SD)	Controls Mean (SD)
Age at blood donation	55.0 (8.1)	55.0 (8.1)	54.2 (7.8)	54.1 (7.8)	55.9 (8.2)	55.9 (8.2)
Age at diagnosis	59.6 (8.2)	–	58.6 (8.2)	–	60.0 (8.5)	–
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.4 (4.2)	26.4 (4.0)	26.9 (4.3)	26.1 (3.9)	25.7 (4.4)	26.0 (4.3)
WHR	0.9 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)
Height (cm)	168.5 (9.5)	167.6 (8.8)	165.4 (9.3)	165.8 (9.1)	165.8 (10.4)	166.0 (8.7)
Energy (kcal/d)	2,201 (790)	2,154 (663)	1,991 (613)	2,048 (622)	2,147 (703)	1,975 (566)
Alcohol (g/d)	17.1 (19.9)	14.9 (18.8)	10.5 (15.4)	12.2 (18.4)	13.5 (22.0)	13.5 (16.6)
Total fat (g/d)	85.7 (37.4)	84.0 (31.6)	77.8 (29.5)	79.3 (28.8)	83.3 (33.7)	78.5 (29.3)
Proteins (g/d)	89.3 (31.8)	88.5 (29.2)	82.0 (25.2)	83.2 (25.3)	82.9 (27.4)	78.8 (25.1)
	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)
Sex						
Male	139 (49.3)	277 (49.4)	45 (25.6)	90 (25.7)	16 (32.7)	31 (32.0)
Female	143 (50.7)	284 (50.6)	131 (74.4)	260 (74.3)	33 (67.4)	66 (68.0)
Highest school level						
Primary school or less	102 (36.2)	180 (32.1)	73 (41.5)	115 (32.9)	13 (26.5)	35 (36.1)
Vocational secondary education	78 (27.7)	151 (26.9)	50 (28.4)	100 (28.6)	18 (36.7)	22 (22.7)

Gliomas

High IGF-I or high IGFBP-3 concentrations were not statistically significantly associated with glioma risk (Table 2). The strength of the relationship of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 with gliomas was slightly attenuated after mutually adjusting for the 2 factors. Likewise, there was no significant association between molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio and glioma risk. Excluding the first year of follow-up to examine a potential reverse causation bias did not change the associations between the measured biomarkers and glioma risk (Table 2).

We conducted subgroup analyses to examine whether the associations between factors of the IGF system differed by sex, BMI, age at tumor diagnosis, or length of follow-up. Besides a statistically significant effect modification of the association between IGFBP-3 concentration and glioma risk by BMI, we did not observe major differences between these subgroups (data not shown). Per doubling in IGFBP-3 concentration, glioma risk decreased by more than 50% (OR = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.23–0.99) in subjects with normal BMI, but no statistically significant association was seen in obese subjects (OR = 1.26, 95% CI: 0.67–2.36; *p*-heterogeneity = 0.048).

High- versus low-grade gliomas

After stratifying by tumor grade, neither IGF-I nor IGFBP-3 concentrations were associated with the risk of high-grade gliomas (Table 3). However, although IGF-I and IGFBP-3 were not associated with low-grade gliomas in the basic model, a high IGF-I concentration was related to an increased risk of low-grade gliomas after additionally adjusting for IGFBP-3 concentration (OR = 3.60, 95% CI: 1.11–11.7; top vs. bottom quartile).

In contrast, IGFBP-3 concentration was inversely associated with low-grade gliomas after adjusting for IGF-I (OR = 0.28, 95% CI: 0.09–0.84). A high IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio was associated with a nonstatistically significant increase in low-grade glioma risk in the categorical model; a 2-fold increase in the ratio yielded an OR = 2.80 (95% CI: 0.98–7.98). Excluding the first year of follow-up resulted in an attenuated association of IGF-I, IGFBP-3, and IGF-I/IGFBP-3 with low-grade gliomas (Table 3).

Meningiomas

As for gliomas, circulating levels of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 as well as the IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio were not associated with meningioma risk (Table 2). Excluding the first year of follow-up did not materially alter the observed associations for IGF-I or IGFBP-3, respectively.

In subgroup analyses, we observed statistically significant heterogeneity in the association between IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio and meningioma risk by sex and age (data not shown). The IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio was significantly inversely associated with meningioma risk in men (OR = 0.21, 95% CI: 0.06–0.75 per doubling in ratio) but not in women (OR = 1.23, 95% CI: 0.65–2.33, *p*-heterogeneity = 0.02). Furthermore, the IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio was significantly inversely associated with meningioma risk in young (<60 years of age at diagnosis; OR = 0.43, 95% CI: 0.19–0.98 per doubling in ratio) but not in older cases (OR = 1.72, 95% CI: 0.74–4.01, *p*-heterogeneity = 0.02).

Acoustic neuromas

We observed positive associations of circulating IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations and acoustic neuromas (Table 2). These associations were attenuated after

Table 2. Association of IGF-I, IGFBP-3, and molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio with risk of gliomas, meningiomas, and acoustic neuromas in EPIC, 1994–2006

Category ^a	Glioma			Meningioma			Acoustic neuroma		
	Cases/ controls	OR ^b (95% CI)	OR ^c (95% CI)	Cases/ controls	OR ^b (95% CI)	OR ^c (95% CI)	Cases/ controls	OR ^b (95% CI)	OR ^c (95% CI)
IGF-I									
1	58/126	1.00	1.00	37/87	1.00	1.00	12/48	1.00	1.00
2	88/142	1.37 (0.90, 2.11)	1.31 (0.84–2.05)	41/83	1.20 (0.70–2.07)	1.05 (0.60–1.86)	16/31	2.73 (0.98–7.62)	1.54 (0.48–4.92)
3	61/155	0.85 (0.54–1.36)	0.81 (0.49–1.35)	50/79	1.53 (0.88–2.67)	1.20 (0.65–2.23)	21/18	6.63 (2.27–19.4)	3.56 (1.02–12.5)
4	75/135	1.19 (0.74–1.90)	1.16 (0.66–2.04)	46/101	1.14 (0.63–2.05)	0.80 (0.40–1.61)			
log ₂		1.14 (0.80–1.62)	1.43 (0.90–2.28)		1.12 (0.74–1.69)	0.81 (0.46–1.43)		4.50 (1.79–11.3)	2.42 (0.73–7.96)
Exclude first year of follow-up									
1	53/111	1.00	1.00	33/80	1.00	1.00	10/41	1.00	1.00
2	77/127	1.28 (0.81–2.01)	1.21 (0.76–1.95)	37/72	1.31 (0.74–2.32)	1.12 (0.61–2.04)	13/27	2.26 (0.77–6.61)	1.33 (0.39–4.51)
3	57/143	0.82 (0.50–1.33)	0.78 (0.45–1.32)	47/73	1.61 (0.90–2.87)	1.22 (0.64–2.32)	19/15	6.93 (2.24–21.51)	3.81 (1.04–13.99)
4	69/126	1.11 (0.68–1.81)	1.09 (0.60–1.97)	42/95	1.13 (0.61–2.09)	0.77 (0.37–1.60)			
log ₂		1.13 (0.78–1.62)	1.46 (0.91–2.36)		1.13 (0.73–1.75)	0.84 (0.46–1.51)		5.08 (1.84–14.01)	2.84 (0.78–10.32)
IGFBP-3									
1	66/145	1.00	1.00	31/81	1.00	1.00	8/41	1.00	1.00
2	76/133	1.24 (0.83–1.85)	1.22 (0.80–1.88)	41/84	1.35 (0.76–2.42)	1.30 (0.70–2.42)	17/33	3.22 (1.11–9.35)	2.74 (0.90–8.35)
3	75/138	1.18 (0.77–1.81)	1.21 (0.75–1.97)	52/93	1.62 (0.90–2.92)	1.65 (0.85–3.19)	24/23	7.07 (2.32–21.6)	4.06 (1.13–14.6)
4	65/145	0.97 (0.62–1.51)	0.95 (0.56–1.62)	52/92	1.63 (0.90–2.97)	1.85 (0.91–3.76)			
log ₂		0.83 (0.52–1.32)	0.62 (0.33–1.15)		1.59 (0.84–3.02)	2.09 (0.87–5.01)		9.36 (2.45–35.8)	3.94 (0.69–22.5)
Exclude first year of follow-up									
1	60/131	1.00	1.00	27/75	1.00	1.00	7/36	1.00	1.00
2	70/116	1.30 (0.85–1.98)	1.31 (0.83–2.08)	38/74	1.56 (0.83–2.91)	1.50 (0.77–2.91)	15/27	3.03 (1.02–8.99)	2.35 (0.74–7.49)
3	68/128	1.14 (0.73–1.77)	1.20 (0.73–1.99)	50/86	1.83 (0.98–3.42)	1.88 (0.93–3.78)	20/20	6.09 (1.94–19.09)	3.47 (0.89–13.57)
4	58/134	0.92 (0.58–1.46)	0.92 (0.52–1.60)	46/85	1.71 (0.90–3.27)	2.02 (0.94–4.33)			
log ₂		0.80 (0.49–1.32)	0.57 (0.30–1.10)		1.58 (0.81–3.10)	2.00 (0.81–4.98)		9.22 (2.25–37.77)	3.53 (0.58–21.53)
IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio									
1	65/132	1.00	1.00	50/87	1.00	1.00	16/44	1.00	1.00
2	79/135	1.17 (0.76–1.82)	1.17 (0.76–1.82)	36/89	0.69 (0.39–1.19)	0.69 (0.39–1.19)	18/30	2.19 (0.77–6.19)	2.19 (0.77–6.19)
3	53/151	0.70 (0.42–1.16)	0.70 (0.42–1.16)	43/83	0.85 (0.48–1.50)	0.85 (0.48–1.50)	15/23	2.56 (0.85–7.73)	2.56 (0.85–7.73)
4	85/140	1.18 (0.71–1.95)	1.18 (0.71–1.95)	45/91	0.82 (0.44–1.51)	0.82 (0.44–1.51)			
log ₂		1.46 (0.92–2.30)	1.46 (0.92–2.30)		0.82 (0.47–1.44)	0.82 (0.47–1.44)		2.41 (0.80–7.25)	2.41 (0.80–7.25)
Exclude first year of follow-up									
1	60/122	1.00	1.00	45/79	1.00	1.00	14/38	1.00	1.00
2	67/118	1.14 (0.72–1.81)	1.14 (0.72–1.81)	35/80	0.76 (0.43–1.34)	0.76 (0.43–1.34)	15/26	2.10 (0.66–6.67)	2.10 (0.66–6.67)
3	52/135	0.77 (0.46–1.30)	0.77 (0.46–1.30)	37/79	0.79 (0.44–1.41)	0.79 (0.44–1.41)	13/19	2.66 (0.82–8.67)	2.66 (0.82–8.67)
4	77/132	1.13 (0.67–1.91)	1.13 (0.67–1.91)	42/82	0.87 (0.47–1.64)	0.87 (0.47–1.64)			
log ₂		1.49 (0.93–2.40)	1.49 (0.93–2.40)		0.85 (0.47–1.52)	0.85 (0.47–1.52)		2.54 (0.77–8.36)	2.54 (0.77–8.36)

^aCut-points for quantiles (glioma, meningioma): IGF-I: <199.60, 199.60–252.28, 252.29–313.99, ≥314.00 ng/mL; IGFBP-3: <4.074.90, 4.074.90–4.810.39, 4.810.40–5.551.89, ≥5.551.90 ng/mL; molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio: <2.08, 2.08–2.48, 2.49–2.93, ≥2.94; cut-points for tertiles (acoustic neuromas): IGF-I: <218.31, 218.31–290.01, ≥290.02 ng/mL; IGFBP-3: <4.344.40, 4.344.39–5.276.99, ≥5.277.00 ng/mL; molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio: <2.20, 2.20–2.77, ≥2.78.

^bAnalysis matched on EPIC recruitment center, sex, age at blood donation, time of the day at blood donation, and fasting status.

^cIGF-I adjusted for IGFBP-3 and vice versa.

Table 3. Association of IGF-I, IGFBP-3 concentrations IGF-I, IGFBP-3, and molar IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio with risk of high-grade and low-grade gliomas in EPIC, 1994–2006

Category ^a	Low-grade glioma			High-grade glioma		
	Cases/ controls	OR ^b (95% CI)	OR ^c (95% CI)	Cases/ controls	OR ^b (95% CI)	OR ^c (95% CI)
IGF-I						
1	13/33	1.00	1.00	45/93	1.00	1.00
2	18/33	1.45 (0.59–3.56)	1.94 (0.71–5.27)	70/109	1.34 (0.83–2.18)	1.18 (0.71–1.96)
3	17/39	1.19 (0.45–3.15)	1.79 (0.60–5.36)	44/116	0.77 (0.46–1.32)	0.62 (0.35–1.11)
4	26/40	1.75 (0.68–4.48)	3.60 (1.11–11.7)	49/95	1.04 (0.60–1.80)	0.79 (0.41–1.52)
<i>log₂</i>		1.24 (0.60–2.58)	2.72 (0.94–7.90)		1.11 (0.74–1.66)	0.81 (0.40–1.62)
<i>Exclude first year of follow-up</i>						
1	12/25	1.00	1.00	41/86	1.00	1.00
2	14/27	1.11 (0.41–3.02)	1.23 (0.40–3.76)	63/100	1.32 (0.80–2.20)	1.19 (0.70–2.02)
3	15/25	0.89 (0.32–2.50)	1.17 (0.36–3.83)	42/108	0.80 (0.46–1.39)	0.67 (0.37–1.23)
4	22/36	1.23 (0.45–3.36)	2.05 (0.59–7.13)	47/90	1.06 (0.60–1.88)	0.87 (0.44–1.72)
<i>log₂</i>		0.99 (0.46–2.15)	2.31 (0.73–7.32)		1.17 (0.77–1.77)	1.34 (0.79–2.29)
IGFBP-3						
1	21/34	1.00	1.00	45/111	1.00	1.00
2	14/24	0.92 (0.40–2.11)	0.65 (0.26–1.60)	62/109	1.41 (0.89–2.23)	1.57 (0.95–2.59)
3	21/44	0.72 (0.32–1.62)	0.48 (0.19–1.21)	54/94	1.44 (0.87–2.39)	1.76 (0.99–3.13)
4	18/45	0.57 (0.24–1.37)	0.28 (0.09–0.84)	47/100	1.19 (0.71–2.00)	1.51 (0.80–2.83)
<i>log₂</i>		0.58 (0.22–1.52)	0.22 (0.05–0.93)		0.93 (0.55–1.59)	1.23 (0.73–2.06)
<i>Exclude first year of follow-up</i>						
1	17/28	1.00	1.00	43/103	1.00	1.00
2	13/19	1.09 (0.44–2.69)	0.90 (0.34–2.39)	57/97	1.41 (0.87–2.28)	1.54 (0.91–2.60)
3	19/40	0.74 (0.32–1.75)	0.62 (0.23–1.68)	49/88	1.34 (0.80–2.26)	1.56 (0.86–2.81)
4	14/38	0.54 (0.21–1.38)	0.34 (0.11–1.07)	44/96	1.11 (0.65–1.90)	1.31 (0.68–2.51)
<i>log₂</i>		0.45 (0.15–1.31)	0.19 (0.04–0.96)		0.95 (0.54–1.68)	0.74 (0.36–1.53)
IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio						
1	65/132	1.00		49/97	1.00	
2	79/135	1.17 (0.76–1.82)		63/101	1.18 (0.71–1.97)	
3	53/151	0.70 (0.42–1.16)		40/110	0.67 (0.37–1.21)	
4	85/140	1.18 (0.71–1.95)		56/105	0.95 (0.53–1.71)	
<i>log₂</i>		1.46 (0.92–2.30)			1.23 (0.74–2.05)	
<i>Exclude first year of follow-up</i>						
1	14/30	1.00		46/92	1.00	
2	12/26	1.04 (0.39–2.74)		55/92	1.15 (0.68–1.96)	
3	13/36	0.90 (0.33–2.47)		39/99	0.74 (0.40–1.36)	
4	24/31	2.01 (0.68–5.92)		53/101	0.95 (0.52–1.75)	
<i>log₂</i>		2.33 (0.76–7.09)			1.34 (0.79–2.28)	

^aCut-points for quartiles: IGF-1: <199.60, 199.60–252.28, 252.29–313.99, ≥314.00 ng/mL; IGFBP-3: <4,074.90, 4,074.90–4,810.39, 4,810.40–5,551.89, ≥5,551.90 ng/mL; molar IGF-1/IGFBP-3 ratio: <2.08, 2.08–2.48, 2.49–2.93, ≥2.94;

^bAnalysis matched on EPIC recruitment center, sex, age at blood donation, time of the day at blood donation, and fasting status;

^cIGF-I adjusted for IGFBP-3 and vice versa.

mutual adjustments between the 2 peptides, although the ORs in the highest tertiles remained significantly increased compared with the bottom tertiles. As expected, the IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio showed no association with risk of acoustic neuroma. The results did not change strongly after we excluded cases that occurred during the first year of follow-up. No statistically significant hetero-

geneity by age at diagnosis, length of follow-up, BMI, and sex were observed (data not shown).

Discussion

This is the first large nested case-control study to examine the association of circulating concentrations of

IGF-I and its most important binding protein IGFBP-3 with the risk of different types of brain tumor, namely high- and low-grade gliomas, meningiomas, and acoustic neuromas. We did not observe a general association between IGF-I or IGFBP-3 concentrations or the molar ratio of IGF-I to IGFBP-3 with risk of gliomas and meningiomas. However, subjects with high circulating levels of IGF-I had an increased risk and those with a high level of IGFBP-3 had a decreased risk of low-grade gliomas after mutual adjustments between IGF-I and IGFBP-3. In addition, we observed that both concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 were positively associated with the risk of acoustic neuromas.

High circulating concentrations of IGF-I- and IGF-binding proteins have been found to be associated with increased risks of several types of cancers, including cancers of the colon (19), prostate (20), or breast cancer (21). There is increasing evidence that IGFs play an important role in the growth and differentiation of the CNS and specific receptors for IGF-I and IGF-II have been identified in normal human brain (15). IGF pathways show similar expression and functional features during CNS development and tumorigenesis (8, 22, 23). IGFs have been reported to be overexpressed to varying degrees in CNS tumors, such as glioma (4, 15), and *in vitro* studies have shown that IGF-I promotes mitogenesis and differentiation in glial cells (24, 25). IGF-binding proteins prolong the half-life of IGF-I by competing with IGF-I receptor for binding IGF-I. In addition, IGFBP-3 may have intrinsic growth inhibiting and proapoptotic effects independent of sequestering IGF-I (26, 27), although in some experimental studies it has also been observed to exert growth-promoting effects (28). IGFBP expression can increase neoplastic processes, for example in gliomas (29). IGF-I is produced not only by the liver but also in the brain and the spinal cord (22). It has been shown that circulating IGF-I enters the brain and the spinal cord via a saturable transport system at the brain–blood barrier (7). The half-life of IGF-I and the rate of entry of IGF-I into the CNS are likely to be related to the concentration of IGFbps in the circulation (7). Vice versa, it might be possible that IGF-I produced by tumor cells in the brain crosses the brain–blood barrier and enters the circulation. However, the contribution of locally produced IGF-I leaking into systemic circulation is likely to be small compared with liver production. On the basis of attenuation of the association between IGF-I concentration and risk of gliomas, we cannot exclude potential reverse causation.

We did not observe strong and consistent associations of circulating levels of IGF-I, IGFBP-3, or the molar ratio of IGF-I to IGFBP-3 with the risk of gliomas in general. However, high IGF-I concentrations were positively and high IGFBP-3 concentrations were inversely associated with the risk of low-grade gliomas after mutual adjustment, whereas no associations were observed for high-grade gliomas. So far, only one previous epide-

miologic study examined the association of IGF-I or IGFBP-3 with gliomas. Lönn and colleagues observed an inverse association of IGF-I concentration with glioma risk, but no association with IGFBP-3 concentration in a case–control study nested within the prospective ATBC trial in Finland (13). Adjustment for age, BMI, smoking, self-reported history of allergies, education, intervention group assignment, and time period of diagnostic did not change the results. This study was too small to be stratified by glioma grade. In a case–control study, polymorphisms in IGF-I, IGF-II, IGF-I receptor, IGF-II receptor, and IGFBP-3 genes were examined in relation to glioma and meningioma risk (28). The majority of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) were not related to glioma risk. Interestingly, however, 1 SNP in IGF-I and 2 SNPs in the IGF-I receptor gene were associated with the risk of low- but not high-grade gliomas. The functionality of these polymorphisms, however, seems to be unclear and, thus, an interpretation with respect to our results is difficult. However, one might speculate that there are different genetic pathways that lead to high- and low-grade glioma. IGF-I receptors are overexpressed in gliomas and meningiomas and *in vitro*, IGF-I receptor has been shown to promote mitogenesis and differentiation in glial cells, oligodendrocytes, and neural cells (5). The associations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations with low-grade gliomas were attenuated and not statistically significant anymore after excluding the first year of follow-up. This might be due to the even lower number of cases and, thus, more imprecision, but we also cannot exclude a certain extend of reverse causation such that the association is caused by cases diagnosed in the first year of follow-up. However, the number of cases with low-grade glioma diagnosed in the first or even the first 2 years is too small for a meaningful statistical analysis.

Circulating concentrations of IGF-I or IGFBP-3 were generally not associated with the risk of meningiomas. The only associations observed in our study were a decreasing meningioma risk with increasing IGF-I/IGFBP-3 ratio in males and in cases that were diagnosed at an earlier age. However, the implications are unclear, especially because the number of meningioma cases among men is small ($n = 45$) and chance might be the reason for this statistically significant finding. This is the first study to examine this association. Previously, Lönn and colleagues (28) also did not report any association of polymorphisms in IGF-I, IGF-II, IGF-I receptor, IGF-II receptor, and IGFBP-3 genes with meningioma risk.

Acoustic neuromas (vestibular schwannoma) are benign and slowly growing nerve sheath tumors of the vestibulocochlear nerve. The incidence of this tumor is growing in recent years, although this increase could simply be due to improved diagnostic technologies (30). The etiology of this tumor is largely unknown besides an association with moderate to high doses of

ionizing radiation, which is a risk factor for all brain tumors. However, ionizing radiation can explain only a small fraction of the tumors. To the best of our knowledge, our finding of a direct association of circulating IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations with acoustic neuromas has not previously been reported.

The reason for an inverse association of IGFBP-3 with low-grade gliomas after adjusting for circulating IGF-I and a direct association of IGFBP-3 with acoustic neuromas is unclear. A positive association of IGF-I with acoustic neuromas is consistent with mitogenic effects of IGF-I. The association of IGFBP-3 concentration with cancer risk has first been thought to be inverse as IGFBP-3 is thought to determine the concentration of free, that is, biologically active, IGF-I in the circulation. However, the results of previous studies on different types of cancer have been inconsistent with some studies indeed showing inverse associations, but some also showing no or even a positive association (14). It has been discussed that different assays measuring concentrations of total or intact IGFBP-3 could cause differences between studies (14), but this does not explain differences in our study between 2 different types of brain tumors, that is, different effects of IGFBP-3 on low-grade gliomas and acoustic neuromas. We measured intact IGFBP-3, not total IGFBP-3, which also includes IGFBP-3 fragments that are less biologically active.

Our study is so far the second study on IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentration and risk of brain tumor. Its advantages are its size (505 histologically confirmed primary brain tumors), the prospective design, and the ability to adjust for a number of potential confounders. As in most epidemiologic studies, we cannot exclude chance as a possible explanation of our findings, especially as the number of cases in the groups in which we observed statistically significant associations are small. The esti-

mates observed in our study may, thus, be an overestimation of the true effect. Also, the attenuation of the associations observed for low-grade gliomas after excluding the first year of follow-up supports that our results have to be interpreted with care. In addition, we were not able to adjust environmental risk factors such as ionizing radiation. Although there is rationale for an inverse association of IGFBP-3 and low-grade glioma, the positive association between IGFBP-3 and risk of acoustic neuromas is difficult to interpret due to the small number of cases.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Grant Support

The work described in this article was carried out with the financial support of Europe against Cancer Program of the European Commission (SANCO); Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Danish Cancer Society; Health Research Fund (FIS) of the Spanish Ministry of Health, Spanish Regional Governments of Andalusia, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra; ISCIII RCESP exp. C03/09, Spain; Cancer Research UK; Medical Research Council, United Kingdom; Stroke Association, United Kingdom; British Heart Foundation; Department of Health, United Kingdom; Food Standards Agency, United Kingdom; Wellcome Trust, United Kingdom; Hellenic Ministry of Health, the Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the Hellenic Health Foundation; Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC); Italian National Research Council, Fondazione-Istituto Banco Napoli, Italy; Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (the Netherlands); Swedish Cancer Society; Swedish Scientific Council; Regional Government of Skåne, Sweden.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received February 17, 2011; revised July 14, 2011; accepted July 14, 2011; published OnlineFirst July 25, 2011.

References

- Bondy ML, Scheurer ME, Malmer B, Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Davis FG, Il'yasova D, et al. Brain tumor epidemiology: consensus from the Brain Tumor Epidemiology Consortium. *Cancer* 2008;113:1953-68.
- Khandwala HM, McCutcheon IE, Flyvbjerg A, Friend KE. The effects of insulin-like growth factors on tumorigenesis and neoplastic growth. *Endocr Rev* 2000;21:215-44.
- Joseph D'Ercole A, Ye P. Expanding the mind: insulin-like growth factor I and brain development. *Endocrinology* 2008;149:5958-62.
- Zumkeller W, Westphal M. The IGF/IGFBP system in CNS malignancy. *Mol Pathol* 2001;54:227-9.
- Russo VC, Gluckman PD, Feldman EL, Werther GA. The insulin-like growth factor system and its pleiotropic functions in brain. *Endocr Rev* 2005;26:916-43.
- Pollak MN, Schernhammer ES, Hankinson SE. Insulin-like growth factors and neoplasia. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004;4:505-18.
- Pan W, Kastin AJ. Interactions of IGF-1 with the blood-brain barrier *in vivo* and *in situ*. *Neuroendocrinology* 2000;72:171-8.
- Jones JI, Clemmons DR. Insulin-like growth factors and their binding proteins: biological actions. *Endocr Rev* 1995;16:3-34.
- Gunnell D, Oliver SE, Donovan JL, Peters TJ, Gillatt D, Persad R, et al. Do height-related variations in insulin-like growth factors underlie the associations of stature with adult chronic disease? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89:213-8.
- Moore SC, Rajaraman P, Dubrow R, Daresky AS, Koebnick C, Hollenbeck A, et al. Height, body mass index, and physical activity in relation to glioma risk. *Cancer Res* 2009;69:8349-55.
- Crowe FL, Key TJ, Allen NE, Appleby PN, Overvad K, Grønbaek H, et al. A cross-sectional analysis of the associations between adult height, BMI and serum concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-1 -2 and -3 in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Ann Hum Biol* 2011;38:194-202.
- Baris D, Gridley G, Ron E, Weiderpass E, Møller M, Ekblom A, et al. Acromegaly and cancer risk: a cohort study in Sweden and Denmark. *Cancer Causes Control* 2002;13:395-400.
- Lönn S, Inskip PD, Pollak MN, Weinstein SJ, Virtamo J, Albanes D, et al. Glioma risk in relation to serum levels of insulin-like growth factors. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:844-6.
- Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Minder C, O'Dwyer ST, Shalet SM, Egger M, et al. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Lancet* 2004;363:1346-53.
- Glick RP, Lichter T, Unterman TG. Insulin-like growth factors in central nervous system tumors. *J Neurooncol* 1997;35:315-25.
- Riboli E, Kaaks R. The EPIC project: rationale and study design. European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;26 Suppl 1:S6-14.

17. Kaaks R, Slimani N, Riboli E. Pilot phase studies on the accuracy of dietary intake measurements in the EPIC project: overall evaluation of results. European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;26 Suppl 1:S26–36.
18. Haftenberger M, Lahmann PH, Panico S, Gonzalez CA, Seidell JC, Boeing H, et al. Overweight, obesity and fat distribution in 50- to 64-year-old participants in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1147–62.
19. Rinaldi S, Cleveland R, Norat T, Biessy C, Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, et al. Serum levels of IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and colorectal cancer risk: results from the EPIC cohort, plus a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Cancer* 2010;126:1702–15.
20. Roddam AW, Allen NE, Appleby P, Key TJ, Ferrucci L, Carter HB, et al. Insulin-like growth factors, their binding proteins, and prostate cancer risk: analysis of individual patient data from 12 prospective studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;149:461–71, W83–8.
21. Galan P, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Reeves GK, Roddam AW. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IGF binding protein 3 (IGFBP3), and breast cancer risk: pooled individual data analysis of 17 prospective studies. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:530–42.
22. Daftary SS, Gore AC. IGF-1 in the brain as a regulator of reproductive neuroendocrine function. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2005;230:292–306.
23. Trojan J, Cloix JF, Ardourel MY, Chatel M, Anthony DD. Insulin-like growth factor type I biology and targeting in malignant gliomas. *Neuroscience* 2007;145:795–811.
24. Tranque PA, Calle R, Naftolin F, Robbins R. Involvement of protein kinase-C in the mitogenic effect of insulin-like growth factor-I on rat astrocytes. *Endocrinology* 1992;131:1948–54.
25. Åberg ND, Blomstrand F, Åberg MAI, Björklund U, Carlsson B, Carlsson-Skwirut C, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I increases astrocyte intercellular gap junctional communication and connexin 43 expression *in vitro*. *J Neuroscience Res* 2003;74:12–22.
26. Rajah R, Valentinis B, Cohen P. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding protein-3 induces apoptosis and mediates the effects of transforming growth factor-beta1 on programmed cell death through a p53- and IGF-independent mechanism. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272:12181–8.
27. Rajah R, Lee KW, Cohen P. Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 mediates tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced apoptosis: role of Bcl-2 phosphorylation. *Cell Growth Differ* 2002;13:163–71.
28. Lönn S, Rothman N, Shapiro WR, Fine HA, Selker RG, Black PM, et al. Genetic variation in insulin-like growth factors and brain tumor risk. *Neuro Oncol* 2008;10:553–9.
29. Zumkeller W. IGFs and IGF-binding proteins as diagnostic markers and biological modulators in brain tumors. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2002;2:473–7.
30. Propp JM, McCarthy BJ, Davis FG, Preston-Martin S. Descriptive epidemiology of vestibular schwannomas. *Neuro-oncol* 2006;8:1–11.