

Modern and ancient hiatuses in the pelagic caps of Pacific guyots and seamounts and internal tides

Neil C. Mitchell, Harper L. Simmons, and Caroline H. Lear
(v. 11, no. 5, p. 1590–1606, <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00999.1>)

In this paper, there are two errors that change the meaning of the data in Figure 2. The lower-right scale label should be “Energy flux.” Also, the second sentence of the caption should be:

White represents high **energy flux** of propagating internal waves, in practice areas of probable larger amplitude waves and greater oscillating current components due to them.

Also, the second sentence of the fourth paragraph in the section Relationships to the Modern Internal Wave Field should be:

All eroding and/or nondepositional sites were found to be separated from all depositing sites by an **energy flux** magnitude of 10^3 W m^{-1} .




Figure 2. Map of the Pacific internal tidal wave field (Simmons, 2008). White represents high energy flux of propagating internal waves, in practice areas of probable larger amplitude waves and greater oscillating current components due to them. Large circles highlight locations where major beams of internal wave energy are generated. Locations of the scientific drilling sites are superimposed. Ringed solid circles are sites classified as currently eroding or not undergoing deposition, and ringed open circles are sites classified as areas where pelagic sediments are currently being deposited. Solid circles without rings are other drill sites where data are shown in Figure 4, although information was considered insufficient for classification. Is. — islands.