Hepcidin Regulation of Iron Transport 1–3

James F. Collins,4 Marianne Wessling-Resnick,5* and Mitchell D. Knutson6

4Department of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, University at Buffalo, the State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14214; 5Departments of Nutrition and Genetics and Complex Diseases, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115; and 6Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

Abstract

The discovery of hepcidin as a key regulator of iron homeostasis has advanced our current knowledge of this field. Liver-derived hepcidin peptide is secreted in response to iron and inflammation and interacts with the iron export protein ferroportin. This review summarizes recent advances discussed at the Symposium. A particular focus is on molecular interactions between hepcidin and ferroportin, the regulation of hepcidin expression by iron and inflammation, and emerging methods to measure serum hepcidin in human populations. J. Nutr. 138: 2284–2288, 2008.

Introduction

Our understanding of iron metabolism has advanced dramatically in the past few years, mainly as a result of the discovery of hepcidin, a key regulator of whole-body iron homeostasis. Hepcidin is a peptide hormone produced primarily by the liver and secreted into the circulation. Its synthesis increases in response to iron and inflammation and decreases in response to erythropoiesis. Hepcidin regulates systemic iron metabolism by interacting with its receptor ferroportin, a transmembrane iron-export protein. Ferroportin is abundantly expressed on the cell surface of reticulendothelial (RE)1 macrophages (i.e., resident macrophages of the liver, spleen, and bone marrow) and on the basolateral membrane of duodenal enterocytes. These 2 cell types are the main suppliers of iron to the plasma. By recycling iron from scemescnet erythrocytes, RE macrophages release 20–25 mg of iron into the plasma per day. Enterocytes add another ~1–2 mg of iron to the plasma through the absorption of dietary iron. Hepcidin inhibits iron release at both of these sites by binding to cell-surface ferroportin and causing its internalization and subsequent degradation. Hepcidin can therefore be considered as a negative regulator of iron absorption and recycling.

The aim of the 2008 symposium “Hepcidin Regulation of Iron Transport” was to report recent advances regarding the molecular interactions between hepcidin and ferroportin, the regulation of hepcidin expression by iron, and the roles of hepcidin and ferroportin in iron overload disorders, inflammation, and infection. Methods of measuring hepcidin in human populations were also discussed. The symposium was cosponsored by the American Society for Nutrition and the American Physiological Society. Support for the symposium was generously provided by Intrisic LifeSciences and Xenon Pharmaceuticals. Participating speakers included Drs. Elizabeta Nemeth (UCLA), Ivana DeDominico (University of Utah), Janet Hunt (USD-A-RS Grand Forks Human Nutrition Research Center), David Haile (UTHSCSA), and Marianne Wessling-Resnick (HSPH). This review was prepared by the Symposium organizers and cochairs, Drs. Jamie Collins (currently at the University of Florida-Gainesville), Mitch Knutson (UF-Gainesville) and Marianne Wessling-Resnick (HSPH).

Hepcidin in iron-overload disorders

Many iron-overload disorders in humans, such as hemochromatosis disorders and genetic anemias, are the result of perturbed regulation of hepcidin expression in the liver, ultimately leading to abnormally low hepcidin production in the setting of increased body iron levels. Hepcidin is regulated by several molecules including HFE (product of a high-iron gene), hemojuevelin (HJV), and transferrin receptor 2 (TfR2), as described in more detail below (see summary in Table 1 and Figure 1). Two point mutations in the HFE gene are a principal cause of hereditary hemochromatosis (HH), which is characterized by iron accumulation causing organ and tissue damage. In HH, hepcidin expression is inappropriately low despite systemic iron overload (1), suggesting that HFE is required for normal hepcidin expression. Mutations in HFE that are common in HH disrupt interactions of HFE with the iron-sensing machinery, leading to decreased production of hepcidin.

Other forms of hemochromatosis are caused by mutations in the TFR2 and hemojuvelin (HJV) genes. Homozygous disruption
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of the TFR2 gene leads to a more severe form of hemochromatosis in which urinary hepcidin levels are very low (2,3). Juvenile hemochromatosis, which manifests much earlier in life, is caused by mutations in the HJV gene or the hepcidin gene itself (4). Patients with this disorder have essentially undetectable urinary hepcidin levels (5). Regulation of hepcidin expression is also perturbed in chronic anemias with hemolysis or increased erythropoiesis in which iron overload and maldistribution occur (6). Serum hepcidin levels are severely decreased in these patients despite systemic iron overload (7). These findings suggest that the effects of anemia, particularly in the setting of enhanced red blood cell production, override the influence of increased body iron levels on hepcidin gene expression. Low hepcidin levels may contribute to the iron overload seen in these patients.

Another important player in the regulation of body iron levels is the iron exporter ferroportin. Ferroportin is the receptor through which hepcidin exerts its functional effects on serum iron levels. Interestingly, inactivating mutations in ferroportin also lead to a form of inherited hemochromatosis called “ferroportin disease” (8,9). Apparently, no other iron exporters can compensate for its loss of function.

**Synthesis and regulation of hepcidin expression**

As denoted by its name, hepcidin is produced primarily by hepatocytes. Other tissues and cells have been shown to express hepcidin, although to a much smaller extent. The human liver synthesizes hepcidin initially as an 84-amino-acid preprohepcidin. The first 24 amino acids of preprohepcidin contain an endoplasmic reticulum targeting signal that is cleaved to produce prohepcidin. Further enzymatic cleavage of prohepcidin produces the bioactive 25-amino-acid hepcidin. Bioactive hepcidin is released into plasma and excreted into the urine. Urinary hepcidin levels increase markedly after acute administration of an oral iron supplement (10) and are elevated 50- to 1000-fold in patients with secondary iron overload (11).

![FIGURE 1 Regulation of body iron homeostasis by hepcidin.](https://academic.oup.com/jn/article-abstract/138/11/2284/4670128)

Several proteins including TIR2, a complex of HFE with TIR1, HJV, SMAD4, and BMP. Hepcidin produced by hepatocytes may also have autocrine and/or paracrine effects on various cell types in the liver, and hepcidin produced by other cell types and tissues may have similar effects. Hepcidin produced by nonhepatic tissues contributes little to circulating hepcidin levels but may have important local effects.

### TABLE 1 Proteins involved with hepcidin regulation of iron transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Role in hepcidin regulation of iron transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferroportin</td>
<td>Transmembrane protein</td>
<td>Hepcidin receptor and iron exporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFE</td>
<td>Single point mutation responsible for most iron overload</td>
<td>Mutations in HFE are associated with low hepcidin production; precise function unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFR2</td>
<td>Homologous to TIR1; abundantly expressed in liver</td>
<td>May sense plasma iron level through HFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP6</td>
<td>Member of the TGFβ superfamily of cytokines; expression is induced by iron</td>
<td>Binds to BMP receptors I and II, inducing phosphorylation of SMAD proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJV</td>
<td>Membrane-bound and soluble protein</td>
<td>Serves as a BMP coreceptor to activate SMAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMADs</td>
<td>Cellular mediators of transcriptional activation</td>
<td>Activated SMAD induce transcription of hepcidin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK</td>
<td>Involved in a signaling pathway that regulates ferroportin trafficking</td>
<td>May phosphorylate ferroportin; activates STAT3 in response to IL-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>Cellular mediator of transcriptional activation</td>
<td>Activated STAT3 induces transcription of hepcidin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.
How iron increases the expression of hepcidin is an active area of study. Studies in primary hepatocytes show that hepcidin responds to iron only when it is bound to its plasma transport protein transferrin (12). In vivo, the hepcidin response to dietary iron is proportional to the increase in the amount of iron carried on plasma transferrin (i.e., transferrin saturation). These observations suggest that the liver uses transferrin saturation as an iron sensor. At the cellular level, iron sensing appears to involve transferrin receptor 2 (TfR2) because liver-specific disruption of TfR2 in mice markedly diminished hepcidin expression despite hepatic iron overload and substantially elevated transferrin saturation (13). HFE also appears to be required for iron sensing because patients with mutations in HFE do not produce hepcidin in response to oral iron as do normal individuals (1). In vivo studies suggest that HFE induces hepcidin expression only when it is not in complex with TfR1 (14). However, in vitro studies showing that HFE and TfR2 interact suggest that these 2 molecules work together to sense iron (15,16). It has been proposed that HFE uncouples from TfR1 to form the HFE/TfR2 complex that enables up-regulation of hepcidin expression to decrease dietary iron uptake and reduce iron recycling from macrophages in response to transferrin saturation (14).

Iron sensing is also mediated through the bone morphogenic protein (BMP)/SMAD [proteins that are homologs of both the Drosophila protein mothers against decapentaplegic (MAD) and the C. elegans protein SMA] signaling pathway. BMP represent a class of secreted ligands that regulate cell proliferation and differentiation. Binding of BMP ligands to cell-surface BMP receptors causes the phosphorylation of SMAD proteins that translocate to the nucleus to induce transcription of specific genes, including hepcidin. The unexpected link between the BMP/SMAD signaling pathway and hepcidin expression was first made in studies of mice lacking SMAD4 in the liver. Like TfR2-deficient animals, SMAD4-null mice developed hepatic iron overload with markedly reduced hepcidin expression (17). Moreover, these mice were unable to produce hepcidin in response to iron injection, thus demonstrating that the BMP/SMAD4 pathway is required to sense iron. BMP have also been shown to bind to HJV (16,18), the protein mutated in juvenile hemochromatosis. HJV exists bound to the cell surface or in a circulating soluble form. Cell-surface HJV binds to BMP and stimulates BMP signaling and hepcidin synthesis, whereas the soluble form antagonizes this effect. Overall, the transcriptional regulation of hepcidin expression by iron appears increasingly complex, involving the orchestration of a number of proteins. Models integrating all of these proteins have been proposed (16,19).

Measurement of hepcidin in human populations and correlation with markers of body iron stores

Several methods have recently been developed to measure hepcidin levels in humans. These include assays developed to detect prohepcidin (by mRNA expression detected from liver biopsy samples), prohepcidin, and hepcidin-25 (the biologically active form of hepcidin) in serum and urine. The utility of these assays has been the subject of debate in the scientific community. One assay was used by Hadley et al. (20) in an attempt to correlate prohepcidin expression with iron absorption in healthy women volunteers. These authors had previously demonstrated that there was a strong correlation between nonheme iron absorption and serum ferritin levels (21) in that individuals with low serum ferritin absorbed more iron than those with high serum ferritin (in the absence of detectable inflammation). Using a commercial immunosorbent assay, these authors demonstrated that there was no significant correlation between iron absorption in healthy women and prohepcidin, although there was a significant correlation between serum prohepcidin and serum ferritin (20). Another group confirmed that serum prohepcidin did not correlate with iron absorption in a study of healthy men, some of whom were heterozygous for mutations of the HFE gene (22). Serum prohepcidin did not correlate with serum ferritin in this study (22).

Many of these recently developed hepcidin assays are cumbersome to perform and/or require extensive research instrumentation, and their availability to the clinical research community has been limited. Some recent studies of physiologically relevant hepcidin in humans have relied on a human urinary assay (10) in which hepcidin is extracted by cation-exchange chromatography and is detected by an immunodot chemiluminescent assay. Hepcidin is normalized to urinary creatinine to account for varying dilution of urine samples. Although this assay has proven useful, it is laborious, and its accuracy depends on the unproven supposition that urinary hepcidin correlates with circulating serum hepcidin. More recently, hepcidin assays based on mass spectrometric techniques have been developed (3,23–25), but these assays are semiquantitative and depend on expensive scientific instrumentation.

Very recently, as revealed at the Experimental Biology symposium, a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for human hepcidin has been developed (26). This assay utilizes a hepcidin antibody previously developed (10) and biotinylated hepcidin-25 as a tracer. These investigators have demonstrated that the assay can be performed in a high-throughput format in 96-well plates, and that it accurately and reproducibly detects physiological and pathological changes in serum or urine hepcidin levels. This assay may thus prove to be a superior method for the detection of hepcidin in human samples and should allow the thorough characterization of hepcidin levels in various human pathologies and in normal populations.

Molecular interactions of hepcidin with ferroportin

When hepcidin binds to ferroportin at the cell surface, the 2 proteins are internalized and degraded in lysosomes (27). The molecular signals involved in ferroportin internalization and degradation have recently been identified. Cell culture studies show that hepcidin binding to ferroportin at the cell surface initiates the rapid phosphorylation of 1 of 2 adjacent tyrosine residues located on a putative cytosolic region of ferroportin (28). After phosphorylation, the hepcidin-ferroportin complex is internalized through clathrin-coated pits. Once internalized, the phosphates are removed, and ferroportin becomes ubiquitinated, which directs the protein through the multivesicular body and subsequently to the lysosome for ultimate degradation. Defective ferroportin phosphorylation may contribute to iron-overload disorders because 2 human ferroportin mutations, N144H and Q182H, were found to display no or delayed phosphorylation, consistent with the insensitivity of these mutants to hepcidin-induced degradation. Preliminary studies indicate that phosphorylation of ferroportin is mediated by Janus kinase 2 (JAK2). Current efforts are directed at identifying which amino acids of ferroportin bind hepcidin, i.e., the hepcidin-binding domain.

The role of hepcidin during inflammation and infection

The ability of hepcidin to down-regulate ferroportin from the cell surface not only provides a molecular explanation for the regulation of iron homeostasis but also helps to explain the coordinated response producing the hypoferremia of inflamma-
tion and infection. Nemeth et al. (10) have shown that the cytokine interleukin (IL)-6 is necessary and sufficient for induction of hepcidin during inflammation, establishing that the iron regulatory peptide plays a key role in the anemia of chronic diseases. Induction by IL-6 involves signal transduction by JAK kinase regulation of Signal Activator of Transcription (29,30), adding to the complexity of transcriptional responses dictating hepcidin regulation. The integration of the signaling responses for appropriate expression of hepcidin is a key area of research that warrants further study (16,19).

Although production by the liver most likely accounts for the majority of hepcidin in the systemic circulation, the regulatory peptide can be synthesized in other tissues including heart (31,32), kidney (33), adipose tissue (34), spinal cord (35), myeloid cells (36), splenic and alveolar macrophages (37), and monocytes (38). These observations raise key questions about the function of hepcidin in local interactions. In particular, the coexpression of hepcidin with ferroportin in macrophages, neutrophils, heart, and retina raises the possibility for autocrine regulation in these settings. Autocrine biosynthesis of hepcidin has been shown to increase iron retention and to down-regulate ferroportin expression in monocytes and macrophages (37–39). Although infection and inflammation are known to induce liver expression of hepcidin, autocrine synthesis can more selectively activate the appropriate cell type and response. In fact, there is evidence that hepcidin may interact with ferroportin of macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells quite differently (40), suggesting that autocrine responses to locally produced hepcidin can help fine-tune the regulation of iron metabolism and response to infection.

It has long been known that iron is essential for both host and pathogen, leading to a rational basis for the hypoferremia of infection. However, although down-regulation of ferroportin by hepcidin would restrict systemic iron necessary for pathogen growth, cells expressing ferroportin would be particularly vulnerable to infection because of iron retention. This idea has recently been supported by studies of flatiron mice, a strain heterozygous for loss-of-function ferroportin mutations (41). Compared with control macrophages, flatiron macrophages show increased growth of Chlamydia and Legionella (42). These data are consistent with observations that demonstrate that ferroportin levels can also influence growth of Salmonella (43,44). Paradoxically, autocrine regulation of hepcidin expression by myeloid cells (36) and retinal cells (45) has been shown to respond to bacterial pathogens through toll-like receptor 4. Concomitant with this effect, expression of ferroportin declines. Sow et al. (46) have suggested that hepcidin may have a direct antimicrobial activity under these circumstances. However, new data discussed at the Experimental Biology symposium suggest that manipulation of intracellular iron pools through hepcidin-ferroportin regulation may also alter macrophage-mediated immune responses involving cytokine production (44,47) as well as nitric oxide production (48). These observations bolster the view that autocrine regulation of hepcidin synthesis in response to infection may play a key role in innate immunity that goes well beyond systemic iron withdrawal to actually modulate local inflammatory effects.

Conclusions and future directions

This article summarizes key findings from the EB2008 symposium on hepcidin and the regulation of iron homeostasis. A more comprehensive review of this topic can be found in a recent article by Nemeth and Ganz (6). It is now widely accepted that hepcidin is the key regulator of overall body iron homeostasis. Historically, several regulators of iron homeostasis were recognized that controlled iron flux in response to reductions in tissue oxygen levels, changes in body iron stores, alterations in erythropoiesis, and inflammation and infection. Recent findings have demonstrated that hepcidin gene expression is regulated by all these physiological changes, thus providing evidence that it is the long-sought iron regulatory hormone. It has also become apparent that hepcidin regulation occurs predominantly at the transcriptional level and that mRNA expression levels correlate with circulating protein levels. Pathophysiological alterations in certain individuals and in mouse models have revealed a number of players in the transcriptional regulation of hepcidin gene expression, many of which signal via the BMP/SMAD pathway. Several important areas for future research exist in the hepcidin/iron field. A better understanding of the precise interaction between ferroportin and hepcidin would pave the way for the development of drugs that could mimic or block hepcidin activity and, in doing so, alter iron homeostasis. A better understanding of the transcriptional regulatory networks that signal to the hepcidin gene, particularly in response to iron and inflammation, will allow researchers to more fully appreciate the exquisite control of body iron levels, which often go awry in various pathological conditions. Furthermore, the development of a useful and accessible clinical assay is important to be able to assess alterations in hepcidin expression in various populations. It is our hope that the new assay developed by Ganz et al. will fit these criteria and become widely accessible to the research community. And last, although methods for the production of recombinant hepcidin have been reported in the scientific literature, more work needs to be done in this area so as to be able to provide pure hepcidin ultimately for clinical trials in humans with iron-overload disorders.

In conclusion, the last decade has seen an explosion of our knowledge of iron homeostasis, and the next 10 years will undoubtedly provide even more information that will benefit clinicians in their management of patients with pathological perturbations of body iron homeostasis.
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