Nutrient cycles and resource management: implications for the choice of wastewater treatment technology
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Abstract We look at the most important issues of the global nitrogen and phosphorus cycle and conclude that the nutrients from human metabolism are of no importance for the global nitrogen cycle and of minor importance for the global phosphorus cycle. However, for water pollution control, N and P from the human metabolism are of extreme importance. Nitrogen is mainly an issue for coastal waters, whereas P is an issue for freshwater and coastal areas alike. It is by now generally recognised that coastal ecosystems are exceedingly important for human well-being and at the same time highly endangered. The recycling issue is of high importance in areas where nutrient application is low due to economic constraints. NoMix technology (urine source separation) holds a large promise to become an efficient mainstream technology. The largest short-term potential is found in densely populated areas in coastal areas without existing infrastructure and in areas with nutrient deficiency, especially in urban areas with a large nutrient potential. We believe, however, that these technologies will, with time, also become competitive in Europe.
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Introduction
Despite ever stricter nutrient emission standards for wastewater in Europe, we observe a tendency to consider nutrients from wastewater of minor importance for the environment compared with nutrients from agriculture and energy production (see e.g. Harremoes, 1998; Kroiss, 2004). Raising doubt on the significance of nutrients from wastewater obviously questions the relevance of newer source separating approaches, which are mainly motivated by advanced nutrient management. In this paper, we review the contemporary discussion on nitrogen and phosphorus with respect to resources, environmental policy and sustainable development. We also compare one new approach in wastewater management, the NoMix technology, with conventional end-of-pipe solutions.

The nitrogen cycle
Based on available data and a mechanistic understanding of the nitrogen cycle, Galloway et al. (2004) estimate the global fluxes of nitrogen. In Table 1 we summarise the aspects most relevant for the discussion of advanced nutrient management. The nitrogen metabolised by humans, $N_{mh}$, amounts to 5% of the total production of reactive nitrogen $N_r$ ($N_r$ comprises all nitrogen compounds apart from $N_2$). $N_{mh}$ is obviously irrelevant for the global nitrogen cycle with its high natural and anthropogenic turnover rates. Compared with the $N_r$ produced in the Haber-Bosch process, $N_{mh}$ makes up 20%, which is already more significant. From a resource point of view, the availability of $N_r$ is a question of energy and economy, two aspects we will discuss later in the paper. However, due to the large net losses of nitrogen in agriculture, uptake efficiency and hence the type of nitrogen fertiliser that might be produced from human waste is a highly important issue.

In order to understand the global nitrogen aspects of water pollution control, we compare the amount of metabolised nitrogen, $N_{mh}$, with the total flux of nitrogen from
the continents to estuaries and shelves. Globally, $N_{mh}$ corresponds to 35–40% of this total flux, a potentially significant contribution to nitrogen pollution of coastal waters. The actual contribution, of course, depends on the transformation processes between production and sink. In contrast and again based on Table 1, we assume that the numerous other nitrogen transformations resulting, for example, in air pollution and greenhouse gas formation, are dominated by the much larger non-wastewater sources. We therefore concentrate on coastal areas where wastewater nitrogen is most likely to cause problems (Galloway et al., 2003).

There are many single studies on the actual contribution of wastewater to the nitrogen loading of coastal areas. The European Environment Agency (2005) concludes that in Europe, agriculture is the main source of nitrogen. This result is not surprising given the high degree of advanced nutrient removal in Europe and the intensive agriculture. However, recently, Valiela et al. (2002) concluded that the results of such studies may depend mostly on the choice of model. The authors compared seven published models predicting the fluxes of N from wastewater, fertiliser and energy production to one estuary of Waquoit Bay (Massachusetts) and found a predicted wastewater contribution between 13 and 89%. Based on their experience in the area, and supporting their findings through $^{15}$N isotopic measurements, Bowen and Valiela (2001) made their own simulations for the entire catchment. Not surprisingly, they found a strong correlation between population density and percentage of N from wastewater (Figure 1). In Waquoit Bay, the main technology for dealing with domestic wastewater is the septic tank and Bowen and Valiela (2001) assumed 80% transfer of wastewater nitrogen to the aquifer. We expect that a system based on sewers without treatment plants will have higher transfer coefficients for N to the receiving water, whereas sewer systems with advanced N-elimination will have lower transfer coefficients. Since freshwater systems have a certain capacity for reducing the nitrogen load, detailed knowledge of the path of nitrogen before it reaches the coastal area in question is required in order to judge a given situation. Generally, Galloway et al. (2003) describe

![Figure 1](https://iwaponline.com/wst/article-pdf/56/5/229/437926/229.pdf)

**Table 1** Some aspects of the global nitrogen cycle (Mt/year; according to Galloway et al., 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1860</th>
<th>Early 1990s</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total production of reactive nitrogen (N$_r$)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haber-Bosch process (1990s: 86% for fertilisers)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flux to continents (natural + anthropogenic)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen metabolised by humans, $N_{mh}$*</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow from continent</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric deposition</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers correspond to 10 g N/person/day, an early 1990 population of 5.5 billion and a 2050 population of 10 billion
the nitrogen transfer potential of wetlands, streams, lakes and rivers as “very high”, and the
denitrification potential of these same systems as “moderate to high”.

Green et al. (2004) estimated the fate of wastewater nitrogen on a global scale
(Table 2). Only about 6% is removed in wastewater treatment plants. A little more than
half of the remaining nitrogen stems from sewer systems. Due to the rapid increase in the
global urban population (ca. 5 billion projected for 2025 as compared with ca. 2.5 billion
in the late 1990s; UNEP, 1999), we assume that in future, more nitrogen will be trans-
ported in sewers, and possibly more nitrogen will be removed.

Ever since the eighties of the last century, there has been considerable uncertainty
whether nitrogen or phosphorus limits algae growth in coastal areas. Due to this uncer-
tainty, the Danish regulation from 1987 demanded reductions in N as well as in P loading
to the North Sea (Harremoës, 1998). In the scientific literature, the idea prevails that in
coastal areas of the temperate zone, nitrogen is the primary limiting element for algae
growth, with widespread P-limitation during spring and also in some deeper parts of the
coastal areas (e.g. Rabalais, 2002; Bowen and Valiela, 2001; Cloern, 2001). The authors
point out that coastal ecology is more complex than freshwater ecology, and that the
shift in the N:P:Si ratio is part of the problem, not only increased levels of N and P. Downing et al. (1999) explain the importance of N:P ratios by the fact that the N-content
of aquatic organisms is relatively constant, compared with large variations in the
P-content of different organisms. Cloern (2001) points out that the spectacular fish deaths,
often perceived as the only detrimental effects of coastal eutrophication, are only the end
result of many more subtle changes, most prominently the shift in algae populations.
Such shifts may also have important economic consequences by themselves, e.g. the dis-
appearance of eelgrass, supporting a number of commercially interesting fish species
(Bowen and Valiela, 2001). The on-going discussion of the Baltic Sea problems, where
the population shift towards nitrogen fixing cyanobacteria causes problems, shows that
considerable disagreements with respect to the best management of coastal areas still
exist. At least in the case of the Baltic Sea, high reductions in P as well as in N loading
seem to be preferred (Pelley, 2006).

There is much less research on aquatic ecosystems in the tropics, but in a comprehen-
sive review, Downing et al. (1999) conclude that more N-limitations occur in tropical
than in temperate freshwater systems. Furthermore, the authors expect nitrogen to be
more persistent in the tropics and therefore to have a larger impact in the marine ecosys-
tems than observed in the temperate zone.

The phosphorus cycle
In contrast to N, the P cycle can for all practical purposes be considered a one way flow
dominated by erosion and the requirements of agriculture (Table 3; Smil, 2000). This
makes phosphorus a scarce resource on a global scale, with available reserves for only
about 100 years at the present rate of fertiliser consumption. However, the reserve base is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Fate of nitrogen metabolised by humans on a global scale (Green et al., 2004)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MtN/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally metabolised</td>
<td>21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in sewers</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In sewers</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed in treatment plants</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number corresponds to 10 g N/person/day and a mid-1990 world population of 5.75 billion
three times larger and large P-resources exist on the continental shelves and on seamounts (US Geological Survey, 2006). Quality, price and environmental consequences of mining rather than quantity are the main problem of phosphorus from a resource point of view. P-losses due to erosion in agriculture as reported by Smil (2000; Table 3) are based on an average erosion rate of 10 kg P per hectare. However, this erosion rate is rather to be expected in strongly eroded areas: Sharpley et al. (1995) reported a total phosphorus loss of 9.77 kg/ha/y in grass watersheds with extensive gully formation. On a global scale, accumulation of P in the agricultural soil might be higher than estimated by Smil (Table 3). Nevertheless, the data show that global phosphorus resource management is more a question of good agricultural practice than of sewage management. Since nutrient deficiency increases erosion, technologies favouring P (and N) recycling would support good agricultural practice in areas where fertiliser application is limited by economic constraints, as for example in Africa (see Gruhn et al., 2000 for an overview over global nutrient mining of agricultural soil).

Even in Europe, however, there are good reasons to consider phosphorus recycling as a positive aspect of human waste management. Due to the decreasing quality of mined phosphorus (higher levels of heavy metals) and higher environmental standards (e.g. regulation against recycling of gypsum waste from the production of phosphorus, among other things due to radioactivity and stricter thresholds for heavy metals in fertiliser), one must expect the price of phosphate to increase (see Smil, 2000 for an overview). In the long run, reducing losses of phosphorus from agriculture and increasing recycling of phosphorus will extend the time before even more expensive phosphorus with even higher environmental impacts has to be extracted from the resource base.

In the context of water pollution control, P-elimination is generally accepted as an efficient measure to prevent eutrophication of lakes, but the relevance for coastal areas is still controversial. The European Environment Agency (2005) estimates that the major phosphorus load to the aquatic environment in Europe stems from wastewater; on a global scale the numbers are very uncertain.

Originally, phosphorus elimination was introduced to protect freshwater lakes and was very often successful. However, with increasing urbanisation, conventional technology (resulting in an effluent concentration of about 0.2 g P/m$^3$) may not be sufficient everywhere. In Lake Greifensee in Switzerland, phosphorus still causes problems and 52% of biologically available P stems from wastewater, 20% from treated wastewater, 16% from combined sewers overflow (CSO) and 16% from storm water discharges (Meier, 2003). The author discusses measures for a reduction in the total P-loading, but does not expect to reach the desired water quality of the lake. In Lake Dianchi, Kunming, China,

| Table 3 Some aspects of the global phosphorus cycle (Mt/year; according to Smil, 2000) |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Total erosion (incl. agriculture) | > 30          |
| Total input to agriculture      | 24–29         |
| Weathering + atmospheric deposition | 3–4       |
| Organic recycling              | 7–10          |
| Synthetic fertilisers           | 14–15         |
| Total output from agriculture   | 24–27         |
| Crops and crop residues         | 11–12         |
| Erosion                         | 13–15         |
| P metabolised by humans, P$_{mh}$ $^*$ | 2.5     |

$^*$Assuming a daily excretion of 1.2 g P/person and a mid-1990 world population of 5.75 billion.
observe a similar situation. Huang et al. (2007) showed that even with the best available wastewater management technology and equal efforts from agriculture and industry, it is not possible to reach the official goals for the P-loading of the lake.

Already in 1987, P-control was introduced in Denmark to protect the North Sea (Harremoës, 1998). The effects of phosphorus in coastal areas may be slow to manifest, but can be difficult to reverse. An example is the Baltic Sea where phosphorus rich sediments built up over the last decades and strict P-control is recommended to restore water quality over the next decades (Conley et al., 2002). In the tropics, the situation is similar. Many coastal ecosystems are limited by phosphorus, including precious mangrove and reef ecosystems (Downing et al., 1999), and these authors assume the effects of eutrophication to be worse in the tropical than in the temperate zone. Since fish is the primary source of protein for one billion people, especially in the southern hemisphere (UNEP, 1999), a possible breakdown of aquatic wildlife will also have more serious consequences for people in this area.

A general strategy for advanced nutrient management

From the findings above we conclude that, on a global scale, advanced nutrient management is a question of water pollution control, economy and environmental effects of fertiliser production and application, rather than nutrient scarcity. In some parts of the world, severe economic constraints on nutrient application, with detrimental effects on society and environment alike, call for a strong focus on nutrient recycling from human waste as part of the agenda for sustainable development (see e.g. Wilderer, 2005). In other areas, nutrient recycling may be a positive side aspect, but this must be evaluated from an integrated point of view. For water pollution control, phosphorus removal is important in freshwater and coastal ecosystems alike, whereas nitrogen removal is mainly (but not exclusively) important for coastal areas. Although agriculture is also an important source of nutrients, evidence from Europe and the USA shows that nutrient removal from wastewater is a necessary and effective measure to abate water pollution. Since on a global scale, only about 6% of nitrogen from the human metabolism is eliminated in treatment plants, there is a huge scope for improved N-control. We assume the potential for P to be in the same order of size.

It is by now generally established that marine and coastal ecosystems provide a large range of benefits to humans and that these ecosystems are deteriorating fast, also due to the fact that population densities are high and growing in coastal areas (UNEP, 2006; see also Figure 2). Since the effects of nutrient loading on coastal waters are slow to manifest, the rapid urbanisation in these areas will lead to increased problems in the future, which can only be circumvented if strict nutrient management is implemented (Mee, 2006). For freshwater systems, decisions on wastewater management are easier due to the closer relation between cause and effect. However, uncertainties in source modelling, the effect of phosphorus accumulation and more prevalent N-limitations in tropical freshwaters are still complicating factors in such decision processes. Since the world population will increase dramatically in the next decades with a large shift toward urban areas, we predict that on a global scale highly effective nutrient containment technologies will gain in importance. Since climate change will probably lead to drier summers, at least in continental and southeastern Europe (Rowell and Jones, 2006), we expect water pollution problems to increase also in Europe, leading to stricter emission requirements for nutrients also in Europe.

It is not an easy task to determine in general whether conventional end-of-pipe or new technologies based on source separation hold most promise for efficient nutrient management. Since source separating technologies are at a very early point of development, traditional cost-benefit analyses are still difficult. Basically, both technologies can do the same things, although source separating technologies hold more promise.
for very stringent nutrient emission targets and nitrogen recycling. Experience with source control of phosphate in detergents show that measures at the source may in fact be very efficient (Siegrist and Boller, 1999). In nutrient deficient areas like some parts of Africa where fertilisers are several times more expensive than elsewhere, but at the same time dramatically needed to feed an increasing population and to preserve valuable farmland (Gruhn et al., 2000), source separation seems the only logical step in order to ensure good management of a local resource (see also Wilderer, 2005). Although in this case simple source separation technologies for rural areas will be highly valuable, there is an even larger impetus to develop modern technologies for high density areas, where effective nutrient containment and recycling could make a huge difference for water pollution control as well as for the surrounding agriculture.

**Performance and resource management of different technologies**

Below, we compare one source separating technology, the NoMix technology, and different conventional technologies with respect to removal efficiencies and energy consumption. We also discuss the issues of micropollutants, sludge production and economy. From Table 4, we see that NoMix technology alone or in combination with primary treatment, chemical precipitation or biological treatment with a low sludge age will answer the case for high P and especially high N removal. If NoMix technology is applied without combination with an end-of-pipe technology, a parallel phosphate ban and/or some sort of faeces management is necessary for substantial reduction of P-emissions. We suggest that in areas with little existing infrastructure, it makes more sense to apply NoMix technology and faeces management directly instead of installing sewers, which are in most cases not followed by the installation of nutrient removing wastewater treatment plants. Well managed NoMix technology will rapidly improve water quality instead of the typical history of sewers decreasing water quality before treatment plants may eventually improve it again. Also, a combination of simplified sewers and NoMix technology would make it relatively easy to upgrade such a system with simplified wastewater treatment, e.g. sedimentation with or without chemical precipitation.

If we look at energy issues, Wilsenach and van Loosdrecht (2006) show that NoMix technology transforms an advanced nutrient elimination plant from an energy consumer of about 11W/person of primary energy into an energy producer, producing about 2 W/person. Nowak (2003) sets much more stringent benchmarks for the energy efficient Austrian plants (<1 W/person of external electrical energy ≈ <3W/p primary energy), partly due
to less stringent emission standards, but nevertheless reducing the NoMix potential of energy economy. However, some of the energy savings claimed by Novak (2003) will also result in an increase of the energy production reported by Wilsenach and van Loosdrecht (2006). If urine is recycled as fertiliser, more energy saving is possible if the total recycling energy is smaller than the amount of energy required for the production of synthetic N and P fertiliser. As compared with the average 45 MJ/kgN primary energy used for nitrogen fertiliser production in Europe, Maurer et al. (2003) report on existing technologies increasing the nutrient concentration in urine 5–10 fold with an energy demand of around 30 MJ/kg N. However, the fate of nitrogen may be more important than energy (see Galloway et al., 2003 for an overview of nitrogen transformation in the environment). In areas with nitrogen surplus, well-managed nitrogen removal from urine (Udert et al. 2003) is a much better solution. In nutrient deficient areas, the case for recycling is clear, and increasing energy prices will make nitrogen recycling even more rewarding in future. Where recycling makes sense, one should aim at producing a fertiliser product with a high uptake rate by plants.

Sludge production is an important and often forgotten aspect of wastewater treatment. As long as sludge could be cheaply disposed of in agriculture, P-recycling could at least be postulated. However, the presence of heavy metals and questionable organic compounds combined with a suboptimal plant availability of the phosphorus reduces the acceptance of sludge reuse drastically. In Switzerland, all sewage sludge is now incinerated and hence the large amount of incineration residue due to the products of chemical precipitation becomes more important.

NoMix technology is not only a question of nutrients; urine also contains a multitude of organic compounds due to the human detoxification system in the kidneys. Urine contains about 15% of the COD found in wastewater, corresponding roughly to 30% of DOC. The endogenous urine compounds are part of the problem of combined sewers overflow (CSO), and exhibited an 80 times stronger effect in one ecotoxicity test than a defined mixture of pharmaceuticals alone (Escher et al., 2006). Furthermore, synthetic hormones and pharmaceutical residues are largely contained in urine. In a quantitative assessment of 212 parent drugs, Lienert et al. (2007a, this issue) found that on average, 64% of these pharmaceuticals are excreted in urine, albeit with extremely large variations. However, the efficiency of urine source separation on the fate of micropollutants also depends on the relative toxicity of the faeces and urine fraction, respectively, and the fate of particulate matter in sewers and wastewater treatment plants. Ecotoxicological modelling of 30 drugs and their human metabolites indicated that urine source

Table 4 Comparison of estimated elimination efficiencies (%) of wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) versus NoMix technology. We only consider domestic wastewater and assume 5% loss of raw wastewater in combined sewers overflow, 100% connection, and European standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical removal efficiencies (%)</th>
<th>NoMix technology (90% separation efficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD 30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 5</td>
<td>70–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 5–15</td>
<td>15–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄ effluent concentration High</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, primary treatment</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, chemical precipitation</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, sludge age 2 days</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, sludge age 8–10 days</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, sludge age &gt; 12 days</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWTP, sludge age &gt; 12 days +</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organic C-source</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Ødegaard (1992); numbers from article corrected assuming 5% loss of raw wastewater in CSOs.
separation could successfully decrease the ecotoxicological risk of some, but certainly not all drugs (Lienert et al., 2007b). The authors estimate that roughly equal average risks are contained in urine and faeces. Rossi et al. (subm.) point out that NoMix technology would allow for a stronger focus on particulate matter in CSO management, a beneficial strategy for both P-elimination and the elimination of micropollutants contained in faeces. Naturally, the same strategy would apply for treatment plants.

As for every new technology, economy is the main unknown and at present, NoMix technology cannot compete with conventional end-of-pipe technology in this respect. Maurer et al. (2005) set approximate benchmarks of 260–440 US$ per capita for the investment in NoMix installations, assuming the same operation and maintenance costs as for central plants with present standard nutrient removal, but without counting the savings for disposal of sludge without precipitation products. We estimate that this is a challenging but not impossible benchmark and that increasing demands on effluent quality will render NoMix technology even more interesting in the future.

Conclusion
We conclude that on a global scale nutrient management is one of the most important issues of advanced sanitation, with large implications for water pollution control, resource management and sustainable development. The scientific literature supports concomitant N and P removal to protect coastal areas and statistics show that on a global scale there is a huge potential for improved nutrient removal. Nutrient mining from agricultural soil due to economic constraints is an immense sustainability issue that could be much helped with effective nutrient recycling from human waste, especially from urban areas. Source separating technologies, like the NoMix technology, have a large potential for resource efficient nutrient removal and recycling. Due to present trends towards urbanisation, especially of coastal areas, and climate change we expect much more stringent nutrient emission targets, favouring source separating technologies, globally as well as in Europe. We see a large potential for the development of modern source separating technologies for urban areas and expect that these could rapidly become economically competitive, also in Europe.
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