The efficacy of self-expanding metal stents for palliation of malignant esophageal strictures and fistulas
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Abstract

Objectives: Esophageal strictures and esophagorespiratory fistulas are complications of malignant esophageal tumors, which are difficult to manage. The efficacy of self-expanding metal stents (SEMS) for palliation of malignant esophageal strictures and fistulas was investigated prospectively.

Methods: Forty-three SEMS were inserted in 41 patients with malignant esophageal stricture or fistula. Our series included 32 men and nine women, of whom median age was 61.4 years. Twenty nine stents were inserted for stricture, ten for esophago-tracheal fistula, and four esophago-pleural fistula. Stents were inserted endoscopically under fluoroscopic control. Results: SEMS implantation was technically successful in 40 of 41 patients. A second stenting was needed in two patients. Median dysphagia score improved from 3.4 to 1.3. The covered SEMS was successful in completely sealing 85.7% of the fistulas. Complication occurred in 11 (26.8%) patients. Especially in the case of tumor stenoses in the distal esophagus, complication rate was higher (44%). In total six patients (14.6%) died after stent placement during early postoperative period. Procedure-related mortality was 4.8% (2/41). Conclusions: We conclude that treatment of malignant esophageal obstructions, including esophagorespiratory fistulas, with SEMS is an alternative palliative procedure. Furthermore SEMS implantation seems more safe in the case of tumor stenoses locating in the middle esophagus.
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1. Introduction

Tumors invading the adjacent structures from esophagus and cardia are rarely curable. Malignancies in which a fistula develops between the digestive and respiratory tract are associated with short survival time [1–3]. Palliation of malignant obstruction of the gastrointestinal tract and closure of fistula between digestive and respiratory fistulas are the primary goals of therapy, ideally in a single procedure involving minimal risk. Esophageal stenting is a valuable treatment in this purpose. Recently, self-expanding metal stents (SEMS) have been proved to be effective in reducing morbidity and mortality [1,2,4–13]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the results of esophageal SEMS in a series of 41 patients with malignant dysphagia and esophago-tracheal fistula (ETF) or esophago-pleural fistula (EPF).

2. Materials and methods

Between, September 1996 and February 2002, a total of 41 patients underwent esophageal stenting in our department. There were 36 patients with esophageal carcinoma, four patients with bronchial carcinoma and one patient with laryngeal carcinoma. Our series consisted of nine women and 32 men with a mean age of 62 years (range, 42–75 years). Patients’ characteristics are included in Table 1.

Twenty-seven patients had an esophageal stenosis alone. Eighteen tumors were non-resectable esophageal carcinoma for anatomic or functional reasons. Eight patients had an anastomotic recurrence after the esophagogastrectomy, and one patient with laryngeal carcinoma had a cervical recurrence 19 months after the laryngectomy. This patient had not tracheobronchial obstruction.

The dysphagia was graded as follows: grade 0, normal
swallowing; grade 1, unable to swallow solids; grade 2, unable to swallow semisolids; grade 3, unable to swallow liquids; grade 4, unable to swallow own saliva.

Ten patients had an ETF. The fistulas developed after the radiotherapy in seven patients with inoperable esophagus carcinoma. Three patients had a bronchial carcinoma. In one of these patients, mediastinal recurrence and esophagotracheal fistula occurred 3 years after lobectomy. Two patients had inoperable bronchial carcinoma, and fistula developed after the radiotherapy. Both of the patients had a central tumor and partial obstruction of main bronchus before the radiation therapy (Fig. 1).

Four patients had an EPF. In two of them, fistula developed after the radiation therapy. Three of these patients with esophageal carcinoma had a fistula between anastomotic line and pleura after the operation (Fig. 2). In the other patient, who had undergone pneumonectomy because of bronchial carcinoma, fistula occurred due to mediastinal recurrence with esophageal invasion 2 years after the pneumonectomy.

2.1. Stent design

We used 35 covered and eight non-covered SEMS, which are flexible in the longitudinal axis. The central portion of the covered stents has a polyurethane layer and aims at restricting tumor ingrowth. The inner diameter of the central portion of the stent is 17–18 mm when fully expanded. Its flanged ends measure 28 mm in diameter and facilitate anchoring of the stent to the esophageal wall. A 38F (13-mm) delivery system is used for insertion and consists of three coaxially arranged polypropylene tubes. The stent is preloaded on the inner tube while the outer tube compresses the stent. The central lumen of the inner tube allows guidewire insertion. This assists the introduction of the stent delivery system across an esophageal stricture. A 23% shortening occurs after full deployment. Two SEMS (100 or 120 mm in length) were used in our patients. This allowed for at least 2-cm portion of the stent beyond the proximal and distal margins of the gross tumor.

2.2. Stent insertion

All patients were given general anesthesia for precise SEMS placement and patient comfort. Each lesion was assessed endoscopically, and the length of the stenosis was marked under fluoroscopic control using metallic markers.
attached to the skin. To facilitate rapid expansion, all strictures were progressively dilated to 15 mm using flexible bougie dilators. The guidewire was inserted through the stricture via an endoscope, and the stent system was passed over it. The radiopaque markers of the delivery system were useful in the identification of the central part of the stent and allowed for accurate positioning of the stent across the structures. In patients with lesions near the gastroesophageal junction, the lower end of the stent was positioned in the fundus of the stomach, with the majority of the stent in the lower esophagus. Postoperatively, a chest roentgenogram was taken to exclude perforation and check the stent position.

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation of the patients with esophageal stenosis alone

Stent implantation was technically successful in 26 of 27 patients with esophageal stenosis alone. Malpositioning of the stent at deployment occurred in one patient, who had a malignant obstruction on the cardia. Dilation was needed. The median period of taking meals without the nutritional support after esophageal stent placements was 2.7 months (range, from 1 to 14 months). Mean dysphagia grade improved after stent placement (mean dysphagia grade before implantation was 3.4, mean dysphagia grade after implantation was 1.3, \( P < 0.05 \)). In addition to this, dysphagia grade was gradually worse especially in the patients in whom a non-covered stent was used.

A second stent was needed in two patients because of the stent obstruction caused by tumor ingrowth and overgrowth. In one of these patients, non-covered SEMS was used because covered SEMS were not commercially available at the time of the treatment. In the other patient, membrane separation from the stent was established. Both were treated by the insertion of a second stent.

In two patients with distally malignant esophageal stenosis, perforation occurred during dilation. Covered SEMS was inserted. In one patient, perforation was healed after stent insertion. However, in the other patient, it failed, and mediastinitis and peritonitis developed. He died 8 days after the perforation.

3.2. Evaluation of the patients with fistula (ETF or EPF)

The symptoms of fistula improved after the placement of covered stent in nine of the ten patients (90%) with ETF. In one patient, fistula recurred 2 days after the stent placement. Since fistula was just below the larynx, we did not prefer second stent placement. Gastrostomy was needed in this patient. He died of pulmonary insufficiency 22 days later. Another patient died 5 days after the stent placement. This patient had bilateral pneumonia and the time period between development of symptoms and stenting was 14 days. The pneumonia could not be treated although the fistula was closed by a covered SEMS.

In three out of four patients with EPF, fistula was closed successfully and stenting applied in proper position. But in one patient with mediastinitis, fistula repeated 6 days later. He died of sepsis 8 days after the stent placement. The patient with mediastinitis and EPF referred to our clinic 10 days after the development of symptoms. Although the fistula was treated by covered stent, this patient died of mediastinitis 17 days after the stenting. The median survival time of the patients with fistulas (ETF and EPF) was 49 days (range 5–186 days).

3.3. Overall complications and mortality

Complications occurred in 11 (26.8%) patients (Table 2). Especially in the cases of tumor stenoses in the distal esophagus, complication rate was higher (44%, four of the nine patients).

In total six patients (14.6%) died after stent placement during early postoperative period. Two patients had procedure-related mortality. In one patient massive hema-

tesis, and melana were noted, and he died 6 days after stent insertion. The second patient, in whom perforation occurred during dilation, died 8 days after the perforation. Remains four patients died because of natural progress or non-stent related complication in early postoperative period. In two patients, stenting could not treat the fistula. The fistula recurred and these patients died of complications of the fistula. Other two patients died of previously present overwhelming pneumonia and mediastinitis although the fistulas were closed by covered stent.

The mean duration of hospital stay was 8.4 days (range, 21–23 days). During post-hospital period, all patients except two died as a result of the natural progression of the tumor. The median survival time was 94 days (range, 42–431 days). Two patients are still alive and able to take a meal without nutritional support at 180 and 110 days after stenting.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication in all patients</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain lasting &gt;24 h</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematemesis</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aritmia</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation of foreign body</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpositioning of the stent</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete stent expansion</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor ingrowth and overgrowth</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane separation</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent-related mortality</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

Palliation of malignant obstruction of the esophagus with endoscopically placed stent has been shown to improve patient quality of life by allowing restoration of oral alimentation [1,4]. Although plastic prostheses have been shown to be efficacious, gross dilatation of the tumor stenosis was necessary to enable the passage of these tubes [14–17]. Consequently, the rate of gross esophageal rupture at the tumor site, as well as aspiration, reflux, pneumonia, and sepsis with lethal complications was high, with a mortality rate of up to 42% [14–17]. SEMS have recently been introduced for this purpose [1,2,4–13].

Placement of the SEMS effectively relieved malignant dysphagia in 88.8% of patients treated in our series. The improvement in dysphagia grade after stent implantation was statistically significant. Tumor ingrowth and overgrowth is a significant problem with uncovered SEMS, occurring in 10–35% of patients [1,2,4–7]. One of the eight patients (12%) had a tumor ingrowth in our series. The addition of the covered membrane is successful in preventing tumor ingrowth. However, growth of the tumor above or below the stent may occur. The availability of longer stents may postpone this complication. In addition, covering of the SEMS (especially fully covered) may increase the rate of stent migration (2.5%) [4]. The SEMS used in our study are covered except at least 2 cm at least segment at each end. We did not encounter migration of the stent.

SEMS are placed, they cannot be easily repositioned and are either very difficult or impossible to remove [5]. In some cases, malposition can be compensated by placing a second stent overlapping the first. Plastic and metal stents become dislocated in 5–10% of cases [7]. Malpositioning of the stent occurred in one patient. It was treated by dilation and restenting did not require.

Membrane separation from the SEMS observed in one patient has been addressed to laminating the membrane between two layers of wire mesh in a coaxial arrangement, which also increases the radial force exerted by the stent [11].

ETF occur in approximately 5–15% of the patients with esophageal cancer [2,3,18,19]. The development of recurrent pulmonary infections secondary to aspiration and cachexia leads to short survival in patients with ETF, with most dying within 1 month [2,3,18]. Another form of fistula in these patients is EPF which is a very rare and fatal complication [20]. The surgical treatment of these fistulas has been associated with significant morbidity and an exceedingly high mortality rate [2,3,18]. The most reasonable palliation of the problems of malignant fistulas is an esophageal stenting. [2,3,5,6,14,18–20]. The covered SEMS was successful in completely sealing 80–100% of the fistulas in literature [1–7,14,18–20]. In our patients with fistula (ETF and EPF) the rate was 85.7%. The median survival time of these patients in the present series was 49 days. This also compares favorably with literature, reporting median survival times of 31–56 days [1,2,4,7,18–20].

The timing for placement of stent is important. Stenting should be applied before complications of the fistula occurred. Especially in the patient with EPF, if the patients have a mediastinitis, morbidity and mortality rate is high.

Complications of endoscopic stenting for malignant strictures have been well characterized [7]. Chest pain is a common complaint following stent insertion, with a reported incidence of up to 100% [7,8,10–12]. In our series, 62% of patients complained of retrosternal pain (five of them lasting >24 h and requiring narcotics), and this is most probably due to the dilation and stretching of the structures. Severe pain was related to the degree of stenosis. Hematemesis is also a possible complication after SEMS insertion, and its incidence in our study is 5%. It is comparable with literature [4,7]. This complication could have been the result of pressure necrosis, the natural progress of the disease, or trauma from the sharp, uncovered end of the stent [8–10,12].

Food impaction is usually due to a lack of patient education or non-compliance with instructions for proper food selection, chewing, and swallowing. Stent diet instructions, verbal and written, should be given to patients and family members or caregivers prior to patients’ discharge from the hospital [19]. No food impaction occurred in our series. But regurgitation occurred in 38% patients with esophagogastric junction lesions. These patients were advised to sleep at 45° Fowler position to reduce reflux. Some were given H2-receptor blockers to relieve symptoms of reflux.

Perforation is more serious complication in the patients with malignant dysphagia, and mortality rate is high. The incidence of perforations is more than 10% for plastic stents [14–17] compared with less than 5% in SEMS [9,10]. Although this rate is 4.8% in our series, no perforations occurred in the last 30 patients, when our experience matured.

As with other palliative therapies, increased risk and technical difficulties are the concerns for malignant stenoses in the cervical esophagus and at the gastroesophageal junction [21,22]. Lesions of the lower esophageal and gastric cardia can be effectively stented but do present potential technical problems [21]. Major complications (perforations, dislocation, hemorrhage) were higher than other location of the esophagus in our series. These were observed in four of the nine (44%) patients with distal esophageal stricture, and procedure related mortality rate was 23% in this group.

In patients with poor general condition and more advanced tumors, rapid relief of dysphagia with minimum morbidity, enabling them to return home quickly and remain home during the terminal stage of their disease, is the ultimate goal. Although the SEMS are very expensive compared with the conventional plastic stents, palliation of malignant esophagotracheal or pleural fistulas and esophag-
gal stenoses using the SEMS may be alternative, and single
treatment maintaining a patent esophageal lumen, especially
in the case of tumor stenoses in the middle esophagus. But,
the results of the patients with EPF are less enthusiastic in
the presence of accompanying a mediastinitis. However, we
think that if SEMS is applied as early as possible, the
stenting may be more successful the in the patients with
fistula.
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