

Short Communication

Serum Calcium and Incident and Fatal Prostate Cancer in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

Halcyon G. Skinner¹ and Gary G. Schwartz²

¹Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin and ²Departments of Cancer Biology and Epidemiology and Prevention, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Abstract

We examined the association between serum calcium levels and the risk for prostate cancer using a prospective cohort, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and the NHANES Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. Eighty-five incident cases of prostate cancer and 25 prostate cancer deaths occurred over 46,188 person-years of follow-up. Serum calcium was determined an average of 9.9 years before the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Comparing men in the top with men in the bottom tertile of serum calcium, the multivariable-adjusted relative hazard for fatal prostate cancer was 2.68 (95% confidence interval, 1.02-6.99;

$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.04$). For incident prostate cancer, the relative risk for the same comparison was 1.31 (95% confidence interval, 0.77-2.20; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.34$). These results support the hypothesis that high serum calcium or a factor strongly associated with it (e.g., high serum parathyroid hormone) increases the risk for fatal prostate cancer. Our finding of a >2.5-fold increased risk for men in the highest tertile of serum calcium is comparable in magnitude with the risk associated with family history and could add significantly to our ability to identify men at increased risk for fatal prostate cancer. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17(9):2302-5)

Introduction

Little is known conclusively about the etiology of prostate cancer, which is the second leading cause of cancer deaths among U.S. men (1). We recently proposed that high serum levels of parathyroid hormone increase the risk of advanced prostate cancer (2). Under normal physiologic conditions, serum parathyroid hormone acts to maintain serum calcium levels within a narrow range (~9-10.5 mg/dL). However, prostate cancer cells express receptors for parathyroid hormone (parathyroid hormone-type I receptors) and for calcium (calcium-sensing receptors; ref. 3). In laboratory studies, parathyroid hormone and calcium each promotes the growth and metastasis of prostate cancer cells (4, 5). These observations led us to ask whether men with high levels of serum calcium are at increased risk for prostate cancer.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a prospective cohort study of serum calcium and the risk for incident and fatal prostate cancer using the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and the NHANES Epidemiologic Follow-up Study (6-9). We included males

ages 24 to 77 y at baseline examination in 1971 to 1975. Participants who reported lung, colon, or prostate cancers before the baseline exam ($n = 102$) and those who reported prostate cancer or died within 1 y of baseline ($n = 90$) were excluded. The final analytical cohort included 2,814 men for whom baseline serum calcium measurements were available.

Person-time at risk was calculated as the interval between the dates of the initial examination and prostate cancer diagnosis or death (for cases) or date of last contact (for noncases). Prostate cancer was ascertained by self-report (with or without a recorded hospital stay) and by death certificate. Cases with a death record were considered fatal cases, and all others were considered nonfatal cases. For cases with a hospital record, the date of diagnosis was the date of first admission for prostate cancer. For cases without a hospital record, the diagnosis date was defined as June 30 of the year of self-report. For cases confirmed by death certificate only, the date of diagnosis was the date of death. The earliest diagnosis date was used for cases with multiple dates. Fatal cases were identified through a death record linkage with prostate cancer mentioned as a cause of death.

We estimated the relative risks using Cox proportional hazards models relating tertiles of serum calcium to prostate cancer while controlling for potential confounders. Potential confounding was evaluated by age (years), body mass index (BMI; as both a continuous and a categorical variable, cut point at 25 kg/m²), race (black or white), and self-reported family history of prostate cancer. The linear trend was modeled as the median serum calcium value for each tertile as a continuous

Received 4/22/08; revised 6/4/08; accepted 6/16/08.

Grant support: NIH grant CA 109361 (H.G. Skinner).

Requests for reprints: Gary G. Schwartz, Comprehensive Cancer Center of Wake Forest University, Medical Center Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27157. Phone: 336-716-7446; Fax: 336-716-5687. E-mail: gschwartz@wfubmc.edu

Copyright © 2008 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0365

Table 1. Selected baseline characteristics of men in the NHANES Epidemiologic Follow-up Study to the first NHANES by tertile of serum calcium

	Serum calcium		
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3
Mean calcium (mg/dL) \pm SD	9.3 \pm 0.3	9.7 \pm 0.1	10.2 \pm 0.3
No. participants	992	807	1012
Age (y)	52.2	48.3	45.5
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.9	25.8	25.7
Race (% black)	11.6	9.7	15.4
Family history of prostate cancer (%)	0.9	1.3	1.3

value. The statistical interaction was tested by a likelihood ratio test of saturated models and Wald's test of cross-product terms. Analyses were done with the use of SAS 9.1 (SAS, Inc.).

Results

Eighty-five incident cases of prostate cancer, including 25 fatal cases, were observed among 2,814 men over 46,188 person-years of follow-up (1971-1993). The 25 fatal cases included 10 cases for which the death certificate was the only record of prostate cancer (Table 1). Men with higher serum calcium tended to be younger (46 versus 52 years in the 3rd and 1st tertiles, respectively) and were more likely to be black (15.4% versus 11.6% black in the 3rd and 1st tertiles).

There was no evidence of increased risk of incident disease with increasing serum calcium levels. Conversely, comparing men in the top with men in the bottom tertile of serum calcium, we observed a significantly increased hazard for fatal prostate cancer that persisted after adjustment for age (age-adjusted relative hazard = 2.59; 95% confidence interval, 1.00-6.72; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.05$), BMI (age-adjusted and BMI-adjusted relative hazard = 2.62; 95% confidence interval, 1.01-6.80; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.04$), and race (age-adjusted, BMI-adjusted, and race-adjusted relative hazard = 2.68; 95% confidence interval, 1.02-6.99; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.04$). The tests for linear trend

were statistically significant. However, most of the excess risk was concentrated in the top tertile of serum calcium. There was no substantive difference between adjusting for BMI as a continuous or categorical variable. The adjustment for family history of prostate cancer did not alter the point estimate but widened the confidence interval ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.06$). We did not detect statistically significant interactions between serum calcium and other major risk factors for prostate cancer, but power was limited (Table 2).

Discussion

In this prospective cohort, we observed an ~3-fold increased risk for fatal prostate cancer among men in the upper tertile of the distribution of serum calcium. We observed a significant dose response. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine prostate cancer risk in relation to serum calcium. The measurement of serum calcium preceded the diagnosis of prostate cancer by an average of 9.9 years (SD = 4.5 years).

Numerous studies have investigated the role of dietary calcium in prostate cancer, with mixed results (e.g., refs. 10-12). Calcium levels in serum are tightly controlled over a wide range of dietary calcium and generally are not correlated with dietary calcium levels (13). For example, in a random subsample of 354 subjects drawn from a cross-sectional study of >3,400 participants, Mataix et al. found no relationship

Table 2. Relative hazards for incident and fatal prostate cancer by tertile of serum calcium in the NHANES Epidemiologic Follow-up Study

	Tertile of serum calcium (median value)			P_{trend}
	Tertile 1 (9.3 mg/dL)	Tertile 2 (9.7 mg/dL)	Tertile 3 (10.1 mg/dL)	
Prostate cancer incidence				
No. incident cases	34	22	29	
Person-years at risk	15,556	13,712	16,920	
Age-adjusted relative hazards	1.00 (reference)	0.93 (0.54-1.60)	1.25 (0.76-2.07)	0.409
+BMI	1.00 (reference)	0.93 (0.54-1.60)	1.25 (0.76-2.07)	0.404
+Race	1.00 (reference)	0.93 (0.54-1.59)	1.29 (0.78-2.13)	0.361
+Family history	1.00 (reference)	0.97 (0.56-1.70)	1.31 (0.77-2.20)	0.341
Prostate cancer mortality				
No. fatal cases	7	7	11	
Person-years at risk	15,556	13,712	16,920	
Age-adjusted relative hazards	1.00 (reference)	1.64 (0.57-4.71)	2.59 (1.00-6.72)	0.049
+BMI	1.00 (reference)	1.66 (0.58-4.74)	2.62 (1.01-6.80)	0.046
+Race	1.00 (reference)	1.65 (0.58-4.72)	2.68 (1.02-6.99)	0.043
+Family history	1.00 (reference)	1.72 (0.55-5.37)	2.68 (0.94-7.64)	0.063

NOTE: Each model is adjusted for the listed variable and all prior variables.

between the measurements of calcium in diet and in serum (14). The concentration of serum ionized calcium, the biologically active fraction of calcium, is tightly regulated by parathyroid hormone and normally does not deviate by >2% from its set point. This contributes to the stability of total serum calcium levels, of which ~50% is ionized (15).

High serum calcium significantly predicted fatal but not incident prostate cancer. This may reflect the fact that, in the "post-PSA era," incident prostate cancers are predominantly screen-detected cancers that are not life threatening (i.e., are not true cases). Additionally, some self-reported cases of prostate cancer may have been missed (i.e., underreporting), and some may have been misreported (i.e., overreporting). These forms of misclassification are likely to be nondifferential and, therefore, bias results toward the null. Our finding is consistent with the results of a large population-based study of serum calcium and survival. In that study of 33,346 Swedes, Liefsson and Ahrén found an increased risk for fatal (cause not further specified) but not for incident malignancy among men <50 years of age with serum calcium >2.5 mmol/L (10.0 mg/dL; ref. 16). It is noteworthy that the increased cancer mortality observed in this Swedish study was observed for men with serum calcium in the upper normal range.

The normal reference range for serum calcium varies by laboratory and assay methods. A commonly used normal reference range is 9 to 10.5 mg/dL (2.2-2.6 mmol/L; ref. 17). Few cases in our study were hypercalcemic (2 of 25 or 8% had serum calcium >10.5 mg/dL). Rather, the increased risk we observed was due largely to men with serum calcium in the upper portion of the reference range (cf. Liefsson and Ahrén). We hypothesize that this increased risk may be attributable to factors that control serum calcium levels, such as genetic variation in the genes for the calcium-sensing receptor (18).

This study benefits from its prospective design and a priori hypothesis. The principal threat to the validity of prospective cohort studies, loss to follow-up for mortality, was low (3.7%). Our findings could be influenced by bias and by confounding. For example, it is possible that some advanced prostate cancers were undetected at the time of calcium measurement. This would underestimate the positive association between serum calcium and prostate cancer because serum levels of calcium in men with advanced prostate cancer typically are normal or low due to the transfer of calcium from the serum into bony lesions (2, 19). Second, it is conceivable that some men failed to report advanced prostate cancer that had been treated. The serum calcium levels for such men could therefore reflect the effects of treatment. This possibility is unlikely to have influenced our results because the standard treatment for prostate cancer, androgen deprivation, does not significantly alter the serum levels of calcium or parathyroid hormone (20). Lastly, it is possible that serum calcium levels are confounded by vitamin D deficiency, which is known to elevate the serum levels of parathyroid hormone and may be associated with the risk of prostate cancer (21). Vitamin D deficiency is unlikely to be an important confounder

in this study because vitamin D deficiency is associated with normal or with low serum calcium (i.e., the opposite of the result observed).

Our results are based on a small number of fatal cases ($n = 25$) and require confirmation by other prospective studies. If confirmed, these findings have important implications for risk stratification and for prostate cancer prevention. Presently, the only established risk factors for prostate cancer are race and family history. Our finding of a >2.5-fold increased risk for men in the highest tertile of serum calcium is comparable in magnitude with the increased risk associated with family history (~2.5) and could add significantly to our ability to identify men at increased risk for fatal prostate cancer (22). Most importantly, unlike family history, serum calcium and parathyroid hormone are factors that can be modified by lifestyle and by pharmacologic means (23).

Note Added in Proof

The complex sampling design of the NHANES surveys involves the clustering and weighting of observations. Whether statistical analyses of longitudinal data derived from these surveys need account for the sample design is debated. We examined the positive association between serum calcium and prostate cancer mortality in NHANES III using SUDAAN, which adjusts for the sample design. We confirmed relative risks similar to those reported here for NHANES I using SAS, which does not adjust for the sample design (Skinner and Schwartz, in preparation).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

1. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures. Atlanta. American Cancer Society; 2008. pp 1–69.
2. Schwartz GG. Prostate cancer, serum parathyroid hormone, and the progression of skeletal metastases. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:478–83.
3. Sanders JL, Chattopadhyay N, Kifor O, Yamaguchi T, Brown EM. Ca(2+)-sensing receptor expression and PTHrP secretion in PC-3 human prostate cancer cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2001; 281:E1267–74.
4. Ritchie CK, Thomas KG, Andrews LR, Tindall DJ, Fitzpatrick LA. Effects of the calcitropic peptides calcitonin and parathyroid hormone on prostate cancer growth and chemotaxis. *Prostate* 1997; 30:183–7.
5. Liao J, Schneider A, Datta NS, McCauley LK. Extracellular calcium as a candidate mediator of prostate cancer skeletal metastasis. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:9065–73.
6. National Center for Health Statistics. Plan and operation of the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study, 1992. Washington (DC): DHHS; 1997.
7. National Center for Health Statistics. Plan and operation of the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study, 1987. Washington (DC): DHHS; 1992.
8. National Center for Health Statistics. Plan and operation of the

- HANES I Augmentation Survey of Adults 25-74 years, United States, 1974-75. Washington (DC): DHHS; 1978.
9. National Center for Health Statistics. Plan and operation of the Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 1971-73. Washington (DC): DHEW; 1973.
 10. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Wolk A, et al. Calcium and fructose intake in relation to risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:442-7.
 11. Gao X, LaValley MP, Tucker KL. Prospective studies of dairy product and calcium intakes and prostate cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005;97:1768-77.
 12. Baron JA, Beach M, Wallace K, et al. Risk of prostate cancer in a randomized clinical trial of calcium supplementation. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:586-9.
 13. al Zahrani A, Levine MA. Primary hyperparathyroidism. *Lancet* 1997;349:1233-8.
 14. Mataix J, Aranda P, Lopez-Jurado M, Sanchez C, Planells E, Llopis J. Factors influencing the intake and plasma levels of calcium, phosphorus and magnesium in southern Spain. *Eur J Nutr* 2006;45:349-54.
 15. Nordin BEC. Calcium, phosphate, and magnesium metabolism: clinical physiology and diagnostic procedures. Edinburgh; New York New York: Churchill Livingstone; distributed in the U.S. by Longman, 1976.
 16. Leifsson BG, Ahren B. Serum calcium and survival in a large health screening program. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996;81:2149-53.
 17. Feldman D, Glorieux FH, Pike JW, Vitamin D. Oxford: Academic; 1997.
 18. Cole DE, Peltekova VD, Rubin LA, et al. A986S polymorphism of the calcium-sensing receptor and circulating calcium concentrations. *Lancet* 1999;353:112-5.
 19. Murray RM, Grill V, Crinis N, Ho PW, Davison J, Pitt P. Hypocalcemic and normocalcemic hyperparathyroidism in patients with advanced prostatic cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:4133-8.
 20. Greenspan SL. Approach to the prostate cancer patient with bone disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93:2-7.
 21. Schwartz GG. Vitamin D and the epidemiology of prostate cancer. *Semin Dial* 2005;18:276-89.
 22. Whittemore AS, Wu AH, Kolonel LN, et al. Family history and prostate cancer risk in black, white, and Asian men in the United States and Canada. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995;141:732-40.
 23. Schwartz GG. Vitamin D and intervention trials in prostate cancer: from theory to therapy. *Ann Epidemiol*. In press 2008. doi:10.1016/j.ann.epid.