Effect of increasing the organic loading rate on the co-digestion and mono-digestion of cattle slurry and maize
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ABSTRACT

Co-digestion of cattle slurry and maize has been shown to have benefits for both, improving the biogas yield of the slurry and stability of digestion of the maize. The effect of increasing the total loading rate from 3 to 6 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ on the co-digestion of cattle slurry and maize, mixed at equal volatile solids volumes, was investigated in laboratory-scale continuously stirred digesters. These were compared with similar digesters evaluating the increase of 1.5 to 3 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ loading rates of slurry and maize digested separately. Compared with mono-digestion of the substrates, where the digestion of maize failed at loading rates greater than 2.5 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹, the co-digestion of cattle slurry and maize was feasible at all the loading rates tested with an increase in the volumetric methane yield occurring with loading rate. Even at the lowest rate of loading, the addition of equal amounts of volatile solids of maize to slurry leads to an increase in volumetric methane yield of 219%.
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INTRODUCTION

The storage and use of animal wastes, of which the EU-27 produces 1284 million tonnes per year (Holm-Nielsen 2007), leads to the production of greenhouse gases including methane. Anaerobic digestion is a well-established process that can divert the methane released from animal wastes, including cattle slurry, into a source of bio-energy. Bio-energy production is attractive to farmers as it can supply the energy required by the farm and provide an additional source of income; anaerobic digestion also produces a digestate that can be used as fertiliser. Unfortunately, the digestion of cattle slurry alone yields relatively low amounts of bio-energy in comparison with feedstocks such as energy crops (Weiland 2006). Crop materials such as maize are therefore added to the slurry in order to improve gas production and thus potential income. The digestion of energy crops alone can also be difficult and co-digestion with cattle slurry can improve the buffering capacity of the system, as has been shown for co-substrates such as fruit and vegetable waste and slaughterhouse waste (Alvarez & Lidén 2008; Banks & Zhang 2010). A possible further benefit is the potential for synergy between the co-substrates which some studies have reported (e.g. Machmüller et al. 2007; Cornell 2011). There is little published work on synergy, however, and the effects are often identified through comparison of results from mono- and co-digestion trials following different methodologies, e.g. batch and semi-continuous. This approach may not provide an accurate picture as it has been shown that batch and semi-continuous trials can produce different results (Callaghan et al. 1998, 1999).

The effect of increasing the organic loading rate on the co-digestion process has been studied by previous researchers (Lehtomäki et al. 2006; Mähnert & Linke 2006; Alvarez & Lidén 2008). In much of the previous work, however, the load increase was achieved by increasing the proportion of one co-substrate, and there is a lack of work investigating the effects of increasing the load while keeping the proportions of the substrates constant. The aim of this study was to identify how increasing the loading rate at a fixed ratio of cattle slurry to maize (Zea mays) ratio affected the biogas production in a long-term trial with continuously-stirred tank reactors (CSTR). To provide a baseline for comparison with the co-digestion trials, the impact of increasing the loading rate on the mono-digestion of cattle slurry and maize was also investigated.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Feedstocks

Ensiled maize was collected from Downlands and Woolmer farm (Liphook, UK) and cattle slurry from a dairy farm near Southampton (Parkers Farm, Rownhams, UK). Both maize and cattle slurry were finely shredded in a commercial garbage grinder (S52/010 Waste Disposer, Imperial Machine Company Ltd, UK) to remove unwanted material such as stones, which could interfere with the reactor stirring mechanism, and to ensure homogeneity. The maize was then placed in plastic food bags and stored at −20 °C and the cattle slurry was stored at +4 °C, until required.

Digester

Twelve CSTRs were set up at a temperature of 35 ± 0.5 °C, maintained by circulating water through an external heating coil around the digesters. Each digester had a total volume of 5 litres with a working volume of 4 litres. The contents of the digester were continuously stirred by means of a motorised asymmetric bar stirrer. Each digester was inoculated with 1.4 litres digestate obtained from semi-continuous reactors fed on cattle slurry and maize at an organic loading rate (OLR) of 4 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹.

Operational conditions

The digesters were run at ‘natural’ retention times achieved by removing only as much material as was necessary to keep the digester at a constant mass: this ranged from 15 to 29 days for the different OLRs. The digesters were fed daily, with daily removal of excess material. Eight digesters received both cattle slurry and maize while the remaining four digesters were fed on either cattle slurry or maize alone. For the co-digestion study OLRs ranged from 3 to 6 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ with each component supplying 50% of the load on a VS basis (Table 1). This ratio was chosen in response to a previous digestion trial which indicated that a 50/50 mix could be optimal (results not shown). The OLRs in the mono-digestion trial were in the range 1.5 to 3.0 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹, with increments occurring once each pair showed stabilisation. Retention times were made to match those of the co-digestion trial by the addition of tap water containing 1 mg l⁻¹ trace element solution (Gonzalez-Gil et al. 2001) (Table 1).

Analytical techniques

The digesters were connected to tipping-bucket gas counters logged at 10-minute intervals, with gas volumes calculated and reported at 101.325 kPa and 0 °C, as described in Walker et al. (2009). Gas composition was determined on

Table 1 | Operational conditions for the trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digesters</th>
<th>Cattle slurry</th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹</td>
<td>g WW day⁻¹</td>
<td>g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹</td>
<td>g WW day⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-digestion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&amp;2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&amp;4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&amp;6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7&amp;8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono-digestion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9&amp;10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&amp;12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WW = wet weight.
a weekly basis using a Varian CP 3800 gas chromatograph (GC; Varian USA) with Argon as the carrier gas at a flow of 50 ml min\(^{-1}\). The GC was fitted with a Hayesep C column and a molecular sieve 13 \times (80–100 mesh) operated at a temperature of 50 °C. Weekly samples were taken for determination of total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS) according to standard method 2540 G (APHA 2005). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and ammonia were determined using a Kjeltech block digestion and steam distillation unit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Foss Ltd, Warrington, UK). Volatile fatty acids (VFA) were measured by gas chromatography (Shimadzu GC-2010, Milton Keynes, UK). Alkalinity was measured weekly by titration with 0.25N H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) to endpoints of 5.7, 4.3 and 4.0 representing partial (PA), intermediate (IA) and total (TA) alkalinity (Jantsch & Mattiasson 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Feedstock characteristics

The feedstock characteristics were: VS (% WW) 32.24 and 4.98; TS (% WW) 33.7 and 7.68; pH 4.0 and 6.7; TKN (g l\(^{-1}\)) 4.82 and 3.06; NH\(_3\) (g l\(^{-1}\)) 0.69 and 1.19; VFA (mg l\(^{-1}\)) not measured and 2938, for maize and cattle slurry respectively.

Mono-digestion

Gas production

Methane production in the mono-digestion trial is shown in Figure 1. The cattle slurry ran at an OLR of 3 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for one retention time only due to lack of material: it was decided not to extend the trial with a new batch of slurry as previous results (not reported here) clearly showed that performance could vary significantly between different batches.

At the 1.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) OLR specific methane production appeared constant for both substrates, with maize giving the greatest volumetric methane yield. The volumetric methane yield of the cattle slurry increased up to the highest OLR, in agreement with Linke (1997) who found that 15 days was an adequate retention time for methanisation of this substrate. Maize was successfully digested at OLRs of 1.5 and 2 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) producing volumetric methane yields of 0.51 and 0.64 l l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), respectively. Within 6 days of increasing the load to 2.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), however, methane production declined sharply and pH fell to below 5 indicating failure of the digestion.

Discussion

Increasing the OLR reduced the specific methane yield for both substrates. For cattle slurry the reduction was low, with a difference of only 0.015 l CH\(_4\) g\(^{-1}\) VS between the 1.5 and 3 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) OLRs, corresponding to 11% of the higher value. For the maize, the difference between specific yield at the two successful loadings was greater at 0.02 l CH\(_4\) g\(^{-1}\) VS, although this is only a 6% change in the larger value. The failure of the maize digestion at a loading of 2.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) could be due to the short retention time of 18 days, which would lead to rapid washout of alkalinity and nutrients, and could prove more detrimental to the digestion of maize compared with cattle slurry where these are more plentiful. As the retention time decreased from 29 to 18 days both alkalinity and ammonia declined in the maize digesters, by 78 and 95% respectively. In comparison, the alkalinity and ammonia in the cattle slurry digesters declined by 7 and 13% respectively.

Co-digestion

Gas production

The co-digestion trial ran for 70 days; during the first 25 days of the trial the biogas production increased at all OLRs—the 5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) loading showed the greatest increase, from 0.58 l l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) at day 5 to 0.95 l l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) at day 24. This could have been due to the quality of inoculum used, which had a VFA concentration greater than 1,500 mg l\(^{-1}\). After this, gas production was stable; average volumetric...
and specific methane production for the final 20 days are shown in Figure 2.

Volumetric methane production showed an approximately linear relationship with loading, of 0.20 l l⁻¹ per g VS added l⁻¹ day⁻¹ \( (R^2 = 0.993) \), although the improvement decreased slightly as the OLR increased. Increasing the OLR from 5 to 6 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ only increased production by 0.18 l l⁻¹ day⁻¹ compared with 0.24 l l⁻¹ day⁻¹ for an increase from 3 to 4 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹. Nevertheless, the increase in OLR from 3 to 6 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ almost doubled volumetric methane production while still maintaining the specific methane yield, despite a 14-day reduction in retention time to 15 days. Specific methane yields in Figure 2 declined by 0.02 l g⁻¹ VS compared with an increase in the volumetric methane of 0.59 l l⁻¹ day⁻¹ as the OLR increased from 3 to 6 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹. This very small reduction in specific methane yield suggests that, increasing the load above 3 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ may be worthwhile in terms of the total methane production.

Daily biogas production for day 60 of the co-digestion trial, in the steady state period, is shown in Figure 3. As can be seen, there was little difference in the rate of production at all OLRs, indicating no overloading was occurring. All the digesters displayed a higher rate of production of 0.030 l g⁻¹ VS in the initial 8 hours after feeding compared with 0.012 l g⁻¹ VS over the latter part of the daily feed cycle.

Table 2 compares gas production in the co-digestion trial and mono-digestion trials, and it can be seen that the addition of an equal quantity of maize to the cattle slurry caused the volumetric methane yield at all cattle slurry loads to increase by over 200%. This demonstrates that large quantities of maize are not required to increase the volumetric productivity of the digester: a 1.5 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ maize addition to a 1.5 g VS l⁻¹ day⁻¹ cattle slurry load resulted in an increase of 219%. The maximum load tested in the cattle slurry mono-digestion trial could be doubled by adding an equal quantity of maize without a decline in the specific methane yield.

**Digestate**

The second output of anaerobic digestion is the digestate. Digestate characteristics from the co-digestion trial are summarised in Table 3. The pH of each digester showed good consistency throughout the trial; however, initially the digestate VFA concentrations were over 1,500 mg l⁻¹, and stability was not achieved until day 24, after which the concentration remained below 200 mg l⁻¹. This corresponded to a recovery in volumetric methane production during this period. Apart from at the lowest OLR, the digestate from co-digestion with maize at a 50:50 VS ratio has a higher TS content than the 7.68% TS in the raw cattle slurry. Increasing the OLR gave a slight increase in digestate TS and VS, with the TS concentration at the highest loading
where a decline was shown as the load increased from 3 to 4 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). The results in Table 3 show that increasing the organic loading rate (OLR) led to a decline in digestate ammonia concentration from 1.3 to 1.0 g l\(^{-1}\). This suggests that increasing the load could help to reduce ammonia emissions; however, this reduction is cancelled out by the increase in the quantity of digestate removed daily at the higher loads. The above results may be of use in an assessment to determine the full environmental benefits and impacts of the digestion process.

### Discussion

Increasing the organic loading rate resulted in an increase in the volumetric methane yield with little difference in the specific methane production. This is in contrast to the research by Lehtomäki et al. (2006) on co-digestion of cattle slurry with a range of energy crops, grass silage, sugar beet and oat straw. It was found that increasing the loading resulted in a decline in specific biogas yield as the OLR increased from 3 to 4 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and it was suggested that this was the result of reducing the retention time. This was also shown by Mähnert & Linke (2006) where a decline was shown as the load increased from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLR (g VS l(^{-1}) day(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS (%WW)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS (%WW)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS destruction (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKN g l(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_3) g l(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of TKN</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total alkalinity g CaCO(_2) l(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA:PA</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA mg l(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The addition of cattle slurry allowed maize digestion at loadings of 2.5 and 3 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), which failed under mono-digestion conditions. The results from the mono-trial indicated that the main reason for the failure of maize at higher loadings was the washout of alkalinity and ammonia when water was added in place of cattle slurry. This highlights the importance of the ammonia and alkalinity that cattle slurry brings to the system, especially at high loads and short retention times. A similar result was reported by Mähnert & Linke (2006) who found that maize could only be digested at loads greater than 3 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) when combined with cattle slurry. The importance of the buffering capacity of cattle slurry was noted by Angelidaki & Ellegaard (2003) and has been shown in the digestion of food waste (Alvarez & Lindén 2008). This benefit was also highlighted when the stability of organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) digestion was improved during the initial stages of the process (Capela et al. 2007).
Increasing the OLR to 6 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) increased the volumetric yield but it appears this load is not optimal: the increase produced the greatest decline in the average specific methane yield and thus loss of the methane potential of the maize, with the specific methane yield attributable to the maize reducing from 0.33 to 0.30 g l\(^{-1}\) VS maize. In the 3 to 5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) loading range specific methane yields remained constant. Considering the corresponding increase in volumetric methane yield it can be suggested that the optimal load is 4 or 5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). It could be argued that the 5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) OLR is best, based on the volumetric yield; a disadvantage of this loading rate, however, is that it leads to a low retention time and so to a reduction in the amount of methane attributable to the maize. In addition to reducing the efficiency of energy recovery from the maize, the higher OLR will require the diversion of a greater quantity of maize from food or fodder to energy production.

Ammonia and alkalinity appeared to play an important role in the digestion of maize at short retention times and this can be seen by comparing the mono-digestion of maize at the 2.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) load with the co-digestion trial at a load of 5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). Replacing the water with cattle slurry significantly increased the ammonia concentration from 0.09 to 1.14 g l\(^{-1}\) and therefore the alkalinity of the system indicating that maize can be digested at loads of 2.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) and short retention times if there is adequate buffering.

CONCLUSIONS

The co-digestion of cattle slurry and maize was shown to be possible at all loading rates tested with volumetric methane production increasing as the loading increased but no significant reduction in specific methane yield. The addition of cattle slurry to maize was shown to be beneficial at higher loadings as it allowed maize to be successfully digested at retention times of 18 and 15 days; this was shown not to be possible when maize was digested alone at the same loading.

Comparison between the mono and co-digestion trials indicated that the production of methane from the co-digestion mix could be calculated by combining the methane yields of the individual substrates. The comparison also showed that the introduction of maize to digestion of cattle slurry has a pronounced effect on the volumetric methane yield; doubling the OLR for cattle slurry alone by addition of an equal quantity of maize on a VS basis gave an increase in volumetric methane yield of 250%. This was achieved in all cases without a great loss of the methane potential of the maize despite a reduction in the retention time to 15 days at the highest loading rate. It was also shown that it was not necessary to introduce large quantities of maize to the digestion of cattle slurry to produce an improvement in the biogas production: an addition of 1.5 g VS l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) to an equal load of cattle slurry increased the volumetric methane yield by 219%.
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