

Exponential fed-batch strategy for enhancing biosurfactant production by *Bacillus subtilis*

G. A. Amin

ABSTRACT

Surfactin produced by *Bacillus subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 from Maldex-15 was used as a growth-associated product in a conventional batch process. Maldex-15 is a cheap industrial by-product recovered during manufacturing of high fructose syrup from corn starch. Surfactin production was greatly improved in exponential fed-batch fermentation. Maldex-15 and other nutrients were exponentially fed into the culture based on the specific growth rate of the bacterium. In order to maximize surfactin yield and productivity, conversion of different quantities of Maldex-15 into surfactin was investigated in five different fermentation runs. In all runs, most of the Maldex-15 was consumed and converted into surfactin and cell biomass with appreciable efficiencies. The best results were obtained with the fermentation run supplied with 204 g Maldex-15. Up to 36.1 g l⁻¹ of surfactin and cell biomass of 31.8 g l⁻¹ were achieved in 12 h. Also, a marked substrate yield of 0.272 g g⁻¹ and volumetric reactor productivity of 2.58 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹ were obtained, confirming the establishment of a cost-effective commercial surfactin production.

Key words | *Bacillus subtilis*, biosurfactant, exponentially fed-batch fermentation surfactin

G. A. Amin
Department of Biotechnology,
Faculty of Sciences,
Taif University,
888 Taif,
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
E-mail: gamilamin2007@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

With current advances in petroleum biotechnology, attention has been given to microbial-enhancing oil recovery (MEOR) processes. Biosurfactants produced by certain microorganisms are one of the most promising MEOR agents (Yeh *et al.* 2006; Pansiripata *et al.* 2010; Fakruddin 2012). They enhance the recovery of oil by reducing the interfacial tension between the oil and water interfaces, or by mediating changes in the wettability index of the system (Yin *et al.* 2009; Perfumo *et al.* 2010). Biosurfactants have several advantages over chemical surfactants, such as lower toxicity, higher biodegradability and effectiveness at extreme temperatures or pH values (Deisi *et al.* 2012). Many attempts have been made to develop fermentation processes for the cost-effective production of biosurfactants with a little success. These include conventional batch fermentation (Cooper *et al.* 1981), batch fermentation with addition of solid carriers (Yeh *et al.* 2005), solid-state batch fermentation (Makkar & Cameotra 2002), fed-batch fermentation (Nur *et al.* 2012), and continuous fermentation using an airlift reactor (Noah *et al.* 2002). In all trials, the ultimate goal was hindered by uncompetitive, considerably low volumetric reactor productivity due to incomplete utilization of

fermentative substrate. In fact, both overfeeding and underfeeding of fermentative substrate were found to be detrimental to cell growth and product formation in many fermentation processes (Zouari *et al.* 2002).

In a previous investigation, *Bacillus subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 was isolated from solid wastes at Jeddah Refinery and shown to produce high concentrations of surfactin in conventional batch fermentation (Amin 2010). However, volumetric productivity was still low from an economic point of view. The objective of this study was to investigate possibilities of using the exponential fed-batch strategy, in order to adequately supply fermentative substrate and other nutrients to the bacterium *B. subtilis* and hopefully maximize cell growth and surfactin production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microorganisms and media

The bacterium *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 was isolated from solid wastes at Jeddah Refinery and designated as

doi: 10.2166/wst.2014.211

BDCC-TUSA-3 (Amin 2010). Mineral salt medium (MSM) with the following composition: NaCl (0.001%), MgSO₄ (0.06%), CaCl₂ (0.004%), FeSO₄ (0.002%) and 0.1 ml of trace element solution containing (g l⁻¹) 2.32 ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 1.78 MnSO₄·4H₂O, 0.56 H₃BO₃, 1.0 CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.39 NaMoO₄·2H₂O, 0.42 CoCl₂·6H₂O, 1.0 EDTA, 0.004 NiCl₂·6H₂O and 0.66 KI was used throughout this investigation.

Time course for cell growth and surfactin production

In a conventional batch cultivation, a fermentation vessel of 3 l was charged with 1,700 ml of MSM containing 40 g l⁻¹ of Maldex-15. Maldex-15 is an α -amylase dextrin produced during the commercial production of high fructose syrup from corn starch. It contains 1% glucose, 3% maltose, 5% maltotriose, and 91% of oligo- and megalosaccharides (Amin 2010). Fermentation temperature and pH of 30 °C and 7 + 0.2 were used respectively. Sterile air stream was introduced into the bioreactor at a rate of 1.0 volume of air per bioreactor working volume per min. Agitation was set at 500 rpm and dissolved oxygen concentration was monitored and maintained above 50% saturation. Samples were taken at regular intervals and analyzed for biomass and surfactin.

Fermentation procedure

The exponential-fed strategy, normally used for the industrial production of baker's yeast (White 1945) and more recently adapted (Amin *et al.* 2008), was used in order to supply Maldex-15 and other nutrients to the bacterium *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 for surfactin production. Cultivation conditions were set as described above. Five different fermentation runs were conducted in order to test efficiency of conversion of different Maldex-15 amounts into cell biomass and surfactin. In each fermentation run, the bioreactor was charged with 500 ml of water and sterilized. After cooling, it was inoculated with the calculated initial biomass concentration and allowed to work for almost 1 min in order to disperse bacterial cells (Table 1). Then, the fermentation process was initiated and different small volumes of concentrated medium containing the calculated amounts of Maldex-15 and other nutrients were exponentially fed into the bioreactor on an hourly basis for 12 h to reach a final volume of 1,500 ml (Table 2). Cultivation conditions were set as described above.

Table 1 | Initial amounts of biomass (seed cells) and total amounts of added Maldex-15 used during bacterial surfactin production in four different fermentation runs

Fermentation runs	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5
Initial amounts of biomass (g)	0.657	0.986	1.314	1.643	1.971
Total amounts of added Maldex-15 (g)	68.0	102.0	136.0	170.0	204.0

Table 2 | Amount of Maldex-15 and other nutrients added hourly to *B. subtilis* grown in different runs of an exponential fed-batch fermentation for surfactin production

Cultivation time (h)	Hourly added Maldex-15 and other nutrients (g)				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5
1	0.2016	0.3025	0.4031	0.5041	0.6047
2	0.3114	0.4674	0.6229	0.7788	0.9343
3	0.4812	0.7222	0.9623	1.2032	1.4435
4	0.7433	1.1155	1.4865	1.8590	2.2298
5	1.1485	1.7236	2.2969	2.8720	3.4453
6	1.7744	2.6629	3.5486	4.4370	5.3228
7	2.7413	4.1141	5.4824	6.8550	8.2235
8	4.2352	6.3560	8.4699	10.5906	12.7049
9	6.5434	9.8201	13.0858	16.3622	19.6287
10	10.1091	15.1713	20.2168	25.2787	30.3252
11	15.6182	23.4392	31.2343	39.0548	46.8516
12	24.1297	36.2129	48.2560	60.3384	72.3840
Total Maldex-15 & nutrients added (g)	68	102	136	170	204

Biomass (dry weight)

Bacterial biomass was determined by centrifuging a known volume of fermentation broth at 1,000 g for 15 min. The biomass was washed twice with distilled water and dried overnight at 90 °C to constant weight.

Analysis

The total carbohydrate in the culture broth was estimated by the phenol-sulfuric acid method using glucose as a standard (Dubois *et al.* 1956). The growth rate was determined according to Pirt (1975). The following equation was used:

$$\mu = (\log X_2 - \log X_1) / \log e.t \quad (1)$$

where μ = specific growth rate, $\log e$ = natural logarithmic, X_1 and X_2 = two values for biomass (dry weight, DW) concentrations at mid-logarithmic phase of cell growth and t = time between measurements of X_1 and X_2 .

Emulsification stability test

The emulsification stability (E_{24}) of culture samples was determined by adding 2 ml of oil to the same amount of culture, mixing with a vortex for 2 min and leaving to stand for 24 h. The E_{24} index is given as percentage of height of emulsified layer divided by total height of the liquid column (mm) (Sarubbo 2006).

Surface tension measurements

These were made with a Fisher Autotensiomat (Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA). Relative surfactin concentrations were determined by diluting the broth until the critical micelle concentration was attained (Banat 1995).

Surfactin isolation

The method described by Cooper *et al.* (1981) was used. Crude surfactin was isolated by adding concentrated hydrochloric acid to culture broth after removing biomass by centrifugation. A precipitate was formed by decreasing the pH to 2 and which could be collected, dried, and extracted with dichloromethane. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give an off-white solid. Further purification was achieved by recrystallization. The dichloromethane extract was dissolved in distilled water containing sufficient NaOH to give pH 7. This solution was filtered through Whatman no. 4 paper and reduced to pH 2 with concentrated HCl. The white solid was collected as a pellet after centrifugation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cell growth and surfactin production in batch fermentation

Figure 1 shows the growth pattern of and surfactin production from Maldex-15 by the *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 grown in batch culture. As evidenced, Maldex-15 supported bacterial growth and multiplication with almost no lag phase (Figure 1(a)). Maximum biomass concentration of




Figure 1 | Pattern of cell growth and surfactin formation by *B. subtilis* in batch culture. TC, total carbohydrates; ST, surface tension.

4.47 g l⁻¹ was achieved after 20 h with simultaneous production of the maximum production of surfactin of 4.25 g l⁻¹. This result was in good agreement with the data previously obtained (Amin 2010). Moreover, both pattern of cell growth and surfactin synthesis confirmed a typical process for growth-associated product (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). Similarly, Sheppard & Cooper (1991) reported a maximum surfactin production in mid log phase. In addition, a growth-associated production of biosurfactant has been reported for *B. subtilis* by Cooper *et al.* (1981) and Gong *et al.* (2009) and for *Bacillus licheniformis* by Lin (1996).

The specific growth rate of the bacterium was calculated based on the plot of log (cell DW at mid log phase) versus time (Figure 1(a)) as described in the 'Materials and methods' section (Pirt 1975) using Equation (1).

The bacterium achieved a specific growth rate of 0.435 h⁻¹. Similarly, Noah *et al.* (2002) developed a process for continuous surfactin production using an airlift bioreactor utilizing *B. subtilis*, and recorded a specific growth rates of 0.44 h⁻¹.

Exponential fed-batch strategy for surfactin production

The possibility of utilizing an exponentially fed-batch process for production of surfactin by *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 was investigated. A supply of predetermined fermentative substrate (Maldex-15) and other nutrients on an hourly basis was tested. In order to accomplish this, the specific growth rate of the bacterium had to be determined.

The equation previously developed by White (1945) and more recently adapted by Amin *et al.* (2008) was used in order to determine the Maldex-15 required for growth and surfactant production on an hourly basis as follows:

$$S = 0.563X_0(e^{\mu t} - 1) \quad (2)$$

where S is the hourly added Maldex-15; X_0 is the initial bacterial biomass (seed cells); μ the specific growth rate; and t is the time.

Using the above-estimated specific growth rate (0.435 h^{-1}), the initial bacterial biomass (X_0) required to convert a certain amount of Maldex-15 into biomass in 12 h was calculated. For instance, in order to calculate the initial amount of biomass required to convert 68 g of Maldex-15 into bacterial biomass and surfactin, Equation (2) was used whereby

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= 68/[0.563(e^{0.435 \times 12} - 1)] \\ (X_0) &= 68/[0.563(e^{5.22} - 1)] \\ &= 68/[0.563(184.93 - 1)] \\ &= 68/103.55 \\ &= 0.657 \text{ g cells.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the initial biomass amounts for the other three fermentation runs were calculated. The produced data are tabulated in Table 1. Then, the obtained value for initial bacterial cells (X_0) was used to calculate the hourly added portions of Maldex-15 (S) over the first stage of fermentation run 1, using Equation (2) as follows:

$$S = 0.563 \times 0.657(e^{0.435t} - 1)$$

where t was substituted by 1 for the first hour, by 2 for the second hour, and so on. Similarly, quantities of the hourly added Maldex-15 for the other four fermentation runs were calculated and are tabulated in Table 2. Fermentation runs were conducted as described above and samples were taken, on an hourly basis, and surface tension

measurements for cell-free supernatants were determined. Other parameters such as cell biomass, surfactin and total carbohydrates were determined at the end of each run.

Figure 2 and Table 3 show the results obtained. A vigorous bacterial growth was recorded as the result of the exponential feed regime utilized, and biomass concentration increased with the increase of added glucose; the highest concentration (31.78 g l^{-1}) was obtained with the fermentation run supplied with the highest amount of Maldex-15 (204 g). This coincided with a similar increase in surfactin synthesis that led to a decrease in surface tension in all runs (Figure 2). The highest surfactin production of 36.07 g l^{-1} was recorded for run 5 (Table 3), which is certainly a concentration favorable for a further cost-effective downstream process. Residual carbohydrates were not detected in fermentation runs 1, 2, and 3 but were detected in the case of fermentation runs 4 and 5




Figure 2 | Pattern of surface tension of cell-free culture broth of exponentially fed-batch fermentation runs.

Table 3 | Performance of *B. subtilis* in exponentially fed-batch cultivation process for surfactin production

Total Maldex-15 added (g)	Maldex-15 conversion		Cell growth		Surfactin production			
	% ^a	Residual carbohydrates (g l ⁻¹)	Biomass (g l ⁻¹)	Biomass yield (g _c g _s ⁻¹) ^b	Final conc, surfactin (g l ⁻¹)	Surfactin yield (Y)		Productivity (g l ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)
						(g _p g _c ⁻¹) ^b	(g _p g _s ⁻¹)	
68	100	—	11.11	0.245	12.11	1.09	0.267	0.865
102	100	—	16.80	0.247	18.14	1.08	0.267	1.296
136	100	—	22.32	0.246	25.00	1.12	0.276	1.786
170	99.4	0.70	27.38	0.243	27.27	1.00	0.242	1.948
204	97.3	3.63	31.78	0.240	36.07	1.13	0.272	2.576

^a% of Maldex-15 converted to bacterial cells and surfactin.

^bg_c is amount of cell biomass, g_s amount of consumed substrate, and g_p amount of surfactin.

where 0.70 and 3.63 g l⁻¹ of total carbohydrates, respectively, were detected. In a previous study utilizing conventional batch fermentation (Amin 2010), only 5.96 and 4.65 g l⁻¹ of cell biomass and surfactin, respectively, were obtained with residual carbohydrates of 1.73% of initial concentration. This represents more than five- and seven-fold increases, respectively, in favor of the present study. The hourly added Maldex-15 was most probably assimilated rapidly during each respective hour to form new cells, shutting off most of the other metabolic pathways, with a minimum loss of sugar, that lead to by-product synthesis, similar to the findings of Pirt (1975) and Amin (2010).

With respect to kinetics of cell growth and surfactin production and compared to conventional batch fermentation (Amin 2010), the proposed exponential feeding regime supported much higher growth yield, surfactin yield from Maldex-15, cell biomass and volumetric reactor productivity of 0.240 g_c g_s⁻¹, 1.13 g_p g_c⁻¹, 0.272 g_p g_s⁻¹, and 2.775 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Table 3).

The effects of several feeding strategies on microbial growth and formation of biosurfactants have been reported by other investigators. A constant feeding flow rate to the bacterium *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 did not support cell growth and surfactin production (Makkar & Cameotra 2002; Gong et al. 2009). Fed-batch cultivation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with pulse feeding strategy, using multiple substrates (diesel and yeast extract), gave comparable rhamnolipid and biomass production of 13.4 and 24.1 g l⁻¹, respectively. However, a much lower volumetric reactor productivity of 0.054 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹ was recorded due to extension of working time.

The proposed approach of the exponential fed-batch fermentation process is highly satisfactory. Moreover, the

catabolic repression that regulates formation of certain metabolic products at high glucose concentrations (Zouari et al. 2002) must have been successfully avoided during the present study. Maldex-15 and other nutrients were fed adequately to the growing cells at their specific growth rate to maximize cell growth and surfactin formation, yield, and productivity.

Compared with other fermentation processes (Table 4), the proposed process exhibits superiority with respect to cell growth and surfactin production. While the highest biosurfactant concentration of 95 g l⁻¹ (Kim et al. 2006) is intriguing, the volumetric productivity for the present study is greater than five-fold compared to that reported by the author. Certainly, this represents a tremendous reduction in production costs when such a process is to be considered for implementation on an industrial scale.

While the emulsification indices recorded for the three hydrocarbons, kerosene, crude oil, and motor oil, ranged between 81 and 97% (Table 5), there were slight differences in the emulsification activities of *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 produced from the five fermentation runs. The emulsification indices obtained are very much comparable to those obtained for surfactin produced by *B. subtilis* (Deisi et al. 2012) and rhamnolipid (Nur et al. 2012) and for commercial chemical surfactants (Gong et al. 2009). The highest emulsification index of 97% was achieved with crude oil, compared with 89% for motor oil and 86% for kerosene (Table 3). These findings show that high quality surfactin produced under experimental conditions could be considered as a potential candidate to be used in bioremediation of petroleum-contaminated sites or in MOER applications.

Table 4 | Comparison of biosurfactant productivities in different cultivation systems

References	Biosurfactant	Cultivation system	Micro-organism	Fermentative substrate	Cell biomass (g l ⁻¹)	Biosurfactant			
						Final conc. (g l ⁻¹)	Yield (g _p /g _c)	Yield (g _p /g _s)	Productivity (g l ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)
Amin (2010)	Surfactin	Batch culture	<i>B. subtilis</i>	Maldex-15	5.96	4.65	0.780	0.118	0.115
This work	Surfactin	Exponential fed-batch culture	<i>B. subtilis</i>	Maldex-15	31.78	36.07	1.13	0.272	2.576
Kim <i>et al.</i> (2006)	Mannosyl-erythritol lipid	Conventional fed-batch culture	<i>Candida</i> sp. SY16	Glucose	–	95.0	–	–	0.479
Nur <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Rhamnolipid	Conventional fed-batch culture	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Diesel	24.0	13.4	–	–	0.065
Makkar & Cameotra (2002)	Surfactin	Batch culture with foam fractionation	<i>B. subtilis</i>	Sucrose	–	4.50	–	–	0.500
Gong <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Surfactin	Batch culture	<i>B. subtilis</i> E8	Starch	6.50	12.0	0.894	–	0.375

Table 5 | Emulsification index (E_{24}) of cell-free supernatant of the five exponentially fed-batch cultivation runs with various petroleum hydrocarbons

Cultivation runs	Emulsification index (E_{24})		
	Motor oil	Crude oil	Kerosene
1	87	93	80
2	88	96	81
3	87	95	85
4	88	96	85
5	89	97	86

CONCLUSION

Time course profiles showed that surfactin produced by *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 was growth associated and exhibited excellent emulsification properties. *B. subtilis* BDCC-TUSA-3 also showed a preferential emulsification of crude oil compared to motor oil and kerosene. With the proposed exponential feeding strategy, maximum concentrations of crude surfactin and cell biomass of 36.1 and 31.8 g l⁻¹, respectively, and volumetric reactor productivity of 2.78 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹ were favorable compared to those reported in the literature for both biologically produced and chemically synthesized surfactants in terms of quantity and quality. Thus, the proposed process of surfactin production from Maldex-15, a by-product recovered during manufacturing of high fructose syrup from corn starch, seemed to achieve the requirements for commercial applications. Compared to a conventional batch reactor of 100 m³ producing

from 4% (w/v) Maldex-15 about 465 kg of surfactin in 30 h (Amin 2010), the exponentially fed-batch reactor with only 5 m³ would produce 180 kg surfactin from 20.4% (w/v) Maldex-15 in 30 h.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the Department of Scientific Research Affairs, Taif University, K.S.A. for the financial support for this work.

REFERENCES

- Amin, G. 2010 A potent biosurfactant producing bacterial strain for application in enhanced oil recovery applications. *J. Petrol Environ. Biotechnol.* **1**, 104–109.
- Amin, G., Alotaibi, S., Youssef, N. A. & Saleh, W. D. 2008 Optimization of a fermentation process for bioinsecticide production by *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **24**, 2465–2471.
- Banat, I. M. 1995 Biosurfactants production and possible uses in microbial enhanced oil recovery and oil pollution remediation. *Bioresour. Technol.* **51**, 1–12.
- Cooper, D. G., MacDonald, C. R., Duff, S. J. B. & Kosaric, N. 1981 Enhanced production of surfactin from *Bacillus subtilis* by continuous product removal and metal cation additions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **42**, 408–412.
- Deisi, A. V., Eduardo, J. G., Encarnacin, J. A., José, A. T. & Ligia, R. R. 2012 Performance of a biosurfactant produced by a *Bacillus subtilis* strain isolated from crude oil samples as compared to commercial chemical surfactants. *Colloid. Surface B* **89**, 167–174.

- Dubois, M., Gills, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A. & Smith, F. 1956 Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analyt. Chem.* **28**, 350–356.
- Fakruddin, M. D. 2012 Biosurfactant: Production and application. *J. Petrol Environ. Biotechnol.* **3**, 124–129.
- Gong, G., Zheng, Z., Chen, H., Yuan, C., Wang, P., Yao, L. & Yu, Z. 2009 Enhanced production of surfactin by *Bacillus subtilis* E8 mutant obtained by ion beam implantation. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **47**, 27–31.
- Kim, H. S., Jeon, J. W., Kim, B. H., Ahn, C. Y., Oh, H. M. & Yoon, B. D. 2006 Extracellular production of a glycolipid biosurfactant, mannosylerythritol lipid, by *Candida* sp. SY16 using fed-batch fermentation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **70**, 391–396.
- Lin, S. C. 1996 Biosurfactants, recent advances. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **66**, 109–120.
- Makkar, R. S. & Cameotra, S. S. 2002 Synthesis of enhanced biosurfactant by *B. subtilis* MTCC 2423 at 45 °C by foam fractionation. *J. Surfact. Deter.* **4**, 355–357.
- Noah, K. S., Fox, S. L., Bruhn, D. F., Thompson, D. N. & Bala, G. A. 2002 Development of continuous surfactin production from potato process effluent by *Bacillus subtilis* in an airlift reactor. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **98**, 803–813.
- Nur, A. M. N., Salwa, M. S., Amirul, A. & Ahmad, R. M. Y. 2012 Fed-batch cultivation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* USM-AR2 producing rhamnolipid in bioreactor through pulse feeding strategy. *Int. Conf. Biol. Life Sci.* **40**, 168–174.
- Pansiripata, S., Pornsunthorntaweeta, O., Rujiravanita, R., Kitiyanana, B., Somboonthanate, P. & Chavadeja, S. 2010 Biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* SP4 using sequencing batch reactors: Effect of oil-to-glucose ratio. *Bioch. Eng. J.* **49**, 185–191.
- Perfumo, A., Rancich, I. & Banat, I. M. 2010 Possibility and challenges for biosurfactant uses in petroleum industry. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **672**, 135–145.
- Pirt, J. S. 1975 *Principles of Microbe and Cell Cultivation*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- Sarubbo, L. A. 2006 Production and stability studies of the bioemulsifier obtained from a strain of *Candida glabrata* UCP 1002. *J. Biotechnol.* **9**, 400–406.
- Sheppard, J. D. & Cooper, D. G. 1991 The response of *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC21332 to manganese during continuous-phased growth. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **35**, 72–76.
- White, J. 1945 *Yeast Technology*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Yeh, M. S., Wei, Y. H. & Chang, J. S. 2005 Enhanced production of surfactin from *Bacillus subtilis* by addition of solid carriers. *Biotechnol. Progr.* **21**, 1329–1334.
- Yeh, M. S., Wei, Y. H. & Chang, J. S. 2006 Bioreactor design for enhanced carrier-assisted surfactin production with *Bacillus subtilis*. *Process Biochem.* **41**, 1799–1805.
- Yin, H., Qiang, Y., Jia, Y., Ye, J. & Peng, H. 2009 Characteristics of biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* S6 isolated from oil-containing wastewater. *Process Biochem.* **44**, 302–308.
- Zouari, N., Achour, O. & Jaoua, S. 2002 Production of delta-endotoxin by *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* and overcome of catabolite repression, by using highly concentrated gruel and fish meal media in 2 and 20 l fermentors. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **77**, 877–882.

First received 30 March 2013; accepted in revised form 22 April 2014. Available online 16 May 2014