Intronic polyadenylation in the human glycinamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase gene
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ABSTRACT

The mouse glycinamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase (GART) locus is known to produce two functional proteins, one by recognition and use of an intronic polyadenylation site and the other by downstream splicing. We now report a similar intronic polyadenylation mechanism for the human GART locus. The human GART gene has two potential polyadenylation signals within the identically located intron as that involved in intronic polyadenylation in the mouse gene. Each of the potential polyadenylation signals in the human gene was followed by an extensive polyT rich tract, but only the downstream signal was preceded by a GT tract. Only the downstream signal was utilized. The polyT rich tract which followed the functional polyadenylation site in the human GART gene was virtually identical in sequence to a similarly placed region in the mouse gene. An exact inverted complement to the polyT rich tract following the active polyadenylation signal was found in the upstream intron of the human gene, suggesting that a hairpin loop may be involved in this intronic polyadenylation.

INTRODUCTION

Multifunctional enzymes have been found in several metabolic pathways in eukaryotes, e.g., purine and pyrimidine synthesis and the interconversion of folates. A comparison of the sequence of the genes encoding these multifunctional proteins across species suggests that they arose by fusion of genomic regions encoding single domain proteins with the loss of individual promoters (1,5,8). In mammals and birds, the GART locus encodes an enzyme of purine synthesis which catalyzes three steps of this pathway [glycinamide ribonucleotide synthetase (GARS), glycinnamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase (GART) and aminimidazole ribonucleotide synthetase (AIRS)] (1,4,8), each of which is expressed as a separate protein in Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis (6,13–15). Paradoxically, the mouse GART gene has also maintained the ability to produce a second mRNA which translates to a monofunctional protein with GARS activity (8). It is not clear why a mechanism to express a separate, monofunctional GARS activity has been retained after the evolutionary selection of a trifunctional protein which also catalyzes the GARS reaction. However, the structure of the mouse GART gene suggests that the smaller protein has been actively retained and that it is not just a vestigial remnant (9).

Our previous studies on the mouse GART locus demonstrated that the mRNAs for monofunctional GART were produced by the utilization of several polyadenylation signals present in intron 11 (9). In the mouse, the transcripts for the trifunctional protein use the same promoter and same initial 11 exons of this gene as does the monofunctional GARS but processing of these transcripts ignores the intron 11 polyadenylation signals and splices in the downstream exons 12–22. Hence, processing of the primary transcripts from the GART gene represents a case in which two functional proteins are produced from a single gene but by alternative intronic polyadenylation and splicing rather than by alternative exon usage. The mechanism whereby intronic polyadenylation occurs for the GART gene remains to be established, although recent studies have directly addressed this issue in the immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (16). In this study, we isolated the human GART genomic locus and searched for cis elements common between the human and mouse GART (9) genes that might be involved in this mechanism. From the patterns of sequence conservation and analysis of frequency of utilization of polyadenylation signals, we noted that an extensive polyT tract located in the intron distal to the last utilized intronic cleavage/polyadenylation signal and a weak 5′ splice donor site were common to both mouse and human GART genes. The GART gene in each species also had a backup motif which could accentuate the effectiveness of these intronic sequence motifs on cleavage/polyadenylation while still allowing downstream splicing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Library screening

Human genomic clones for the GART locus were isolated from a λ FixII library constructed from male placental DNA (Stratagene). The library was screened with a CDNA probe, derived by PCR from poly(A)+ selected RNA isolated from CEM human lymphoblastic leukemia cells. This probe was clone HR24
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(Fig. 3B) derived by 3' RACE; the sequence has been deposited in GenBank. The library conditions were performed using Denhardt’s solution hybridization conditions as previously described (12).

Sequence analysis

Human GART cDNA sequence (1) that corresponds to exons 11–14 of the mouse gene (9) were mapped to restriction fragments of λ clones by Southern blot hybridization to the cDNA probe used for the library screen and with 25mer oligonucleotides corresponding to this region. Hybridizing restriction fragments were subcloned into pBluescript SKII(+). Double-stranded plasmid DNA was isolated by the alkaline lysis method and partially sequenced using Sequenase 2.0 (Amersham).

Determination of the use of polyadenylation signals

Two independent methods were used to determine the use of polyadenylation signals, 3' RACE and ribonuclease protection assays. 3' RACE was performed as previously described (7,9) using poly(A)+ selected RNA from CEM cells, reverse transcribed using an oligo dT primer containing sequence of an anchor primer. The gene-specific primers used for 3' RACE were 5'-ccagctctag-gactgagagttgcctcagc-3' (P1), 5'-ccatgctagctgagtaagtttc-3' (P2) and 5'-actgagagttgcctcagc-3' (P3). The PCR products obtained were gel purified and subcloned into the pCRII vector (Invitrogen). Constructs used for ribonuclease protection assays were two adjacent Sau3AI fragments of 0.9 and 0.4 kb from the genomic subclone HJK32 ligated into the BamHI site of pBluescript SKII (+) and named HS1 and HS13, respectively (Fig. 3B). The subclone HS1 was created by ligating the Rsal fragment from clone HS13 into the EcoRV site of pBluescript SK (+). Templates for in vitro transcription were linearized with either FokI, Bst711I, NdeI or EcoRV (Fig. 3B) and were transcribed using either T3 or T7 RNA polymerase. Ribonuclease protection assays were performed essentially as described (2). Briefly, 30 µg of total RNA from CEM cells was hybridized overnight at 50°C with an in vitro transcribed RNA probe. Approximately 5 × 106 c.p.m. of labeled RNA probe was used for each 30 µl reaction. The hybridized RNA was treated with 100 µg/ml of RNase A at 4°C for 30 min followed by digestion at 25 µg/ml of proteinase K at 42°C for 15 min. The samples were extracted with phenol-chloroform, then precipitated with ethanol. The precipitated RNA was resuspended in loading buffer and fractionated on a 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gel.

RESULTS

Isolation and partial characterization of the human GART locus

A total of 1.5 × 106 λ plaques from a human male placenta λ FIXII genomic library were screened using a radiolabeled probe containing human cDNA sequence corresponding to the end of the GARS domain and the beginning of the AIRS domain. Twenty two genomic clones were isolated. The alignment of four clones which overlapped in the region of interest is depicted in Figure 1A. Restriction mapping and Southern blot analysis using an oligonucleotide probe corresponding to the 5'-segment of the GARS domain identified a hybridizing BglII–BamHI fragment on clone λHAG1 which was then subcloned into the BamHI–StyI sites of pBluescript SKII (+). A BamHI–HindIII subfragment (Fig. 1A) which contained human GART exon 14 sequence was also subcloned. The sizes of the exons 11–14 and the junctional sequences in this region of the human GART gene were determined by limited sequence analysis (Table 1). The exon numbering presented here was arbitrarily set to the assignments previously found for the mouse GART locus (9) to permit a facile comparison of these two genes. Exons 11–14 in the human GART gene were identical in size and in the exact site of interruption of the coding region by introns previously reported for the mouse gene (9). In the human GART gene, as in the mouse, exon 11 constituted the end of the coding region for the GARS domain. For the smaller (monofunctional GARS) transcript, the stop codon, taa, was part of the 5'-splice donor site used to generate the trifunctional transcript, and the 3'-untranslated region of the monofunctional GARS message was contiguous with the end of exon 11 (see below). Thus, as was previously found to be the case in the mouse, the monofunctional GARS message was produced from primary transcripts from this human gene by cleavage and polyadenylation within what constitutes intrinsic sequence for the longer transcript encoding the trifunctional GARS–AIRS–GART protein. We have previously determined that multiple polyadenylation signal sequences were present in intron 11 of the mouse GART gene, most of which could be used to generate the heterogeneous 3’-termini of the monofunctional GARS mRNAs (9). There was also a striking 24 nt polyT tract in the mouse gene immediately downstream of the most 3’ polyadenylation signal. Detailed sequence analysis of intron 11 in the human GART locus was performed to determine whether any or all of these structural features were conserved between mouse and human genes. In the first 900 nt of intron 11, immediately downstream of the last GARS domain-encoding exon in the human gene, there were two candidate polyadenylation signal sequences, which are referred to below as poly(A) site I (ATTAAA) and II (AATTTA) (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, there was a polyT tract downstream of each of these potential polyadenylation signals, although the GT rich tract associated with polyadenylation sites was present only for site II, located immediately upstream of the polyT tract.

Utilization of intronic polyadenylation signals

3' RACE was performed with poly(A)+ RNA from CEM cells to determine the use of the two intronic polyadenylation signal sequences. A gene-specific PCR primer derived from exon 11 and two from intron 11 were individually used in conjunction with the 3' anchor primer for these reactions. The position of these PCR primers relative to the two potential polyadenylation signals in human intron 11 are shown in Figure 2A. PCR products were cloned into pCRII and multiple clones from each reaction were sequenced. The positions of cleavage/polyadenylation detected by 3' RACE are summarized in Figure 2B. PCR reactions with the anchor primer and either intron primer 2 (P2) or exon 11 primer (P1) would be capable of identifying mRNAs that used either of the two potential polyadenylation signals present in intron 11. PCR products corresponding to mRNAs that used poly(A) site I were not represented in the clones sequenced, but cDNAs corresponding to poly(A) site II usage were found. The frequent, if not exclusive, use of poly(A) site II among transcripts terminating in intron 11 was confirmed in subsequent 3' RACE experiments using intron primer 3 (P3) and an anchor primer (Fig. 2B). Although 3' RACE with the P1 primer would also detect the trifunctional transcript, gel bands within this size range were not analyzed.
Figure 1. (A) Alignment of human genomic clones isolated for the GART locus. The positions of exons 11–14 are shown as filled boxes and the site of polyadenylation is noted by an arrow. The region whose sequence is summarized in (B) is denoted by an open box. Restriction sites mapped in this region were BglII (B), HindIII (H) and BamHI (BHI). The NcoI (N) site shown for some phage is the cloning site for the λgt11 library. (B) Nucleotide sequence of exon 11 (upper case letters) and the surrounding intron sequence (lower case letters). The two polyadenylation signal sequences are underlined and denoted as poly(A) sites I and II. The polyT tracts are double underlined. An inverted complementary sequence to the nt 1405–1438 polyT tract is indicated by the dotted line.

Table 1. Partial intron/exon boundaries of the human GART gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Exon size (nt)</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>5' Intron</th>
<th>Exon sequence</th>
<th>3' Intron sequence</th>
<th>Intron size (nt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>GARS</td>
<td>tctctctag</td>
<td>GTTTTC......GCCCAG</td>
<td>gtaaaactct</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>AIRS</td>
<td>aattctctag</td>
<td>GAGTTT......GATCAG</td>
<td>gtcagtgatc</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>AIRS</td>
<td>tctctctctag</td>
<td>GCTGTA......TCAAAG</td>
<td>gtaatcagac</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>AIRS</td>
<td>tctctctctag</td>
<td>ATTGCC......TCTTTTG</td>
<td>gtcagctagc</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined.

Identification and characterization of an unexpected transcript from the human GART locus

Several of the 3' RACE clones obtained using the exon 11 primer did not represent the use of either of the polyadenylation signals in intron 11. These PCR products initiated from the primer used, contained the remaining exon 11 sequence followed by all of exons 12 and 13, a stretch of 83 nt that did not have homology to exon 14, followed immediately by 39–41 nt of exon 14 and a polyA tail (the sequences described are deposited in GenBank) (Fig. 3A). This set of PCR products represented a novel transcript that was not detected among the mouse GART transcripts (8) and appeared to represent an alternatively spliced RNA product.

Partial sequencing of lambda subclones from this genomic region indicated that the unexpected 83 nt constituted the most 3' end of intron 13, which was a total of 343 nt in length. Hence, this splice variant used an alternative 3'-splice acceptor site than that used to generate the trifunctional GARS–AIRS–GART mRNA; this region of the two transcripts was designated exons 14A and 14, respectively (Fig. 3A). This splice variant originated from use of a cryptic 3'-splice acceptor site in intron 13, coupled to the use of
Quantitation of transcripts from the human GART gene

The presence of three possible transcripts from the human GART locus [a monofunctional GARS using intron 11 polyadenylation signal(s), the splice variant from polyadenylation in exon 14, and a trifunctional transcript] prompted an investigation of the relative levels of these transcripts in human cells. Ribonuclease protection assays using several antisense RNA probes (shown in Fig. 3B) allowed estimation of the relative levels of these transcripts in total RNA from human CEM leukemic cells. Two probes, HR24 and GR1 (Fig. 3B), were used to determine the relative message level of the splice variant that used exon 14A and that for the trifunctional GARS–AIRS–GART transcript.
Surprisingly, only protected fragments (162 and 175 nt, lanes 8 and 9 of Fig. 3C) corresponding to the trifunctional transcript were detected, and the fragments expected for the splice variant (245 and 258 nt) were too infrequent to be seen in these experiments (Fig. 3C). The results of these ribonuclease protection experiments agreed with Northern blots in which a signal corresponding to the message containing sequence unique to intron 14A was not detectable even after long exposures of the film (data not shown).

Ribbonuclease protection assays using an antisense probe produced by linearization of subclone HS1 with Bsr7I1 (Fig. 3B), allowed the assessment of the use of polyA site II. A major protection product of ∼283 nt was detected (Fig. 3C, lane 10) which corresponded to a fragment size expected for cleavage and polyadenylation at the site predicted by the 3′-RACE product which would use polyA site II. The minor band at 378 nt coincided with the size expected for unspliced transcript.

A subclone, HS13, representing a Sau3AI fragment of the human GART locus that contains sequence of exon 11 and the first 436 nt of intron 11 was linearized by either FokI or Bsr7I1 and antisense probes were transcribed from these substrates. Ribonuclease protection assays using the antisense probe HS13-FokI would allow the detection of transcripts that represented the use of poly(A) sites I and II as well as the trifunctional GARS–AIRS–GART message. On the other hand, the antisense probe HS13-Bsr7I1 would not hybridize to mRNA containing exon 11 but would allow the detection of transcripts using either poly(A) sites I or II. As cleavage and polyadenylation occurs within 10–30 nt from the polyadenylation signal (17), the expected size of products for use of polyA site I were 330–360 and 178–209 nt and for use of polyA site II were 587 and 435 nt for the FokI and Bsr7I1 probes, respectively. Neither of these sets of products was obtained (Fig. 3C). Rather, protected fragments of 137, 397 and 410 nt were obtained using the FokI probe and fragments of 247 and 260 nt were detected using the Bsr7I1 probe (Fig. 3C, lanes 11 and 12). The 137 nt band was generated by protection of only the exon 11 sequence of the trifunctional transcript. With both of these probes, the size of the protected fragments would place the site of cleavage 79 and 101 nt downstream from polyadenylation signal sequence I, substantially longer than the maximal expected distance of 30 nt for use of poly(A) site I. However, these fragments also do not represent the protection fragments expected from use of the poly(A) site downstream. Instead, the cleavage sites that generated the products obtained in these reactions mapped exactly in the first of two polyT tracts in intron 11 (Fig. 3B). Hence, it appeared that all of the products obtained in these reactions corresponded to transcripts which used the downstream polyA site II that had been shortened by spurious RNAse A digestion due to breathing of the polyT stretch (2). The use of poly(A) signal I appeared to be excluded by these experiments, although the interpretation of the data was clouded by the presence of the upstream polyT tract in the protection probe.

A construct that had the polyT stretch removed, HJ1, was used for additional ribonuclease protection experiments to confirm these conclusions. Linearization of HJ1 with NdeI or Bsr7I1 was used to make the antisense probes HJ1–NdeI and HJ1–Bsr7I1 (shown in Fig. 3B). Protection of the NdeI probe by CEM mRNA produced fragments of 231 and 468 nt (Fig. 3C, lane 13) whereas protection of the Bsr7I1 probe resulted in a single band of 228 nt (lane 14). The 231 nt band represented, again, the protection of only exon 11 sequence of the larger trifunctional GARS–AIRS–GART transcript. The 468 nt band spans the length of exon 11 and all of the intronic sequence present in this subclone, indicating the protection of a monofunctional transcript terminating downstream of site II. The 228 nt band derived from HJ1–Bsr7I1, likewise indicated the exclusive use of the intronic downstream site II. Thus, poly(A) site I was not used and appeared to represent a non-functional polyadenylation signal.

Hence, these ribonuclease protection experiments gave unambiguous confirmation that site II is the only intronic polyadenylation signal used in intron 11 of the human GART gene. It should also be noted from Figure 3C (lanes 12 and 13) that the monofunctional GARS transcripts are about as frequently represented in CEM RNA as is the trifunctional spliced product.

DISCUSSION

Chicken, mouse and human tissues express two sets of transcripts related to GART, a 1.7–1.9 kb message encoding a monofunctional GARS, and an ~3.4 kb transcript encoding three domains that catalyze the second (GARS), third (GART) and fifth (AIRS) reactions of de novo purine synthesis (1,8). We have previously reported that the protein encoded by the smaller set of messages in the mouse is a functional enzyme, in spite of the fact that this GARS reaction is also carried out by the trifunctional enzyme (8). We also showed that both classes of messages come from the same genomic locus (9). The monofunctional GARS transcript was generated by use of the first 11 exons of the mouse GART gene followed by cleavage and polyadenylation within intron 11, whereas for the larger transcript, the intronic polyadenylation signals present in this intron are ignored and exon 11 is spliced to exons downstream. In this study, we demonstrate that intronic polyadenylation is also responsible for generation of the monofunctional GARS transcripts in human cells and we examine the structural features of the human gene to search for common features with the mouse GART locus that might explain this phenomenon.

The most striking commonality between the mouse and human GART genes surrounding the intronic polyadenylation signals is a nearly identical polyT tract:

mouse: GTGTTTGGATT(T)24T
human: GTGTTTGGATTTC(T)24G

This sequence motif is immediately downstream of the only active polyadenylation signal in the human GART intron 11 and is also present close downstream of the most distal intron 11 polyadenylation signal used in the mouse gene. This polyT motif is immediately downstream of the GT tract (apparently required for efficient polyadenylation; 11) and the polyadenylation signal (AATAAA). This degree of conservation of a polyT region within intronic sequence would seem unlikely to be fortuitous, and may reflect an important component in the mechanism for generation of the smaller transcript in human and mouse GART genes.

Intron 10 of the human GART locus was partially sequenced during localization of the intron/exon borders of this region of the gene. These studies revealed the presence of a 20 nt sequence which was an exact inverted complement to a segment of the intron 11 polyT rich tract. This suggested that the polyT tract might be influencing the choice between splicing of exon 11 downstream and that of intronic cleavage/polyadenylation by the formation of a hairpin structure in the unprocessed primary
transcript. This finding prompted us to determine the sequence of the intron immediately upstream of exon 11 in the mouse gene. Somewhat to our surprise, a complement to the mouse polyT tract was not found, at least within this exon, although it might be present elsewhere in the gene. However, for the human GART gene, there is only a single active polyadenylation signal whereas several intronic polyadenylation signals are present and are utilized in the mouse gene. Hence, it seems entirely likely that the formation of a hairpin loop accentuates the effects of the presence of the single active intronic polyadenylation site in the human gene, whereas the multiple active signals are sufficient to bring about intronic transcript termination in the mouse gene.

The 5′ splice donor site immediately upstream of the site of intronic polyadenylation in both mouse and human GART genes differs from the consensus sequence by the presence of an A nucleotide in the +5 position, a deviation from the G nucleotide normally at this position. The mouse and human immunoglobulin mu heavy chain (IgM) locus, and other immunoglobulin genes normally at this position. The mouse and human immunoglobulin genes in the +5 position, a deviation from the G nucleotide differs from the consensus sequence by the presence of an A intronic polyadenylation in both mouse and human GART genes pattern of splicing versus polyadenylation (10), directly implicating immunoglobulins have demonstrated that mutation of this A intronic transcript termination in the mouse gene.

During the course of our studies on the human GART gene, a splice variant was found that used a polyadenylation signal in exon 14 (Fig. 3A). (The AATAAA found in exon 14 of the human sequence was not preserved in the mouse sequence so that analogous mouse transcripts were not possible.) Transcripts which used the 3′-splice acceptor site of exon 14 and the AATAAA within exon 14 were not found, nor were transcripts using exon 14A without recognition of the exonic polyadenylation signal sequence, although either would have been detected by our experiments. Nevertheless, although this class of transcripts was frequently isolated by 3′ RACE, the message proved to be of low abundance as evidenced by its absence in both Northern blots and ribonuclease protection assays. We concluded that it represented a rare transcript which was overemphasized by PCR.

There is a short but growing list of genes recognized to produce different forms of the same protein by a choice of intronic polyadenylation versus downstream splicing. The two transcripts generated from the GART locus represent an extreme case with two functional proteins being produced, one of which has only GARS activity, the other capable of three of the steps of purine synthesis including the GARS reaction. To our knowledge, all of

the other cases analyzed to date involve a switch from one isotype of a protein to another in response to the needs of a tissue-specific or developmental program of gene expression. Although it is not clear whether there is a developmental role for the GART gene, others have implicated this locus in Down’s syndrome (3). From the striking sequence similarities and differences in the mouse and human GART genes near the region involved in intronic polyadenylation, this locus seems to be an interesting and informative model system to dissect the mechanisms involved in the choice of intronic polyadenylation versus downstream splicing. Whether and the extent to which the mechanism of this choice in the GART gene is common with other genes which utilize intronic cleavage/polyadenylation will prove useful in understanding the steps involved at a biochemical level.
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