

Null Results in Brief

Vitamin D Pathway Polymorphisms in Relation to Mammographic Breast Density

Caroline Diorio,^{1,3,4,5} Marc Sinotte,^{3,4} Jacques Brisson,^{3,4,5} Sylvie Bérubé,^{4,5} and Michael Pollak²

¹McGill University, Breast Cancer Functional Genomics Group and McGill Centre for Bioinformatics; ²Cancer Prevention Research Unit, Lady Davis Institute of the Jewish General Hospital and McGill University, Departments of Medicine and Oncology, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ³Université Laval, Département de médecine sociale et préventive; ⁴Unité de recherche en santé des populations, Centre hospitalier affilié universitaire de Québec; and ⁵Centre des maladies du sein Deschênes-Fabia, Centre hospitalier affilié universitaire de Québec, Quebec, Quebec, Canada

Introduction

Vitamin D may play a role in mammary gland development and carcinogenesis (1). Results from our team and others (2-4) suggest that high vitamin D intakes/levels may reduce breast cancer risk and mammographic breast density (MBD), a strong and highly heritable breast cancer risk factor (5). The protective action of vitamin D is mainly mediated through the vitamin D receptor (VDR) present in breast cells (1). Human mammary cells also express cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily B, polypeptide 1 (CYP27B1), the enzyme that converts the major circulating form of vitamin D {25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D]} into biologically active 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)D], the ligand for VDR (6). Recent data suggest that 25(OH)D may be delivered to and internalized by mammary cells in complex with vitamin D-binding protein (DBP; ref. 7). To further clarify the role of vitamin D in the etiology of breast cancer, we evaluated the association of 13 targeted single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, and *DBP* genes with MBD in Caucasian women, including the well-studied *VDR* *FokI*, *BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI* previously found associated with breast cancer risk in some Caucasian studies (2).

Materials and Methods

Study subjects were premenopausal women who underwent screening mammography between February and

December 2001 at the Clinique radiologique Audet (Québec, Canada; see ref. 8 for details). Among the 783 eligible women, 746 provided written informed consent to use their blood samples for assays other than those planned at recruitment (8). This study was approved by a research ethics committee.

Anthropometric measurements and blood samples were taken at recruitment by a trained nurse. Breast cancer risk factors were documented by telephone interview, and diet was evaluated with a food frequency questionnaire.

MBD was blindly assessed by one reviewer (C.D.) using a computer-assisted method (8). MBD is the proportion of the breast projection showing tissue density on one randomly selected craniocaudal view for each woman. The within-batch intraclass correlation coefficient and the between-batch coefficient of variation were 0.98% and 4%, respectively.

DNA was extracted from buffy coat ($n = 741$) using the PUREGene DNA extraction kit, and then DNA samples were blindly genotyped for 13 selected SNPs located in *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, and *DBP* genes using various platforms (see Table 1). Protocols can be provided upon request. Common SNPs were included in the present study if located in promoter regions or exons or found to be associated with breast cancer risk or its associated risk factors in previous studies (2, 9). Concordance within or between genotyping platforms was higher than 99%. No obvious deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed for any of the SNPs ($P > 0.03$). The linkage disequilibrium strength was evaluated with Lewontin's D' statistic for pair-wise SNPs within each gene, and was 1.00 for those located in promoter region or intron 8 and exon 9 of *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, or *DBP*, and 0.02 to 0.78 otherwise.

Crude and adjusted means of MBD by category of genotypes were estimated using generalized linear models, and statistical differences were evaluated with P for heterogeneity. The associations between the number of copies of the rare allele entered as a continuous variable (0, 1, or 2) and MBD were evaluated by linear regression models (P_{trend}). Univariate and multivariate haplotype analyses were done based on all SNPs or those in haplotype blocks (region of strong

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17(9):2505-8

Received 5/29/08; accepted 7/2/08.

Grant support: Translation Acceleration Grants Program for Breast Cancer Control of the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Alliance and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research; postdoctoral fellowships from The Cancer Research Society, Inc., and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (C. Diorio); and studentships from Canadian Institutes of Health Research and National Cancer Institute of Canada (M. Sinotte).

Note: C. Diorio and M. Sinotte contributed equally to this work and should be considered first authors.

Requests for reprints: Caroline Diorio, Unité de recherche en santé des populations, Hôpital du Saint-Sacrement du Centre hospitalier affilié universitaire de Québec, 1050 Chemin Sainte-Foy, Québec, QC, Canada G1S 4L8. Phone: 418-682-7390; Fax: 418-682-7949. E-mail: caroline.diorio@uresp.ulaval.ca

Copyright © 2008 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0493

Table 1. SNPs evaluated in the present study

Gene name	Encoded product	<i>n</i>	Alleles (major > minor)	SNP reference ID*	Position in gene (other designation)	Genotyping platform	Call rate (%)
<i>VDR</i>	Vitamin D receptor	726	G > A	rs11568820	Promoter (Cdx2)	Sequenom	98.0
		732	C > G	rs7139166	Promoter (-1521)	Sequenom	98.8
		734	T > C	rs4516035	Promoter (-1012)	SNPstream	99.1
		736	G > A	rs2238136	Intron 1 (-4817)	SNPstream	99.3
		731	G > A	rs10735810	Exon 2 (<i>FokI</i>)	Sequenom	98.7
		736	C > T	rs1544410	Intron 8 (<i>BsmI</i>)	SNPstream	99.3
		707	A > C	rs7975232	Intron 8 (<i>ApaI</i>)	Sequenom	95.4
		737	T > C	rs731236	Exon 9 (<i>TaqI</i>)	Sequenom	99.5
		739	A > G	rs703842	Promoter (-1918)	FP-SBE	99.7
		737	C > A	rs10877012	Promoter (-1260)	FP-SBE	99.5
<i>CYP27B1</i>	Cytochrome P450, family 27 subfamily B, polypeptide 1	736	C > G	rs3782130	Promoter (-1077)	FP-SBE	99.3
		733	G > T	rs7041	Exon 11 (Asp ⁴¹⁶ Glu)	Sequenom	98.9
<i>DBP</i>	Vitamin D-binding protein	733	G > T	rs7041	Exon 11 (Asp ⁴¹⁶ Glu)	Sequenom	98.9
		733	C > A	rs4588	Exon 11 (Thr ⁴²⁰ Lys)	Sequenom	98.9

Abbreviation: FP-SBE, fluorescent polarization-single base extension.

*Polymorphisms are identified by their dbSNP accession number at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/>.

linkage disequilibrium) within gene using the method described by Stram et al. (10). For these analyses, the most common haplotype was used as the reference category. Assumption of normality of residuals from all analyses was met with untransformed variables. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc.).

Results

We observed no statistically significant association of any of the eight *VDR* SNPs with MBD (Table 2). No significant association was found between MBD and polymorphisms located in *CYP27B1* and *DBP* genes (Table 2). Moreover, we observed no significant association of any haplotypes within *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, or *DBP* genes with MBD (data not shown).

Discussion

Well-studied *VDR* *FokI*:rs10735810, *BsmI*:rs1544410, *ApaI*:rs7975232, and *TaqI*:rs731236 polymorphisms have been associated with breast cancer risk in some Caucasian populations (2, 11-14).⁶ Knowing the strong link of MBD with breast cancer risk and because effects of vitamin D are mainly mediated via the *VDR* gene, we hypothesized that those *VDR* polymorphisms could be associated with MBD. However, our data suggest no evidence of associations between the above *VDR* polymorphisms and MBD.

The average frequencies of rare and common homozygote of those *VDR* polymorphisms are ~14% to 27% and 26% to 40%, respectively, in Caucasian populations (2, 11-13).⁶ At such allele frequencies, the present study had 80% power to detect absolute differences of 6% to 8% in MBD comparing rare to common homozygote carriers

(with two-sided $\alpha = 0.05$). It is estimated that a difference of 1% in MBD is associated with 0.4% to 2.7% difference in breast cancer risk (15). Assuming that breast cancer risk is totally due to MBD and using odds ratios of 1.33 to 1.34 for rare *FokI* homozygote women from the same city⁶ or from another North American Caucasian population (16), we expected at least 12% difference in MBD, therefore suggesting that our sample size was large enough.

The major strength of this study is the reliability of breast density measurements. Moreover, population stratification is not a major source of concern because our population was composed of 99.7% Caucasian women and more than 87.7% women of French-Canadian descent (17).

Vitamin D signaling pathway in mammary cells involves regulation of *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, and *DBP* genes (18). In addition to the above well-studied *VDR* polymorphisms, other SNPs in this gene (rs11568820, rs7139166, rs4516035, rs2238136) as well as in *CYP27B1* (rs703842, rs10877012, rs3782130) and *DBP* (rs7041, rs4588) genes were investigated, but no statistically significant association was observed with MBD. These polymorphisms were associated with breast cancer risk or its related factors, autoimmune Addison's disease, and diabetes or its related factors, respectively (9, 12, 19-21). Recently, lack of significant association was observed between both *DBP* polymorphisms and breast cancer risk (13).

In conclusion, we found no evidence that *VDR*, *CYP27B1*, and *DBP* polymorphisms studied here were associated with MBD among Caucasian premenopausal women of French descent.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

⁶ M. Sinotte, et al. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms (*FokI* and *BsmI*) and breast cancer risk: association replication in two case-control studies within French Canadian population. Submitted for publication.

Table 2. Associations of SNPs in vitamin D pathway genes with MBD

Gene name	rs number	Genotype	n (%) [*]	Breast density (%)					
				Crude models		Adjusted models 1 [†]		Adjusted models 2 [‡]	
				Mean	P [§]	Mean	P [§]	Mean	P [§]
VDR	rs11568820	GG	418 (57.6)	41.9		41.7		41.9	
		GA	268 (36.9)	43.3	0.39 (2 <i>df</i>)	43.5	0.26 (2 <i>df</i>)	43.5	0.34 (2 <i>df</i>)
		AA	40 (5.5)	37.8	0.87 (1 <i>df</i>)	38.7	0.81 (1 <i>df</i>)	39.2	0.82 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs7139166	CC	302 (41.3)	43.0		43.3		43.5	
		CG	315 (43.0)	42.2	0.80 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.6	0.21 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.5	0.32 (2 <i>df</i>)
		GG	115 (15.7)	41.3	0.51 (1 <i>df</i>)	39.5	0.12 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.1	0.15 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs4516035	TT	302 (41.1)	43.0		43.2		43.3	
		TC	318 (43.3)	42.1	0.83 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.6	0.31 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.6	0.45 (2 <i>df</i>)
		CC	114 (15.5)	41.5	0.54 (1 <i>df</i>)	39.8	0.18 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.5	0.24 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs2238136	GG	361 (49.0)	42.3		42.2		42.3	
		GA	308 (41.9)	42.8	0.73 (2 <i>df</i>)	43.1	0.45 (2 <i>df</i>)	43.4	0.35 (2 <i>df</i>)
		AA	67 (9.1)	40.2	0.75 (1 <i>df</i>)	39.8	0.76 (1 <i>df</i>)	39.5	0.70 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs10735810	GG	271 (37.1)	42.1		42.6		42.2	
		GA	346 (47.3)	41.4	0.17 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.8	0.33 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.7	0.39 (2 <i>df</i>)
		AA	114 (15.6)	46.3	0.24 (1 <i>df</i>)	44.7	0.38 (1 <i>df</i>)	44.9	0.51 (1 <i>df</i>)
rs1544410	CC	261 (35.5)	42.4		42.6		42.5		
	CT	347 (47.2)	41.7	0.64 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.5	0.40 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.9	0.49 (2 <i>df</i>)	
	TT	128 (17.4)	44.1	0.63 (1 <i>df</i>)	44.3	0.62 (1 <i>df</i>)	44.4	0.56 (1 <i>df</i>)	
rs7975232	AA	224 (31.7)	43.0		43.5		43.3		
	AC	352 (49.8)	42.2	0.88 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.8	0.51 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.2	0.71 (2 <i>df</i>)	
	CC	131 (18.5)	43.3	0.98 (1 <i>df</i>)	43.6	0.86 (1 <i>df</i>)	43.7	0.99 (1 <i>df</i>)	
rs731236	TT	265 (36.0)	42.1		42.4		42.4		
	TC	349 (47.3)	42.0	0.84 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.6	0.60 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.9	0.63 (2 <i>df</i>)	
	CC	123 (16.7)	43.5	0.69 (1 <i>df</i>)	43.8	0.72 (1 <i>df</i>)	43.9	0.62 (1 <i>df</i>)	
CYP27B1	rs703842	AA	392 (53.0)	42.8		43.1		43.3	
		AG	291 (39.4)	42.2	0.72 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.8	0.56 (2 <i>df</i>)	41.9	0.54 (2 <i>df</i>)
		GG	56 (7.6)	40.0	0.46 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.5	0.28 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.7	0.27 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs10877012	CC	394 (53.4)	42.7		42.9		43.1	
		CA	288 (39.1)	42.4	0.69 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.0	0.59 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.1	0.59 (2 <i>df</i>)
		AA	55 (7.5)	39.7	0.50 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.2	0.32 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.5	0.31 (1 <i>df</i>)
rs3782130	CC	394 (53.5)	42.4		42.8		43.0		
	CG	286 (38.9)	42.7	0.75 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.2	0.71 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.2	0.69 (2 <i>df</i>)	
	GG	56 (7.6)	40.0	0.70 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.4	0.44 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.6	0.40 (1 <i>df</i>)	
DBP	rs7041	GG	228 (31.1)	42.8		42.3		42.7	
		GT	377 (51.4)	42.9	0.50 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.8	0.69 (2 <i>df</i>)	43.0	0.51 (2 <i>df</i>)
		TT	128 (17.5)	40.0	0.38 (1 <i>df</i>)	41.1	0.70 (1 <i>df</i>)	40.6	0.45 (1 <i>df</i>)
	rs4588	CC	370 (50.5)	42.0		42.2		42.3	
		CA	296 (40.4)	42.8	0.92 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.5	0.97 (2 <i>df</i>)	42.7	0.97 (2 <i>df</i>)
		AA	67 (9.1)	42.2	0.80 (1 <i>df</i>)	42.8	0.80 (1 <i>df</i>)	42.4	0.89 (1 <i>df</i>)

Abbreviation: *df*, degrees of freedom.

**n* values are slightly lower in models 2 because of nine missing values in additional adjustment variables.

[†]Adjusted models 1 are controlled for age and body mass index.

[‡]Adjusted models 2 are as models 1 with further adjustments for age at menarche, number of breast biopsies, family history of breast cancer in first-degree relatives, number of full-term pregnancies, age at first birth, past contraceptive and hormone replacement therapy uses, energy, vitamin D, calcium and alcohol intakes, smoking status, leisure-time physical activity, and education.

[§]2 *df* *P* value test for heterogeneity between the means of breast density. 1 *df* *P* value is the *P*_{trend}, testing genotype dosage.

References

- Welsh J. Vitamin D and breast cancer: insights from animal models. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;80:1721–45.
- Cui Y, Rohan TE. Vitamin D, calcium, and breast cancer risk: a review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:1427–37.
- Brisson J, Berube S, Diorio C, Sinotte M, Pollak M, Masse B. Synchronized seasonal variations of mammographic breast density and plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:929–33.
- Abbas S, Linseisen J, Slinger T, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of post-menopausal breast cancer—results of a large case-control study. *Carcinogenesis* 2008;29:93–9.
- Boyd NF, Rommens JM, Vogt K, et al. Mammographic breast density as an intermediate phenotype for breast cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2005;6:798–808.
- Kemmis CM, Salvador SM, Smith KM, Welsh J. Human mammary epithelial cells express CYP27B1 and are growth inhibited by 25-hydroxyvitamin D-3, the major circulating form of vitamin D-3. *J Nutr* 2006;136:887–92.
- Rowling MJ, Kemmis CM, Taffany DA, Welsh J. Megalin-mediated endocytosis of vitamin D binding protein correlates with 25-hydroxycholecalciferol actions in human mammary cells. *J Nutr* 2006;136:2754–9.
- Diorio C, Pollak M, Byrne C, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I, IGF-binding protein-3, and mammographic breast density. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:1065–73.
- Xiong DH, Xu FH, Liu PY, et al. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms are linked to and associated with adult height. *J Med Genet* 2005;42:228–34.
- Stram DO, Leigh Pearce C, Bretsky P, et al. Modeling and E-M estimation of haplotype-specific relative risks from genotype data for a case-control study of unrelated individuals. *Hum Hered* 2003;55:179–90.
- Trabert B, Malone KE, Daling JR, et al. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and breast cancer risk in a large population-based case-control study of Caucasian and African-American women. *Breast Cancer Res* 2007;9:R84.
- Abbas S, Nieters A, Linseisen J, et al. Vitamin D receptor gene

- polymorphisms and haplotypes and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. *Breast Cancer Res* 2008;10:R31.
13. McCullough ML, Stevens VL, Diver WR, et al. Vitamin D pathway gene polymorphisms, diet, and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer: a nested case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res* 2007;9:R9.
 14. VandeVord PJ, Wooley PH, Darga LL, Severson RK, Wu B, Nelson DA. Genetic determinants of bone mass do not relate with breast cancer risk in US white and African-American women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2006;100:103–7.
 15. Ursin G, Ma H, Wu AH, et al. Mammographic density and breast cancer in three ethnic groups. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12:332–8.
 16. Chen WY, Bertone-Johnson ER, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Associations between polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:2335–9.
 17. Diorio C, Brisson J, Berube S, Pollak M. Genetic polymorphisms involved in insulin-like growth factor (IGF) pathway in relation to mammographic breast density and IGF levels. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:880–8.
 18. Welsh J. Targets of vitamin D receptor signaling in the mammary gland. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007;22 Suppl 2:V86–90.
 19. d'Alesio A, Garabedian M, Sabatier JP, et al. Two single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the human vitamin D receptor promoter change protein-DNA complex formation and are associated with height and vitamin D status in adolescent girls. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005;14:3539–48.
 20. Jennings CE, Owen CJ, Wilson V, Pearce SH. A haplotype of the CYP27B1 promoter is associated with autoimmune Addison's disease but not with Graves' disease in a UK population. *J Mol Endocrinol* 2005;34:859–63.
 21. Speeckaert M, Huang G, Delanghe JR, Taes YE. Biological and clinical aspects of the vitamin D binding protein (Gc-globulin) and its polymorphism. *Clin Chim Acta* 2006;372:33–42.