

OBSERVATIONS

Please, Let My Doctor Be Obese

Associations between the characteristics of general practitioners and their patients with type 2 diabetes

Obesity is an important cardiovascular risk factor in type 2 diabetes (1). Physician characteristics such as age and sex are related to counseling for overweight (2). Other physician characteristics may also be related. Patients indicated greater confidence in nonobese versus obese physicians. Whether this translates into increased success in obesity management is unknown (3). We aimed to study associations between the weight of general practitioners and their type 2 diabetic patients.

A postal survey was performed among 36 general practitioners participating in a shared-care diabetes project in 2000. It contained questions about the general practitioners' age, sex, weight, height, smoking behavior, work experience, practice population size, and opinion regarding how much influence they have on a patient's weight and smoking cessation. The project's target population consisted of type 2 diabetic patients who were exclusively treated in primary care. Patients who were coterminated in secondary care or who were terminally ill or had dementia were excluded. Participating patients ($n = 1,441$) represented 87% of the target population. Data on patient age, sex, diabetes duration, BMI, and smoking were collected by nurses. We performed a regression analysis with the mean BMI of patients as the dependent variable and the above variables as predictors.

The survey response rate was 100%. Most general practitioners were non-smoking (94%) men (83%) with a mean (\pm SD) age of 51.1 ± 7.0 years and work experience of 18.1 ± 8.9 years. The general practitioners' mean BMI was 24.4 ± 3.5 kg/m² (BMI <25 in 72%). For patients (per general practitioner), mean age was 68.2 ± 2.9 years, diabetes duration 7.2 ± 1.3 years, and BMI 29.3 ± 0.85

kg/m²; $44 \pm 9\%$ were men. The mean BMI of patients showed the strongest correlation with the BMI of general practitioners: -0.40 (partial correlation) and unstandardized coefficient B of -1.05 (95% CI -0.197 to -0.013). The optimal model ($P = 0.07$) had a multiple correlation (R) of 0.56 , and explained variance (R^2) was 31% (adjusted 17%).

We found a negative correlation between the BMI of type 2 diabetic patients and their general practitioners. Obese doctors had lean patients. Our study is limited by the cross-sectional design; associations were found, not causal relations.

Hash et al. (3) showed that patients indicated greater confidence in nonobese physicians. However, we found no translation into increased success in obesity management. On the contrary, patients of nonobese general practitioners had a higher BMI compared with patients of obese general practitioners. A discernable negative impact of patient weight on physician behavior was shown earlier (4). Could it be that nonobese general practitioners lack motivation to treat overweight patients? Is it time for general practitioners to search our own hearts?

LIELITH J. UBINK-VELTMAAT, MD^{1,2}
 ROGER A.J.M. DAMOISEAUX, PHD³
 ROEL O. RISCHEN, MD⁴
 KLAAS H. GROENIER¹

From the ¹Department of Family Practice, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; the ²Isala Clinics, Weezenlanden, Diabetes Outpatient Clinic, Zwolle, the Netherlands; the ³General practice 'de Hof van Blom', Hattem, the Netherlands; and the ⁴General practice 't Veen, Hattem, the Netherlands.

Address correspondence to Lielith J. Ubink-Veltmaat, MD, Vechtdijk 7, 7721 AG Dalftsen, Netherlands. E-mail: l.j.veltmaat@isala.nl.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

•••••

References

1. Abraham WT: Preventing cardiovascular events in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 116 (Suppl. 5A):39S–46S, 2004
2. Heywood A, Firman D, Sanson-Fisher R, Mudge P, Ring I: Correlates of physician counseling associated with obesity and smoking. *Prev Med* 25:268–276, 1996
3. Hash RB, Munna RK, Vogel RL, Bason JJ: Does physician weight affect perception of health advice? *Prev Med* 36:41–44, 2003
4. Hebl MR, Xu J, Mason MF: Weighing the care: patients' perceptions of physician care as a function of gender and weight. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 27:269–275, 2003

Prognostic Factors of Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss in Diabetic Patients

Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) is defined as the sudden onset of unilateral sensorineural hearing loss of ≥ 30 dB over at least three contiguous audiometric frequencies (1). Diabetes is a risk factor of SSNHL, possibly due to microangiopathy (2). Currently, the clinical studies of SSNHL rarely focus on diabetic patients. The correlations between biochemical data and hearing outcomes in SSNHL are seldom analyzed. We analyzed the characteristics of SSNHL in diabetic patients and tried to elucidate the possible prognostic factors.

Medical charts of diabetic patients admitted for SSNHL from 1984 to 2003 were retrospectively reviewed. A total of 67 patients (38 men and 29 women) with a mean age of 60.1 ± 11.9 years were included. Their mean duration of diabetes was 7.5 ± 7.7 years and mean HbA_{1c} $9.9 \pm 2.9\%$. Measuring by initial and follow-up pure-tone audiometries, improvement in hearing was divided into favorable outcome (FO) (hearing returned to at least the same level as the opposite ear or with improvement ≥ 30 dB) or poor outcome (PO) (hearing improvement < 30 dB) groups.

All of the patients denied previous episodes of SSNHL. After treatment, 23 patients (34.3%) had a favorable hearing outcome, while 44 patients (65.7%) had a poor hearing outcome. The age and sex distributions were not statistically different in the FO and PO groups. Duration of diabetes, medication type, and control levels of diabetes, hypertension, or hyperlipidemia were also not correlated with hearing outcome. Factors such as the type of initial audiogram, the period of SSNHL onset to therapy, and the presenting symptoms (vertigo, tinnitus, aural fullness, etc.) had no significant impact on the hearing prognosis.

SSNHL occurring in the summer had better a hearing outcome than that occurring in the nonsummer seasons (adjusted

Our report is, to the best of our knowledge, the first one describing the effects of obesity surgery in type 1 diabetes. In our opinion, gastric bypass surgery, which is being performed increasingly often (~100,000 operations in the U.S. annually [10]) in obese individuals, also with type 2 diabetes (4–8), is a feasible, safe, and effective method of weight reduction in young type 1 diabetic patients with severe obesity and comorbidities leading to metabolic syndrome (e.g., hypertension, hyperlipidemia) (11). In our patients, surgery-induced weight loss was also associated with a decrease in insulin requirement per kilogram of body weight (0.60 to 0.53 IU/kg in the first patient and from 0.95 to 0.83 IU/kg in the second patient). This observation may suggest the presence of clinically significant insulin resistance in severely obese type 1 diabetic subjects (12), which was subsequently reduced once weight loss occurred. Importantly, neither of the patients had any significant hypoglycemic episodes after the surgery, despite considerable reduction in HbA_{1c} level and apparent increase in insulin sensitivity.

In conclusion, gastric bypass surgery not only leads to a significant and maintained weight loss in type 1 diabetic patients, but also results in remarkable improvement in metabolic control (absolute reduction in HbA_{1c} of 3–4%) and concomitant disorders. Interestingly, the need for constant intensive insulin therapy in these patients had no detrimental influence on weight loss as an effect of obesity surgery. Both patients lost 50–60% of their excessive body weight during the follow-up period, which is also the rate reported in nondiabetic subjects (4,5,7).

LESZEK CZUPRYNIAK, MD, PHD¹
 JANUSZ STRZELCZYK, MD, PHD²
 KATARZYNA CYPRYK, MD, PHD³
 MACIEJ PAWLOWSKI, MD¹
 DARIUSZ SZYMANSKI, MD, PHD²
 ANDRZEJ LEWINSKI, MD, PHD³
 JERZY LOBA, MD, PHD¹

From the ¹Department of Diabetology and Metabolic Diseases, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; the ²Department of General and Transplant Surgery, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; and the ³Department of Endocrinology and Isotope Therapy, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland.

Address correspondence to Leszek Czupryniak, MD, PHD, Department of Diabetology and Metabolic Diseases, Barlicki University Hospital, No. 1,

Ul, Kopcinskiego 22, 90-153 Lodz, Poland. E-mail: bigosik@poczta.onet.pl.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

- Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Campbell LV, Chisholm DJ: Type 1 diabetes is not associated with increased central abdominal obesity (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 26:2703, 2003
- Sibley SD, Palmer JP, Hirsch IB, Brunzell JD: Visceral obesity, hepatic lipase activity, and dyslipidemia in type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 88:3379–3384, 2003
- Kabadi UM, Vora A, Kabadi M: Hyperinsulinemia and central adiposity: influence of chronic insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 23:1024–1025, 2000
- Fobi MA, Lee H, Holness R, Cabinda D: Gastric bypass operation for obesity. *World J Surg* 22:925–935, 1998
- Pories WJ, Swanson MS, MacDonald KG Jr, Long SB, Morris P, Brown BM, Bakarat HA, deRamon RA, Israel G, Dolezal JM, Dohm GL: Who would have thought it? An operation proves to be the most effective therapy for adult-onset diabetes mellitus. *Ann Surg* 222:339–350, 1995
- Smith SC, Edwards CB, Goodman GN: Changes in diabetic management after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Obes Surg* 6: 345–348, 1996
- Eisenberg D, Bell RL: The impact of bariatric surgery on severely obese patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Spectrum* 16:240–245, 2003
- Clements RH, Gonzalez QH, Long CI, Wittert G, Laws HL: Hormonal changes after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass for morbid obesity and the control of type-II diabetes mellitus. *Am Surg* 70:1–5, 2004
- Strzelczyk J, Czupryniak L, Loba J, Wasiaik J: The use of polypropylene mesh in midline incision closure following gastric by-pass surgery reduces the risk of post-operative hernia. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 387:294–297, 2002
- Brody JE: Surgical alternatives for the truly overweight. *New York Times*, 31 December 2002: Sect. F, p. 7
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults: Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Programme (NCAP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 285:2486–2497, 2001
- Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Chisholm DJ: Insulin resistance, intra-abdominal fat, cardiovascular risk factors, and androgens in healthy young women with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87:1036–1040, 2002

Dysadipocytokinemia in Werner Syndrome and Its Recovery by Treatment With Pioglitazone

Werner syndrome (WS) (Mendelian Inheritance in Man no. 277700) is an autosomal recessive disorder known for progeroid phenotypes including graying and loss of hair, juvenile cataracts, insulin-resistant diabetes, skin atrophy, premature atherosclerosis, and cancer (1). Mutations in *WRN*, a RECQ family DNA/RNA helicase gene, have been identified to cause this disease. The mechanism for insulin resistance in WS remains to be elucidated.

Adipocytes secrete a number of hormones (or adipocytokines), such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), leptin, adiponectin, and resistin, thereby regulating insulin sensitivity (2). WS patients typically show the lipotrophic skinny extremities with an obese trunk (1). The accumulated intra-abdominal visceral fat (3) suggests an altered production of adipocytokines.

To investigate the role of adipocytokines in the pathophysiology of WS, we examined the serum levels of TNF- α and adiponectin in WS. Sera sampled from 24 WS patients (14 men and 10 women; 16 with and 8 without diabetes) proven to be homozygous for *WRN* mutations, and 40 age- and sex-matched normoglycemic healthy volunteers were assayed after informed consent was obtained. Age (43 ± 8.1 vs. 41.6 ± 7.5 years) and BMI (19.4 ± 1.9 vs. 18.8 ± 2.0 kg/m²) were similar for diabetic and nondiabetic WS patients.

The serum level of TNF- α , a mediator of insulin resistance, was significantly elevated in WS regardless of having diabetes (21.8 ± 8.7 pg/ml, $P < 0.0001$ by Mann-Whitney test) or not having diabetes (14.0 ± 3.2 pg/ml, $P = 0.002$) compared with the healthy control group (6.05 ± 3.0 pg/ml). Adiponectin levels in diabetic WS patients (3.1 ± 2.9 μ g/ml) was significantly lower than in nondiabetic WS patients (11.6 ± 9.2 μ g/ml, $P = 0.006$) or control subjects (14.4 ± 8.8 μ g/ml, $P < 0.0001$). The growing evidence indicates insulin sensitizing as well as antiatherogenic actions of adiponectin and the association of decreased serum adiponectin with insulin resistance, obe-

bleeding time. Our results, on the other hand, showed that a diet-induced reduction in the n-6-to-n-3 PUFA ratio affected parameters of blood coagulation and fibrinolysis. Finally, Freese et al. (7) reported that supplemental α -linolenic acid from vegetable oil and eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids from a marine source had similar effects on hemostatic factors. In conclusion, our results showed that PPI level, PAI-1 activity, and TAT level were significantly reduced in type 2 diabetic subjects that had their n-6-to-n-3 PUFA ratio lowered by dietary means.

NORIAKI TOHGI, MD

From the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan.

Address correspondence to Noriaki Tohgi, MD, Midori Hospital 359-1 Yuu-chou Kuga-gun, Yamaguchi 740-1404, Japan. E-mail: tohgi@nanwakai.jp.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Szirtes M: Platelet aggregation in diabetes mellitus. *Adv Cardiol* 4:179–186, 1970
2. Jones DB, Haitas B, Bown EG, Carter RD, Barker K, Jelfs R, Turner C, Mann JI, Prescott RJ: Platelet aggregation in noninsulin-dependent diabetes is associated with platelet fatty acids. *Diabet Med* 3:52–55, 1986
3. Hirahara F: *J Lipid Nutr* 4:73–82, 1995
4. Boberg M, Pollare T, Siegbahn A, Vessby B: Supplementation with n-3 fatty acids reduces triglycerides but increases PAI-1 in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Clin I* 22:645–650, 1992
5. Kelly DS, Nelson JG, Love JE, Branch LB, Taylor PC, Schmidt PC, Mackey BE, Iacono JM: Dietary alpha-linolenic acid alters tissue fatty acid composition, but not blood lipids, lipoproteins or coagulation status in humans. *Lipids* 28:533–537, 1993
6. Chan JK, McDonald BE, Gerrard JM, Bruce VM, Weaver BJ, Holub BJ: Effect of dietary alpha-linolenic acid and its ratio to linoleic acid on platelet and plasma fatty acids and thrombogenesis. *Lipids* 28:811–817, 1993
7. Freese R, Mutanen M: Alpha-linolenic acid and marine long-chain n-3 fatty acids differ only slightly in their effects on hemostatic factors in healthy subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 66:591–598, 1997

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Use of Arterial Transfer Functions for the Derivation of Central Aortic Waveform Characteristics in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease

Response to Hope et al.

We read with interest the recent article by Hope et al. (1) concerning the noninvasive estimation of central aortic pressure waveforms in subjects with type 2 diabetes. We entirely agree with their view that aortic rather than brachial artery pressure is likely to be of greater prognostic value, as are indexes such as aortic augmentation index. We would also agree that the data presented in the article clearly indicate that there was a substantial difference between invasively measured and derived aortic systolic pressure. However, the authors' conclusions seem overstated and may be misinterpreted by nonspecialist readers. The transfer function used by Hope et al. is actually their own and not that which is used in the "commercial devices" that they refer to in their introduction. Indeed, they offer no data to suggest that other transfer functions are unreliable in subjects with diabetes. Therefore, all that Hope et al. can actually conclude is that their own generalized transfer function is unreliable in subjects with diabetes. Thus, other investigators may be better off using "commercial devices" rather than the seemingly discredited transfer function of Hope et al.

IAN B. WILKINSON, DM, MA, MRCP
CARMEL McENIERY, BSC, PHD

From the Clinical Pharmacology Unit, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, U.K.

Address correspondence to Dr. Ian B. Wilkinson,

Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, CB2 2QQ Cambridge, U.K. E-mail: ibw20@cam.ac.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Hope SA, Meredith IT, Tay DB, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* 27:746–751, 2004

Use of Arterial Transfer Functions for the Derivation of Central Aortic Waveform Characteristics in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease

Response to Hope et al.

In a recent editorial, Mather and Lewanczuk (1) describe the potential value of a generalized transfer function to synthesize the ascending aortic pressure wave from the radial pressure waveform, as recorded indirectly by applanation tonometry. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) had previously accepted validity under different conditions of a generalized transfer function used in a commercial device: "The SphygmoCor SCOR-Px can calculate the calibrated ascending aortic pressure waveform using the radial artery pressure waveform recorded noninvasively from a radial artery tonometer and a brachial cuff measurement" (2). On the basis of an accompanying article by Hope et al. (3) in *Diabetes Care*, editorialists expressed concern regarding whether such an approach was applicable in diabetic subjects.

There are serious flaws in the report of Hope et al. (3) Their transfer function is different from that accepted by the FDA (2) and had been determined from use of conventional fluid-filled manometer systems of an unknown frequency response. From their original dataset (4), they had opined that different transfer functions were necessary to characterize the vascu-

tes (10–13). This issue has not been addressed in the literature by enthusiasts of the technique, yet it is of crucial importance if the technique is to be used in clinical practice.

Wilkinson and McEniery (1) make the entirely unsupported suggestion that, on the basis of our results, “investigators may be better off using ‘commercial devices.’” We note that both groups of correspondents are prolific users of a particular commercial device and therefore presumably consider themselves “better off” (14–24). We would caution them and others against this leap of faith. While they may not consider our data to support the use of arterial transfer functions in general, there are no data from any source suggesting that any transfer function is able to perform better or that our data might not reflect the maximum achievable accuracy of a “generalized” arterial transfer function. We therefore strongly urge all potential users, especially the “nonspecialist readers” of such concern to Wilkinson and McEniery, to carefully evaluate the proven accuracy and validity of such techniques in the specific population and application of their interest (25).

Avolio, Cockcroft, and O’Rourke (2) opine that “an appropriately validated and approved generalized transfer function, however, is required.” The implication in this opinion, with which we agree, is that no such entity exists. Whether our results are indicative of the fundamental accuracy of a generalized arterial transfer function can only be disputed when appropriate and comparable data are available concerning other proposed transfer function techniques, commercially available or not. Unfortunately, such data can only be provided by those with access to and specific knowledge of the individual implementation, and we would strongly request that those groups, which presumably include the current correspondents, provide such data. Users could then move away from the “black-box” approach with some confidence regarding the likely accuracy for their application, whether it be simple central pulse pressure or more sophisticated waveform analysis. Until such data are available, we cannot share Avolio, Cockcroft, and O’Rourke’s optimistic belief (no evidence supplied) that “editorialists and readers of *Diabetes Care* can be reassured that no ‘diabetes-specific’ or ‘gender-specific’ transfer function, however, is required.”

As might be predicted, enthusiasts of the technique do not like our findings.

However, the mature scientific response is to disprove a hypothesis rather than assign discredit (2). We therefore suggest that such enthusiasts provide robust data in support of oft-stated beliefs; in the absence of credible data we argue against an “ignorance is bliss” approach.

SARAH A. HOPE, MBChB¹
DAVID B. TAY, BE, PHD²
IAN T. MEREDITH, MBBS, PHD¹
JAMES D. CAMERON, MBBS, MD¹

From the ¹Cardiovascular Research Centre, Monash Medical Centre, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia; and ²Department of Electronic Engineering, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia.

Address correspondence to Sarah A. Hope, MBChB, Cardiovascular Research Centre, Monash Medical Centre, 246 Clayton Rd., Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia. E-mail: sarah.hope@med.monash.edu.au.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

1. Wilkinson IB, McEniery CM: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27: 2564, 2004
2. Avolio AP, Cockcroft JR, O’Rourke MF: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:2564–2565, 2004
3. Hope SA, Meredith IT, Tay DB, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* 27:746–751, 2004
4. Hope SA, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Reliability of transfer functions in determining central pulse pressure and augmentation index. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 40: 1196, 2002 [author reply 40:1196–1197, 2002]
5. Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Comparison of generalized and gender-specific transfer functions for the derivation of aortic waveforms. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 283:H1150–H1156, 2002
6. Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of aortic waveform characteristics (Letter). *J Hypertens* 21:2197–2199, 2003
7. Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of aortic waveform characteristics. *J Hypertens* 21:1299–1305, 2003
8. Hope SA, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Effect of non-invasive calibration of radial waveforms on error in transfer function-derived central aortic waveform characteristics. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 107:205–211, 2004
9. Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of aortic waveform characteristics (Letter). *J Hypertens* 22:433–434, 2004
10. Lehmann ED: Cited “validation” references for the SphygmoCor device. *Kidney Int* 59:1597–1598, 2001
11. Lehmann ED: Citation of “validation” references for sphygmocor-based estimates of central aortic blood pressure. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:1844–1845, 2001
12. Lehmann ED: Where is the evidence that radial artery tonometry can be used to accurately and noninvasively predict central aortic blood pressure in patients with diabetes? *Diabetes Care* 23:869–871, 2000
13. Lehmann ED: Regarding the accuracy of generalized transfer functions for estimating central aortic blood pressure when calibrated non-invasively. *J Hypertens* 18: 347–349, 2000 [author reply 18:349–350, 2000]
14. Wilkinson IB, Fuchs SA, Jansen IM, Spratt JC, Murray GD, Cockcroft JR, Webb DJ: Reproducibility of pulse wave velocity and augmentation index measured by pulse wave analysis. *J Hypertens* 16:2079–2084, 1998
15. Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Rooijmans DF, Murray GD, Cockcroft JR, McKnight JA, Webb DJ: Increased augmentation index and systolic stress in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *QJM* 93:441–448, 2000
16. Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Flint L, Cockcroft JR, Newby DE, Webb DJ: The influence of heart rate on augmentation index and central arterial pressure in humans. *J Physiol* 525:263–270, 2000
17. Wilkinson IB, Franklin SS, Hall IR, Tyrrell S, Cockcroft JR: Pressure amplification explains why pulse pressure is unrelated to risk in young subjects. *Hypertension* 38: 1461–1466, 2001
18. Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Hupperetz PC, van Thoor CJ, Cockcroft JR, Webb DJ: Changes in the derived central pressure waveform and pulse pressure in response to angiotensin II and noradrenaline in man. *J Physiol* 530:541–550, 2001
19. Wilkinson IB, Prasad K, Hall IR, Thomas A, MacCallum H, Webb DJ, Frenneaux MP, Cockcroft JR: Increased central pulse pressure and augmentation index in subjects with hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 39:1005–1011, 2002
20. Wilkinson IB, Mohammad NH, Tyrrell S, Hall IR, Webb DJ, Paul VE, Levy T, Cockcroft JR: Heart rate dependency of pulse pressure amplification and arterial stiff-

ness. *Am J Hypertens* 15:24–30, 2002

21. Wilkinson IB, Hall IR, MacCallum H, Mackenzie IS, McEniery CM, van der Arend BJ, Shu YE, MacKay LS, Webb DJ, Cockcroft JR: Pulse-wave analysis: clinical evaluation of a noninvasive, widely applicable method for assessing endothelial function. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 22: 147–152, 2002
22. Weber T, Auer J, O'Rourke MF, Kvas E, Lassnig E, Berent R, Eber B: Arterial stiffness, wave reflections, and the risk of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 109:184–189, 2004
23. Vlachopoulos C, Alexopoulos N, Panagiotakos D, O'Rourke MF, Stefanadis C: Cigar smoking has an acute detrimental effect on arterial stiffness. *Am J Hypertens* 17:299–303, 2004
24. Vlachopoulos C, Hirata K, O'Rourke MF: Effect of caffeine on aortic elastic properties and wave reflection. *J Hypertens* 21: 563–570, 2003
25. Cloud GC, Rajkumar C, Kooner J, Cooke J, Bulpitt CJ: Estimation of central aortic pressure by SphygmoCor requires intra-arterial peripheral pressures. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 105:219–225, 2003

Use of Arterial Transfer Functions for the Derivation of Central Aortic Waveform Characteristics in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease

Response to Avolio, Cockcroft, and O'Rourke

Avolio, Cockcroft, and O'Rourke (1) raise some valid concerns regarding the approach taken by Hope et al. (2) in generating and validating an arterial transfer function in subjects with diabetes. The rigorous evaluation and validation process, which undoubtedly supported the Food and Drug Administration approval of the SphygmoCor SCOR-Px device, could be used as a model for parallel evaluations in specific populations of interest. Despite these authors' assurances of universal applicability, however, given the profound effects of

diabetes on all dimensions of the vascular tree, it does seem reasonable to specifically test whether generalized transfer functions are valid in subjects with diabetes. The manuscript by Hope et al. was of value in that it raises questions about the validity of this tool in this setting. We are in full agreement with Avolio, Cockcroft, and O'Rourke that appropriate validation of the generalized transfer function in subjects with diabetes is required before the use of this tool in research or clinical applications can be advocated.

KIEREN MATHER, MD, FRCPC¹
RICHARD LEWANCZUK, MD, PHD, FRCPC²

From the ¹Division of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana; and the ²Division of Endocrinology & Metabolism, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada.

Address correspondence to Kieren Mather, MD, FRCPC, Indiana University School of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology & Metabolism, 975 West Walnut St., IB424, Indianapolis, IN 46202. E-mail: kmather@iupui.edu.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....

References

1. Avolio AP, Cockcroft JR, O'Rourke MF: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:2564–2565, 2004
2. Hope SA, Meredith IT, Tay DB, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* 27:746–751, 2004

Insulin Detemir Offers Improved Glycemic Control Compared With NPH Insulin in People With Type 1 Diabetes

Response to Home et al.

The article by Home et al. (1) in the May issue of *Diabetes Care* prompted us to respond and comment on the current practice of reporting on clinical trials when insulin analogs are con-

cerned. While a cure for type 1 diabetes has not yet been achieved, comprehensive, multidisciplinary treatment strategies have led the way to offer patients and their families a near-normal life and affected children a near-normal life expectancy. Multiple injections, insulin pump therapy, and frequent blood glucose measurements have provided the basis for better quality of life and good metabolic control in people with type 1 diabetes. However, the value of insulin analogs is still in question (2). There is no doubt that industry has invested vast resources to develop new insulin types for safety and efficacy reasons. However, at present, there is no doubt that insulin analogs are more expensive, and in view of the rising tide of financial problems in healthcare systems globally, this in itself can potentially pose an additional threat to the free availability and affordability of insulin treatments for patients with type 1 diabetes worldwide. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) research group showed the importance of strict metabolic control for the delay and/or prevention of diabetes complications (3,4). The indiscriminate use of terms such as "conventional" and "intensified" insulin treatment has been abandoned, and "simplified" therapies should not be recommended (4). Pre- or even postprandial administration of rapid-acting insulin analogs, especially in very young children, has been reported by some authors (5) to be safe and even advantageous. Multiple injection regimens allow greater freedom in daily routines and are therefore clearly the standard of insulin-replacement therapy. However, reports on the advantages of insulin analog therapy have very often been published only in abstract form and as supplements to company-organized meetings (1).

In their original article, Home et al. included an example of another misleading way of reporting on insulin analog treatment and its alleged advantages; their investigations were setup mainly to study safety and suitability issues. In the title, and in some key passages of the article, it is suggested that the insulin analog was superior in terms of achieving glycemic control and reducing hypoglycemic episodes when compared with NPH insulin. The data from their article, however, do not support this over-optimistic view and clearly show that HbA_{1c} levels for each of

the detemir groups were not different from those of the NPH group. In addition, and probably most importantly, the reduction of hypoglycemic episodes so enthusiastically reported was seen exclusively for "minor events," specifically during the night, while "major" hypoglycemic events were not reduced or eventually even greater in their IDet_{morn + bed} group when compared with their NPH group. While we do not suggest that Home et al. have failed to carry out a useful and carefully executed study, we very much regret to see that the interpretation of their data is far from careful and not at all balanced. Since co-authors have industry affiliations and their interpretations may naturally reflect company interests. However, such reports as the article by Home et al. influence clinical decision making in daily practice and are based on individual beliefs and personal interests rather than on solid data when it comes to conclusions and decision making. A much more responsible attitude and more careful interpretation of data is clearly warranted and should guide clinical scientists when interpreting their data and writing articles for reputable peer-reviewed journals. As such, wording and biased phrasing in scientific papers is often more powerful than the actual data and scientific work. The scientific community should therefore behave responsibly when writing the results from clinical trials in order to not tarnish its reputation and most importantly to not lead the public and ultimately the patient to untimely and probably incorrect conclusions.

WIELAND KIESS, MD
KLEMENS RAILE, MD
ANGELA GALLER, MD
THOMAS KAPellen, MD

From the Hospital for Children and Adolescents, Medical Faculty, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany.

Address correspondence to Prof. Dr. Wieland Kiess, Hospital for Children and Adolescents, University of Leipzig, Oststr. 21-25, D-04317 Leipzig, Germany. E-mail: kiv@medizin.uni-leipzig.de.

The authors of the original article did not wish to respond to this letter.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Home P, Bartley P, Russel-Jones D, Hanaire-Broutin H, Heeg J-E, Abrams P, Landin-Olsson M, Hylleberg B, Lang H,

Draeger E, the Study to Evaluate the Administration of Detemir Insulin Efficacy, Safety and Suitability study group: Insulin detemir offers improved glycemic control compared with NPH insulin in people with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1081-1087, 2004

2. Siebenhofer A, Plank J, Berghold A, Narath M, Gfrerer R, Pieber T: Short acting insulin analogs versus regular human insulin in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2: CD003287, 2004

3. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial research group: the effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 329:977-986, 1993

4. Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS: Type 1 diabetes: new perspectives on disease pathogenesis and treatment. *Lancet* 358:221-229, 2001

5. Danne T, Aman J, Schober E, Deiss D, Jacobsen JL, Friberg HH, Jensen LH, the ANA 1200 study group: A comparison of postprandial and preprandial administration of insulin aspart in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 26:2359-2364, 2003

Global Prevalence of Diabetes: Estimates for the Year 2000 and Projections for 2030

Response to Wild et al.

Global diabetes prevalence estimates for adults in 2000, which were derived from population-based data using oral glucose tolerance tests, were recently reported by Wild et al. (1). Because there are few OGTT-based data in the European region, estimates from a regional study carried out in the Netherlands were applied to 13 other countries, including Germany (2). For Germany, a prevalence of 4.1% was estimated, which corresponds to 2.6 million people with diabetes in 2000 (1).

In the German National Health Interview and Examination Survey in 1998, prevalence of known diabetes (self-reported) was assessed in a representative sample (aged 18-79 years) (3). Furthermore, from 1999 to 2001, glucose toler-

ance tests were carried out in the population-based KORA Survey 2000 (Augsburg, Germany) among 1,353 subjects aged 55-74 years (4). Both provided higher age- and sex-specific prevalence estimates than the Dutch study (2). Thus, ~5% of the adult German population had known diabetes in 1998. In addition, at least in the age-group >55 years, one-half of the total cases were undiagnosed (4). The total diabetes prevalence (diagnosed and undetected cases) in the 55- to 74-year age-group was 16.6% in the KORA Survey (4). As the one-for-one ratio for known/undiagnosed case subjects is valid for all age-groups, the total diabetes prevalence in the adult German population in 2000 was ~10%, corresponding to 6.3 million people. Germany should be listed among the 10 countries with the highest estimated number of people with diabetes in the world (1).

Thus, there is a greater diversity of diabetes prevalence in European countries than suggested by Wild et al. There are also differences in known risk factors for type 2 diabetes at the population level in European countries, e.g., the prevalence of obesity in Germany (20%) was almost twofold higher than in the Netherlands (5). Furthermore, the percentage of persons who did not partake in physical activity during their leisure time was higher in Germany (22.6%) than in the Netherlands (14.4%) and other European countries, which may partly explain the wide variation of diabetes prevalence (6).

WOLFGANG RATHMANN, MD, MSPH
GUIDO GIANI, PHD

From the Department of Biometrics and Epidemiology, German Diabetes Research Institute, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany.

Address correspondence to Dr. Wolfgang Rathmann, MD, MSPH, German Diabetes Research Institute, Aufm Hennekamp 65, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. E-mail: rathmann@ddfi.uni-duesseldorf.de.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H: Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 27:1047-1053, 2004

2. Mooy JM, Grootenhuys PA, de Vries H, Valkenburg HA, Bouter LM, Kostense PJ, Heine RJ: Prevalence and determinants of

glucose intolerance in a Dutch Caucasian population: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetes Care* 18:1270–1273, 1995

3. Thefeld W: Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in the adult population in Germany [in German]. *Gesundheitswesen* 61 (Suppl. 2):S85–S89, 1999
4. Rathmann W, Haastert B, Icks A, Löwel H, Meisinger C, Holle R, Giani G: High prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in southern Germany: target populations for efficient screening: the KORA Survey 2000. *Diabetologia* 46:182–189, 2003
5. Seidell JC: Obesity in Europe: scaling an epidemic. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 19 (Suppl. 3):S1–S4, 1995
6. Varo JJ, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Irala-Esteviz J, Kearney J, Gibney M, Martinez JA: Distribution and determinants of sedentary lifestyles in the European Union. *Int J Epidemiol* 32:138–146, 2003

Global Prevalence of Diabetes: Estimates for the Year 2000 and Projections for 2030

Response to Rathman and Giani

Rathmann and Giani (1) point out that there is a greater diversity of diabetes prevalence in Europe than suggested by our recent article (2) on the global prevalence of diabetes. We agree with this point. Unfortunately, the data from their study (3) in Augsburg, Germany, had not been published when the data for our article were assembled in 2002. As described in the article, we plan to update the estimates of diabetes prevalence and will include the Augsburg data in the next revision.

The global burden of disease study (4) only included studies describing the prevalence of diabetes defined using oral glucose tolerance tests. Prevalence studies based on self-reported diabetes were excluded because, as Rathmann and Giani found, ~50% of diabetes in European populations is undiagnosed. (This proportion varies with age, sex, and ethnicity).

We had similar concerns as Rathmann and Giani about applying diabetes prevalence rates from the Netherlands to other European countries, given the

higher levels of physical activity and lower prevalence of obesity in the Netherlands compared with many other countries. For example, total diabetes prevalence, as derived from the study in the Netherlands, was lower in middle-aged people even when compared with self-reported diabetes prevalence from the Health Survey for England (5). A recent article (6) on the prevalence of known diabetes in eight European countries was published using data from sentinel general practices. The results suggest that the estimated prevalence of 2.7% for both diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes for both sexes at all ages presented in our article for the Netherlands may be an underestimate, as the estimate for diagnosed diabetes for the Netherlands was ~2.6%. In Belgium, the prevalence of diagnosed diabetes at all ages was higher at ~3.3% than the estimate of 3.1% for both diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes given in the global prevalence article.

The estimates of diabetes prevalence presented in the global burden article (2) are likely to represent conservative estimates for most regions as a consequence of the inclusion criteria and the need to extrapolate the limited available data, which may not reflect current patterns of diabetes prevalence. Even these conservative estimates have major public health implications. We hope that their publication will provide an incentive for better data collection on diabetes prevalence around the world, ideally as part of diabetes prevention programs.

SARAH H. WILD, MBBCHIR, PHD¹
 GOJKA ROGLIC, MD²
 ANDERS GREEN, MD, PHD, DRMEDSCI³
 RICHARD SICREE, MBBS, MPH⁴
 HILARY KING, MD, DSC²

From the ¹Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland; the ²Department of Non-Communicable Diseases, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; the ³Department of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark; and the ⁴International Diabetes Institute, Caulfield, Australia.

Address correspondence to Dr. Sarah Wild, MBBCHIR, PhD, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, Scotland. E-mail: sarah.wild@ed.ac.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Rathmann W, Giani G: Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:2568–2569, 2004
2. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H: Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 27:1047–1053, 2004
3. Rathmann W, Haastert B, Icks A, Löwel H, Meisinger C, Holle R, Giani G: High prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in southern Germany: target populations for efficient screening: the KORA Survey 2000. *Diabetologia* 46:182–189, 2003
4. DECODE Study Group: Age- and sex-specific prevalences of diabetes and impaired glucose regulation in 13 European cohorts. *Diabetes Care* 26:61–69, 2003
5. Erens B, Primatesta P (Eds.): *Health Survey for England 1998*. London, The Stationery Office, 2000
6. Fleming DM, Schellevis FG, Van Casteren V: The prevalence of known diabetes in eight European countries. *Eur J Public Health* 14:10–14, 2004

Biological Variation in HbA_{1c} Predicts Risk of Retinopathy and Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes

Response to McCarter et al.

We read the article by McCarter et al. (1) with interest. Technically, all nonanalytical variation, irrespective of its source, is biological variation. Thus, mean blood glucose (MBG)-associated changes are included in biological variation. It must also be stressed that all population regression equations have confidence limits that need to be taken into account when comparing values from individuals to the population study mean.

However, such points do not take away from the message of McCarter et al. (1) that non-MBG-related biological variation may be an important prognostic indicator. The real question is how health care professionals are to identify this variation in routine clinical practice. MBG has many problems such as a large variation, which is common when many indepen-

dent analytes are measured (2), bias due to calibration issues (3), or the time taken for separation (4). Most importantly, it is rarely used in routine clinical practice. In addition, HbA_{1c} also has its problems (5). Accordingly, the calculation of the hemoglobin glycation index is problematic in routine clinical practice. Furthermore, calculated indexes will suffer from the propagation of error, contributing to misclassification and inaccurate prediction of complications (6).

We previously recommended the use of a rolling mean to reduce the effect of analytical and biological variation (7). The associated SD in stable patients would reflect the total variation for HbA_{1c}. Since the majority of the total variation is nonanalytical, use of the SD would easily identify those patients in routine clinical practice with large non-MBG-related biological variation. As well as being easier to perform in routine clinical practice, it would also be a more valid way of identifying within-patient HbA_{1c} variability. In addition, the use of a rolling mean and its associated SD makes the detection of critical changes in HbA_{1c} levels easier and more objective (5). Accordingly, we recommend the use of a rolling mean and its associated SD for the investigation of non-MBG-related biological variation.

PATRICK J. TWOMEY, MD, MRCPATH¹
 ADIE VILJOEN²
 TIMOTHY M. REYNOLDS³
 ANTHONY S. WIERZBICKI⁴

From the ¹Department of Clinical Biochemistry, The Ipswich Hospital, Ipswich, U.K.; the ²Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, U.K.; the ³Department of Clinical Chemistry, Queen's Hospital, Burton-on-Trent, U.K.; and the ⁴Department of Chemical Pathology, Guy's and St. Thomas' Hospital, London, U.K.

Address correspondence to Patrick J. Twomey, MD, MRCPATH, The Ipswich Hospital, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Heath Road, Ipswich, Suffolk IP4 5PD, U.K. E-mail: patttwomey@doctors.org.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. McCarter RJ, Hempe JM, Gomez R, Chalew SA: Biological variation in HbA_{1c} predicts risk of retinopathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1259–1264, 2004

2. Reynolds TM, Twomey P, Wierzbicki AS: Accuracy of cardiovascular risk estimation for primary prevention in patients without diabetes. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 9:183–190, 2002
3. Twomey PJ: Plasma glucose measurement with the Yellow Springs Glucose 2300 STAT and the Olympus AU640. *J Clin Pathol* 57:752–754, 2004
4. Twomey PJ: An audit of the potential effect of ex vivo glucose metabolism in primary care. *Chem Pathol*. In press
5. Twomey PJ, Reynolds TM, Wierzbicki AS: Issues to consider when attempting to achieve the American Diabetes Association clinical quality requirement for haemoglobin A1c. *Curr Med Res Opin* 19: 719–723, 2003
6. Challand GS: Lies, damned lies and algorithms. *Ann Clin Biochem* 37:741–750, 2000
7. Twomey PJ, Reynolds TM, Wierzbicki AS: The casino of life: markets, mathematics and medicine. *J Clin Pathol* 57:243–244, 2004

Biological Variation in HbA_{1c} Predicts Risk of Retinopathy and Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes

Response to Twomey et al.

We appreciate the comments of Twomey et al. (1) in regard to our recent article (2) about biological variation in HbA_{1c} and its relationship to microvascular complications. They point out potential obstacles to the general use of the hemoglobin glycation index (HGI) by clinical practitioners. The best approach to calculating the HGI of individual patients from populations besides the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (2) and our original patient data (3) still needs to be established for the clinician.

We point out, however, that a moving average of an individual patient's HbA_{1c} will not provide the same information as the HGI. Our analyses indicate that HbA_{1c} carries two important components of clinically relevant information: 1) an estimate of the patient's preceding mean blood glucose (MBG) and 2) patient-

specific factors besides MBG that influence glycation of hemoglobin. Both of these components are independently associated with the risk of development of microvascular complications (2). Currently, clinical therapy is only directed at altering the first component. Calculation of the HGI allows us to separate the two components of risk from the patient's HbA_{1c} measurements. In this fashion, individual patients who have consistently high or low hemoglobin glycation status can be identified. However, calculating a patient's HGI requires knowledge of the patient's preceding MBG, the population relationship between HbA_{1c} and MBG, and the patient's HbA_{1c}.

We foresee the future development of databases that will assist clinicians in calculating an HGI necessary to assess the hemoglobin glycation status of their patients. These computational sources will need to be referenced to population data that are specific for the methods of determining both the MBG and HbA_{1c} used for that particular patient.

STUART A. CHALEW, MD¹
 ROBERT J. MCCARTER, SCD²
 JAMES M. HEMPE, PHD³

From the ¹Department of Endocrinology, Children's Hospital, New Orleans, Louisiana; the ²Department of Bioinformatics and Statistics, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, D.C.; and the ³Department of Pediatrics, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Address correspondence to Stuart A. Chalew, MD, Children's Hospital, Department of Endocrinology, 200 Henry Clay Ave., New Orleans, LA 70118. E-mail: schale@lsuhsc.edu.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Twomey PJ, Viljoen A, Reynolds TM, Wierzbicki AS: Biological variation in HbA_{1c} predicts risk of retinopathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:2569–2570, 2004
2. McCarter RJ, Hempe JM, Gomez R, Chalew SA: Biological variation in HbA_{1c} predicts risk of retinopathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1259–1264, 2004
3. Hempe J, Gomez R, McCarter R, Chalew S: High and low hemoglobin glycation phenotypes in type 1 diabetes: a challenge for interpretation of glycemic control. *J Diabetes Complications* 16:313–320, 2002