



New Issues in Oral Anticoagulants

Charles W. Francis¹

¹University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY

Polymorphisms in CYP2C9, a critical cytochrome P-450 enzyme in the metabolism of warfarin, alters its clearance and affects dosing. CYP*1 has higher activity than either the *2 or *3 variants, and patients with the *2 or *3 variants require a lower dose. VKORC1 is the enzyme inhibited by warfarin, and its levels are affected by several polymorphisms that can be divided into high or low level haplotypes, and patients with high level haplotypes require higher warfarin doses. The use of algorithms for dosing that incorporate pharmacogenomic information perform better than those using clinical data alone. Considerable effort is ongoing to develop new oral anticoagulants as alternatives to warfarin, and three agents are

in advanced development. Dabigatran is an oral direct thrombin inhibitor that has been compared with enoxaparin for prevention of VTE following hip or knee replacement. Based on non-inferiority results in European trials, it has now been approved for marketing in Europe. Phase III trials with a new oral Xa inhibitor, rivaroxaban, have been completed in hip or knee replacement, and rivaroxaban was superior to enoxaparin in prevention of VTE with no increase in bleeding complications. Phase III studies with apixaban, another oral Xa inhibitor, are in progress. These agents are also being evaluated in large studies for prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation and for VTE treatment.

Warfarin is currently the only available oral anticoagulant in the US and is thought to be used by about 2 million patients with a variety of conditions including venous thromboembolism (VTE), atrial fibrillation, mechanical prosthetic heart valves and coronary artery disease. Several problems, however, make warfarin a difficult drug for both physicians and patients to use. These include a narrow therapeutic margin, delayed onset of action, difficulty with reversal, many interactions with drugs, dietary effects, wide variation in sensitivity and the need for frequent laboratory monitoring. Poor anticoagulant control can result, leading to recurrent thrombosis with undertreatment or to bleeding with excessive effect. The risk of major bleeding on warfarin is between 1% and 5% per year, and bleeding complications due to anticoagulants are among the most frequent adverse drug effects. New developments in oral anticoagulation will soon begin to improve patient care. Two of these are improved warfarin dosing based on an understanding of genetic influences and also the introduction of new alternative oral anticoagulants.

Warfarin and Pharmacogenomics

The anticoagulant response to warfarin is affected by both environmental influences such as vitamin K in the diet and also by geneti-

cally determined levels of critical enzymes. These include the cytochrome P-450 2C9 (CYP2C9) enzyme that is involved in the metabolism of warfarin, and also vitamin K epoxide reductase (VKORC1), which is the enzyme inhibited by warfarin. The clearance of warfarin in almost entirely the result of hepatic metabolism, and CYP2C9 is the most important enzyme mediating its clearance.^{1,2} A number of polymorphisms in CYP2C9 have been identified, but the most important are CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3.^{3,4} The *2 allele (R144C) and the *3 allele (I359L) are found in approximately 11% and 7% of patients and result in reductions of enzymatic activity of about 30% and 80%, respectively⁵⁻⁷ (Table 1). The reduced metabolic clearance leads to increase drug levels and an increased anticoagulant effect.

Table 1. Common variations in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 that affect warfarin dose.

Name	Variation	Prevalence, %			Effect on warfarin dose, %
		Caucasian	African	Asian	
CYP2C9					
*1	Wild-type	81	94	98	Reference
*2	R144C	13	3	0	- 20†
*3	I359L	7	2	2	- 30†
VKORC1					
Group A	Haplotypes 1,2‡	37	14	89	-20§
Group B	Haplotypes 7,8,9	58	49	10	Reference

† Data approximate from references^{13,14,16,17}

‡ From reference¹²

§ From references^{12,14}

The second key enzyme in warfarin pharmacogenomics is VKORC1, the enzyme that converts oxidized vitamin K to the active reduced form that is required for the post-translational (γ) carboxylation of the vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors.⁸⁻¹⁰ VKORC1 is the target of warfarin and other coumarin anticoagulants that function as competitive inhibitors. Numerous coding and noncoding polymorphisms in VKORC1 have been identified that can affect the response to warfarin.^{11,12} There include 5 major haplotypes that can be separated into low (A) and high (B) dose groups that differ in sensitivity to warfarin.

Evidence is clear that polymorphisms in either CYP2C9 or VKORC1 affect warfarin sensitivity. Typical studies have performed genotyping in patients on stable anticoagulation and then related genotype to warfarin dose and outcomes. For example, Higashi et al conducted a retrospective cohort study of 200 at two anticoagulation clinics.¹³ At least one variant of the CYP2C9 allele was found in 31% of patients, whereas 69% had the wild-type (*1/*1) genotype. The mean maintenance warfarin dose varied significantly among the six possible genotype groups ($P < .001$). The highest dose of 5.63 mg/day was required in the *1/*1 group and the lowest in the *3/*3 group (1.60 mg/day). Patients with at least one variant allele had an increased risk of INRs over the desired range, and the variant groups also required more time to achieve stable dosing compared to patients with the wild-type allele. A serious or life-threatening bleeding event was also more common in patients with a variant genotype.

In a similar study, Sconce et al determined CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes in 297 patients with stable anticoagulation.¹⁴ The mean daily dose was highest in CYP2C9 homozygous wild-type patients compared with those with variant *2 or *3 alleles ($P < .001$). It was also higher in patients with the VKORC1 GG genotype compared with those with either GA or AA ($P < .001$). A multivariate regression model that included age, height, and CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes explained approximately 55% of the variation in warfarin dosing. Carlquist et al studied 213 patients with a stable INR between 2.0 and 3.0.¹⁵ Warfarin dose correlated with CYP2C9 genotype and was 33.3 mg/week for wild-type CYP2C9 but reduced by 18% to 31% for carriers of a single variant and by 82% for double variant carriers. Similarly, for VKORC1 wild-type patients the average weekly warfarin dose was 38.4 mg compared with 21.0 mg/week for homozygous variant carriers. Genotype was the dominant predictor of warfarin dose; weaker predictors were age, weight, and gender in modeling studies that explained approximately 50% of the dose variation.

Although CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes clearly affect warfarin sensitivity, the clinical value derives from the potential to use genotyping as a guide in warfarin dosing, and recent studies indicate that this may be useful. For example, Gage and colleagues developed a pharmaco-

genetic algorithm using a cohort of 1015 patients on chronic warfarin therapy.¹⁶ The algorithm was then validated prospectively in 335 patients who were scheduled to begin therapy. Significant independent predictors of dose were VKORC1 polymorphisms, body surface area, CYP2C9 polymorphisms, target INR, amiodarone use, smoking and current thrombosis. The model explained approximately 53% of the variability in dose in both the derivation and validation cohorts. The pharmacogenetic algorithm performed better than an algorithm using clinical data alone that explained only 17% to 22% of dose variability.

In a prospective trial, 206 patients who were initiating warfarin therapy were randomized to either pharmacogenetic-guided dosing or to standard dosing.¹⁷ The standard dosing followed an empirical protocol, whereas pharmacogenetic-guided dosing used a regression equation that included three genetic variants and age, sex, and weight. The pharmacogenetic model predicted doses more accurately and resulted in smaller and fewer dose changes. There was, however, no significant difference in percentage of INRs that were out of range. Patients with multiple variant alleles were at increased risk for an INR over 4. Schwarz et al recently reported a prospective study of 297 patients starting warfarin therapy.¹⁸ CYP2C9 genotypes and VKORC1 haplotypes were determined, clinical characteristics were recorded, and response to therapy and bleeding events determined. Patients with the AA haplotype of VKORC1 had a decreased time to the first INR within the therapeutic range ($P = .02$) and to the first INR of more than 4 ($P = .003$). CYP2C9 genotype was also a significant predictor to the time of the first INR more than 4 ($P = .03$), but did not predict time to the first INR in the therapeutic range. Both the CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes significantly influenced the required warfarin dose after the first 2 weeks. The majority of bleeding events occurred within the first 28 days of treatment, but there was not a clear relation between bleeding events and CYP2C9 genotype or VKORC1 haplotype.

Other genetic variants may also be important in the response to warfarin. A recent report screened for polymorphisms influencing warfarin dose using a array targeting 1228 SNPs that identified 170 known drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters. Another cytochrome P-450 variant, CYP4F2, was associated with warfarin dose in three separate cohorts of patients on stable anticoagulation, accounting for an average difference of about 1 mg/day among the variants.¹⁹ Unusual "warfarin resistance" may also be explained by genetic differences, as indicated in a report showing that the coding VKORC1 Asp36Tyr polymorphism was found in 7 of 15 resistant patients compared to none of the sensitive patients.²⁰

These studies have resulted in several practical developments. In August 2007, the FDA modified the labeling for warfarin and highlighted these findings. The new label-

ing indicates that "...certain genetic variations in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 in a patient may increase the need for more frequent INR monitoring and use of lower warfarin doses."²¹ Further, it states that, "The lower initiation doses should be considered for patients with certain genetic variations in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 enzymes. . ." The labeling changes did not specifically recommend testing each patient for these polymorphisms. FDA-approved tests have, however, become available. Patients are more frequently asking about performing genetic tests. Opinion regarding the advisability of widespread testing is divided. Advocates point to the studies mentioned that demonstrate better INR control at the initiation of therapy when dosing decisions incorporate information regarding CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants. On the other hand, considerable problems remain. These include the cost of testing and the need for rapid tests results to guide initiation of therapy. Also, as of this date, no prospective management studies have demonstrated improved clinical outcomes by using pharmacogenetic-based dosing. Additional studies that are currently ongoing may provide such information.

New Oral Anticoagulants

Anticoagulant therapy is based on two drugs, heparin and warfarin, that were introduced more than 60 years ago. Heparin provides rapidly acting parenteral anticoagulation, and warfarin is effective as an oral anticoagulant for chronic use. However, the limitations of these agents, the large and expanding numbers of patients requiring anticoagulation, and improved information regarding the biochemistry of the coagulation system have led to major efforts by the pharmaceutical industry to develop new agents. New parenteral anticoagulants have been introduced including the widely used low-molecular-weight heparins, fondaparinux and direct thrombin inhibitors.

The need for a new oral anticoagulant is great, but the search has been more elusive. Warfarin is the only currently available oral anticoagulant, and it represents the mainstay of treatment for a large number of patients needing long-term anticoagulation for common conditions including atrial fibrillation, prosthetic heart valves, VTE and coronary artery disease. Several new oral anticoagulants have entered clinical trials,^{22,23} and one, ximelagatran, was approved in Europe and then withdrawn from the market after several cases of hepatotoxicity appeared. A second new oral agent, dabigatran, has recently been approved in Europe and continues in Phase III studies. Other agents in advanced Phase III studies include rivaroxaban and apixaban. All three agents are orally active and directly inhibit their target enzyme with no intermediate such as antithrombin. (Table 2). Dabigatran inhibits thrombin, whereas rivaroxaban and apixaban are factor X_a inhibitors. They

are administered once or twice daily, and they have followed a similar clinical development strategy starting with prophylaxis of VTE after major orthopedic surgery and then expanding to long-term treatment of atrial fibrillation and/or VTE.

Dabigatran etexilate is a small molecule direct-acting thrombin inhibitor that was recently approved (April 2008) by the European Medicines Agency for use in Europe in prophylaxis of VTE following total hip and total knee arthroplasty. It is a prodrug that is rapidly converted by esterases to the active form, dabigatran, a reversible, high affinity (K_d = 4.0 nM) thrombin inhibitor. Its absorption is pH sensitive, and it is formulated in tartaric acid-containing capsules to facilitate absorption, which is decreased by approximately 30% in the presence of proton pump inhibitors. The metabolism is not dependent on cytochrome P-450 enzymes, reducing the risk of drug interactions. Its bioavailability is low (approximately 6%), and it reaches a peak concentration approximately 2 to 3 hours after ingestion. The terminal plasma half-life is approximately 12 to 17 hours, with about 80% excreted renally. Therefore, drug accumulation can occur with renal failure. Although dabigatran prolongs the PTT, the effects are not dose dependent. There is little effect on the PT, but the ecarin clotting time prolongation appears to reflect plasma concentration.

Dabigatran was studied in Phase II studies in prevention of VTE following total hip or total knee replacement and also in prevention of stroke and systemic embolization in patients with atrial fibrillation. In a multicenter, double-blind study, 1973 patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement were randomized to receive 6 to 10 days of oral dabigatran or enoxaparin.²⁴ Dabigatran was given in doses of 50, 150, or 225 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily. The first dose of dabigatran was administered 1 to 4 hours after surgery; and enoxaparin 40 mg was started 12 hours prior to surgery and administered once daily. VTE

Table 2. Properties and status of dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban.

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban
Target	Thrombin	Xa	Xa
Time to peak level, hr	2-3	3	3
Half-life, hr	12-17	5-9	9-14
Bioavailability, %	6	80	>50
Renal excretion, %	80	66	25
Dosing	Oral, once or twice daily	Oral, once or twice daily	Oral, once or twice daily
Status	Approved in Europe for prophylaxis after TKR and THR. Phase III studies ongoing	Phase III development	Phase III development

occurred in 28.5%, 17.4%, 16.6%, 13.1%, and 24% of patients assigned to dabigatran 50 or 150 mg twice daily, 300 mg daily, 225 mg twice daily and enoxaparin, respectively. There was a significant dose-dependent decrease in VTE with increasing doses of dabigatran ($P < .0001$). VTE was significantly lower in patients receiving 150 mg or 225 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily than with enoxaparin. Compared to enoxaparin, major bleeding was significantly lower with 50 mg twice daily but increased at higher doses. These results formed a basis for subsequent Phase III trials.

A second Phase II study was conducted in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation.²⁵ A total of 502 patients were randomized to 1 of 10 treatment groups that included 3 doses of dabigatran (50, 150, and 300 mg twice daily) combined in a 3×3 factorial fashion with no aspirin or 81 or 325 mg once daily. A comparator group received warfarin alone with an INR target range of 2.0 to 3.0. Participants were monitored as outpatients for up to 12 weeks. Bleeding events were more frequent in the 300 mg (23%) and 150 mg (18%) dabigatran groups compared to the 50 mg groups (7%). The only thromboembolic events occurred in the 50 mg dabigatran dose groups (2%). Dabigatran was well tolerated and there were no major unexpected adverse events.

Phase III studies have been completed with dabigatran for prevention of VTE after total hip or knee replacement. The RE-NOVATE trial was a double-blind study that included 3494 patients undergoing total hip replacement who were randomized to receive dabigatran 220 mg or 150 mg once daily, starting with a half dose 1 to 4 hours after surgery or enoxaparin 40 mg once daily starting the evening before surgery.²⁶ Treatment was continued for 28 to 35 days until bilateral venography. The primary efficacy outcome was a composite of total venous thromboembolic events (including both symptomatic VTE and asymptomatic DVT detected by venography) and all-cause mortality. This occurred in 60/897 (6.7%) of patients receiving enoxaparin versus 53/880 (6.0%) of patients in the dabigatran 220 mg group and 75/874 (8.6%) of those in the 150 mg group. The results in both dabigatran groups were non-inferior to enoxaparin based on pre-specified criteria, and there was no significant difference in the rates of VTE or bleeding among the groups.

A similar trial (RE-MODEL) was conducted in patients undergoing total knee replacement.²⁷ A total of 2076 patients were randomized in a double-blind study to receive dabigatran, 150 mg or 220 mg once daily starting with a half dose 1 to 4 hours after surgery or enoxaparin 40 mg daily starting the evening before surgery for 6 to 10 days of treatment. The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 193/512 (37.7%) evaluable patients in the enoxaparin group compared with 183/503 (36.4%) dabigatran 220 mg group and 213/526 (40.5%) in the 150 mg group. Both doses of dabigatran were non-inferior to enoxaparin on the basis of prespecified criteria, and there was no significant differ-

ence in bleeding events, most of which occurred at the surgical site.

A third Phase III orthopedic study (RE-MOBILIZE) was conducted in North America.²⁸ This double-blind study included 2615 patients undergoing total knee replacement who were randomized to receive 12-15 days of treatment with dabigatran 150 mg daily, dabigatran 220 mg daily or enoxaparin 30 mg twice daily. Dabigatran was given as a half dose 6 to 12 hours postoperatively, and enoxaparin was started 12 to 24 hours after surgery. As in the prior studies, the primary efficacy end point was the composite of symptomatic VTE, all-cause mortality and asymptomatic DVT detected by screening venography. This end point was reached in 188 of 604 (31.1%) patients in the dabigatran 220 mg group, 219 of 649 (33.7%) in the 150 mg group and 163 of 643 (25.3%) in the enoxaparin group. Both dabigatran dose regimens failed to show non-inferiority to enoxaparin based on the prespecified margin of 9.2%. The rate of the primary end point was significantly higher in the 220 mg group ($P = .02$) and in the 150 mg group ($P = .0009$) compared with enoxaparin. Major bleeding events were uncommon in all three groups and did not differ significantly. As in the other studies, dabigatran was well tolerated, and there was no evidence of excessive hepatic, cardiac or other toxicities.

The results in the North American knee replacement trial were clearly different from those in the studies reported earlier. Although this may have been due to chance, significant differences in study design could explain the different results. An important difference was in the enoxaparin regimens. In the European studies, enoxaparin was started the evening before surgery in a dose of 40 mg once daily and continued for a mean of 7 days. In the North American study, the enoxaparin dose was 50% higher (30 mg twice daily), it was started 12 to 24 hours after surgery, and treatment was continued for a mean of 13 days. The higher enoxaparin dose and longer treatment may have contributed to the lower frequency of VTE in the enoxaparin group in the North American study (25% vs 38%). The dabigatran regimens also differed; the start time was 1 to 4 hours after surgery in the European studies and 6 to 12 hours in the North American study. Overall, the results of these three studies indicate that dabigatran appears safe following major orthopedic surgery and is effective in reducing the rate of VTE. Thus, it confirms the overall findings with ximelagatran and supports the potential value of an oral direct thrombin inhibitor as a new antithrombotic agent. Based on the findings of the European studies in hip and knee replacement, the European Medicines Agency approved dabigatran for prevention of VTE following total hip or knee replacement in April 2008. Additional large Phase III studies are ongoing with dabigatran in prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation and in long-term treatment of patients with VTE.

Rivaroxaban (BAY59-7939) is an oral, direct factor X_a inhibitor in advanced Phase III trials for prevention and treatment of thromboembolic disease. It binds tightly but reversibly to the active site of factor X_a (K_i 0.4 nM), and it inhibits factor X_a either in solution or associated with prothrombinase activity in a clot. It has good bioavailability after oral administration with approximately 80% absorbed, and plasma levels peak at approximately 3 hours. The terminal half-life is between 5 and 9 hours but somewhat longer in elderly subjects. The majority of excretion is through the kidneys, with a smaller portion through biliary/fecal elimination. Rivaroxaban prolongs both the PT and aPTT, and levels can be estimated using factor X_a inhibition assays.

In three Phase II double-blind studies, 2236 patients undergoing hip replacement were randomized to a wide range of rivaroxaban doses once or twice daily starting 6 to 8 hours after surgery or to enoxaparin 40 mg once daily starting the evening before surgery.²⁹⁻³¹ Treatment was continued until venography 5 to 9 days after surgery. The primary efficacy endpoint of any VTE and all-cause mortality did not demonstrate any significant dose response relation for rivaroxaban, but there was a significant increase in the frequency of major bleeding. A companion study was conducted in total knee replacement and included 621 patients randomized to 2.5, 10, 20, and 30 mg of rivaroxaban twice daily initiated 6 to 8 hours after surgery or to enoxaparin in a dose of 30 mg twice daily initiated 12 to 24 hours after surgery.³² Treatment was continued for 5 to 9 days postoperatively until mandatory venography. The primary composite efficacy endpoint of any DVT, confirmed pulmonary embolism and all-cause mortality occurred in 23% and 40% of patients receiving rivaroxaban compared with 44% in the enoxaparin group. There was no statistically significant dose trend for efficacy, but major bleeding increased with increasing doses of rivaroxaban.

Based on this extensive Phase II program, a rivaroxaban dose of 10 mg once daily beginning 6 to 8 hours postoperatively was selected for the Phase III studies in total hip and knee replacement. All three studies were randomized and double-blind, employed bilateral venography at the end of treatment and used the composite end point of all DVT, pulmonary embolism, and all-cause mortality. The RECORD1 study randomized 4541 patients having hip replacement to either rivaroxaban or enoxaparin 40 mg once daily beginning the evening before surgery and continued for 35 days.³³ The primary end point was reached in 58 of 1558 (3.7%) patients in the enoxaparin group compared to 18 of 1595 (1.1%) in those receiving rivaroxaban, thereby meeting the prespecified non-inferiority criteria and demonstrating a significantly lower rate of thrombosis ($P < .001$). No difference in bleeding events was observed. The RECORD2 trial had a similar design and randomized 2509 patients undergoing hip replacement to the same regimens except that enoxaparin was continued for only 10 to

14 days, whereas rivaroxaban treatment extended to 35 days.³⁴ The longer treatment with rivaroxaban resulted in a significantly lower incidence of VTE (17/864, 2.0%) than shorter treatment with enoxaparin (81/869, 9.3%) ($P < .001$). No difference in bleeding rates was observed. The RECORD3 trial compared treatment with the same doses of enoxaparin and rivaroxaban for 10 to 14 days in patients undergoing knee replacement.³⁵ This study randomized 2531 patients, and the primary efficacy endpoint occurred in 79 of 824 (9.6%) of patients receiving rivaroxaban compared to 166 of 878 (18.9%) of those receiving enoxaparin, demonstrating superiority of rivaroxaban ($P < .001$). As in the prior studies, there was no significant difference in bleeding.

This large program clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of rivaroxaban in prevention of VTE after hip or knee replacement. The rates of thrombosis with the 10 mg dose were very low, and rates of bleeding were not higher than with the enoxaparin comparator. In contrast to studies with dabigatran, however, there was no comparison with a group receiving 30 mg enoxaparin dose given twice daily, which is the standard in North America and which may be more effective. Based on these results, rivaroxaban has been submitted to the European regulatory authorities for market approval.

Two Phase II studies have examined rivaroxaban treatment in acute symptomatic DVT. The ODIX_a-DVT Study randomized 613 patients to twice daily doses of 10, 20, or 30 mg, or a once daily dose of 40 mg or to standard treatment with enoxaparin and a vitamin K antagonist for 12 weeks.³⁶ The primary end point of thrombus regression as assessed by ultrasonography did not differ significantly among the rivaroxaban groups or in comparison with standard treatment. Rates of symptomatic recurrence and of major bleeding were low. A second Phase II study in treatment of symptomatic DVT has been reported in abstract form.³⁷ The Einstein-DVT study included 543 patients randomized to receive rivaroxaban 20, 30, or 40 mg once daily or standard treatment for 3 months. As in the first study, there was no significant difference in the primary outcome of thrombus burden as measured by quantitative ultrasound in the four treatment groups. Phase III studies of rivaroxaban for treatment of VTE and also for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation are ongoing.

Apixaban is another small molecule, high affinity (K_i = 0.8 nM), selective, reversible inhibitor of factor X_a that is active against both free enzyme and also that within the prothrombinase complex. The bioavailability after oral absorption is over 50%, and peak plasma levels are observed after 3 hours. The half-life is between 9 and 14 hours, and the primary elimination route appears to be fecal. Apixaban has little effect on the PT or PTT at therapeutic concentrations, but plasma levels can be assessed using a factor X_a inhibition assay.

A Phase II double-blind trial included 1238 patients undergoing knee replacement who were randomized to 1

of 6 doses of apixaban or to enoxaparin 30 mg twice daily or to warfarin (INR 1.8-3.0).³⁸ Treatment was begun 12 to 24 hours after surgery with apixaban or enoxaparin and on the evening of surgery with warfarin and continued for 10 to 14 days when venography was performed. Total VTE rates ranged from 5% to 13% in the apixaban groups without a significant dose-dependent effect. The rates were, however, lower than with enoxaparin (17%) or warfarin (29%). There was a significant dose-related increase in the incidence of bleeding with apixaban.

The results of a Phase II trial evaluating treatment with apixaban in patients with acute symptomatic DVT has been reported in abstract form.³⁹ In a double-blind study, 520 patients were randomized to receive one of three regimens of apixaban (5 mg BID, 10 mg BID, or 20 mg QD) or to standard treatment for up to 91 days. The response was evaluated as a composite of symptomatic response and change in the thrombotic burden as assessed by repeat ultrasound or perfusion lung scanning. The primary outcome rates varied from 2.6% to 6% in various groups with no significant difference, and rates of bleeding were also comparable. Studies to evaluate the efficacy and safety of apixaban in patients with coronary artery disease, prevention of thromboembolic events in patients with cancer, and prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation are ongoing.

Disclosures

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The author serves as a consultant for and has received honoraria from Boehringer-Ingelheim and Eisai, and is also on the speakers' bureau for Eisai.

Off-label drug use: None disclosed.

Correspondence

Charles W. Francis, MD, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood, Box 704, Rochester, NY 14642-0001; Phone: 585-275-3761; Fax Number: 585-473-4314; e-mail: charles_francis@urmc.rochester.edu

References

1. Kaminsky LS, Zhang ZY. Human P450 metabolism of warfarin. *Pharmacol Ther.* 1997;73:67-74.
2. Yamazaki H, Shimada T. Human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the 7-hydroxylation of R- and S-warfarin enantiomers. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1997;54:1195-1203.
3. Veenstra DL, Blough DK, Higashi MK, et al. CYP2C9 haplotype structure in European American warfarin patients and association with clinical outcomes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2005;77:353-364.
4. Moridani M, Fu L, Selby R, et al. Frequency of CYP2C9 polymorphisms affecting warfarin metabolism in a large anticoagulant clinic cohort. *Clin Biochem.* 2006;39:606-612.
5. Crespi CL, Miller VP. The R144C change in the CYP2C9*2 allele alters interaction of the cytochrome P450 with NADPH:cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase. *Pharmacogenet-*

- ics. 1997;7:203-210.
6. Takanashi K, Tainaka H, Kobayashi K, Yasumori T, Hosakawa M, Chiba K. CYP2C9 Ile359 and Leu359 variants: enzyme kinetic study with seven substrates. *Pharmacogenetics.* 2000;10:95-104.
7. Yasar U, Eliasson E, Dahl ML, Johansson I, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Sjoqvist F. Validation of methods for CYP2C9 genotyping: frequencies of mutant alleles in a Swedish population. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1999;254:628-631.
8. Choonara IA, Malia RG, Haynes BP, et al. The relationship between inhibition of vitamin K1 2,3-epoxide reductase and reduction of clotting factor activity with warfarin. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1988;25:1-7.
9. Rost S, Fregin A, Ivaskevicius V, et al. Mutations in VKORC1 cause warfarin resistance and multiple coagulation factor deficiency type 2. *Nature.* 2004;427:537-541.
10. Li T, Chang CY, Jin DY, Lin PJ, Khvorova A, Stafford DW. Identification of the gene for vitamin K epoxide reductase. *Nature.* 2004;427:541-544.
11. D'Andrea G, D'Ambrosio RL, Di Perna P, et al. A polymorphism in the VKORC1 gene is associated with an interindividual variability in the dose-anticoagulant effect of warfarin. *Blood.* 2005;105:645-649.
12. Rieder MJ, Reiner AP, Gage BF, et al. Effect of VKORC1 haplotypes on transcriptional regulation and warfarin dose. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:2285-2293.
13. Higashi MK, Veenstra DL, Kondo LM, et al. Association between CYP2C9 genetic variants and anticoagulation-related outcomes during warfarin therapy. *JAMA.* 2002;287:1690-1698.
14. Sconce EA, Khan TI, Wynne HA, et al. The impact of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genetic polymorphism and patient characteristics upon warfarin dose requirements: proposal for a new dosing regimen. *Blood.* 2005;106:2329-2333.
15. Carlquist JF, Horne BD, Muhlestein JB, et al. Genotypes of the cytochrome p450 isoform, CYP2C9, and the vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 conjointly determine stable warfarin dose: a prospective study. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* 2006;22:191-197.
16. Gage BF, Eby C, Milligan PE, Banet GA, Duncan JR, McLeod HL. Use of pharmacogenetics and clinical factors to predict the maintenance dose of warfarin. *Thromb Haemost.* 2004;91:87-94.
17. Anderson JL, Horne BD, Stevens SM, et al. Randomized trial of genotype-guided versus standard warfarin dosing in patients initiating oral anticoagulation. *Circulation.* 2007;116:2563-2570.
18. Schwarz UI, Ritchie MD, Bradford Y, et al. Genetic determinants of response to warfarin during initial anticoagulation. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:999-1008.
19. Caldwell MD, Awad T, Johnson JA, et al. CYP4F2 genetic variant alters required warfarin dose. *Blood.* 2008;111:4106-4112.
20. Loebstein R, Dvoskin I, Halkin H, et al. A coding VKORC1 Asp36Tyr polymorphism predisposes to warfarin resistance. *Blood.* 2007;109:2477-2480.
21. FDA approves updated warfarin (Coumadin) prescribing information. August 16, 2007. <http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/NEWS/2007/NEW01684.html>
22. Turpie AG. Oral, direct factor Xa inhibitors in development for the prevention and treatment of thromboembolic diseases. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2007;27:1238-1247.
23. Gross PL, Weitz JI. New anticoagulants for treatment of venous thromboembolism. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2008;28:380-386.
24. Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Buller HR, et al. A new oral direct

- thrombin inhibitor, dabigatran etexilate, compared with enoxaparin for prevention of thromboembolic events following total hip or knee replacement: the BISTRO II randomized trial. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3:103-111.
25. Ezekowitz MD, Reilly PA, Nehmiz G, et al. Dabigatran with or without concomitant aspirin compared with warfarin alone in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (PETRO Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1419-1426.
 26. Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Rosencher N, et al. Dabigatran etexilate versus enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip replacement: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2007;370:949-956.
 27. Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Rosencher N, et al. Oral dabigatran etexilate vs. subcutaneous enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total knee replacement: the RE-MODEL randomized trial. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007;5:2178-2185.
 28. The RE-MOBILIZE Writing Committee. The oral thrombin inhibitor dabigatran etexilate vs the North American enoxaparin regimen for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after knee arthroplasty surgery. *J Arthroplasty.* 2008 April 11. Epub ahead of print.
 29. Eriksson BI, Borris L, Dahl OE, et al. Oral, direct Factor Xa inhibition with BAY 59-7939 for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip replacement. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2006;4:121-128.
 30. Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Dahl OE, et al. A once-daily, oral, direct Factor Xa inhibitor, rivaroxaban (BAY 59-7939), for thromboprophylaxis after total hip replacement. *Circulation.* 2006;114:2374-2381.
 31. Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Dahl OE, et al. Dose-escalation study of rivaroxaban (BAY 59-7939)—an oral, direct Factor Xa inhibitor—for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing total hip replacement. *Thromb Res.* 2007;120:685-693.
 32. Turpie AG, Fisher WD, Bauer KA, et al. BAY 59-7939: an oral, direct factor Xa inhibitor for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients after total knee replacement. A phase II dose-ranging study. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3:2479-2486.
 33. The RECORD1 Study Group. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip arthroplasty. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2765-2774.
 34. Kakkar AK, Brenner B, Dahl OE, et al. Extended thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban compared with short-term thromboprophylaxis with enoxaparin after total hip arthroplasty: the RECORD 2 Trial [abstract]. *Blood.* 2007;110. Abstract #307.
 35. RECORD3 Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2776-2786.
 36. Agnelli G, Gallus A, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Treatment of proximal deep-vein thrombosis with the oral direct factor Xa inhibitor rivaroxaban (BAY 59-7939): the ODIXa-DVT (Oral Direct Factor Xa Inhibitor BAY 59-7939 in Patients With Acute Symptomatic Deep-Vein Thrombosis) study. *Circulation.* 2007;116:180-187.
 37. Buller HR, Agnelli A, the EINSTEIN-DVT and ODIXa-DVT Study Groups. Once- or twice-daily rivaroxaban for the treatment of proximal deep vein thrombosis: similar efficacy and safety to standard therapy in dose-ranging studies [abstract]. *Blood.* 2006;108. Abstract #572.
 38. Lassen MR, Davidson BL, Gallus A, Pineo G, Ansell J, Deitchman D. The efficacy and safety of apixaban, an oral, direct factor Xa inhibitor, as thromboprophylaxis in patients following total knee replacement. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007;5:2368-2375.
 39. Buller HR. Late breaking clinical trial: a dose finding study of the oral direct factor XA inhibitor apixaban in the treatment of patients with acute symptomatic deep vein thrombosis - The Botticelli Investigators. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007; 5 Suppl 2:0-S-003.