Null Results in Brief

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention

Telomere Length and Mortality Following a Diagnosis of Ovarian Cancer

Joanne Kotsopoulos[1,2], Jennifer Prescott[3,4], Immaculata De Vivo[3,4], Isabel Fan[5], John Mclaughlin[5], Barry Rosen[6,7], Harvey Risch[8], Ping Sun[1], and Steven A. Narod[1,2]

Abstract

Background: Telomeres are essential for the maintenance of chromosomal integrity. Telomere shortening leads to genomic instability, which is hypothesized to play a role in cancer development and prognosis. No studies to date have evaluated the prognostic significance of telomere length for ovarian cancer.

Methods: We examined whether relative telomere length in peripheral blood leukocytes was associated with survival following a diagnosis of ovarian cancer. We analyzed data from a large population-based study of incident ovarian cancer conducted in Ontario between 1995 and 2004. Telomere length was measured using the quantitative PCR–based relative telomere length assay and vital status was determined by computerized record linkage and by chart review ($n = 1{,}042$). Proportional hazard models were used to estimate ovarian cancer–specific survival HRs and 95% confidence intervals (CI) associated with quartiles of telomere length z score.

Results: We found no significant relationship between telomere length and ovarian cancer–specific mortality (P log-rank test = 0.55). Compared with women in the lowest quartile of telomere length z score, the HR for women in the highest three quartiles of telomere length z score combined was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77–1.10). The corresponding estimates for serous and nonserous tumors were 0.68 (95% CI, 0.66–1.13) and 1.13 (95% CI, 0.71–1.79), respectively.

Conclusions: Our data provide preliminary evidence that telomere length likely does not predict outcome after a diagnosis of ovarian cancer.

Impact: This represents the first study to suggest no prognostic role of telomere length for ovarian cancer.
Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; 23(11); 2603–6. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Telomeres are essential for the maintenance of chromosomal integrity and telomere shortening is hypothesized to play a role in cancer risk and prognosis (1). Studies of ovarian tumor tissue suggest that telomerase activity and telomere shortening may play an important role in ovarian carcinogenesis, particularly for high-grade serous tumors (2–4); however, no studies have evaluated the prognostic significance of telomere length among patients with ovarian cancer. Thus, we sought to examine the degree of association between telomere length in peripheral blood leukocytes (PBL) and ovarian cancer survival.

Materials and Methods

All patients in Ontario, Canada, diagnosed from January 1995 to December 1999 and January 2002 to December 2004 with invasive epithelial ovarian cancer were identified by monitoring acquisitions of the Ontario Cancer Registry (OCR; see ref. 5 for further detail on study population and sample collection). Survival status was determined both by computerized linkage to death certificate records of the OCR and by chart review at local hospitals.

Relative telomere length in genomic DNA from PBLs was measured using a modified high-throughput version of a quantitative PCR–based method (6). This assay quantifies the ratio of telomere repeat copy number to a single-gene copy number (T/S). Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and the relative telomere length was the average exponentiated T/S ratio corrected for a reference sample.

[1]Women's College Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. [2]Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. [3]Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. [4]Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts. [5]Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Health Complex, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. [6]Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. [7]Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. [8]Department of Chronic Disease Epidemiology, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut.

Corresponding Author: Steven A. Narod, Women's College Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, 790 Bay Street, 7th Floor, Toronto, ON, Canada M5G 1N8. Phone: 416-351-3765; Fax: 416-351-3767; E-mail: steven.narod@wchospital.ca

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0885

We computed relative telomere length *z* scores within each batch to minimize the impact of potential batch shift. Telomere length *z* scores were then combined and divided into quartiles based on the distribution in the entire cohort. Ovarian cancer–specific survival was defined as the duration from date of diagnosis until date of death from ovarian cancer. Survival was censored at death from another cause or September 30, 2010, the most recent limit of available death certificate information (if alive). We performed a left-truncated survival analysis to ensure that each woman only contributed person-years from the date of blood draw and used Cox proportional hazards models to estimate adjusted survival curves, HRs, and 95% confidence intervals (CI; ref. 5). All analyses were carried out using SAS Version 9.1 (SAS Institute). All *P* values are two-sided.

Results

Table 1 displays characteristics of the 1,042 women included in this study, according to category of telomere length *z* score. Age at blood draw, age at diagnosis, histology, and chemotherapy status differed significantly according to quartile of telomere length *z* score ($P \leq 0.04$).

There was no significant relationship between telomere length *z* score and survival (Table 2). Compared with women in the lowest quartile of telomere length *z* score, risk of death for women in the highest three quartiles of telomere length *z* score combined was 0.85 (95% CI, 0.69–1.05) in the reference model and 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77–0.10) in the multivariate model. There was no significant relationship between telomere length and risk of death among women diagnosed with a serous (HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.66–1.13) or nonserous tumor (HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.71–1.79). Telomere length was not associated with survival among women with *BRCA* mutations (data not shown).

Discussion

We found no significant relationship between longer telomere length and ovarian cancer–specific mortality. To our knowledge, this represents the first study that has evaluated the association of telomere length on survival following a diagnosis of ovarian cancer.

Three case–control studies have evaluated the relationship between PBL telomere length and risk of ovarian cancer: two reported shorter telomere length among patients with ovarian cancer compared with controls, whereas one reported no relationship between telomere length and ovarian cancer risk (3, 4, 7). Two groups have reported significantly shorter telomeres in serous tubal intraepithelial carcinomas, the putative precursor of

Table 1. Selected characteristics of 1,042 epithelial ovarian cancer cases, by relative telomere length *z* score

Characteristic	Quartile 1 (*N* = 260)	Quartile 2 (*N* = 261)	Quartile 3 (*N* = 260)	Quartile 4 (*N* = 261)	*P*[b]
Age at blood draw, mean (SD)	62.2 (10.8)	61.5 (10.8)	59.7 (11.5)	55.7 (12.1)	<0.0001
Age at diagnosis, mean (SD)	59.8 (10.8)	59.1 (10.8)	57.4 (11.5)	53.3 (12.1)	<0.0001
Body mass index 5 years in past (kg/m^2), mean (SD)	26.4 (5.6)	26.7 (10.1)	25.8 (5.8)	26.2 (8.8)	0.62
Smoking status, ever, *n* (%)	124 (50)	132 (53)	122 (50)	113 (47)	0.60
Histology, *n* (%)					
Serous	154 (59)	156 (60)	133 (51)	126 (48)	
Mucinous	13 (5)	17 (7)	27 (10)	33 (13)	
Endometrioid	50 (19)	50 (19)	59 (23)	60 (23)	
Other[a]	43 (17)	38 (17)	41 (16)	42 (16)	0.04
Stage, *n* (%)					
I	35 (14)	38 (15)	52 (20)	62 (24)	
II	45 (1)	48 (18)	53 (20)	45 (17)	
III	137 (53)	132 (51)	126 (49)	115 (44)	
IV	42 (16)	43 (17)	29 (11)	38 (15)	0.06
Chemotherapy (yes), *n* (%)	119 (87)	115 (79)	117 (77)	101 (69)	0.003
BRCA1 mutation, *n* (%)	19 (8)	19 (8)	12 (5)	16 (6)	0.53
BRCA2 mutation, *n* (%)	14 (6)	12 (5)	12 (5)	9 (4)	0.75

NOTE: N.B, the coefficient of variation (CV) for the average exponentiated T/S ratio of the quality control samples was 14%. For further quality control, we calculated the exponentiated T/S ratio for each sample replicate and excluded samples with a replicate CV of >20% ($n = 36$).

[a]Other includes the following tumor types: clear cell, mixed histology, epithelial not otherwise specified, and adenocarcinomas.

[b]Differences between clinical or pathologic characteristics of the subjects by category of telomere length were assessed using the t-statistic or χ^2 statistic, as appropriate.

Table 2. Ovarian cancer–specific mortality by relative telomere length *z* score quartiles among patients with ovarian cancer

Relative TL *z* score	Reference model[a] HR (95% CI)	*P*	Multivariate model HR[b] (95% CI)	*P*
Quartile 1	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)	
Quartile 2	0.79 (0.60–1.02)	0.07	0.80 (0.60–1.05)	0.11
Quartile 3	0.90 (0.69–1.17)	0.43	0.94 (0.71–1.25)	0.66
Quartile 4	0.88 (0.67–1.07)	0.35	0.92 (0.69–1.23)	0.57
Quartile 2+3+4	0.85 (0.69–1.05)	0.13	0.88 (0.77–1.10)	0.25
P_{trend}	0.97 (0.89–1.06)	0.55	0.99 (0.90–1.09)	0.83
Serous tumors				
Quartile 1	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)	
Quartile 2	0.90 (0.67–1.23)	0.50	0.88 (0.63–1.23)	0.47
Quartile 3	0.86 (0.63–1.18)	0.36	0.84 (0.60–1.17)	0.29
Quartile 4	0.88 (0.64–1.20)	0.42	0.87 (0.62–1.22)	0.41
Quartile 2+3+4	0.88 (0.68–1.14)	0.33	0.86 (0.66–1.13)	0.28
P_{trend}	0.96 (0.88–1.06)	0.40	0.95 (0.85–1.06)	0.38
Nonserous tumors				
Quartile 1	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)	
Quartile 2	0.89 (0.53–1.51)	0.67	0.97 (0.55–1.70)	0.91
Quartile 3	1.19 (0.71–1.98)	0.51	1.33 (0.76–2.33)	0.32
Quartile 4	0.98 (0.56–1.70)	0.94	1.13 (0.63–2.03)	0.67
Quartile 2+3+4	1.01 (0.66–1.55)	0.96	1.13 (0.71–1.79)	0.62
P_{trend}	1.03 (0.86–1.22)	0.77	1.04 (0.45–2.41)	0.92

[a]Reference HR adjusted for age at blood draw (continuous), batch (batch 1 and batch 2) and stage (I, II, III, and IV).

[b]Multivariate HR adjusted for age at blood draw (continuous), batch (batch 1 and batch 2), stage (I, II, III, and IV), age at diagnosis (continuous), *BRCA* mutation status (carrier/noncarrier), histologic subtype (serous, mucinous, endometrioid, and other), body mass index 5 years before the cancer diagnosis (continuous), smoking history (ever/never), and chemotherapy (yes/no).

high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma, compared with high-grade serous ovarian cancers or control samples, supporting the hypothesis for a state of genomic instability during the preinvasive stage of ovarian carcinogenesis (2, 8).

Strengths of this study include the large number of cases, long follow-up, use of triplicate measurements, and the ability to adjust for confounders. Although DNA from PBL may not reflect telomere length in the tumor itself, telomere length is said to be highly synchronized in a variety of tissues (1, 3) and significant correlations between leukocyte DNA and matched tissues have previously been reported (1). We cannot exclude the possible influence of reverse causation with telomere attrition as a direct consequence of the cancer itself or of its treatment (1).

In summary, our findings suggest that telomere length in PBLs likely does not predict mortality following a diagnosis of ovarian cancer, although these findings require replication. Future studies that evaluate telomere length in ovarian tumors are warranted given the promising results of trials with telomerase inhibitors and telomerase immunotherapy (1).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: J. Kotsopoulos, I. De Vivo, J. Mclaughlin, H. Risch, S.A. Narod

Development of methodology: J. Kotsopoulos, S.A. Narod

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): J. Prescott, I. De Vivo, I. Fan, J. Mclaughlin, B. Rosen, H. Risch, S.A. Narod

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): J. Kotsopoulos, J. Prescott, I. De Vivo, J. Mclaughlin, H. Risch, P. Sun, S.A. Narod

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: J. Kotsopoulos, J. Prescott, I. De Vivo, J. Mclaughlin, H. Risch, S.A. Narod

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): J. Kotsopoulos, B. Rosen, S.A. Narod

Study supervision: J. Kotsopoulos, I. Fan, S.A. Narod

Grant Support

This study was supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Operating Grant—Priority Announcement: Ovarian Cancer (Bridge Funding). J. Kotsopoulos is the recipient of a Cancer Care Ontario Research Chair in Population Studies and a Canadian Cancer Society Career Development Award in Prevention. S.A. Narod is the recipient of a Canada Research Chair tier I. The original studies, from which the patients were identified, were funded by U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01CA063682 (to H. Risch) and R01CA063678 (to S.A. Narod). The authors also acknowledge NIH grants R01 CA082838 and U01 CA167763 (to I De Vivo).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received August 1, 2014; accepted August 13, 2014; published OnlineFirst August 26, 2014.

References

1. Prescott J, Wentzensen IM, Savage SA, De Vivo I. Epidemiologic evidence for a role of telomere dysfunction in cancer etiology. Mutt Res 2012;730:75–84.
2. Kuhn E, Meeker A, Wang TL, Sehdev AS, Kurman RJ, Shih Ie M. Shortened telomeres in serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma: an early event in ovarian high-grade serous carcinogenesis. Am J Surg Pathol 2010;34:829–36.
3. Idei T, Sakamoto H, Yamamoto T. Terminal restriction fragments of telomere are detectable in plasma and their length correlates with clinical status of ovarian cancer patients. J Int Med Res 2002;30:244–50.
4. Mirabello L, Garcia-Closas M, Cawthon R, Lissowska J, Brinton LA, Peplonska B, et al. Leukocyte telomere length in a population-based case–control study of ovarian cancer: a pilot study. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:77–82.
5. Narod SA, Moody JR, Rosen B, Fan I, Risch HA, Sun P, et al. Estimating survival rates after ovarian cancer among women tested for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. Clin Genet 2013;83:232–7.
6. De Vivo I, Prescott J, Wong JY, Kraft P, Hankinson SE, Hunter DJ. A prospective study of relative telomere length and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18: 1152–6.
7. Terry KL, Tworoger SS, Vitonis AF, Wong J, Titus-Ernstoff L, De Vivo I, et al. Telomere length and genetic variation in telomere maintenance genes in relation to ovarian cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012;21:504–12.
8. Chene G, Tchirkov A, Pierre-Eymard E, Dauplat J, Raoelfils I, Cayre A, et al. Early telomere shortening and genomic instability in tubo-ovarian preneoplastic lesions. Clin Cancer Res 2013;19:2873–82.