

OBSERVATIONS

Eating Disorders in 12- to 16-Year-Old Diabetic and Nondiabetic Adolescents From Barcelona, Spain

Although eating disorders are common in late adolescent diabetic patients, the occurrence in younger populations, particularly male diabetic patients, is not well documented (1,2). The prevalence was studied in 60 boys and 38 girls (13.78 ± 1.05 years of age, range 12–16) with diabetes duration 1.5 ± 3.35 years and in 321 boys and 254 girls as nondiabetic peers (13.73 ± 0.63 years of age, 12–16).

Patients and peers completed the Spanish validated version of the Eating Attitudes Test (EAT-40) (3). The semi-structured Eating Disorder Examination (EDE) interview (4) was held for those with an EAT-40 >30 (13 diabetic patients and 57 nondiabetic peers) and an additional randomly selected population (24 diabetic patients and 57 nondiabetic peers) with an EAT-40 score <30 . Eating disorders were classified as clinical (5) and subthreshold (1). SPSS version 9.0 was used for statistical analysis.

No cases of anorexia or bulimia were found. Eating disorders not otherwise specified (EDNOS) were more prevalent in diabetic patients than in peers: boys (1.7 vs. 0.9%, odds ratio 1.7, CI 95% 0.2–17.6) and girls (5.3 vs. 1.6%, 3.2, 0.62–17.2). Subthreshold eating disorders were more prevalent in male diabetic patients than in nondiabetic peers (10 vs. 4.4%, 2.4, 0.9–6.6), with no differences between female diabetic patients and nondiabetic peers (10.5 vs. 9.9%, 1.1, 0.4–3.2). Male diabetic patients had 2.4 times increased risk for subthreshold eating disorders than nondiabetic peers. No eating disorders were observed in the 24 diabetic patients and 57 nondiabetic peers with EAT-40 scores <30 . Glycated hemoglobin values were higher in diabetic patients with eating disorders (9.8 ± 0.42 and $5.63 \pm 2.76\%$, $n = 13$)

than in those without (8.4 ± 1.5 and $5.09 \pm 2.73\%$, $n = 85$) ($P = 0.049$).

Although no cases of anorexia or bulimia were found, EDNOS and subthreshold eating disorders were detected in younger diabetic patients of both sexes. The higher glycated hemoglobin levels found in diabetic patients with eating disorders suggest poor metabolic control and increased risk for later vascular complications (6). Further studies including large series of patients are necessary to confirm these preliminary results; however, our data underline the need for careful surveillance in young diabetic patients of both sexes in order to promptly detect and prevent these incipient eating disorders.

NORMA GARCÍA-REYNA¹

SANDRA GUSSINYER¹

MIQUEL GUSSINYER, MD¹

ROSA RAICH, PHD²

JOSEP TOMÁS, MD, PHD¹

ANTONIO CARRASCOSA, MD, PHD¹

From the ¹Department of Pediatrics, Vall d'Hebron Hospital, Barcelona, Spain; and the ²Department of Psychology, Autonomous University Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Address correspondence to Antonio Carrascosa, Vall d'Hebron Hospital, Pediatric Department, Pg. Vall d' Hebron, 119-129, Barcelona 08035, Spain. E-mail: ancarrascosa@vhebron.net.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

1. Jones JM, Lawson ML, Daneman D, Olmsted MP, Rodin G: Eating disorders in adolescent female with and without type 1 diabetes: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 320: 1563–1566, 2000
2. Meltzer LJ, Jonson SB, Prine JM, Banks RA, Desrosiers PM, Silverstein JH: Disordered eating, body mass, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 24:678–682, 2001
3. Castro J, Toro J, Salamero M, Guimerà E: The eating attitudes test: validation of the Spanish version. *Psychology Assessm* 7: 175–190, 1991
4. Cooper Z, Fairburn CG: The eating disorder examination: a semi-structured interview for the assessment of the specific psychopathology of eating disorders. *Int J Eat Disord* 6:1–8, 1987
5. American Psychiatric Association: *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4th ed. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 1994
6. Rydall AC, Rodin GM, Daneman D: Disordered eating behavior and microvascular complications in young women with

insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 336:1849–1854, 1997

Can Cranberry Supplementation Benefit Adults With Type 2 Diabetes?

Cranberries (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*) are a rich source of phytochemicals, including anthocyanins and other flavonoids that may decrease lipid oxidation and protein glycosylation (1). Anthocyanins inhibit aldose reductase in vitro (2). Red wine anthocyanins improved urine and serum glucose levels and free radical control in streptozotocin-injected rats (3). Daily consumption of 200 ml chokeberry (*Aronia melanocarpa*) juice for 3 months lowered fasting blood glucose, HbA_{1c}, and lipid levels in people who had been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for 6–17 years (4). Cranberry juice may decrease the side effects of diabetes and increase the quality of life for people with diabetes.

Adults controlling their type 2 diabetes through diet alone were recruited from the Bangor, Maine, community. Fourteen subjects (aged 57.9 ± 10.6 years, 6 women, 8 men, duration of diabetes 6.0 ± 8.5 years) were randomized to the cranberry group; 13 subjects (aged 52.6 ± 13.7 years, 6 women, 7 men, duration of diabetes 4.1 ± 4.9 years) were assigned to the placebo group. Subjects consumed six capsules filled with either cranberry juice concentrate powder or a placebo daily for 12 weeks. Six capsules were equivalent to a 240-ml serving of cranberry juice cocktail. The artificially colored placebo mimicked the cranberry powder in all respects but flavonoid content. Subjects were asked to discontinue use of dietary supplements, but no other diet and lifestyle changes were made during the study.

More than one-half of the subjects had good control of blood glucose levels (<7.0 mmol/l) at the beginning of the study. No differences were found between the treatment groups in fasting serum glucose, HbA_{1c}, fructosamine, triglyceride, or HDL or LDL levels after 6 and 12 weeks. Placebo subjects had higher insulin values throughout the

study (160 ± 167 vs. 86 ± 51 pmol/l at week 12, $P < 0.05$). Different effects might be seen in subjects with poor glucose control, individuals with type 1 diabetes, or people who use medications to control their type 2 diabetes.

Although the National Kidney Foundation recommends drinking one glass of cranberry juice per day to prevent urinary tract infections, people with diabetes may need to consume greater quantities to improve their health. Since most commercial cranberry juice cocktails contain only 27–31% cranberry juice, more concentrated products might improve compliance. The additional heat processing necessary to convert the cranberry juice to a shelf-stable powder may have altered bioactivity. Despite the lack of significant findings in this small study, the role of cranberries and other flavonoid-rich fruits should be investigated further.

BELINDA K. CHAMBERS, MS
MARY ELLEN CAMIRE, PHD

From the Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Maine, Orono, Maine.

Address correspondence Dr. Mary Ellen Camire, Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Maine, 5735 Hitchner Hall, Orono, ME 04469-5736. E-mail: mary.camire@umit.maine.edu.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

Acknowledgments—This study was supported in part by the Cranberry Institute, the WI Cranberry Board, and the University of Maine Agricultural and Forest Experiment Station.

References

1. Asgary S, Naderi GH, Sarrafzadegan N, Ghassemi N, Boshtam M, Rafie M, Arefian A: Anti-oxidant effect of flavonoids on hemoglobin glycosylation. *Pharm Acta Helv* 73:223–226, 1999
2. Camire ME, Dougherty MP, Petrash JM: Inhibition of aldose reductase by fruit anthocyanins. *Public Health Nutr* 3:529–530, 2000
3. Jankowski A, Jankowska B, Niedworok J: The effect of anthocyanin dye from grapes on experimental diabetes. *Folia Med Carcov* 41:5–15, 2000
4. Simeonov SB, Botushanov NP, Karahanian EB, Pavlova MB, Husianitis HK, Troev DM: Effects of Aronia melanocarpa juice as part of the dietary regimen in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Folia Med (Plovdiv)* 44:20–23, 2002

–429T/C and –374T/A Polymorphisms of RAGE Gene Promoter Are Not Associated With Diabetic Retinopathy in Chinese Patients With Type 2 Diabetes

The interaction between advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and the cellular receptor of AGE (RAGE) could result in cellular activation and inflammation (1). In vitro and in vivo studies indicate that RAGE plays an important role in the pathogenesis of diabetic vascular complications (2). It is plausible that genetic differences in the RAGE gene could alter expression and function to affect disease development. Many polymorphisms in the RAGE gene have already been identified (3) and show no association with macro- or microvasculopathy of diabetes (4,5). However, Hudson et al. (6) have detected two polymorphisms, –429T/C and –374T/A, in RAGE gene promoters. They reported that the –429T/C polymorphism is associated with diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients, but found no association between the two polymorphisms and macrovascular diseases (7).

We screened the –429T/C and –374T/A polymorphisms among 212 unrelated Chinese nondiabetic subjects and 357 type 2 diabetic subjects (205 with and 152 without diabetic retinopathy). Type 2 diabetes was diagnosed according to 1999 World Health Organization criteria. Retinopathy was defined by an ophthalmologist. Genotyping was performed by PCR fragment-length polymorphism assay. Primers were designed according to the method of Hudson et al. (6).

There were no differences in allele frequencies between diabetic subjects with (–429T 90.1%, C 9.9%; –374T 86.4%, A 13.6%) or without (–429T 87.8%, 12.2%; –374T 87.3%, 12.7%) diabetic retinopathy. No differences were found between the diabetic and nondiabetic subjects (–429T 87.3%, 12.7%; –374T 86.1%, 13.9%). The –429C and –374A allele frequencies (12.7 and 13.9%, re-

spectively) are lower than those in Caucasians (18 and 20%) (7).

Our study indicates that the –429T/C and –374T/A polymorphisms in RAGE gene promoters are not associated with diabetic retinopathy in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. However, further studies on large populations are still required to evaluate whether these polymorphisms and other polymorphisms in RAGE genes are related to diabetic vascular complications.

XU JIXIONG, MD¹
XU BILIN, MD²
YANG MINGGONG, MD³
LIU SHUQIN, MD³

From the ¹Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital of JiangXi Medical College, Nanchang, China; the ²Department of Endocrinology, Shanghai PuTuo District Central Hospital, Shanghai, China; and the ³Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, HeFei, China

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Xu JiXiong, Department of Endocrinology, The First Affiliated Hospital of JiangXi Medical College, 17 N. Yongwaizheng St., Nanchang 330006, China. E-mail: x2jo@163.com.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Schmidt AM, Yan SD, Wautier JL, Stern D: Activation of receptor for advanced glycation end products: a mechanism for chronic vascular dysfunction in diabetic vasculopathy and atherosclerosis. *Circ Res* 84:489–497, 1999
2. Schmidt AM, Stern D: RAGE: a new target for the prevention and treatment of the vascular and inflammatory complications of diabetes. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 11:368–375, 2000
3. Hudson BI, Stickland MH, Grant PJ: Identification of polymorphisms in the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) gene: prevalence in type 2 diabetes and ethnic groups. *Diabetes* 47:1155–1157, 1998
4. Liu L, Xiang K: RAGE Gly82Ser polymorphism in diabetic microangiopathy (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 22:646, 1999
5. Kankova K, Beranek M, Hajek D, Vlknova E: Polymorphisms 1704G/T, 2184A/G, and 2245G/A in the RAGE gene are not associated with diabetic retinopathy in NIDDM pilot study. *Retina* 22:119–121, 2002
6. Hudson BI, Stickland MH, Futers TS, Grant PJ: Effects of novel polymorphisms in the RAGE gene on transcriptional regulation and their association with diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes* 50:1505–1511, 2001
7. Hudson BI, Stickland MH, Futers TS,

Grant PJ: Study of the -429T/C and -374T/A receptor for advanced glycation end products promoter polymorphisms in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects with macrovascular disease (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 24:2004, 2001

High Frequency of Type 1B (Idiopathic) Diabetes in North Indian Children With Recent-Onset Diabetes

Islet antibody-negative or type 1B (idiopathic) diabetes constitutes 5–10% of Caucasian diabetic subjects with recent-onset type 1 diabetes (1). A study from Italy (2) failed to show clinical differences between these patients and those with antibodies. In contrast, a fulminant form of type 1B diabetes with associated exocrine pancreatic involvement, possibly secondary to viral infection, has been described in Japanese (3). There is little information on type 1B diabetes in other racial groups. Therefore, we studied the frequency and characteristics of type 1B diabetes in children of north Indian origin.

We studied all 55 children (32 male and 23 female) with recent-onset type 1 diabetes who presented at our diabetes clinic over a 10-year period. Subjects had an age at onset <20 years (9.7 ± 5.0 years [mean \pm SD]), duration of diabetes <3 months (33 ± 20 days), severe hyperglycemia (plasma glucose 20.7 ± 8.6 mmol/l), and required insulin continuously after diagnosis. Chronic pancreatitis was ruled out by abdominal ultrasonography. GAD and insulinoma-associated protein 2 (IA-2) antibodies (GADAs and IA-2As) were determined by immunoprecipitation of recombinant ³⁵S-labeled human antigen, as described previously (4). The antibody assays were included in all workshops and Immunology of Diabetes Society serum exchanges, and they have proven sensitivity and specificity. HLA typing for 12 DR antigens was performed using sequence-specific oligonucleotide probes.

GADAs were present in 23 subjects (42%), and IA-2As were only found in 18 subjects (33%). Both antibodies were absent in 25 children (45%). When compared with control subjects, the only HLA genotype associated with type 1 diabetes

was DRB1*03/03 (8/41 [19.5%] vs. 1/105 [1%], odds ratio [OR] 26.4, $P < 0.001$). When children with GADAs or IA2As (type 1A diabetes) were compared with those in whom neither was present (type 1B diabetes), there were no differences in their clinical features (age at onset, duration of symptoms before diagnosis, frequency of ketosis, or BMI) or metabolic profile (plasma glucose, HbA_{1c}, or fasting plasma C-peptide). The frequency of the HLA-DRB1*03/03 genotype, when compared with control subjects, was increased in the type 1A (7/24 [29%] vs. 1/105 [1%], OR 44.8, $P < 0.001$) but not in the type 1B (1/17 [6%]) diabetic subgroup. No patient with fulminant type 1B diabetes (duration of symptoms <1 week and elevated serum trypsin) was detected. In fact, patients with type 1B diabetes had lower serum trypsin levels compared with the levels in those with type 1A diabetes (19.4 ± 11.9 vs. 29.1 ± 16.6 μ g/l, $P = 0.03$; normal range 10–57 μ g/l).

We found a far higher frequency of type 1B diabetes (45%) among children and adolescents with recent-onset type 1 diabetes than previously reported in other Caucasian populations (1). This may be related in part to the low frequency of IA-2A in the current study. This finding has also been reported earlier (4,5) and may be due to the low prevalence of HLA-DR4 in type 1 diabetic subjects in this racial group (5). Alternatively, an increase in diabetes from “nonautoimmune” causes such as viral infections, toxins, mutations in transcription factors, or subclinical pancreatitis is possible. The association of the high-risk genotype DRB1*03/03 with only type 1A diabetes suggests that different pathogenetic mechanisms may exist for type 1A and 1B diabetes in this study population. In view of the absence of the type of fulminant type 1B diabetes found in Japanese subjects in both the current study and earlier reports in other Caucasian groups (2), it is likely that type 1B diabetes will be heterogeneous in its etiology.

KRISHNAMURTHY BALASUBRAMANIAN, MD, DM¹
 PREETI DABADGHAO, MD, DM¹
 VIJAYALAKSHMI BHATIA, MD¹
 PETER G. COLMAN, MD²
 SHANE A. GELLERT, BS²
 UDALAK BHARADWAJ, PHD³
 SURAKSHA AGRAWAL, PHD³
 NALINI SHAH, MD, DM⁴
 EESH BHATIA, MD¹

From the ¹Department of Endocrinology, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India; the ²Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Victoria, Australia; the ³Department of Genetics, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India; and the ⁴Department of Endocrinology, Seth GS Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai, India.

Address correspondence to Eesh Bhatia, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow 226014, India. E-mail: eebhatia@sbgpi.ac.in.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Leslie RDG, Atkinson MA, Notkins AL: Autoantigens IA-2 and GAD in type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes. *Diabetologia* 42:3–14, 1999
2. Pozzilli P, Visalli N, Leslie D, IMDIAB group: No evidence of rapid onset (Japanese) type 1 diabetes in Caucasian patients (Letter). *Diabetologia* 43:1322, 2000
3. Imagawa A, Hanafusa T, Miyagawa J, Matsuzawa Y: A novel subtype of type 1 diabetes mellitus characterized by a rapid onset and an absence of diabetes-related antibodies. *N Engl J Med* 342:301–307, 2000
4. Singh AK, Bhatia E, Dabadghao P, Bhatia V, Gellert SA, Colman P: Role of islet autoimmunity in the aetiology of different clinical subtypes of diabetes mellitus in young north Indians. *Diabetes Med* 17:275–280, 2000
5. Kelly MA, Alvi NS, Croft NJ, Mijovic CH, Bottazzo GF, Barnett AH: Genetic and immunological characteristics of type 1 diabetes mellitus in an Indo-Aryan population. *Diabetologia* 43:450–456, 2000

Glyceryl Trinitrate Patches as an Alternative to Isosorbide Dinitrate Spray in the Treatment of Chronic Painful Diabetic Neuropathy

In the October 2002 issue of *Diabetes Care*, we reported (1) the benefit of isosorbide dinitrate (ISDN) in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. This stance was based on the speculation that the impaired nitric oxide (NO) generation might play a role in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain through defects in local vasodilatation. This was a double-

blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, two-period, crossover design study of 22 diabetic patients. The ISDN spray reduced overall neuropathic pain ($P = 0.02$) and burning sensation ($P = 0.006$). Fifty percent of the patients reported improvement in their quality of life and wanted to continue the ISDN spray. Since then, this publication has received considerable interest, with numerous inquiries from clinicians and patients wishing to try ISDN spray but unable to obtain it. Unfortunately, the company who provided with spray and placebo material has stopped manufacturing the ISDN spray. Nevertheless, we believe the following information regarding the use of glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) patches may be of interest and helpful for those who would like to try this therapy.

We had originally intended to use GTN patches to test our hypothesis after finding benefit in a small number of index cases with chronic painful neuropathy. In this pilot study the majority (four of six subjects) reported improvement in the pain. Based on this observation, we designed a placebo-controlled study, but were unable to obtain placebo patches from any of the manufacturers of GTN patches. It was the availability of placebo material that led us to explore our hypothesis using ISDN spray as the NO donor.

Since publication and the unavailability of ISDN, we have reverted to using GTN patches. A total of 18 patients (10 men, 15 with type 2 diabetes, age 57 ± 2.3 years [mean \pm SD]) have now been treated. We present the following observations from our experiences with these patients. However, it should be stressed that this is not a placebo-controlled study.

Our clinical practice is to prescribe a 5-mg patch to be applied to the dorsum of one foot in the early evening, which is to be replaced with a new patch by the patient the following evening. The rationale behind this was to avoid headaches from prescribing too large a dose at the outset. If no headache occurred after several days we recommended the patients to use one 5-mg patch on each foot. If this produced headaches, then the patients are instructed to halve the patch and apply 2.5 mg to each foot.

Among the 18 patients, 8 (44%) reported reduction in pain and wished to continue using the patches. Of the subjects who noted an improvement, it occurred with the first or second application

or within the first week. Two patients had to discontinue using the patches; one because of headache and the other due to a skin rash, but both had reported definite benefit. There were no other systemic adverse events reported. Thus, similar to the ISDN spray, GTN patches are helpful in some patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy.

It is of interest that during the initial period, when only one patch was used, most patients reported a reduction in pain solely in the leg to which the patch was applied. This suggests a local mechanism rather than a systemic effect.

Thus, further placebo-controlled studies with larger patient numbers are required to confirm the potential of GTN patches in alleviating sensory symptoms associated with painful diabetic neuropathy. The mechanism remains speculative, and the apparent local effect, if confirmed, is intriguing.

GERRY RAYMAN, MD, FRCP

NEIL R. BAKER, BSC

SINGHAN T.M. KRISHNAN, MRCP

From the Diabetes Centre, Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust, Ipswich, U.K.

Address correspondence to Dr. Gerry Rayman, MD, FRCP, The Diabetes Centre, Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust, Ipswich, IP4 5PD, U.K. Email: raymang@ipsh-tr.anglox.nhs.uk.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Yuen KC, Baker NR, Rayman G: Treatment of chronic painful diabetic neuropathy with isosorbide dinitrate spray: a double-blind placebo-controlled cross-over study. *Diabetes Care* 25:1699–1703, 2002

Heart Rate Response During Positive Exercise Stress Test Predicts Coronary Artery Disease and Its Severity in High-Risk Type 2 Diabetic Patients With Silent Ischemia

Diagnosis of coronary artery disease (CAD) before symptoms appear can reduce mortality in diabetes (1). ST depression at exercise stress test (EST) independent of angina is a hallmark of CAD

(2); however, if a positive EST is characterized by the lack of angina, a second test to better stratify the risk of ischemia is recommended (3). Heart rate response at EST with ST depression can improve risk stratification in the general population (4), but no such data are available in diabetic patients.

We studied the relationship between heart rate response and CAD in 33 consecutive patients with type 2 diabetes and silent ischemia at EST (i.e., horizontal or downsloping ST depression ≥ 1 mm at 0.08 s after J point, without angina). Patients (22 men and 11 women, age 59 ± 7 years, BMI 30 ± 4 kg/m², duration of type 2 diabetes 11 ± 6 years, and HbA_{1c} $8.3 \pm 1\%$) with no symptoms or resting electrocardiogram signs of ischemia were considered at “high risk” for CAD due to peripheral vascular disease and/or two additional atherogenic factors according to guidelines criteria (1). Cardiovascular drugs were stopped 48 h before the EST. Coronary angiography was considered positive if stenosis $\geq 70\%$ was observed in a major epicardial artery or its main branches.

Maximal heart rate at the moment of ST depression was lower in 13 patients with three-vessel disease (7 of whom did not reach the 85% of predicted maximal heart rate) than in 20 patients with less than or equal to two- or no-vessel disease (4 of whom did not reach the 85% of predicted maximal heart rate) (127 ± 12 vs. 140 ± 13 bpm, $P = 0.009$).

Maximal heart rate < 136 bpm (mean and median value) predicted three-vessel disease with an odds ratio (OR) of 7.7 (95% CI 1.5–38, $P < 0.05$) independent of both age and sex, or of each of the following potential confounders: BMI, diabetes duration, hypertension, smoking, and diabetes complications, including peripheral vascular disease (stenosis $\geq 40\%$ at ultrasound doppler), nephropathy (urinary albumin excretion rate > 20 μ g/min), retinopathy (at fundoscopy), and neuropathy (at electromiography).

Heart rate reserve (i.e., maximal heart rate at the moment of ST depression minus resting heart rate) was lower in 13 patients with three-vessel disease than in 20 patients with less than or equal to two- or no-vessel disease (48.6 ± 12 vs. 61 ± 16 bpm, $P = 0.01$).

Heart rate reserve < 56 bpm (mean and median value) predicted three-vessel disease with an OR of 5.0 (95% CI 1.1–

24, $P < 0.05$) independent of both age and sex or each previously mentioned confounder.

Maximal heart rate and heart rate reserve were lower in 17 patients with ejection fraction $<60\%$ (mean value) than in 16 patients with ejection fraction $>60\%$ (126 ± 12 vs. 143 ± 13 bpm, $P = 0.001$ for maximal heart rate and 49 ± 12 vs. 63 ± 17 bpm, $P = 0.01$ for heart rate reserve).

Maximal heart rate <136 bpm (OR 10.9, 95% CI 1.8–66) and heart rate reserve <56 bpm (6.7, 1.3–33) predicted ejection fraction $<60\%$, independent ($P < 0.05$) of both age and sex or each previously mentioned confounders but not of three-vessel disease.

In conclusion, maximal heart rate and heart rate reserve predict CAD severity and ejection fraction in “high risk” diabetic patients with silent ischemia at EST. The Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) (5) showed that coronary artery bypass surgery improves the prognosis in silent diabetic patients with three-vessel disease and impaired ventricular function. Our present data suggest that maximal heart rate and heart rate reserve might serve the function of identifying these patients who could, therefore, undergo coronary angiography with no need of a second test, thus reducing time and cost of diagnosis.

SIMONETTA BACCI, MD¹
 TOMMASO IANGIALONGA, MD¹
 MASSIMO VILLELLA, MD¹
 ANNA RAUSEO, MD¹
 RAFFAELE FANELLI, MD¹
 VINCENZO TRISCHITTA, MD^{1,2}

From the ¹Department of Cardiovascular and Endocrine and Metabolic diseases, Scientific Institute “Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza,” San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy; and the ²Department of Clinical Sciences, University “La Sapienza,” Rome, Italy.

Address correspondence to Dr. Simonetta Bacci, Unit of Endocrinology, Scientific Institute “Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza,” Viale Cappuccini 1, 71013 San Giovanni Rotondo, Foggia, Italy. E-mail: endocrino@operapadrepio.it.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. American Diabetes Association: Consensus development conference on the diagnosis of coronary heart disease in people with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 21:1551–1559, 1998
2. Callham PR, Froelicher VF, Klein J, Risch M, Dubach P, Friis R: Exercise induced

silent ischaemia: age, diabetes mellitus, previous myocardial infarction and prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 14:1175–1180, 1989

3. Shlomo Stern: Angina pectoris without chest pain: clinical implication of silent ischemia. *Circulation* 106:1906–1908, 2002
4. Okim PM, Grandits G, Rautaharju PM, Prineas RJ, Cohen JD, Crow RS, Kligfield P: Prognostic value of heart rate adjustment of exercise-induced ST segment depression in the multiple risk factor intervention trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 27: 1437–1443, 1996
5. Weiner DA, Ryan TJ, Parson L, Fisher LD, Chaitman BR, Sheffield T, Tristani FE: Significance of silent myocardial ischemia during exercise testing in patients with diabetes mellitus: a report from the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) registry. *Am J Cardiol* 68:720–734, 1991

Haptoglobin Type and 30-Day Mortality in Diabetic Individuals Presenting With Acute Myocardial Infarction

There are two major classes of alleles for the haptoglobin (Hp) gene, denoted 1 and 2 (1). We have recently reported evidence that Hp is a major susceptibility gene for cardiovascular disease in the diabetic state (2,3). Moreover, we have provided a mechanism for this differential susceptibility conferred by the Hp type by demonstrating striking differences in the antioxidant protection afforded by the protein products of the different Hp alleles (4).

Mortality after myocardial infarction in the diabetic patient remains alarmingly high. Increased oxidative stress leading to increased ischemia-reperfusion injury may play a major role in explaining this poor outcome (5). Accordingly, we proposed that the Hp type would be predictive of mortality and major adverse cardiac events after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in individuals with diabetes. We sought to prospectively test this hypothesis by determining the association between the Hp type and major adverse cardiac events occurring within 30 days of AMI in a consecutive series of 601

patients (224 diabetic subjects). We found a significantly increased mortality rate in the diabetic cohort in individuals with the Hp 2 allele (30-day mortality rate of 0% for Hp 1-1, 23% for Hp 2-1, and 25% for Hp 2-2, $P = 0.014$). The composite major adverse cardiac events rate (death, reinfarction, and revascularization) at 30 days was 11% in Hp 1-1, 51% in Hp 2-1, and 55% in Hp 2-2 ($P < 0.0001$). After multivariate analysis, using the Cox model, Hp type was significantly associated with the risk of adverse cardiac events in diabetic patients in the first 30 days after AMI (odds ratio 4.9, 95% CI 1.5–15.7, $P = 0.007$). There was no significant difference in the mortality rate or composite primary end point rate among nondiabetic patients segregated by Hp type.

The elevated mortality risk after AMI associated with diabetes appears to be restricted to those individuals with the Hp 2 allele. Determination of the Hp type may be of use in the evaluation of therapies to reduce cardiovascular mortality after AMI in diabetic individuals.

MAHMOUD SULEIMAN, MD¹
 MICHAEL R. KAPELIOVICH, MD, PHD¹
 ARIEL ROGUIN, MD¹
 DORON ARONSON, MD¹
 SIMCHA R. MEISEL, MD¹
 MICHAEL SHOCHAT, MD¹
 SHIMON A. REISNER, MD¹
 HAIM HAMMERMAN, MD¹
 RACHEL LOTAN, PHD²
 NINA S. LEVY, PHD²
 ANDREW P. LEVY, MD, PHD²

From the ¹Department of Cardiology, Rambam Medical Center, Haifa, Israel; and the ²Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

Address correspondence to Dr. Andrew P. Levy, Technion Faculty of Medicine, Haifa 31096 Israel. E-mail: alevy@tx.technion.ac.il.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

Acknowledgments—This study was supported by the Kennedy-Leigh Charitable Trust.

References

1. Bowman BH, Kurosky A: Haptoglobin: the evolutionary product of duplication, unequal crossing over, and point mutation. *Adv Hum Genet* 12:189–261, 1982
2. Levy AP, Hochberg I, Jablonski K, Resnick HE, Best L, Lee E, Howard BV: Haptoglobin phenotype is an indepen-

- dent risk factor for cardiovascular disease in individuals with diabetes: the Strong Heart Study. *J Am Coll Card* 40:1984–1990, 2002
- Roguin A, Koch W, Kastrati A, Aronson D, Schomig A, Levy AP: Haptoglobin genotype is predictive of major adverse cardiac events in the 1-year period after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty in individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 26:2628–2631, 2003
 - Asleh R, Marsh S, Shiltruk M, Binah O, Guetta J, Lejbkowitz F, Enav B, Shehadeh N, Kanter Y, Lache O, Cohen O, Levy NS, Levy AP: Genetically determined heterogeneity in hemoglobin scavenging and susceptibility to diabetic cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res* 92:1193–1200, 2003
 - McDonagh PF, Hokama JY, Copeland JG, Reynolds JM: The blood contribution to early myocardial reperfusion injury is amplified in diabetes. *Diabetes* 46:1859–1867, 1997

Unilateral Edema Due to a Thiazolidinedione

A 51-year-old African-American man with type 2 diabetes (anti-GAD antibody negative and C-peptide positive) of 7 years duration was controlled (A1c 7.0%) on a combination of 1 g metformin, 2 mg glimeperide, and 4 mg rosiglitazone all twice daily and 40 units Glargine insulin at bedtime. He had been taking rosiglitazone for 26 months and troglitazone for 13 months before changing to rosiglitazone.

The patient suddenly developed painful swelling of the right leg. On examination there was no edema in the left leg and pitting edema to just below the knee in the right leg, which had an increased temperature. However, no tenderness was found on deep palpation of the calf, popliteal, and femoral areas or in the adductor canal. Two venous ultrasounds 5 days apart showed no evidence of a deep vein thrombosis, and furosemide self-administered by the patient had no effect on the edema. In addition, the neck veins were not distended, hepatojugular reflux was negative, chest was clinically clear, and there was no hepatomegaly or added heart sounds. Serum albumin and liver profile were normal, and there was no albuminuria.

The patient's wife, having consulted

the *Physicians Desk Reference*, felt that the edema was due to rosiglitazone and insisted that her husband discontinue the medication. Within 3 days of discontinuing rosiglitazone the edema disappeared. On rechallenge the edema reappeared within 5 days and the thiazolidinedione was permanently discontinued with resolution and no recurrence of edema.

Dependent edema is a side effect of thiazolidinediones due to increased plasma volume, insulin-induced vasodilatation, and increased production of vascular endothelial growth factor (1–4). However, the distribution of the edema is almost invariably bilateral. Because of unilateral edema in this case, the diagnosis of thiazolidinedione-induced dependent edema was not considered. Since the edema resolved with withdrawal of the thiazolidinedione and reappeared on rechallenge and disappeared after withdrawal, never to return, it was the obvious cause of this unilateral edema. There was no anatomical reason, such as venous insufficiency from varicose veins, or a neurological cause, such as a previous stroke, childhood poliomyelitis, or previous trauma to the leg to explain this unilateral edema. Why an edema with systemic involvement should present unilaterally is not known or gleaned from this case.

In conclusion, practitioners need to be aware that dependent edema due to thiazolidinediones can present with unilateral edema, which will disappear with discontinuation of the thiazolidinedione.

DAVID S.H. BELL, MB, FACE

From the University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama.

Address correspondence to David S.H. Bell, MB, FACE, Professor of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, 702 Faculty Office Tower, 510 South 20th St., Birmingham, AL 35294-3407. E-mail: dbell@endo.dom.uab.edu.

D.S.H.B. is a member of the National Speakers' Bureau and Advisory Panel of and is a consultant for GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceutical, and has received honoraria and consultant fees from Eli Lilly.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

- Avandia (rosiglitazone maleate) [package insert]. Philadelphia, SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, 2000
- Actos (pioglitazone hydrochloride) [package insert]. Lincolnshire, IL, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, 2000
- Baron AD, Brechtel G: Insulin differentially regulates systemic and skeletal mus-

cle vascular resistance. *Am J Physiol* 265: E61–E67, 1993

- Baba T, Shimada K, Neugenbauer S, Yamada D, Hashimoto S, Watanabe T: The oral insulin sensitizer, thiazolidinedione, increases plasma vascular endothelial growth factor in type 2 diabetic patients (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 24:953–954, 2001

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Should postprandial glucose be routinely measured and treated to a particular target? Connecting the two sides of the debate

I would very much appreciate the opportunity to clarify the purpose of my article regarding the role of postprandial glucose (1) and the fact that it was not written as a stand-alone commentary.

Much to the contrary, the piece was submitted after invitation to continue the debate that Dr. Jaime Davidson and I started at the American Diabetes Association Scientific Session in June 2002. The manuscript was intended as a counterpoint to a second piece written by Dr. Davidson (2).

On its own, the counterpoint article lacks fair balance and does not represent the full discourse required for the reader to make reasonable judgments. It was not intended as a review, but as a point of view developed as part of a scholarly exercise. It is unfortunate, even though inadvertently, that the pro and con points were published in consecutive issues of *Diabetes Care* (May and June), rather than simultaneously, as they will most likely be more often read out of context. It is my hope that this letter will serve as glue to draw the two halves of the debate back together, at least when they are accessed as part of an electronic literature search.

JOHN B. BUSE, MD, PHD, CDE

From the University of North Carolina, Department of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Address correspondence to John B. Buse, MD, PhD, CDE, Associate Professor of Medicine, Chief, Division of General Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology Director, Diabetes Care Center, UNC School of Medicine, CB# 7110, 5039 Old Clinic Building, Chapel Hill, NC 27599. E-mail: jbuse@med.unc.edu.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Buse JB: Should postprandial glucose be routinely measured and treated to a particular target? No! (Commentary). *Diabetes Care* 26:1615–1618, 2003
2. Davidson J: Should postprandial glucose be routinely measured and treated to a particular target? Yes! (Commentary). *Diabetes Care* 26:1919–1921, 2003

Preventing, Delaying, or Masking Type 2 Diabetes With Metformin in the Diabetes Prevention Program?

The secondary analysis of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) showed that withdrawal of metformin for 1–2 weeks resulted in a trend to a higher conversion rate from impaired glucose tolerance to diabetes as compared with the placebo group (1). This resulted in a reduction by 26% of the so-called prevention effect of metformin, i.e., from 31% in the primary analysis (2) to 25% in the secondary analysis, a reduction in the incidence of diabetes that, however, remained highly significant as compared with placebo. The same trend was observed in the Study to Prevent (STOP)-NIDDM trial with acarbose after a longer washout period of 3 months (3). As previously discussed (4) and emphasized in a previous *Diabetes Care* Editorial (5), one key question is to know whether the positive results with metformin in the DPP (2) or with acarbose in the STOP-NIDDM trial (3) could be interpreted as a real prevention of the disease or only as a delay in its progression, or even simply as a masking effect due to the metabolic effect of the drug. It was astonishing that a possible direct effect of metformin was not discussed in the original paper of the DPP, despite the fact that the results were ini-

tially presented without any washout period (2). Indeed, a significant improvement of insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism was consistently demonstrated in placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials after only 48 h treatment with metformin (850 mg twice daily), both in insulin-resistant normoglycemic first-degree relatives of diabetic patients during a euglycemic insulin clamp (6) and in hyperglycemic patients with type 2 diabetes with the isotope dilution technique to measure hepatic glucose production (7).

Using the frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test and the minimal model approach, we also reported such acute favorable effect of metformin in a population similar to that of the DPP, i.e., in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance with a significant increase of the insulin sensitivity index after only 48 h metformin therapy (+50%; $P = 0.03$) (8). That part of the overall effect seen in the DPP may be accounted for by a pure pharmacological effect of metformin that did not persist when the drug was stopped (1) is in agreement with those experimental results (6–8). Furthermore, the rather short washout period of only 1–2 weeks may be questionable. First, it did not allow a sufficiently high number of new cases of diabetes, which limited the statistical power of the analysis (5)—the P value was 0.098 despite a difference of 49% in diabetes rates between placebo and metformin groups during the washout period. In addition, while the washout period was beyond the classically requested period of five times the plasma half-life of the drug (9), it did not completely exclude the persistence of any biological effect of metformin, which has a particularly complex cellular mechanism of action. Thus, in our opinion and in agreement with Buchanan (5), the question remains open as to whether the results of the DPP with metformin correspond to preventing, delaying, or partially masking effects of type 2 diabetes.

ANDRE J. SCHEEN, MD, PHD

From the Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Department of Medicine, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to André J. Scheen, Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Department of Medicine, CHU Sart Tilman (B35), B-4000 Liège, Belgium. E-mail: andre.scheen@chu.ulg.ac.be.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Effects of withdrawal from metformin on the development of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 26:977–980, 2003
2. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 346:393–403, 2002
3. Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M, STOP-NIDDM Trial Research Group: Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial. *Lancet* 359:2072–2077, 2002
4. Scheen AJ: Acarbose for type 2 diabetes prevention (Letter). *Lancet* 360:1516, 2002
5. Buchanan TA: Prevention of type 2 diabetes: what is it really? *Diabetes Care* 26:1306–1308, 2003
6. Widen EIM, Eriksson JG, Groop LC: Metformin normalizes nonoxidative glucose metabolism in insulin-resistant normoglycemic first-degree relatives of patients with NIDDM. *Diabetes* 41:354–358, 1992
7. Perriello G, Misericordia P, Volpi E, Santucci A, Santucci C, Ferrannini E, Ventura MM, Santeusano F, Brunetti P, Bolli GB: Acute antihyperglycemic mechanisms of metformin in NIDDM: evidence for suppression of lipid oxidation and hepatic glucose production. *Diabetes* 43:920–928, 1994
8. Scheen AJ, Letiexhe MR, Lefebvre PJ: Short administration of metformin improves insulin sensitivity in android obese subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabet Med* 12:985–989, 1995
9. Scheen AJ: Clinical pharmacokinetics of metformin. *Clin Pharmacokin* 30:359–371, 1996

Preventing, Delaying, or Masking Type 2 Diabetes With Metformin in the Diabetes Prevention Program?

Response to Scheen

I agree with the fundamental point made by Dr. Scheen (1) in this issue of *Diabetes Care*. It is unclear whether the effect of metformin to reduce the incidence of diabetes during the Diabetes Pre-

Downloaded from http://diabetesjournals.org/care/article-pdf/26/9/2696/665113/2696.pdf by guest on 25 March 2025

vention Program (DPP) (2) was true prevention or simply a masking of diabetes. The posttrial washout period was very short for testing that distinction. The data cited by Dr. Scheen regarding a very rapid effect of metformin to increase insulin sensitivity suggests that dissipation of any such effect in the DPP could have been rapid as well. Thus, acute effects of metformin on insulin sensitivity could have been gone 2 weeks after the drug was stopped. It seems unlikely that we will ever know for sure because direct measures of insulin sensitivity were not made in the DPP. Additionally, we will never know whether glucose levels had stabilized or were still rising 2 weeks after metformin was stopped. The Study to Prevent (STOP)-NIDDM trial (3) included a more convincing washout period of 3 months before retesting for diabetes. During the washout, the jump in new cases of diabetes in the former acarbose group (twice as many as in the former placebo group) was even more striking than the analogous jump in the former metformin group in the DPP (49% more cases than in the placebo group). Whether this greater jump in the STOP-NIDDM trial reflects the more meaningful washout period used in that trial or a greater component of masking rather than prevention of diabetes compared with metformin can't be determined from the published data.

We have provided strong evidence from the Troglitazone in the Prevention of Diabetes (TRIPOD) study (4), conducted in Hispanic-American women, that lowering endogenous insulin requirements can slow or stop the loss of β -cell function, which leads to type 2 diabetes. If this effect occurs in other ethnic groups (still a big "if"), then any effect of metformin or acarbose to lower glucose levels or enhance insulin sensitivity could have had an analogous, albeit probably weaker, effect in the DPP and STOP-NIDDM trials. Given the known effects of metformin and acarbose on carbohydrate metabolism, I suspect that portions of the reductions in diabetes rates observed in the metformin arm of the DPP and in the STOP-NIDDM trial were due to β -cell protection. The posttrial washouts in the two studies demonstrate that some of the apparent protection from diabetes was simply masking caused by acute glucose-lowering effects of the drugs.

We will never know precisely how much prevention and how much masking

of diabetes occurred in the DPP and the STOP-NIDDM trials. Nonetheless, discourse on this issue can be helpful. It can focus diabetes prevention research on the real disease of type 2 diabetes—progressive β -cell failure—rather than on the glucose thresholds that currently define diabetes. Those thresholds are clearly important for assessing the risk of microvascular and neuropathic complications of diabetes. However, they are not very useful for tracking the underlying β -cell disease. That disease progresses for a long time before patients cross the glucose threshold to diabetes, and it continues after they have crossed that threshold. When the discussion turns to prevention (or even to initial treatment) of type 2 diabetes, the goal should become stability of glucose levels and β -cell function.

THOMAS A. BUCHANAN, MD

From the Departments of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Physiology and Biophysics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California.

Address correspondence to Thomas A. Buchanan, MD, Room 6602 GNH, 1200 N. State St., Los Angeles, CA 90089-9317. E-mail: buchanan@usc.edu.

T.A.B. has served on advisory panels for Takeda N.A., RW Johnson, and Sankyo; has been on the speakers' bureau for Takeda N.A.; has been a consultant for Takeda N.A., Novo Nordisk, RW Johnson, Metabolex, Sankyo, and Amylin; and has received grant support from Takeda N.A. and Bristol Meyers Squibb.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Scheen AJ: Preventing, delaying, or masking type 2 diabetes with metformin in the Diabetes Prevention Program? (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 26:2701, 2003
2. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Effects of withdrawal from metformin on the development of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 26:977-980, 2003
3. Chaisson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M: Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial. *Lancet* 359: 2072-2077, 2002
4. Buchanan TA, Xiang AH, Peters RK, Kjos SL, Marroquin A, Goico J, Ochoa C, Tan S, Berkowitz K, Hodis HN, Azen SP: Preservation of pancreatic β -cell function and prevention of type 2 diabetes by pharmacological treatment of insulin resistance in high-risk Hispanic women. *Diabetes* 51: 2796-2803, 2002

Response to Scheen and Buchanan

We appreciate the comments of Drs. Scheen (1) and Buchanan (2) regarding our article (3), which described the effects of withdrawal of metformin on diabetes status in the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP). As Dr. Scheen comments, and as we pointed out in our article, clearly part of the effect of metformin was due to an acute pharmacological effect of metformin, but the great majority of the effect persisted beyond this period of washout. As he notes, the washout period of 1-2 weeks was indeed beyond the usual required period of five times the plasma half-life of the drug. The duration and nature of metformin's effects beyond that time frame are not well established. However, there are no data to support Dr. Scheen's conjecture that waiting for an additional time would "allow a sufficiently high number of new cases of diabetes," which he feels limited the statistical power of the analyses. The data are what they are. Within the DPP, the investigators felt that it would not be ethical to withhold such an efficacious treatment for a prolonged period of time to answer these questions. Furthermore, pharmacological treatment of many other chronic diseases, such as hypertension and dyslipidemia, is generally given continuously. We don't expect drugs treating these conditions to work after they are discontinued. Similarly, we shouldn't expect drug treatment of impaired glucose regulation and other risk factors for type 2 diabetes to be effective after the drugs are discontinued.

We agree that whether drug therapy with metformin, acarbose, troglitazone, estrogens, ACE inhibitors, or angiotensin II receptor blockers truly prevent diabetes or delay its onset cannot be determined completely by the existing studies. However, delaying the onset of diabetes is clearly an important goal with major individual and public health implications. From a practical standpoint, there is little difference between delay and prevention of a disease such as type 2 diabetes with variable and late onset. If an intervention could delay the onset of diabetes long enough such that a person ultimately dies without developing the disease, delay would be equivalent to prevention. Un-

fortunately, neither of the DPP interventions (4) was totally effective, i.e., neither reduced the diabetes incidence rate to zero. Thus they produced only partial delay or prevention. Even partial effectiveness in this regard, however, is beneficial and is cause for optimism that more effective interventions can be developed.

MARK E. MOLITCH, MD
FOR THE DIABETES PREVENTION PROGRAM
RESEARCH GROUP

From the Diabetes Prevention Program Coordinating Center, Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, Rockville, Maryland.

Address correspondence to Mark E. Molitch, MD, Diabetes Prevention Program Coordinating Center, Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, 6110 Executive Blvd., Suite 250, Rockville, MD 20852. E-mail: dppmail@biostat.bsc.gwu.edu.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....
References

1. Scheen AJ: Preventing, delaying, or masking type 2 diabetes with metformin in the Diabetes Prevention Program? (Letter) *Diabetes Care* 26:2701, 2003
2. Buchanan TA: Prevention of type 2 diabetes: what is it really? *Diabetes Care* 26:1306–1308, 2003
3. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Effects of withdrawal from metformin on the development of diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 26:977–980, 2003
4. The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 346:393–403, 2002.

Type 1 Diabetes Is Not Associated With Increased Central Abdominal Obesity

Response to Sobel

We read with interest the recent editorial by Sobel (1), wherein it is asserted that “intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes appears to increase central obesity.” We agree with Sobel that “the insulin resistance underlying type 2 diabetes and frequently manifested in those with type 1 diabetes may be the most powerful determinant of coronary disease” (1), but argue that insulin

resistance in type 1 diabetes is unrelated to increased central abdominal adiposity.

Attention should be directed to our recent study (2), which examined the relationships between insulin sensitivity (glucose infusion rate [GIR] measured during euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp), abdominal fat, lipid levels, blood pressure, and androgens in 10 premenopausal women with type 1 diabetes (HbA_{1c} $8.1 \pm 1\%$ and diabetes duration 24 ± 10 years) and 10 nondiabetic BMI-matched control subjects. We found that GIR was lower in subjects with type 1 diabetes than in control subjects (49.3 ± 14.8 vs. $73.2 \pm 21.6 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}$ fat-free mass⁻¹ respectively, $P = 0.01$), indicating greater insulin resistance in the former (2). However, we found no difference between control and type 1 diabetic subjects in central abdominal adiposity (measured directly by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry) and intra-abdominal fat (measured by four-slice computed tomography) (2). Furthermore, unlike control subjects, we found that GIR was unrelated to abdominal obesity in type 1 diabetes (2). The finding that women with type 1 diabetes do not have greater central abdominal fat than nondiabetic control subjects confirms one of the few reports (3) that directly quantified abdominal adiposity in type 1 diabetes. Although a previous study of men found that waist-to-hip ratios were greater in men with type 1 diabetes than control subjects (4), this study relied on indirect and imperfect anthropometric surrogates (5).

We echo Sobel’s conclusion that targeting insulin resistance may reduce excess coronary risk in type 1 diabetes. Although insulin “sensitizers” traditionally used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, such as metformin, have been reported to improve insulin action in small studies of type 1 diabetes (6), it is not known whether this improvement translates into lower rates of coronary artery disease and whether newer agents, the thiazolidinediones, have a similar effect. We believe this to be an important question, especially due to the excess rates of coronary disease associated with type 1 diabetes and failure of traditional vascular risk factors to fully explain this excess risk.

JERRY R. GREENFIELD, MB, BS, BSC (MED),
FRACP

KATHERINE SAMARAS, MB, BS, PHD, FRACP

LESLEY V. CAMPBELL, MB, BS, FRCP, FRACP
DONALD J. CHISHOLM, MB, BS, MRACP, FRACP

From the Diabetes and Obesity Research Program, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia.

Address correspondence to Professor Donald Chisholm, Diabetes and Obesity Research Program, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, 384 Victoria St., Darlinghurst NSW 2010, Sydney, Australia. E-mail: d.chisholm@garvan.org.au.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....
References

1. Sobel BE: Coronary disease in type 1 diabetes: causal contiguity and clinical implications (Editorial). *Diabetes Care* 26:1629–1630, 2003
2. Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Chisholm DJ: Insulin resistance, intra-abdominal fat, cardiovascular risk factors, and androgens in healthy young women with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87:1036–1040, 2002
3. Svendsen OL, Hassager C: Body composition and fat distribution measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in premenopausal and postmenopausal insulin-dependent and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus patients. *Metabolism* 47:212–216, 1998
4. Kabadi UM, Vora A, Kabadi M: Hyperinsulinemia and central adiposity: influence of chronic insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 23:1024–1025, 2000
5. Jenkins AB, Campbell LV: Does relative leptinemia predict weight gain in humans? *Obes Res* 11:373–374, 2003
6. Gin H, Messerchmitt C, Brottier E, Aubertin J: Metformin improved insulin resistance in type 1, insulin-dependent, diabetic patients. *Metabolism* 34:923–925, 1985

Is the Fat Still in the Fire?

Response to Greenfield et al.

The comments of Greenfield et al. (1) in this issue of *Diabetes Care* regarding the recent editorial entitled “Coronary Disease in Type 1 Diabetes: Causal Contiguity and Clinical Implications” (2) are most appreciated. What was stated in the editorial regarding insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes is found in the following paragraph: “On purely statistical grounds, one would anticipate insulin resistance in many people with type

1 diabetes. In addition, however, intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes appears to increase central obesity. In fact, it has been noted that ‘insulin treatment of type 1 diabetic patients creates the insulin resistance syndrome in a significant number’ (3).” The study by Greenfield et al. (4) is certainly interesting as a case-control study demonstrating insulin resistance in the relatively small sample of individual subjects with type 1 diabetes compared with control subjects. However, the fact that no difference was observed in central abdominal adiposity in those with or without type 1 diabetes and that the apparent insulin resistance in those with type 1 diabetes appeared to be unrelated to abdominal obesity are certainly not conclusive in view of the small sample size.

From a heuristic perspective, it is important to note that “femoral fat is the feature of gynecoid obesity, which is not associated with insulin resistance. . . whereas increased abdominal visceral and subcutaneous fat characterize insulin resistance” (5). In Greenfield et al.’s study (4), the results in women are consistent with this sex-dependent difference in fat distribution and apparent relationships to insulin resistance. Thus, the actual sample size relevant to the issue of the relationship between insulin resistance and visceral fat is even smaller.

Greenfield et al. may be guilty of *ignoratio elenchi* (answering a question or responding to a point that was not the central point made). The main point of

my editorial was to underscore the original observations of Orchard et al. (6), who studied a large cohort of patients with type 1 diabetes. All of the patients were initially free from clinically overt coronary artery disease. The development of coronary artery disease after their enrollment in the Orchard study was associated with insulin resistance rather than the metabolic derangements of type 1 diabetes per se in the absence of concomitant insulin resistance.

The extent to which visceral fat participates in the linkage between insulin resistance and coronary artery disease has not been fully elucidated. Visceral fat, through elaboration of tumor necrosis factor- α and other cytokines, may potentiate the development of insulin resistance. Both insulin resistance and accumulation of visceral fat may share common biological and biochemical ancestors. Despite the elegant metabolic studies performed by Greenfield et al., the fat is still in the fire with respect to deleterious consequences, including predisposing one to premature coronary artery disease.

BURTON E. SOBEL, MD

From the Department of Medicine, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, Vermont.

Address correspondence to Burton E. Sobel, MD, Department of Medicine, University of Vermont, Colchester Research Facility, 208 South Park Dr., Colchester, VT 05446. E-mail: burt.sobel@vtmednet.org.

© 2003 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Campbell LV, Chisholm DJ: Type 1 diabetes is not associated with increased central abdominal obesity (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 26:2703, 2003.
2. Sobel BE: Coronary disease in type 1 diabetes: causal contiguity and clinical implications (Editorial). *Diabetes Care* 26: 1629–1630, 2003
3. Lebovitz HE: Types of diabetes and their implications regarding heart and vascular disease. In *Medical Management of Diabetes and Heart Disease*. New York, Marcel Dekker, 2002, p. 9–33
4. Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Chisholm DJ: Insulin resistance, intra-abdominal fat, cardiovascular risk factors, and androgens in healthy young women with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87:1036–1040, 2002
5. Mavri A, Alessi MC, Bastelica D, Geel-Georgelin O, Fina F, Sentocnik JT, Stegnar M, Juhan-Vague I: Subcutaneous abdominal, but not femoral fat expression of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) is related to plasma PAI-1 levels and insulin resistance and decreases after weight loss. *Diabetologia* 44:2025–2031, 2001
6. Orchard TJ, Olson JC, Erbey JR, Williams K, Forrest KY-Z, Smithline Kinder L, Ellis D, Becker DJ: Insulin resistance-related factors, but not glycemia, predict coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: 10-year follow-up data from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications study. *Diabetes Care* 26:1374–1379, 2003.