been written. See how much we can achieve by working together.
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Guy L. McCormack, MS, OTR
San Jose, California

Guest Editors' Response

Thank you for taking the time to so thoughtfully respond to our special issue on Feminism As An Inclusive Perspective. Your appreciation of the maturity of the women's movement was heartening. We can only revalidate that it is essential for men and women to be partners in facing the challenges in society.

The first concern raised about the growing body of knowledge about neurological differences between men and women is an excellent one. We encourage you to share your obvious knowledge and excitement about this information in a formal paper. The second concern raised about the implicit assumption that occupational therapy is a women's profession is disturbing to us. We never intended to imply this notion, but the fact remains that the profession is 93% to 95% female. These demographic data have had and continue to have a profound impact. Men have offered significant leadership and support for the development of occupational therapy. We agree that mutual respect and collaborative efforts between men and women within the profession will foster the growth of occupational therapy needs to address the challenges of the nineties into the next century.

Nancy MacRae, MS, OTR
Biddeford, Maine
Jan Froehlich, MS, OTR
on behalf of:
Roxie Black Hamlin, MS, OTR
Kathryn Loukas, MS, OTR

Author's Response

I did not imply that women have ownership of the profession. I did argue that we need to see the fact that we are a predominantly female profession as a strength, rather than as something we should ignore or apologize for. Certainly, a number of the men in occupational therapy have made major contributions.

The point I was trying to make was not that we should exclude men, but rather that we should recognize that the traditionally female parts of what we do constitute much of our strength as a profession.

Rosalie J. Miller, PhD, OTR
Gainesville, Florida

Correction

For "Assistive Technology Device Use in Patients With Rheumatic Disease: A Literature Review" by Joan C. Rogers and Margo B. Holm (February 1992 AJOT, p. 126):

In the Shipman reference, the author's name is spelled incorrectly. The reference should read: Shipham, I. (1987). Bath aids—Their use by a multidiagnostic group of patients, International Rehabilitation Medicine, 8, 182-184. The AJOT editorial staff regrets this error and hopes readers were not inconvenienced.

The American Journal of Occupational Therapy welcomes letters to the editor. If you have a comment about or reaction to something that has appeared in the journal or about an issue that affects us or the profession, let us know your views. Type the letter double spaced and forward it to Elaine Vi-salee, Editor.