



Glycation of Fetal Hemoglobin Reflects Hyperglycemia Exposure In Utero

Diabetes Care 2014;37:2830–2833 | DOI: 10.2337/dc14-0549

Felix O. Dupont,¹ Marie-France Hivert,^{1,2,3}
Catherine Allard,¹ Julie Ménard,¹
Patrice Perron,^{1,2} Luigi Bouchard,^{1,4,5}
Julie Robitaille,⁶ Jean-Charles Pasquier,^{1,7}
Christiane Auray-Blais,^{1,8} and
Jean-Luc Ardilouze^{1,2}

OBJECTIVE

The lifetime risk of metabolic diseases in offspring of women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) depends, at least in part, on the impact of glycemic fetal programming. To quantify this impact, we have developed and validated a unique mass spectrometry method to measure the percentage of glycated hemoglobin in cord blood.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

This case-control study includes 37 GDM women and 30 pregnant women with normal glucose tolerance (NGT).

RESULTS

Glycation of the α -chain (G α) was higher in neonates from GDM (2.32 vs. 2.20%, $P < 0.01$). G α strongly correlated with maternal A1C measured at delivery in the overall cohort ($r = 0.67$, $P < 0.0001$) as well as in each group (GDM: $r = 0.66$, $P < 0.0001$; NGT: $r = 0.50$, $P = 0.01$).

CONCLUSIONS

Thus, G α may reflect hyperglycemic exposure during the last weeks of fetal development. Future studies will confirm G α is a predictive biomarker of prenatally programmed lifetime metabolic health and disease.

The fetal programming theory suggests that maternal hyperglycemia during pregnancy has lifelong consequences for metabolic health in offspring (1,2). However, assessing fetal impacts poses a challenge. Birth weight is often used as a marker but is influenced by multiple determinants (3). Cord blood glucose, C-peptide, and insulin are also measured, albeit only once upon delivery (4–6), despite labor-associated stress and exercise affecting fetal glucose metabolism in opposite ways. A marker of long-term fetal glucose metabolism is needed. Although fetal A1C was a promising candidate, its measurement consistently resisted standard methods because of technical difficulties in face of wide biological variations (7).

We have recently developed an accurate method to measure glycation of hemoglobin (Hb) chains in fetal cord blood (8). Here, we compare results from neonates born to women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and women with normal glucose tolerance (NGT).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Research Design

This case-control study includes 37 women diagnosed and treated for GDM (all were under insulin therapy) and 30 pregnant women classified as NGT. This case-control

¹Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

²Endocrine Division, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

³Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

⁴Department of Biochemistry, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

⁵ECOGENE-21 and Lipid Clinic, Chicoutimi Hospital, Saguenay, QC, Canada

⁶Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Laval University, Quebec, QC, Canada

⁷Department of Gynaecology-Obstetrics, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

⁸Department of Pediatrics, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

Corresponding author: Jean-Luc Ardilouze, jean-luc.ardilouze@usherbrooke.ca.

Received 2 March 2014 and accepted 26 June 2014.

Clinical trial reg. no. NCT01025973, clinicaltrials.gov.

F.O.D. and M.-F.H. contributed equally to this study.

© 2014 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.

study included all neonates previously in our method development (8). Additional participants were included in this project aiming at investigating the pathophysiology relevance of measuring fetal A1C, but always with the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as when recruiting for method development. According to Canadian recommendations (9), GDM was defined in this study as two out of three abnormal plasma glucose values during the 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (fasting ≥ 5.3 , 1 h ≥ 10.6 , and 2 h ≥ 8.9 mmol/L). Exclusion criteria were as follows: multiple pregnancies, mother's first trimester BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², gestational age <37 weeks, and neonate birth weight <2,500 g. Gestational age was determined based on ultrasound dating, a standardized clinical procedure at our institution.

Maternal weight and height at first trimester and data related to delivery and neonatal outcomes were retrieved from medical records. Blood for maternal A1C measurements was sampled upon arrival at the Obstetrics Department, before delivery. Cord blood samples for glycation measurements were collected within 15 min after delivery, in BD Vacutainer tubes with sodium fluoride/Na₂-EDTA as an additive (8). Insulin sensitivity was assessed by the glucose-to-insulin ratio in cord blood (6).

Principles

Hb in cord blood is a composite of HbF ($\alpha_2\gamma_2$ chains) and HbA ($\alpha_2\beta_2$). According to our previous analyses (8), only glycation of the α -chain (G α) was specifically assessed in this study because of the following: 1) the α -chain is common to HbF and HbA and represents the sum of both forms, which are produced by the fetus; 2) the α -chain is not a function of the HbF-to-HbA ratio, an important consideration since this ratio is not 1:1 and varies substantially at birth; and 3) the number of γ -chain variants and β -chain methodological interferences make the measurement of these chains unsuitably long.

Glycation of Hb chains was measured using a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer (SYNAPT MS; Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) with an electrospray ionization source working in positive mode and W-Optics (8). The intra/interday

coefficients of variation (CVs) for G α levels were 2.10/3.72%. The linearity (r^2) of the α -chain glycation response, measured from 1.97 to 3.11%, was 0.9990. In the current samples, the minimum value that we measured was 1.9% and the maximum value was 2.8%; the interquartile range was 2.12–2.40%.

Biomarker Measurements

Maternal A1C (Bio-Rad VARIANT, Hercules, CA) analysis was performed by the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke (CHUS) Clinical Biochemistry laboratory. Cord blood samples for future measurements were centrifuged at 2,500g during 10 min at 4°C. Aliquots of plasma were stored at -80°C until measurement. Glucose was measured using a colorimetric method (Wako Diagnostics, Mountain View, CA); the intra-assay and interassay CVs were both <5%; minimum detectable concentration was 0.28 mmol/L. For insulin, C-peptide, and proinsulin concentration measurements (radioimmunoassay; Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA), the intra-assay and interassay CVs were all <10% for the three analytes; minimum detectable concentrations of insulin, C-peptide, and proinsulin were, respectively, 16.29 pmol/L, 0.033 ng/mL, and 3.055 pmol/L.

Statistical Analyses

Continuous variables were assessed for distribution and log transformed to achieve normal distribution as necessary. Variables were compared between groups (GDM vs. NGT) using Student *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test depending on the normality of the distribution. Frequencies, presented as percentages, were compared using χ^2 tests. Correlations between maternal/neonatal characteristics and the level of glycation of the α -chain were assessed by Pearson coefficients. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

GDM women (Table 1) were heavier at first trimester (BMI = 27.6 ± 5.7 vs. 24.6 ± 5.9 kg/m², *P* = 0.04) and had a higher A1C at delivery time (5.8 ± 0.4 vs. $5.4 \pm 0.3\%$, *P* = 0.001). Neonate sex distribution and birth weight ($3,358 \pm 394$ vs. $3,457 \pm 468$ g, *P* = NS) were similar in both groups. GDM women

delivered earlier (38.5 ± 0.8 vs. 39.8 ± 0.9 weeks, *P* < 0.001). GDM neonates were normoglycemic (4.1 ± 0.9 vs. 4.3 ± 0.8 mmol/L, *P* = NS) but displayed hyperinsulinemia (89 ± 104 vs. 41 ± 32 pmol/L, *P* = 0.02) and impaired insulin sensitivity as estimated by the glucose-to-insulin ratio (0.09 ± 0.06 vs. 0.14 ± 0.06 , *P* = 0.01).

The mean level of G α was higher in GDM neonates (2.32 vs. 2.20%, *P* = 0.01) and was correlated with maternal A1C levels in the overall group ($r = 0.67$, *P* < 0.0001) and in each group (GDM: $r = 0.66$, *P* < 0.0001; NGT: $r = 0.50$, *P* = 0.01). G α was not correlated with birth weight (GDM: $r = 0.16$, *P* = 0.34; NGT: $r = 0.03$, *P* = 0.88) or with gestational age (GDM: $r = 0.01$, *P* = 0.96; NGT: $r = 0.09$, *P* = 0.64).

CONCLUSIONS

G α was higher in neonates exposed to GDM. Our sophisticated MS method allows for precise G α measurement, independently of the HbF-to-HbA ratio (8). Standard methods for measuring fetal Hb glycation have consistently failed, due to inherent inaccuracy (7,10) and the wide range of HbF (65–90% in term neonates) and HbA variations attributable to individual characteristics and fetal gestational age (11). An alternative method using electrospray ionization MS has been proposed before but remained with limitations (12); as demonstrated in our methodology paper (8), we were able to clearly establish the linearity of the response, selectivity, and data deconvolution processing in a range that is appropriate for measurement of glycation of α - and γ -chains. Here, we reported associations with clinically relevant maternal and fetal glycemic traits.

Because fetal Hb life span is 60–80 days (11), we assumed that G α reflects mean fetal glucose exposure over the last 4–6 weeks of pregnancy. Most importantly, the strong correlations with maternal A1C levels suggest that G α accurately reflects the degree of hyperglycemia exposure. One could argue that maternal A1C may also serve as marker of glycemic exposure; yet given the imperfect, albeit strong, correlation, we believe that direct measurement of G α captures additional information and offers a more accurate in situ glycemic fetal exposure. Whether G α is a stronger

Table 1—Characteristics of mothers and neonates

	NGT (<i>n</i> = 30)	GDM (<i>n</i> = 37)	<i>P</i> value
Mother clinical characteristics			
Age (years)	28.9 ± 5.2	29.6 ± 5.1	NS
First trimester BMI (kg/m ²)*	24.6 ± 5.9	27.6 ± 5.7	0.04
Gestational age at delivery (weeks)	39.8 ± 0.9	38.5 ± 0.8	<0.001
Maternal A1C level at delivery (%)	5.4 ± 0.3	5.8 ± 0.4	0.001
Delivery (% of caesarian section)	1 (3.3%)	9 (24.3%)	0.02
Neonate clinical characteristics			
Sex (% female)	11 (36.7%)	15 (40.5%)	NS
Weight (g)	3,457 ± 468	3,358 ± 394	NS
Cord blood			
HbF (%)	77.0 ± 4.7	79.9 ± 4.3	0.01
HbA (%)	16.1 ± 5.0	13.0 ± 4.6	0.01
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.3 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 0.9	NS
Insulin (pmol/L)	41 ± 32	89 ± 104	0.02
C-peptide (ng/mL)	0.26 ± 0.22	0.40 ± 0.47	NS
Proinsulin (pmol/L)	31.7 ± 20.3	35.2 ± 25.2	NS
Glucose-to-insulin ratio	0.14 ± 0.06	0.09 ± 0.06	0.01
Glycated α -chain (%)	2.20 ± 0.15	2.32 ± 0.21	0.01

Variables are given as *n* (%) or mean ± SD. *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
*First trimester medical record: *n* = 29 in NGT and *n* = 37 in GDM.

predictor of short- and long-term complications compared with maternal A1C at delivery will necessitate larger studies and longitudinal follow-up. We cannot exclude that contamination of maternal blood in the cord blood samples might influence our results, but this is usually limited; blood from a heel prick could be considered as a source of neonatal blood for future studies.

High birth weight is considered a classical marker of fetal glucose exposure (13,14), although it is a function of multiple factors and is an admittedly imperfect reflection of maternal hyperglycemia (3). Interestingly, we found no correlation between Gl α and birth weight, arguing again that birth weight is a relatively crude measurement of exposure to maternal hyperglycemia.

In contrast to the long-term significance of Gl α , other common surrogates of in utero hyperglycemic exposure, such as cord blood glucose, insulin, or C-peptide (4–6), have drawbacks limiting reliability; they are measured only once, i.e., at birth, despite labor-associated fetal stress, exercise, and hypoxia, which affect fetal glycemia in opposite ways.

The method proposed here is both accurate and enables detection of fetal in situ glycemic exposure. Importantly, our study was conducted under stringent conditions, i.e., in neonates developing in the healthiest of maternal environments. Indeed, none of the mothers were obese at conception and

all were intensively monitored and efficiently treated throughout pregnancy, as shown by their predelivery A1C, which, albeit slightly higher than in control subjects, was well within the normal range for pregnant women at delivery (15). Based on these results, we are confident that our method is capable of detecting subtle differences in glycemic exposure, even within the “controlled” range. On the other hand, we admit that the strict selection of our participants limits the generalizability of our results; future studies should include women of a larger range of BMI, different levels of glycemic control, and other ethnicities.

Further investigation into Gl α may open up two entirely new fields of research. First, Gl α may help refine the glycemic targets of treatment of diabetes in pregnancy. This is an important issue for care that should translate into normal Gl α levels at birth. However, current glycemic treatment targets for GDM are admittedly (16) far from glucose levels observed in normal pregnancy and should be redefined. Our titer of higher Gl α values in neonates born from ideally treated GDM pregnancies clearly shows this is now within grasp. Second, Gl α may help screen newborns and identify those at risk for future metabolic diseases, thus providing reliable quantification of the impact of fetal programming. Such investigations will require longitudinal studies.

Current technology now enables accurate measurement of Gl α and thus

of fetal glucose metabolism. Because even slight maternal hyperglycemia is associated with higher Gl α levels in cord blood, we propose Gl α as an accurate biomarker of maternal glycemic exposure.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge the Blood Sampling in Pregnancy clinic at CHUS and Sun Life Financial, which supports research activities integrated with the clinic, the assistance of clinical research nurses (M. Gérard and M.-J. Gosselin), research assistants (C. Rousseau and P. Brassard) for recruiting mothers and obtaining consent for the study, and the laboratory technician (Lucie Bouffard) for cord blood endocrine measurements.

Funding and Duality of Interest. The study was supported by Fondation des Étoiles (operating grant to J.-L.A. and C.A.-B.), Sun Life Financial (grant to J.-L.A.), and Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Start-up Fund (to J.-L.A.). M.-F.H., J.-C.P., C.A.-B., and J.-L.A. are members of the Fonds de la Recherche en Santé Québec-Santé (FRQS)-funded Centre de Recherche de la CHUS. F.O.D. received a Fondation des Étoiles scholarship. M.-F.H. is an FRQS research scholar and was awarded a Clinical Scientist Award by the Canadian Diabetes Association and the Maud Menten Award from the Institute of Genetics—Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). C.A. is a master's student in statistics. She was awarded the CIHR—Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarships. L.B., J.R., J.-C.P., and J.-L.A. are FRQS-Junior 2 research scholars. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions. F.O.D. conceived the MS study design, performed clinical data collection and MS data analysis and interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. M.-F.H. provided assistance with the statistical analysis, actively participated in data interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. C.A. performed acquisition of data and data analysis and interpretation and revised and edited the manuscript. J.M. participated in data collection and data analysis and interpretation and revised and edited the manuscript. P.P., L.B., J.R., and J.-C.P. participated in data analysis and interpretation and revised and edited the manuscript. C.A.-B. conceived the MS study design, performed data analysis and interpretation, and revised and edited the manuscript. Measuring glycation of Hb chains is J.-L.A.'s brain child. J.-L.A. also conceived the study design, received funding, participated in data analysis and interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. M.-F.H., C.A.-B., and J.-L.A. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Wright CS, Rifas-Shiman SL, Rich-Edwards JW, Taveras EM, Gillman MW, Oken E. Intrauterine exposure to gestational diabetes, child adiposity, and blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens* 2009;22: 215–220

2. Nolan CJ, Damm P, Prentki M. Type 2 diabetes across generations: from pathophysiology to prevention and management. *Lancet* 2011;378:169–181
3. Catalano PM, Hauguel-De Mouzon S. Is it time to revisit the Pedersen hypothesis in the face of the obesity epidemic? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;204:479–487
4. Schwartz R, Gruppuso PA, Petzold K, Brambilla D, Hiilesmaa V, Teramo KA. Hyperinsulinemia and macrosomia in the fetus of the diabetic mother. *Diabetes Care* 1994;17:640–648
5. Catalano PM, Presley L, Minium J, Hauguel-de Mouzon S. Fetuses of obese mothers develop insulin resistance in utero. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:1076–1080
6. Luo ZC, Delvin E, Fraser WD, et al. Maternal glucose tolerance in pregnancy affects fetal insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2055–2061
7. Koga M, Murai J, Saito H, et al. Measurement of glycated hemoglobin and glycated albumin in umbilical cord: evaluation of the glycemic control indicators in neonates. *J Perinatol* 2011;31:430–433
8. Dupont FO, Gagnon R, Ardilouze JL, Auray-Blais C. Determination of glycated and acetylated hemoglobins in cord blood by time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 2011;83:5245–5252
9. Canadian Diabetes Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee. Canadian Diabetes Association 2008 clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*. 2008;32(Suppl. 1):S1–S201
10. Dupont FO, Gagnon R, Ménard J, Auray-Blais C, Ardilouze JL. Evaluation of the glycemic control in neonates: a novel technical approach for measuring fetal-glycated hemoglobin. *J Perinatol* 2011;31:807; author reply 808
11. Lurie S, Mamet Y. Red blood cell survival and kinetics during pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2000;93:185–192
12. Davison AS, Green BN, Roberts NB. Fetal hemoglobin: assessment of glycation and acetylation status by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2008;46:1230–1238
13. Pedersen J, Osler M. Hyperglycemia as the cause of characteristic features of the foetus and newborn of diabetic mothers. *Dan Med Bull* 1961;8:78–83
14. Freinkel N. Banting Lecture 1980. Of pregnancy and progeny. *Diabetes* 1980;29:1023–1035
15. Mosca A, Paleari R, Dalfrà MG, et al. Reference intervals for hemoglobin A_{1c} in pregnant women: data from an Italian multicenter study. *Clin Chem* 2006;52:1138–1143
16. Combs CA, Moses RG. Aiming at new targets to achieve normoglycemia during pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:2331–2332