Hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site RNA contains a tertiary structural element in a functional domain of stem–loop II
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ABSTRACT

The internal ribosome entry site (IRES) of hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA contains >300 bases of highly conserved 5′-terminal sequence, most of it in the uncapped 5′-untranslated region (5′-UTR) upstream from the single AUG initiator triplet at which translation of the HCV polyprotein begins. Although progress has been made in defining singularities like the RNA pseudoknot near this AUG, the sequence and structural features of the HCV IRES which stimulate accurate and efficient initiation of protein synthesis are only partially defined. Here we report that a region further upstream from the AUG, stem–loop II of the HCV IRES, also contains an element of local tertiary structure which we have detected using RNase H cleavage and have mapped using the singular ability of two bases therein to undergo covalent intra-chain crosslinking stimulated by UV light. This pre-existing element maps to two non-contiguous stretches of the HCV IRES sequence, residues 53–68 and 103–117. Several earlier studies have shown that the correct sequence between bases 45 and 70 of the HCV IRES stem–loop II domain is required for initiation of protein synthesis. Because features of local tertiary structure like the one we report here are often associated with protein binding, we propose that the HCV stem–loop II element is directly involved in IRES action.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an enveloped virus classified in the family Flaviridae (1). As shown in the map in Figure 1, its genome consists of a single unsegmented strand of RNA, with a plus polarity, ~9600 nt in length. The genome consists of a 5′-untranslated region (5′-UTR) of 341 nt, followed by an AUG start triplet and a long open reading frame extending along most of its length, followed by a 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR). The first 400 bases are critical for viral function because they comprise, over most of their length, the HCV internal ribosome entry site (IRES) (2–5), where protein synthesis starts, eventually leading to production of the polyprotein precursor to the various HCV structural and non-structural proteins (1–6; Fig. 1). The HCV IRES is an example of an uncapped 5′-terminal viral mRNA sequence which nonetheless specifies accurate and efficient ribosome binding (reviewed in 2). While members of the picornavirus and pestivirus groups possess IRES sequences (2,7–9), along with certain rare cellular mRNAs (2,10–12), the HCV IRES has been particularly well studied. While it is not yet known how any IRES sequences specify ribosome binding, certain suggestive features have been discerned in HCV and closely related RNAs (3–5,13–15).

Several laboratories have conducted studies correlating structure and function in the HCV IRES, leading to predictions of its secondary structure such as that shown in the lower drawing of Figure 1 (3,13–21). One of the most important advances has been the prediction and detection of a region of unusual structure in the vicinity of the AUG start triplet at bases 342–344. In particular, a pseudoknot involving secondary structural bonding between non-adjacent regions of HCV IRES sequence was shown to involve bases 320–330, immediately upstream from the initiator AUG (19). It seems certain that this structural element must participate in IRES function. Several other groups (14–16,20) have used directed mutagenesis and UV-dependent protein–RNA crosslinking to suggest that RNA sequences within an upstream region of the HCV IRES, stem–loop II, which spans bases 44–118 as shown in Figure 1, are also likely to be involved in an early step of ribosome attachment.

There is already evidence from other systems that structural elements of a higher order can be protein binding recognition sites (22–25). Thus the detection of a tertiary structural element in a second HCV IRES domain, stem–loop II, would parallel the AUG-proximal pseudoknot in potential significance. We report here that the structured region near the pseudoknot and that within stem–loop II both appear to have unusually stable structure as studied by DNA-stimulated RNase H digestion. We further report that, using the technique of RNA–RNA crosslinking by UV light, we have detected, isolated and mapped an element of local tertiary structure which includes stem–loop II bases required for HCV IRES function (14–16,20).
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on earlier published work from this laboratory (26). A specific activity of 1.24 × 10^7 d.p.m./µg was generally used. [α-32P]GTP (NEN Life Science) was used in all labeled transcriptions unless noted otherwise.

DNA-directed RNase H cleavage

Purified RNA transcripts were resuspended at a concentration of 0.6 nM in the reaction mixture. Parallel reactions were run in which a 1.5–2-fold molar excess of each DNA oligonucleotide complementary to a HCV IRES sequence of ~20 bases was either preheated or not preheated with the IRES transcript. In the preheated reactions RNA was incubated for 1 min in water at 90°C and then preheated mixtures of DNA oligonucleotide (synthesized by GeneLink) at ~1 nM and buffer at a final concentration of 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT were added and the reactions allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. In the non-preheated reactions the heating step was omitted and the hybridization of RNA and DNA carried out for 30 min at room temperature. In all cases just before the RNase H digestion was begun, 1 µg carrier tRNA per reaction was added and the mix preheated at 37°C for 1 min. Reactions were started by adding 1 µl RNase H (Promega). Two microliters of a 1:5 RNase H dilution in hybridization buffer were added to control reactions (10 µl final volume) from which DNA oligonucleotide was omitted. Five microliter aliquots were removed from the RNase H digestion reactions at the beginning and at intervals of 5, 15, 30 and 60 min thereafter and electrophoresed on urea-containing 5% polyacrylamide gels. Autoradiography was carried out on Kodak XAR-5 X-ray film.

UV crosslinking

This technique is described by Branch et al. (27). After exposure of RNA transcripts suspended in 10 µl drops to UV irradiation (27), control and UV-treated RNAs were separated on 5–8% polyacrylamide gels. Autoradiography was carried out on XAR-5 film. A UVP Inc. Mineralight Lamp (model UVG-11) was used for all UV treatments.

RNA fingerprinting

RNA fingerprinting, a technique in which radioactive RNA molecules are digested with RNase T1 (CalBiochem) and the digestion products separated in two dimensions by charge and size, has been described previously (28). Autoradiography of the DEAE–cellulose second dimension was carried out on XAR-5 film.

Partial RNase T1 digestion

Various concentrations of RNase T1 were prepared (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 1 mg/ml). Analytical amounts of 32P-labeled 249 base HCV RNA with and without previous UV treatment were digested with these RNase fractions and the digestion products electrophoresed on a denaturing 20% polyacrylamide gel. Optimum RNase concentrations were chosen and 2.4 × 10^7 d.p.m. RNA were digested and again electrophoresed on a denaturing 20% polyacrylamide gel. An RNase T1-resistant band unique to the previously UV-treated RNA was isolated and fingerprinted. The five spots that appeared were eluted and digested with pancreatic RNase ( Worthington) and RNase T2 (CalBiochem) to confirm their identity. These techniques have

**Figure 1.** Map of HCV viral RNA and its 5′-UTR. (Top) The 5′-UTR contains the most conserved sequence of the HCV genome. The IRES contains most of the 5′-UTR plus some coding sequence. The two principal 5′-proximal RNAs studied here are created by transcription of DNA templates that have been cleaved with the restriction enzymes NheI at position 249 and AatII at position 402. (Bottom) We propose that the HCV IRES has an element of local tertiary structure in stem–loop II which places residue U56 in close proximity to U106. The hypothetical folding shown is based on work published by Brown et al. (3) and Honda et al. (20) with some changes to stem–loop II to accommodate the UV-crosslinkable element described here. Most previous RNA–RNA crosslinked tertiary elements contain ‘bubble’ regions which, as shown in the NMR study of the loop E region of eukaryotic 5S rRNA (23), are actually filled crosslinked tertiary elements contain ‘bubble’ regions which, as shown in the NMR study of the loop E region of eukaryotic 5S rRNA (23), are actually filled.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Transcriptions**

Plasmid pN(1–4728), HCV N-strain, genotype 1b, containing the first 4.7 kb of HCV sequence adjacent to the phage T7 promoter, was a gift from Dr Stanley Lemon (University of Texas, Galveston, TX). This DNA template was cleaved by two different restriction enzymes, AatII and NheI (Fig. 1). When these templates were transcribed in vitro two 32P-labeled RNAs spanning bases 1–402 (encompassing the entire IRES) and bases 1–249 (encompassing a smaller portion of the IRES) were produced. Transcription reaction conditions were based
been described in greater detail by Branch et al. (28) and Barrell (29).

Primer extension inhibition

Primer extension inhibition assays were performed using two primers of 18 deoxynucleotides (GeneLink). Primer C65 hybridizes to the HCV 5′-UTR with its 3′-end annealing to base 65. Primer C128 base pairs to the 5′-UTR of HCV with its 3′-end annealing to base 128. Both primers (50 pmol) were treated with 40 U T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) and 100 µCi [γ-32P]ATP (NEN Life Science) in buffer supplied by the manufacturer at 37°C for 30 min and purified on a denaturing 15% polyacrylamide gel. Aliquots of 50,000 d.p.m. 32P-labeled primer, 2 pmol unlabeled primer and 100 ng unlabeled, UV-treated 249 base RNA were dried and resuspended in 1 µl of hybridization buffer (80% formamide, 0.4 M NaCl, 40 mM PIPES pH 6.8, and 1 mM EDTA), heated to 45°C for 15 min in a sealed glass capillary tube and cooled to room temperature. To the hybridization mixture were then added 10 µl of reaction buffer (Promega) containing 10 mM dNTPs and 50 U RNasin (Promega), followed by 10 U AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) and a 1 h incubation at 42°C. Four sequencing reactions, each containing one of the four dideoxynucleoside triphosphates, were carried out with each primer. The extension reactions were then electrophoresed on an 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and autoradiographed on Kodak XAR-5 X-ray film.

RESULTS

Initial experiments with HCV IRES RNA transcripts involved the 402 base RNA (Fig. 1) and seven complementary 20 base DNA oligonucleotides. At the top of Figure 2 is a map showing a linear schematic drawing of the HCV 5′-terminal RNA domain, below which appear boxes indicating the 20 base DNAs, each synthesized to be complementary to an HCV IRES region beginning with the residue number shown (thus C24 is complementary to bases 24–43, and so forth). Preliminary results (not shown) demonstrated that radioactive DNA oligonucleotides C24, C255 and C338 could bind to unlabeled 402 base HCV IRES RNA and undergo a gel mobility shift. Three other DNAs, C47, C278 and C320, could not be bound to their corresponding RNA regions in this assay, even if the DNA and RNA were preheated to 90°C in water and slowly cooled under physiological salt conditions.

RNase H cleavage reaction kinetics of the DNA:RNA hybrid regions formed with various DNAs and the HCV IRES are also shown in Figure 2. Column A shows the results of mixing the RNA, DNA and RNase H and incubating at 37°C under physiological conditions; column B shows reactions in which substrate and DNA were preheated to 90°C and cooled before RNase H treatment. Interestingly, a comparison of C24 versus C47 cleavage in stem–loop II and C320 versus C338 in stem–loop III cleavage shows that there are two pairs of adjacent locations on the HCV IRES where complete resistance to RNase H cleavage gives way abruptly to complete sensitivity. Furthermore, C97 was also unable to promote RNase H cleavage, despite the relatively ‘open’ structure depicted in Figure 1, lower drawing. DNA C255, which spans the entire stem–loop IIIId domain of the HCV IRES, stimulates moderate cleavage with or without preheating, suggesting a relatively open structure, in agreement with the gel shift results.
Figure 3. Higher order structure mapping of the HCV 5′-UTR by UV crosslinking. (A) The kind of RNA elements susceptible to UV-induced crosslinking. The left drawing shows a self-structured RNA with both Watson–Crick base pairing (upper) and a non-Watson–Crick tertiary element (kink in lower right strand) which pre-exists in the RNA before any treatment. UV light (peak 254 nm) then causes covalent base–base crosslinks to arise in the pre-existing structural element between the most closely situated pair of residues which are not involved in conventional base pairing (central drawing). This process is directly comparable to the UV-induced formation of thymine dimers in DNA. Heat treatment denatures the Watson–Crick secondary structure, leaving the covalent link to confer altered properties on the RNA, which is now partially circular (right drawing). (B) PAGE of a transcript of AaoRfI-cleaved DNA template (bases 1–402) without (–) and with (+) previous UV treatment. The arrow indicates the UV-crosslinked species. (C) PAGE of a transcript of Nhel-cleaved DNA template (bases 1–249) without (–) and with (+) UV treatment. The arrow indicates the UV-crosslinked species.

Thus the RNase H cleavage data confirm and extend the preliminary gel shift results. In particular, the stable structure between C320 and C338 corresponds almost exactly to the element of pseudoknot structure postulated to exist in that region. Surprisingly, the other domain of stable structure, which begins somewhere between bases 43 and ~50, has not been previously identified, although various folding schemes have been proposed.

Since such stability suggests a pre-existing tertiary structural element in stem–loop II of the HCV IRES, both the 402 and the 249 base transcripts were synthesized and purified. Both transcripts were exposed to UV light for a total of 3 min. The RNAs were electrophoresed on either 4% or 8% polyacrylamide gels under denaturing conditions. The covalent linkage of two nucleotides induced by UV irradiation caused a change in the conformation of the molecule (Fig. 3A), which then migrated more slowly than the non-crosslinked molecule on a denaturing gel, allowing separation of the two species (Fig. 3B and C). Both crosslinked and non-crosslinked RNAs were recovered from this fingerprint were found to contain bases 53–60 of the HCV IRES RNA. Spot 1 was also recovered, subjected to further analyses and found to contain bases 53–60 plus additional unknown bases.

To define further the crosslink and its context a partial RNase T1 digestion assay was carried out. Briefly, both crosslinked and non-crosslinked HCV RNA transcripts were digested with 0.25 µg/µl RNase T1 and incubated at 37°C for 40 min. This digestion allowed a T1-resistant product containing the crosslinked bases and surrounding sequences to be purified from the rest of the molecule via electrophoresis on a 20% denaturing polyacrylamide gel (data not shown). RNase T1-resistant product was then recovered from the gel and subjected to RNA fingerprinting. The oligonucleotides recovered from this fingerprint were found to contain the sequences shown in Table 1. The protected region runs from base A53 to G68 and U103 to G117. The lack of free 106UG110 strongly suggests that this dinucleotide is involved in the crosslink, and the proposed composition for spot 1 is listed in Table 1.

In order to discover exactly which bases from the isolated sequences were crosslinked, secondary digestions with pancreatic RNase, which cleaves after pyrimidines, and RNase T2, which cleaves after every base, were carried out on spot 1 isolated from fingerprints of crosslinked RNA. Spot 1, from transcripts labeled either with [α-32P]UTP, ATP or GTP, was digested...
with pancreatic RNase and yielded the sequences 5\textsuperscript{3}\text{AAC\textsubscript{55}}, 5\textsuperscript{7}\text{AC\textsubscript{58}}, U59, G60 and a new species that is most likely a more completely digested crosslinked species. These results strongly suggest that U56 from the octanucleotide 5\textsuperscript{3}–60 is involved in the crosslink. To determine whether U106 or G107 was the other base involved in the crosslink [\textgreek{a}]\textsuperscript{32P}CTP-labeled spot 1 was digested with pancreatic RNase which yielded 5\textsuperscript{3}\text{AAC\textsubscript{55}}, 5\textsuperscript{7}\text{AC\textsubscript{58}} and G107 (labeled by C108). Since all bases except free U56 and U106 were liberated from spot 1, these results strongly suggest that bases U56 and U106 are covalently crosslinked to each other.

This finding was confirmed using primer extension inhibition assays. Having isolated the sequences in the crosslinked regions, primers C128 and C65 were designed to hybridize upstream of the bases believed to be involved in the crosslink. In primer extension reactions strong stops were observed at bases A57 (Fig. 5, left) and G107 (Fig. 5, right), indicating an element at bases U56 and U106 which inhibits primer extension. The strong stops observed at C111/G110 in Figure 5, right, are present in control lanes as well and do not indicate a second photocrosslink involving either of these bases. This conclusion is also supported by the recovery of spots 1\textsuperscript{111}CCUCCAG\textsuperscript{117} and 108CAG\textsuperscript{110} (Table 1) free of any crosslinks. The primer extension inhibition data thus support the conclusion reached above that bases U56 and U106 become crosslinked.

In Figure 1 the crosslink that forms upon UV treatment between bases U56 and U106 of the IRES of HCV is indicated by an arrow. The secondary structure has been drawn with a ‘bubble’ region to represent the crosslinkable tertiary element in the IRES (1). The bold sequences surrounding the crosslink are the sequences protected during partial RNase T1 digestion. These products are described in Table 1.

### DISCUSSION

Using hybridization to complementary DNA oligonucleotides and RNase H cleavage of DNA:RNA hybrids thus formed we have discovered an element of stable local structure in a 5'–proximal region of the HCV IRES called stem–loop II. Employing the method of RNA–RNA crosslinking by UV irradiation (27), which has identified important tertiary structural elements in a number of RNA molecules (22–26), we have mapped and sequenced this domain of HCV stem–loop II (Fig. 1), which lacks conventional Watson–Crick helical structure and promotes UV crosslinking between HCV bases U56 and U106. While most of our detailed sequence analysis and mapping of this feature and its surroundings (Figs 2–4) have been carried out on the 249 base 5'–terminal transcript of the HCV IRES, we confirmed that the identical element is also present in the 402 base 5'–terminal HCV RNA depicted in Figure 1 (see also Fig. 4A and B). This latter result indicates that stem–loop II of the full-size HCV IRES contains this

### Table 1. Oligonucleotides in the HCV UV-crosslinked domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot no.</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{53}\text{AACUACUG\textsubscript{60}}[\text{U}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{61}\text{UCUACCG\textsubscript{68}}[\text{C}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{111}\text{CCUCGAG\textsubscript{117}}[\text{G}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{103}\text{UCG\textsubscript{105}}[\text{U}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{108}\text{CAG\textsubscript{110}}[\text{C}]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RNase T1-resistant oligonucleotides were eluted from the DEAE–cellulose thin layer plate comprising the second dimension of the RNA fingerprint of the UV-specific partial digestion product from HCV bases 1–249, as described in the text. The oligonucleotides were subjected to further analysis using pancreatic RNase and RNase T2 as described in Materials and Methods and their sequences assigned as indicated. In each case the oligonucleotides are depicted in the 5'–3' orientation. The subscript numerals indicate the positions of the first and last bases of each oligonucleotide according to the numbering system used in Figure 1. The nearest neighbor to each 3'–terminal base residue appears in brackets.
element of local tertiary structure which may therefore be involved in ribosome binding or recognition of HCV mRNA.

**Structural requirements for IRES ribosome binding**

The way in which IRES elements bind ribosomes and specify translation initiation with high precision remains unknown. Sequence and secondary structural comparisons between IRES domains from different mRNAs have shown few systematic resemblances. Jackson (2) has proposed that higher order structure along with limited and widely separated regions of base pairing may be the only common IRES features related to ribosome recognition. In this regard, while most of the HCV genome sequence is highly variable the IRES region is highly conserved among isolates, an observation which could be explained by a need to conserve the structural features proposed by Jackson (2). One of these features is presumably the pseudoknot structure found near the HCV AUG initiator codon. Another could well be the tertiary structural element mapped here.

UV-crosslinkable RNA tertiary structural elements often occur at sites of protein binding (22–26). For instance, the E loop of eukaryotic 5S rRNA (22,23) contains a tertiary element to which transcription factor TFIIIA and ribosomal protein L5 bind (24,25). Because of these prior examples, and in the light of the functional involvement of HCV IRES stem–loop II, we propose that the element characterized here is likely to be involved in IRES action. Several recent studies have, in fact, indicated that at least one tract of bases in HCV IRES stem–loop II, which overlaps with the structural domain mapped here, is critical for the initial steps of ribosome binding. Using both mutational analysis and RNA–protein crosslinking, Fukushima et al. (15) and Buratti et al. (16) have demonstrated that a number of bases in stem–loop II must retain their identity and/or position to maintain IRES function. Furthermore, Fukushima et al. (15) have shown that a protein called p25 associates with the stem–loop II domain of the HCV IRES. Pestova et al. (14), using RNA–protein crosslinking, have identified a region of stem–loop II which somehow interacts with 40S ribosomal subunits and contains bases from the UV-crosslinkable domain. They also confirmed a role for protein p25 and suggested that it is identical with 40S ribosomal subunit protein S9 (14). Thus the HCV IRES element of local tertiary structure which we have characterized is located at a key functional site and is therefore likely to be involved in IRES action and may do so by acting as a binding signal for ribosomal protein S9.

A number of proposals for the secondary structure of the HCV IRES have appeared over the years. Two studies in particular have attempted to predict the structure of stem–loop II. One of these was in 1999 by Honda et al. (20), who used phylogenetic comparisons and directed mutagenesis to choose between two proposed HCV IRES stem–loop II structures. Although the structure favored by Honda et al. (20) contains data-supported RNA helices above and below the part of stem–loop II which contains the UV-crosslinkable bases U56 and U106 reported here, base paired structure is also placed in the immediate vicinity of the UV-crosslinkable residues by the MFOLD program, unsupported by experimental data. From what we now know of this local tertiary structure from our work this part of the Honda et al. stem–loop II structure (20) should be redrawn without secondary structure in this vicinity since the two U residues which undergo efficient UV crosslinking would then no longer be shown as members of different Watson–Crick base pairs. Such base paired residues in RNA have never been known to undergo the kind of UV crosslinking observed here.

Another proposal for secondary structure in the 5′-proximal domain of the HCV IRES was published by Le et al. (21) in 1998. This model proposes two separate, smaller stem–loops in place of the larger stem–loop II discussed above. In this structure one of the UV-crosslinkable bases (U56) is in a loop portion while the other is part of the base paired stem of an adjacent structure. These structures should also be redrawn as a single stem with an open loop since the two UV-crosslinkable bases in analogous tertiary structural elements have been shown by NMR and other techniques (23) to be the two bases closest to each other in space, a very unlikely outcome with the structure depicted by Le et al. (21).

**Implications of the stem–loop II IRES structural element for HCV therapy**

The conserved sequences in the IRES domain of the HCV 5′-UTR make this region, in principle, a good target for therapeutic approaches utilizing antisense or targeted ribozyme technology. Studies by others (30) and ourselves (Fig. 2 and additional unpublished experiments) have focused on attempts to find conserved sequences in the HCV IRES which could be attacked by these approaches. Highly structured regions, such as the one mapped here, prove to be resistant to such cleavage. In this regard we have discovered several additional UV-crosslinkable elements of local tertiary structure within the HCV IRES domain, the mapping of which is ongoing (data not shown). It is likely that the immediate vicinities of these elements will also thwart therapeutic cleavage attempts. More fine structure mapping will be necessary, along with comparative studies to define the best therapeutic targets.

**IRES comparisons**

The HCV IRES is thought to be most closely related to those contained in the RNA genomes of several pestiviruses, including classical swine fever virus (CSFV, previously called hog cholera virus, HChV), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV)
and border disease virus (BDV). Figure 6 shows line drawings of the HCV structure, compared to those of CSFV and BDVD proposed earlier (3). When we redraw the HCV stem–loop II structure as an open ‘bubble’ to accommodate our new structural data we see that it closely resembles the CSFV and BDVD stem–loop II structures proposed by Brown et al. in 1992 (3; see Fig. 6). Thus the lower parts of this HCV stem–loop II and its equivalent structures in the pestivirus RNAs can all be represented as open bubble regions with full potential to form non-Watson–Crick local tertiary structure. In addition, while the upper portions of these structures have extensive sequence homology (3,20), there is little such homology between the UV-crosslinkable region of HCV stem–loop II and the analogous BDVD and CSFV open regions shown in Figure 6. Nonetheless, they may all contain similar structural elements which could specify ribosome function and which could all be UV crosslinkable.

It will require direct analysis of these pestivirus RNAs by UV crosslinking and mapping in comparison to the HCV IRES to test this hypothesis. If UV-crosslinkable elements in CSFV and BDVD turn out to map in the domains analogous to HCV stem–loop II, as predicted, such findings would be a first step in the understanding of IRES structural evolution. A systematic approach involving structural, as well as sequence, comparisons should then lead to a fuller understanding of how such highly dissimilar IRES elements can retain such specific ribosome binding behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Sylvia Genus for excellent technical assistance, Dr Olivia D.Neel for helpful advice and discussions and Dr Stanley Lemon for a gift of cloned DNA. This work was supported in part by grant no. NYS U35–6010–6020. To H.D.R. from the NIH and grant no. F.I.S.S. (B.A.E.) supported in part by grant no. NYS U35–8010 to H.D.R. from Stanley Lemon for a gift of cloned DNA. This work was supported in part by grant no. NYS U35–8010 to H.D.R. from Stanley Lemon for a gift of cloned DNA. This work was also supported in part by grant no. NYS U35–8010 to H.D.R. from the NIH and grant no. F.I.S.S. (B.A.E.) 96/5701 to J.G.

REFERENCES