Human telomerase RNA–protein interactions
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ABSTRACT

Telomere length is maintained in most eukaryotic cells by telomerase. The core components of this ribonucleoprotein (RNP) enzyme include a protein catalytic subunit, composed of motifs conserved among reverse transcriptases (RT), and an RNA subunit that contains a short template sequence essential for the synthesis of telomeric repeats. We developed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay using active telomerase partially purified from 293 cells and radiolabeled, in vitro transcribed human telomerase RNA (hTR) to investigate the molecular interactions of the human telomerase RT (hTERT) and telomerase-associated proteins with hTR. A specific hTR–protein complex was identified and shown to contain hTERT and human Staufen by antibody supershift assays. Variants of hTR altered in distinct structural elements were analyzed for their ability to competitively inhibit complex formation. Human telomerase RNAs lacking the CR4-CR5 domain were poor inhibitors of hTR–protein complex formation, suggesting that the CR4-CR5 domain of hTR is a potential protein-binding site. Furthermore, alterations in the telomerase RNA pseudoknot’s P3 helix, the CR7 domain, or the H/ACA box efficiently inhibited formation of the complex, indicating that these domains are dispensable for the assembly of a telomerase RNP in vitro. Potential telomerase-associated proteins that bind hTR were also identified using a UV cross-linking assay.

INTRODUCTION

Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex with RNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity. In most eukaryotic cells, this specialized reverse transcriptase mediates the synthesis of guanine-rich DNA repeats onto telomeres, the ends of chromosomes, using a template sequence within its integral RNA subunit (1). In humans, telomeres consist of tandem repeats of the hexanucleotide sequence TTAGGG and are bound by a variety of proteins (2). This DNA–protein complex protects chromosomes from nuclelease digestion, end-to-end fusion and other chromosomal rearrangement events (3).

Though evidence suggest that hTERT and hTR are sufficient for the reconstitution of human telomerase activity in vitro, hTR appears to interact with a number of cellular proteins in vivo. The telomerase-associated protein-1 (TEP1) was shown to specifically interact with the mammalian telomerase RNA in the yeast three-hybrid system (18). A genetic screen for open reading frames expressing proteins that associate with hTR identified the human homolog of the Drosophila Staufen protein and the ribosomal-associated protein L22 (19). Furthermore, protein components from heterogeneous nuclear RNP have been reported to bind human telomerase (20–22). Antisera specific for dyskerin and human Gar1, two proteins associated with the maturation and processing of H/ACA box snoRNAs, coimmunoprecipitate hTR from cellular extracts (23,24). However, the relationship and significance of these different interactions with the human telomerase RNP in vivo is not clearly understood.

We developed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) to investigate the interactions between hTR, hTERT
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and telomerase-associated proteins. We used active telomerase partially purified from transformed human embryonic kidney (293) whole cell extracts and radiolabeled, in vitro-transcribed, wild-type hTR. A specific protein-dependent complex was identified that could be competitively inhibited by unlabeled wild-type hTR, but not heterologous non-specific RNAs. Addition of antibodies specific for hTERT and human Staufen promoted the formation of a supershifted complex, indicating that these two proteins are part of the identified hTR–protein complex. Alterations in hTR that modified specific structural elements, identified potential protein binding sites within the hTR. hTR-binding proteins of 40, 42, 58 and 125 kDa were identified using a UV cross-linking assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of human telomerase extracts

The preparation of human telomerase extracts from 293 cells has been described previously (25). Briefly, total proteins from an S100 cytoplasmic extract of 293 cells were precipitated using 40% ammonium sulfate and collected by centrifugation. Following dialysis, the extract was subjected to two rounds of purification using anion exchange (Toyopearl Q 650M column from TosoHass) chromatography. Pooled fractions were concentrated using the 50% ammonium sulfate and collected by centrifugation. The preparation of human telomerase extracts from 293 cells identified using a UV cross-linking assay.

hTERT and hTR plasmid constructs

Cloning of nucleotides 1–451 of the hTR into the pUC119 plasmid (phTR+1) was described previously (25). The mutagenic substitution of hTR nucleotides 170–179 (hTR170), 180–189 (hTR180) and 190–199 (hTR190) was also reported (25). The plasmids expressing hTRs hTR33–147, hTR164–330, hTR164–208 and hTR ACA-TGT were constructed as described by Bachand and Autexier (17). To generate phTRs, the hTR was amplified by PCR from the pGRN33 (4) vector using the 5′ primer 5′-CGCGGATCCGCATGTG-3′ and the 3′ primer 5′-CGCGGATCCGATGTG-TGAGCCGAGTCCTGGGT-3′, both containing BamHI restriction sites. The BamHI-digested PCR fragment was cloned into the pBluescript SK vector (Stratagene). Following transformation into Escherichia coli, clones were screened by restriction digests for hTR inserts in the antisense orientation (dhTR).

Preparation of gel-purified human telomerase RNAs

The RNAs used in the EMSAs were transcribed in vitro using T7 RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) as described previously (17). The hTR probe used in the EMSA and UV cross-linking assays was radiolabeled during the transcription of 1 µg of FspI-digested phTR+1 plasmid with T7 RNA polymerase (25 U) and 100 µCi [α-32P]UTP (800 Ci/mmol; NEN) as recommended by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs). Following a 1–2 h incubation at 37°C, the RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and purified on a denaturing 4% acrylamide gel as described previously (26). 5S E.coli rRNA was purchased from Boehringer Mannheim.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

In the standard binding reactions, partially purified telomerase extract (~4 µg total protein) was adjusted to 5 mM EDTA in a final volume of 10 µl containing 20 mM HEPEs pH 7.9, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 0.1 µg/µl yeast RNA (Sigma), 3.8 U/µl RNAGuard (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and 0.25 pmol 32P-labeled hTR riboprobe. Following a 10 min incubation at 30°C, reactions were placed on ice and adjusted to 10 mM MgCl2. Competitor RNAs were added either before or in conjunction with the labeled hTR probe without any difference in the results. For the proteinase K treatment experiment, partially purified telomerase fractions were treated with 0.8 µg/µl proteinase K for 10 min at 30°C. The supershift assays were similar to the standard EMSA binding reactions described above, but were subsequently supplemented with different antibodies for 15 min at 30°C. KP1 antiserum was a gift from Dr Stéphane Richard (McGill University) (27). hTERT antibody (K370) was a gift from Dr Maria Blasco (Centro Nacional de Biotecnología–CSIC) (28). Staufen antiserum was purchased from Dr Luc Desgroseilliers (Université de Montréal) (29,30). Antibodies against TEP1 were generously donated by Dr Lea Harrington (University of Toronto) (18). GST and T7 antibodies were purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech and Novagen, respectively. Binding reactions were analyzed on a non-denaturing composite gel system modified from Nelson and Green (31) and consisted of 2.5–3.0% acrylamide, 0.1% piperazine di-acrylamide (Bio-Rad), 0.5% agarose, 10% glycerol, 0.5–1.0 mM TBE (1× TBE: 90 mM Trisborate, 2 mM EDTA). Gels were run at 150–200 V (20 mA) for 5–6 h at 4°C in 0.5–1.0× TBE, dried, and exposed either to PhosphorImager screens (Molecular Dynamics) or X-ray films.

The amount of competitor RNA resulting in a percentage inhibition of binding was calculated as previously described (26). Briefly, the amount of bound hTR versus the total amount of radiolabeled hTR in each lane represented the percentage of hTR bound to the complex. A non-linear curve fit was applied to the percentage inhibition–concentration data and 50% effective concentration (IC50) was calculated using Microsoft Excel. The IC50 values for each mutant were determined from a number of experiments (three to four) and are expressed with the calculated standard deviations (±SD).

UV cross-linking assays

Binding reactions were prepared as for the EMSA except that more hTR riboprobe (0.5–0.75 pmol; 2 × 106 c.p.m.) and larger amounts of partially purified telomerase extracts (3.4–8.5 µg total protein) were used. Following the adjustment of the binding reactions to 10 mM MgCl2, they were transferred onto 96-well microtiter plates previously cooled at −20°C and irradiated with 500 mJ in a GS gene linker UV chamber (BioRad). Samples were then treated with 30 µg of RNase A for 30 min at 37°C. UV-treated protein extracts were boiled and subjected to electrophoresis on 10% SDS–PAGE gels.
RESULTS

Identification and characterization of a specific human telomerase RNA–protein complex

We developed an EMSA to investigate the interaction of hTR with hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins. We used active telomerase partially purified from 293 whole cell extracts and radiolabeled, in vitro-transcribed wild-type hTR. The size of the active telomerase complex purified by anion exchange and size exclusion chromatography is between 250 and 600 kDa (data not shown). This partially purified extract contains hTERT as it is positive for telomerase activity, and may contain telomerase-associated proteins such as TEP1 (18,32), dyskerin (23), chaperone proteins (33), human Staufen (19), hnRNP proteins (20–22), and other as yet unidentified proteins. Incubation of this extract, under conditions that stimulate reversible RNA binding (26,34,35), with radiolabeled hTR resulted in the formation of a complex that retarded the migration of radiolabeled, full-length hTR in a non-denaturing gel (Fig. 1A, compare lanes 1 and 2). Pre-treatment of the extract with proteinase K completely abolished complex formation (lanes 3 and 4), indicating that protein component(s) are required for the formation of this complex. The EMSA was performed with excess unlabeled hTR or non-specific RNAs to determine whether binding was specific for the hTR. A previously described quantification of this method (26; see Materials and Methods) was used to calculate the percentage of complex-bound hTR. Increasing amounts of either unlabeled E.coli 5S rRNA (lanes 9–12) or antisense hTR (lanes 13–16) did not inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex. As much as 66% (lane 12) and 65% (lane 16) of complex-bound hTR was observed in the presence of a 64-fold molar excess of these non-specific competitor RNAs and compared favorably to the 74% bound in the absence of competitor (lane 2). However, the hTR–protein complex reconstituted in the presence of a 64-fold molar excess of specific unlabeled hTR resulted in only 5% of the labeled hTR remaining bound by the complex (lane 8). These results are consistent with the identification of a specific hTR–protein complex in vitro.

EMSAs were then performed to probe for specific proteins that are predicted to form a complex in the human telomerase RNP. A specific complex between proteins of the partially purified extract and radiolabeled hTR (Fig. 1B, lane 2) was resolved and clearly supershifted by the addition of specific hTERT antibodies (lane 6). Control antibodies (lanes 3–5) that recognize heterologous proteins unrelated to telomerase did not affect complex mobility. Supershifts were also detected with two different polyclonal antibodies raised against recombinant human Staufen (Fig. 1C, lanes 5 and 6), a protein that was previously shown to associate with hTR (19). The addition of antibodies specific for the human telomerase-associated protein TEP1 (18,32) did not result in a detectable supershift (data not shown). Results of the EMSA indicate that the hTR-specific complex contains hTERT and the human Staufen protein.

Sequences or structures between nucleotides 276 and 424 of hTR are necessary to competitively inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex

Using micrococcal nuclease-treated, partially purified telomerase extract from 293 cells, terminal deletions in hTR were previously tested for their ability to reconstitute human telomerase activity in vitro (25). The minimal region of hTR required for the reconstitution of human telomerase activity in the micrococcal nuclease assay consists of nucleotides 44–205...
In order to identify protein-binding domains in hTR, hTR variants (Fig. 2) were tested for their ability to competitively inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex characterized in Figure 1. hTR3′ truncations were generated by in vitro run-off transcription (see Materials and Methods and Fig. 2B) and used as competitor RNAs in the EMSA (Fig. 3 and data not shown). hTR1–424, in which the conserved ACA box and CR7 domain are perturbed (Fig. 2A), inhibited complex formation as efficiently as wild-type hTR (Fig. 3, compare lanes 7–10 with 11–14). The calculated IC50 values (concentration of competitor RNA resulting in 50% inhibition of binding) for hTR1–424 and hTR1–451 were similar (Fig. 2B). A deletion of 175 nt at the 3′ end of the hTR (hTR1–276), removing part of the conserved CR4-CR5 domain (Fig. 2A), altered the ability of competitor hTR to inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex (Fig. 3, lanes 3–6; Fig. 2B). The percentages of complex-bound hTR in the presence of maximal concentration of the competitor RNAs hTR1–451, hTR1–424 and hTR1–276 were 29, 28 and 54%, respectively (Fig. 3, lanes 14, 10 and 6). The calculated IC50 values for the hTR1–205, hTR1–182 and hTR1–168 RNAs (data not shown) ranged between 2.5 and 2.9 µM (Fig. 2B), indicating that these truncated hTRs were ~5-fold less effective than wild-type hTR at inhibiting the binding of full-length radiolabeled hTR to hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins in vitro. hTR spanning nucleotides 1–159 was 10-fold less efficient than hTR1–451 at competitively inhibiting the formation of the hTR–protein complex (IC50 values of 5.3 and 0.5 µM, respectively; Fig. 2B).

Mammalian telomerase RNA contains a conserved structural element, the H/ACA box (8; Fig. 2A), which is critical for RNA accumulation and telomerase activity reconstitution in vivo (9,10,23), but not in vitro (13,17,25,36). We substituted TGT for the ACA trinucleotides within the conserved ACA box of hTR and tested the ability of this modified RNA to competitively inhibit complex formation. hTR(ACA-TGT) inhibited the formation of the hTR–protein complex as efficiently as hTR1–451 (data not shown; IC50 values of 0.6 and 0.5 µM, respectively; Fig. 2B). These results indicate that nucleotides 1–424 of hTR are sufficient for the formation of a stable telomerase RNP and that sequences or structures between nucleotides 276 and 424 of hTR are important for the formation of a human telomerase complex in vitro.

hTR domains 33–147 and 164–330 do not cooperate to inhibit hTR–protein complex formation as efficiently as full-length hTR

Several studies have previously determined that sequences or structures between nucleotides 160 and 210 of hTR are functionally important for reconstituting human telomerase activity in vitro (17,25,36). Two inactive fragments of hTR (33–147 and 164–325) complement one another to reconstitute human
telomerase activity when incubated with hTERT previously synthesized in RRL (36). Interestingly, hTR fragments spanning nucleotides 33–147 and 164–330 can independently associate with hTERT (17), suggesting the presence of two hTERT-binding sites within hTR.

The ability of hTR sequences 33–147, 164–208 and 164–330 (alone or in combination) to competitively inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex was tested to determine whether these RNAs constitute distinct protein-binding domains of the human telomerase RNP. The addition of excess unlabeled hTR33–147 (Fig. 4A, lanes 3–6) and hTR164–208 (Fig. 4A, lanes 7–10) did not significantly inhibit the binding of radiolabeled hTR to hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins in vitro. In the presence of a 64-fold molar excess of hTR33–147 and hTR164–208, the percentage of complex-bound hTR was 81% (lane 6) and 90% (lane 10), respectively, which was not significantly different from the 90% bound in the absence of competitor RNA (lane 2). hTR164–330 was more effective than either hTR33–147 or hTR164–208 at inhibiting complex formation (68% complex-bound hTR at the highest concentration of competitor RNA; Fig. 4B, lane 10; and a calculated IC50 value of 3.5 µM; Fig. 2B). These results suggest that the individual hTR fragments hTR33–147, hTR164–208 or hTR164–330 are not as efficient as full-length hTR in forming stable associations with hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins.

In order to determine whether subgenomic hTR regions can cooperate to mediate protein binding, we tested the ability of hTR33–147 to competitively inhibit complex formation in combination with either hTR164–208 or hTR164–330. The combination of hTR33–147 and hTR164–208 slightly increased the ability of these two RNAs to inhibit complex formation (Fig. 4A, lanes 11–14; Fig. 2B). In contrast, the
calculated IC_{50} values for the hTR33–147/hTR164–330 combination and for hTR164–330 were identical, 3.53 ± 0.29 μM and 3.53 ± 0.40 μM, respectively (Figs 2B and 4B, compare lanes 11–14 with 7–10). The results suggest that hTR segments spanning nucleotides 33–147 and 164–330 complement one another to reconstitute a catalytically active enzyme in vitro (17,36), but in combination do not form an hTR–protein complex as efficiently as full-length hTR.

hTR170 and hTR180 are catalytically inactive in vitro but efficiently inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex

The secondary structure model of vertebrate telomerase RNA predicts the presence of a pseudoknot, as previously proposed for ciliate telomerase RNA (8). The helices P2a, P2b and P3 establish the structural elements of the pseudoknot domain of hTR (Fig. 2A). The 10-nt substitutions encoded by hTR170 and hTR180 (see Materials and Methods) are predicted to disrupt the P3 helix within the pseudoknot, whereas the hTR190 substitution is predicted to disrupt the P1 helix (Fig 2A). hTR170 and hTR180 poorly reconstitute human telomerase activity, whereas hTR190 reconstitutes some activity in vitro (17,25).

We performed our competitive EMSA using excess unlabeled hTR170, hTR180 and hTR190 RNAs to determine whether these mutated hTRs are defective in binding to hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins in vitro. All three substitutions inhibited complex formation as efficiently as wild-type hTR (Fig. 5). The calculated IC_{50} values for hTR170, hTR180 and hTR190 (0.65, 0.72 and 0.42 μM, respectively) are comparable to the IC_{50} value for hTR1–451 (0.49 μM). These results suggest that sequences or structures affected by these substitutions are critical for the catalytic action of the telomerase RNP, but not for the association of hTR with hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins in vitro.

Identification of hTR-binding proteins in the partially purified human telomerase extract

We used UV cross-linking as a first step toward the identification of cellular proteins that interact with the hTR. Partially purified human telomerase extract was pre-incubated with radiolabeled hTR prior to irradiation with UV light. Following standard binding reactions, the samples were (lanes 2 and 3) or were not (lane 1) submitted to UV irradiation, digested with RNase A, boiled, and analyzed on a 10% SDS–PAGE. The position of the protein markers are indicated on the left (in kDa). The arrows indicate the position of the four main hTR-binding proteins.

DISCUSSION

The in vitro reconstitution of human telomerase suggests that hTERT and hTR are minimally required for activity (12–15). However, purification of the telomerase holoenzyme indicates that the approximate molecular mass of the human telomerase...
complex is 500–1500 kDa (32,37), thus suggesting that other components are associated with hTERT and hTR as part of a multi-subunit telomerase complex. In this study, active telomerase partially purified from 293 whole cell extract was used to investigate hTR–protein interactions. Using an EMSA, we identified an hTR–protein complex. This complex highlighted a specific interaction with the hTR through competitive studies where wild-type hTR, but not heterologous RNAs, inhibited its formation. Addition of antibodies that specifically recognize hTERT and human Staufen promoted a supershift, indicating that these proteins are components of the identified hTR–protein complex. One of our concerns was that the exogenous radiolabeled hTR only bound hTERT and human Staufen proteins that were not associated with endogenous hTR. Telomerase proteins may exist exclusively in a complex with hTR, and since the extracts were not pretreated to remove endogenous telomerase RNA, the association of labeled hTR with telomerase-associated proteins may not be detectable. However, we have used conditions that favor exchanges between the endogenously telomerase-associated hTR and the exogenously added recombinant hTR to allow the detection of hTR associations with telomerase proteins in the complex. Telomerase extracts were pre-treated with EDTA to favor exchanges between endogenous and radiolabeled hTR. The integrity of many RNP's is dependent on divalent ions and chelating agents such as EDTA have been previously used to stimulate reversible RNA binding of several RNP's (26,34,35). Nonetheless, the possibility that the in vitro complex we observe is lacking certain proteins that interact with hTR in vivo cannot be excluded.

The strong conservation of elements predicted by the secondary structure of hTR suggests important functional roles and may be indicative of protein-binding domains within the telomerase RNA. Secondary structure motifs such as hairpins, internal loops, bulges and helices commonly define the recognition sites for RNA-binding proteins (38,39). Using the EMSA, we analyzed a variety of hTR deletions and mutations altered in different secondary structure domains for their ability to bind hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins in vitro. A recent study also used a gel mobility shift assay to investigate the in vitro assembly of H/ACA box snoRNPs, including hTR (24). We are confident that the hTR–protein complex observed in our RNA band shift assay is specific for telomerase components rather than for HACA box binding proteins. First, the 293 cell extract used in this study was partially purified for catalytically active human telomerase (25). Secondly, electrophoretic mobility supershift assays demonstrated that hTERT, the telomerase catalytic subunit, is present in the complex. Thirdly, using the EMSA we demonstrated that the deletion of the ACA box (hTR1–424) and the substitution of the conserved ACA trinucleotide did not interfere with the ability of these RNAs to act as specific competitors in the formation of the hTR–protein complex. In contrast, a similar mutation in the ACA box of hTR affected its ability to competitively inhibit the assembly of radiolabeled hTR206–451 with HACA binding proteins in vitro (24). The observation that hTR1–424 and hTR(ACA-TGT) were as effective as wild-type hTR in inhibiting the formation of the telomerase complex suggests that the CR7 domain and the H/ACA box are not required for binding telomerase proteins in vitro. This is also supported by the reconstitution of human telomerase activity in vitro with hTRs containing alterations or deletions in these two structural elements (13,17,25,36).

We and others have recently identified the CR4-CR5 domain of hTR as a binding site for hTERT (16,17). Results presented in this work further support this structural element as an important RNA-binding site within the human telomerase RNP complex. hTR1–276, in which the CR4-CR5 domain of hTR lacks the evolutionary conserved CR5 sequence (8), was ~3-fold less efficient than wild-type hTR at inhibiting complex formation (Figs 3 and 2B). Furthermore, hTR164–330, which contains the complete CR4-CR5 domain (see Fig. 2A), partially inhibited complex formation, in contrast to hTR164–208, which does not contain the CR4-CR5 domain and failed to inhibit complex formation (Fig. 2B). The functional requirement for the CR4-CR5 domain to reconstitute mammalian telomerase activity (10,16,17) is likely due to direct interactions between mammalian TERT and the CR4-CR5 domain of the telomerase RNA; however, binding of TERT to the telomerase RNA could be mediated via a telomerase-associated protein or catalytic cofactor. The availability of recombinant human telomerase protein components will be essential to characterize the domains required for the direct molecular interactions between the different subunits.

Results obtained in this study support the conclusions of recent analyses of in vitro reconstitution of telomerase activity and hTERT–hTR interactions in RRL (16,17,36). The combination of hTR fragments 33–147 and 164–330 does not reconstitute human telomerase activity at levels as robust as full-length hTR (17,36). Furthermore, immunoprecipitation of hTERT expressed in RRL showed that hTR164–330 binds hTERT more efficiently than hTR33–147 and that the binding of either RNA to hTERT is not increased when the two RNAs are combined (17). These results support our current observations that: (i) hTR164–330 competitively inhibits complex formation more efficiently than hTR33–147, and (ii) that the efficiency in competing with full-length hTR for complex formation does not increase in the presence of both RNA domains. The complementation of the two independent domains to reconstitute human telomerase activity in vitro may be due to the contribution of a template domain by hTR33–147 and an hTERT-binding site (between nucleotides 208 and 330) by hTR164–330, both required for activity.

The 10-nt substitutions in the hTR variants hTR170 and hTR180 are predicted to partially disrupt the P3 helix within the pseudoknot domain of hTR and were used to study the role of the pseudoknot in telomerase protein-binding in vitro. Addition of these hTR variants to micrococcal nuclease-treated, partially purified human telomerase extracts or to RRL expressing recombinant hTERT restores low levels of telomerase activity (17,25). Sequence alterations predicted to destabilize the pseudoknot of the mouse telomerase RNA also demonstrated a critical role for this domain in the reconstitution of telomerase activity (10). hTR170, hTR180 and hTR190 efficiently inhibit the formation of the hTR–protein complex (Figs 2B and 5) and indicate that substitutions in the P3 helix of hTR do not affect RNA association with hTERT and/or telomerase-associated proteins in vitro. The ability of these mutated hTRs to associate with hTERT is also supported by in vitro coimmunoprecipitation results (17). The role of the pseudoknot domain of the telomerase RNA in telomerase catalysis remains uncharacterized. Contrary to the effect of mammalian
telomerase RNA pseudoknot alterations, nucleotide substitutions within the pseudoknot of the *Tetrahymena* telomerase RNA do not significantly affect in vitro telomerase activity (40,41). However, in *in vivo* expression of mutated *Tetrahymena* telomerase RNA that affects the base-pairing within the pseudoknot domain prevented the assembly of a catalytically active telomerase RNP (42). Thus, further studies will be required to define the precise role of the pseudoknot domain of telomerase RNAs from both ciliates and mammals.

A UV cross-linking approach was also used to identify proteins that can associate with hTR. Four proteins of apparent molecular weights 40, 42, 58 and 125 kDa were detected upon irradiation of the binding reactions with UV light. The molecular weights of the 125 and 58 kDa proteins correspond to the predicted masses of hTERT and human Stau2en, respectively. Moreover, their cross-linking to hTR is supported by results from the supershift assays (Fig. 2B). The identities of the prevalent 40 and 42 kDa proteins observed in our cross-linking experiments are unknown. The absence of cross-linked proteins with molecular weights that correspond to previously reported or to novel hTR-associated proteins may be explained by either the loss of specific proteins during the partial purification of the 293 cell extract or to the inefficient cross-linking of these proteins to hTR.

The EMSA and UV cross-linking results that we describe have provided a characterization of hTR domains involved in protein binding. These methods will be useful in supporting the identification of telomerase-associated proteins that may bind the hTR. A detailed understanding of the different interactions occurring between the hTR, hTERT and telomerase-associated proteins is an essential step toward the elucidation of the mechanism of assembly and function of this critical ribonucleoprotein complex.
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