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Abstract

Comorbid substance use disorders (SUDs) increase the risk of homicide by persons with major mental disorders (MMDs). However, there are no published data from clinical interviews or lifetime objective documents on the prevalence of lifetime personality disorder (PD) or SUD among a comprehensive sample of mentally ill homicide offenders. Therefore, a nationally representative sample of men with MMD (n = 90) who had committed or attempted homicide was assessed using the research version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I and Axis II Disorders. Lifetime documents, records, and questionnaires from persons who knew the subjects since childhood were used. Seventy-eight percent of the mentally ill homicide offenders were diagnosed with schizophrenia, 17 percent with schizoaffective disorder, and 5 percent with other psychosis. A lifetime SUD was detected in 74 percent and alcohol use disorder in 72 percent. PD accounted for 51 percent, in 47 percent as antisocial personality disorder (APD). All subjects diagnosed with PD had SUD. Only 25 percent of the subjects had neither SUD nor PD. Among persons with dual diagnoses (MMD and SUD), about two-thirds had PD or APD. These results indicated that there were two-thirds major diagnostic categories of psychotic homicide offenders: about one-half had triple diagnosis (APD + SUD + MMD), one-quarter had “pure” dual diagnosis (SUD + MMD), and one-quarter had “pure” MMD. The fourth possible category, “APD + MMD but no SUD,” was not found. The prevention of severe violence by persons with MMD necessitates effective treatments for those with dual diagnosis who also have a history of APD.
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Several studies have demonstrated that persons suffering from MMD are at increased risk for community violence, other violent offenses, and homicide, particularly if they have coexisting alcoholism or SUD (Swanson et al. 1990; Eronen et al. 1996b; Hodgins et al. 1996a; Tiihonen et al. 1996; Räsänen et al. 1998; Brennan et al. 2000). Moran et al. (2003) were the first to demonstrate the independent association between premorbid ICD–10 PD and number of physical assaults during a 2-year followup among community-dwelling patients with psychotic disorders (UK700 study data, n = 670). However, the authors could not examine whether comorbid PD increased the risk of serious assaults in psychosis, because neither the seriousness nor the frequency of assaults was recorded. The literature provides no direct evidence of an association between comorbid personality disorders and severe violence among psychotic individuals. Data from high- and low-risk subgroups are important, as SUDs are common among persons with MMD (47% lifetime prevalence in the United States), compared to the general population (13.2%, Regier et al. 1990), while only a few of the dually diagnosed (MMD + SUD) persons commit homicide (Eronen et al. 1996b).

Among nonpsychotic persons, antisocial personality disorder (APD) has a strong association with homicide (Eronen et al. 1996a). Comorbidity of APD with other psychiatric disorders is common; in the Epidemiological Catchment Area (ECA) study, as much as 84 percent of U.S. individuals with APD also had alcohol use disorder, and a powerful association between active APD and schizophrenia and mania was reported (Regier et al. 1990). The lifetime prevalence of APD in the U.S. general population was estimated to be 4.5 percent among males and 0.8 percent among females. Because nonpsychotic individuals with APD are characterized by poor compliance with medical treatments, including medication...
The studies on comprehensive samples of mentally ill homicide offenders by Erb et al. (2001) indicated that only 8 percent of all male homicide offenders with schizophrenia in West Germany from 1955 to 1964 (n = 284), and 14 percent of male homicide offenders with schizophrenia in Hessen from 1992 to 1996 (n = 29), met the criteria of DSM-III for APD on the basis of hospital documents and crime registers (table 1). A smaller Canadian study compared homicide offenders and nonhomicide offenders who were incarcerated in Quebec penitentiaries (Côté and Hodgins 1992). Among 11 homicide offenders with schizophrenia in that study, 64 percent had APD and 73 percent had an alcohol use disorder. Among 36 persons with affective disorders (2 with bipolar disorder, 5 with atypical bipolar disorder, and 29 with major depression), the prevalence of APD was 65 to 100 percent and of SUD 60 to 100 percent in each diagnostic group. However, the sample set did not include mentally ill homicide offenders who had been "not guilty of homicide by reason of insanity," and the number of offenders in each group was small (n = 2–29).

PD Among Mentally Ill Homicide Offenders

Two studies have reported the prevalence of mentally ill homicide offenders with any existing comorbid PD diagnosis in their examination reports for juridical court (Lindqvist 1986; Putkonen et al. 2001) and one study the prevalence of PD among a U.K. security hospital population (Taylor et al. 1998; table 1). Lindqvist found that 9 percent of mentally ill homicide offenders of both sexes (n = 34) in Northern Sweden from 1970 to 1981, and Putkonen et al. found that 32 percent of female homicide offenders with MMD in Finland (n = 34) were diagnosed with PD. Taylor et al. (1998) studied the records of the patients in three U.K. security hospitals and diagnosed 231 homicide offenders with ICD-10 psychosis and 78 having "psychosis with independent PD" (25%).

SUD Among Mentally Ill Homicide Offenders

The studies on comprehensive samples of mentally ill homicide offenders (table 1; Lindqvist 1986; Gottlieb et al. 1987; Gabrielsen et al. 1992; Eronen 1995; Eronen et al. 1996b; Erb et al. 2001) reported lower prevalence of alcoholism or SUD among homicide offenders with psychotic disorders (8–44%) than among nonpsychotic homicide offenders (61%, Gottlieb et al. 1987).

The low prevalence of APD (8–14%) and SUD (8–44%) among nationally representative samples of male homicide offenders with MMD in the existing literature is not in accordance with the replicated findings that SUD is more common among persons with schizophrenia (47% lifetime prevalence in the United States), compared to the general population (13.2%; Regier et al. 1990), and that SUD is associated with increased risk for homicidal behavior among individuals with schizophrenia. However, these reports were made on the basis of file documents and without patient interviews. Such studies are likely to underrecord comorbid SUD and APD, because SUD is substantially underdiagnosed in psychiatric care settings (Drake and Mueser 2000; Hansen et al. 2000) and prisons (Abram and Teplin 1991) and PD is traditionally not determined or documented in patients who meet the criteria of MMD (Surtees and Kendell 1979). Later, the multiaxial system of DSM classification (APA 1994) made it more likely that clinicians would diagnose both lifetime MMD and PD within the same person.

It is difficult to study clinically the association of APD, MMD, and homicide. The optimal study design, a prospective cohort study, should be very large to achieve sufficient statistical power because of the rarity of both homicides and MMD in the general population. Persons with APD tend to gather together, or to be selected, in populations or facilities with a very high prevalence of APD and SUD (Abram and Teplin 1991). Therefore, not even comparative data of lifetime APD among all persons with MMD in communities are available. In most Western countries, it is also impossible to obtain objective historical documents for individuals from large populations. Without such documents, childhood conduct disorder symptoms, which are needed for the DSM-IV diagnosis of APD, are underdiagnosed and the resulting prevalence remains low. In many Western countries, prison populations include homicide offenders with MMD (Taylor and Gunn 1984; Côté and Hodgins 1990). Therefore, the studies of representative high-security hospital populations or prison populations in such countries are not nationally representative samples of psychotic homicide offenders in these countries. Possibly the best available way would be a structured clinical study of a nationally representative
## Table 1. The prevalence of PD, APD, and SUD in previous studies of mentally ill persons with homicidal behavior, calculated on the basis of published data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (yr)</th>
<th>Subjects of the study</th>
<th>Number, gender, and diagnostic distribution of mentally ill homicide offenders</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Prevalence of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lindqvist (1986)</td>
<td>All 64 homicide offenders (60 males + 4 females) in Northern Sweden during 1970–1981</td>
<td>34 with “mental disease, mainly males”</td>
<td>Diagnoses of forensic psychiatric examination reports were collected.</td>
<td>9% (6% + SUD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gottlieb et al. (1987)</td>
<td>251 of 263 homicide offenders investigated for court in Copenhagen during 1959–1983</td>
<td>58 with psychosis (42 males + 16 females), 16 of whom had schizophrenia</td>
<td>Diagnoses of psychiatric examination reports were collected. Substance abuse of (A) psychotic and (B) nonpsychotic offenders.</td>
<td>— A: 33%, males 40%, females 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielsen et al. (1992)</td>
<td>All 1,428 homicide offenders examined for court in Finland during 12 yrs (75% of all)</td>
<td>93 (86 males + 7 females) with schizophrenia</td>
<td>DSM–III–R diagnoses were made on the basis of forensic psychiatric examination reports.</td>
<td>— Males 44%, females 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eronen et al. (1996b)</td>
<td>All 1,740 patients resident in the 3 special hospitals in the United Kingdom during the first half of 1993</td>
<td>309 with psychosis gender distribution not specified</td>
<td>ICD–10 diagnoses were made on the basis of hospital records. Criminal records were available on two-thirds of the sample.</td>
<td>25% — —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor et al. (1998)</td>
<td>All schizophrenia homicide offenders and attempters in Federal Republic of Germany 1955–1964</td>
<td>284 (232 males + 52 females) with schizophrenia</td>
<td>DSM–III–R diagnoses were made on the basis of forensic psychiatric examination reports, hospital records, crime registers, and yearly assessments for court.</td>
<td>— 8% 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erb et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Schizophrenia homicide offenders and attempters in Hessen (1992–1996)</td>
<td>29 (25 males + 4 females) with schizophrenia</td>
<td>DSM–III–R diagnoses were made on the basis of forensic psychiatric examination reports, hospital records, crime registers, and yearly assessments for court.</td>
<td>— 14% 38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eronen (1995)</td>
<td>124 female homicide offenders examined for court in Finland 1980–1992</td>
<td>18 female schizophrenia patients</td>
<td>Diagnoses of forensic psychiatric examination reports were collected.</td>
<td>— 33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sample of psychotic homicide offenders in a country where the clearing rate of homicides is high, all homicide offenders having psychiatric symptoms are examined, and objective lifetime documents are available. To date, there have been no such studies concerning the prevalence of comorbid APD and SUD among representative samples of homicide offenders with MMD. Because file-based studies may underestimate possible dual and triple diagnoses, the aim of the present study was to determine whether the prevalence of APD and SUD in a clinical interview study, verified with detailed documents, differs from that in document studies.

Methods

In Finland, MMD has, in practice, been considered such a severe disorder as to diminish the ability of an individual to control his or her violent behavior. Therefore, violent offenders are investigated by senior psychiatrists if any psychiatric symptoms have been detected in criminal investigations, hearings, documents, or examinations in prison by medical staff, or if the homicide offender or his or her lawyer asked for investigation. About 70 percent of all homicide offenders undergo a detailed forensic psychiatric examination that includes physical examinations, brain CT or fMRI study, EEG, laboratory tests, structured psychological tests, and usually structured diagnostic interviews (Eronen et al. 1996a) during a period of 4 to 8 weeks, usually in a psychiatric or forensic psychiatric hospital. Mentally ill homicide offenders and those who attempted homicide are not convicted in juridical court. However, the forensic Psychiatric Board (of the Ministry of Social and Health Affairs) commits such individuals to one of two state psychiatric hospitals, on the basis of the examination report and collateral documents. This same board eventually permits their discharge. Only in very exceptional cases are homicide offenders with a pretrial MMD diagnosis incarcerated in prisons (Putkonen et al. 2001). The examination reports include data that are obtained by court order from physicians and hospitals, schools, social welfare offices, the military, prisons, and crime registers, in addition to questionnaires completed by parents, siblings, teachers, and employees.

On 1 March 1998 there were 99 persons in the Niuwwwami (psychiatric state) Hospital who were diagnosed as suffering from MMD during their homicidal act. Two of them were female. Ninety-three persons were willing to be interviewed between March and May of 1998. Three persons were excluded: one female and two males who did not obtain an MMD diagnosis. For the final analysis, 90 males were evaluated by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM–IV Axis I Disorders (SCID–I). The information was insufficient in two cases concerning the assessment of SUD. Five persons could not be evaluated.
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Results

The distribution and comorbidity of different MMDs, PDs, and SUDs are shown in figures 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Figure 4 illustrates the comorbidity of substance use and personality disorders and three diagnostic categories. For comparison, previous studies on mentally ill homicidal offenders are listed in table 1. The prevalence and comorbidity of PD and SUD subtypes in the main MMD groups are shown in table 2.

Seventy-eight percent of the male homicide offenders were diagnosed with schizophrenia, 17 percent with schizoaffective psychosis, and 5 percent with other psychoses. Fifty-one percent of the patients additionally received one or more lifetime PD diagnoses, including 47 percent with APD. Many patients fulfilled the criteria for several PDs (figure 2).

An SUD diagnosis was obtained for 74 percent of the 88 offenders for whom SUD was assessed (figure 3). Seventy-two percent (n = 63) had alcohol abuse, and 64 percent (n = 56) had alcohol dependence. Other substance than alcohol abuse was diagnosed in 36 percent (n = 32) of the cases, and other substance dependence in 33 percent (n = 29). Thus, 89 percent of the alcohol abusers and 91 percent of the other substance abusers were also dependent on those substances.

Seventy-five percent of the offenders obtained more than one psychiatric diagnosis (figure 4). All participants with PD also had SUD, but only half of those with no PD had SUD. The prevalence of PD and APD among offenders with dual disorders was 66 percent and 61 percent, respectively. The prevalence of PDs (table 2) was significantly higher among the group with schizophrenia (n = 65, $\chi^2 = 4.44, df = 1, p = 0.035$) than among the remaining subjects (n = 20). The group with schizoaffective disorder (n = 15) had a significantly higher prevalence of SUD than the remaining subjects (n = 73, $\chi^2 = 14.86, df = 1, p = 0.0001$).

There was no substantial difference between the persons who were admitted to the hospital during the last 3 years and those who had been in the hospital for more than 3 years, concerning the prevalence of PD ($\chi^2 = 0.10, df = 1, p = 0.7573, n = 85$) or SUD ($\chi^2 = 1.13, df = 1, p = 0.287, n = 88$). Nor was there a difference between those who committed a homicide and those who attempted to commit a homicide, concerning the prevalence of PD ($\chi^2 = 1.26, df = 1, p = 0.263, n = 85$) or SUD ($\chi^2 = 1.86, df = 1, p = 0.173, n = 88$).

Discussion

These results demonstrated that there are three distinct diagnostic categories of psychotic persons who kill or try to kill others (figure 4). A triple diagnosis (MMD + APD + SUD), the largest category, included about half (47%) of the mentally ill homicide offenders. A "pure" dual diagnosis (MMD + SUD) was found among a quarter (24%), and only a quarter (25%) had "pure" MMD. The fourth possible category, "APD and MMD but no SUD," was not found among this nationally representative sample of men with MMD who had killed or tried to kill someone.
To our knowledge, our study was the first that reported a high prevalence of APD (47%, figure 2), SUD (74%), and alcohol use disorders (72%, figure 3) among a nationally representative sample of psychotic homicide offenders. The prevalence of SUD (alcoholism) was about 1.6-fold among mentally ill homicide offenders, compared to the rate among persons with schizophrenia in the ECA study (Regier et al. 1990). Previously, it seemed that only 8 to 14 percent of homicide offenders with schizophrenia had a history of APD (Erb et al. 2001) and that the prevalence of alcoholism was lower among psychotic homicide offenders (8%–44%, table 1) than among persons with MMD in the general population. However, the previous studies on nationally representative samples of homicide offenders were made from examination reports for court and hospital documents. A substantial underdiagnosing of SUD by psychiatrists has been demonstrated (Hansen et al. 2000). Also, according to diagnostic hierarchy, lifetime PD has traditionally not been diagnosed in the presence of MMD (Surtees and Kendell 1979). Thus, a structured study with clinical interviews and objective lifetime documentation could result in higher prevalence of the codisorders and provide important new data from severe violence of psychotic persons.

SUDs, particularly alcohol use disorders, are known to increase the risk of homicide (Eronen et al. 1996a) among persons with MMD. However, the relationship between APD and severe violence of persons with MMD has been unclear. Structured interview studies have demonstrated that lifetime APD is common in prison populations, both among nonpsychotic criminal offenders (49%–61%) and among randomly selected males with MMD convicted of various crimes in Quebec penitentiaries (63%, n = 38) and in Chicago Cook County Jail (81%, n = 65) (Côté and Hodgins 1990; Abram and Teplin 1991). However, prison populations are biased and exclude offenders found not guilty by reason of insanity. Hodgins et al. (1996b) hypothesized that it is the syndrome of stable antisocial behavior rather than substance abuse that is related to offending among persons with schizophrenia. The present study demonstrated that in severe violence of individuals with MMD, lifetime SUD is diagnosed in 74 percent, and APD in 47 percent. However, neither APD nor SUD alone but the combi-
Figure 2. Comorbidity of PDs among mentally ill persons with homicidal behavior (n = 85)

- APD = 47%
- BPD = 11%
- OPD = 15%

avoidant PD (5.8%)
obsessive-compulsive PD (3.5%)
passive-aggressive (3.5%)
depressive (2.3%)
narcissistic (2.3%)
histrionic (1.2%)
schizoid (1.2%)

- APD only 34%
- BPD only 1%
- APD + BPD 3%
- APD + BPD + OPD 6%
- APD + OPD 5%
- OPD only 2%

No PD 49%

Note.—APD = antisocial personality disorder; AUD = alcohol use disorder; BPD = borderline personality disorder; OPD = other personality disorder; PD = personality disorder.

1 Five patients could not be assessed.

Our result that APD without SUD was not found among mentally ill homicide offenders could suggest that all subjects having MMD and APD in communities would also have alcoholism. However, a structured interview study by Hodgins et al. (1996) demonstrated that among 74 male schizophrenia patients consecutively discharged from a security hospital and two psychiatric hospitals in Canada, 27 percent (n = 20) had APD. The prevalence of alcohol use disorder was only 45 percent and of other SUD 70 percent. Thus, 55 percent did not have alcohol use disorder. (The prevalence of alcohol use disorder among non-APD schizophrenia patients was 20%.) We suggest that the combination “MMD and APD but no SUD (alcoholism)” may be rare but in any case is not associated with homicidal behavior.

APD Among Persons With MMD in Community and Treatment Settings. We have not found any community study that demonstrates the prevalence of APD among a nationally representative sample of persons with MMD. Such data would be needed to compare the prevalence of APD in homicidal and nonhomicidal men suffering from MMD. The prevalence of DSM-III APD in structured interview studies among persons with MMD in different treatment settings varied between 1 percent and 81 percent and was highest in prisons (Abram and Teplin 1991). In a recent report from U.K. 700 study data (Moran et al. 2003), the prevalence of ICD-10 dissocial PD was 6 percent among the 670 outpatients with MMD in London. Persons with a primary diagnosis of alcoholism had not been included. The prevalence of DSM-IV APD in the present study was 8-fold compared to this rate.

Methodological Considerations. Research of violent behavior is complicated by many practical issues. Most violent offenses are mild and not registered in police records, and in most countries a large proportion of
Figure 3. Comorbidity of AUDs and SUDs among mentally ill persons with homicidal behavior (n = 88)

Note.—AUD = alcohol use disorder; SUD = substance use disorder.

1 Two patients could not be assessed.

In general, many individuals tend to understate their behavioral problems and their substance use, even when interviewed. Crime registers do not provide information on most of the items that are included in the diagnostic criteria of DSM-IV APD, such as symptoms of childhood conduct disorder. This emphasizes the importance of obtaining other objective data dating back to childhood.

The prevalence of SUD and PD in the present study represents the rate of DSM-IV diagnoses made on the basis of interviews, data on several years of hospital observation, lifetime registers, records, and questionnaires from parents, teachers, and others who knew the patient. However, it is possible that the prevalence of PD and SUD could be even higher.

Nolan et al. (1999) reported that comorbid psychopathy as assessed by Hare’s criteria was more common (19%) among violent than among nonviolent hospitalized patients with schizophrenia (n = 51), but they did not study clinical diagnoses. Hare’s criteria for psychopathy are a way to predict future violence. Because the aim of the present study was to obtain data on the epidemiology of severe violence among persons with MMD and persons with dual diagnoses, we did not study psychopathy but assessed DSM-IV diagnoses with the latest research version of the SCID. Although many persons with high psychopathy scores have APD, all persons with APD do not have psychopathy.

Diagnostic instruments derived from the DSM-IV Axis II usually have good test-retest and interrater reliability.
Figure 4. Comorbidity of PDs and SUDs among mentally ill persons with homicidal behavior, three diagnostic categories \((n = 84)\)^1

- "Pure" MMD 25%
- "Pure" dual diagnosis (MMD+SUD) 24%
- Triple diagnosis (MMD+SUD+PD) 51%

Note.—MMD = major mental disorder; PD = personality disorder; SUD = substance use disorder.

\(^1\) Six patients could not be assessed.

Discriminative validity was, however, highest for APD and borderline PD (Hyler et al. 1992; Westen 1997), which have been successfully studied with these instruments. Studies on different diagnostic instruments showed that a PD diagnosis could not be made simply on the basis of direct questions. Clinical observation and information on interpersonal interactions over time were always also needed. This emphasizes the importance of clinical examination and may partly explain the different results from file-based and interview studies. In the ECA study, APD was the only DSM–IV Axis II disorder investigated, independently of Axis I disorders, because it fulfills the criteria of a clinical syndrome (e.g., the symptoms are highly intercorrelated, APD has a genetic component [Lyons et al. 1995], and it is found in every society). Because APD was the main target of interest in our study, we considered the SCID to be the best research instrument available for it.

Criminal history does not necessarily mean that a person has both conditions of APD diagnosis (i.e., at least two symptoms of childhood conduct disorder and at least three symptoms of adult antisocial behavior). In selected populations, such as prison populations, the SCID–II may not provide high discrimination power. However, in populations where APD is less common and the information on childhood conduct disorder and adult antisocial behavior is available, the SCID for DSM–IV Axis II disorders is useful and gives valuable information about the etiology of violent behavior. A person who has behaved violently since childhood may fulfill the above-mentioned DSM–IV criteria of APD. However, all persons with APD are not violent, and one instance of violent behavior like homicide does not indicate a diagnosis of APD. Only one of the seven other symptoms of APD, "the pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others," is irritability or aggressivity, although three symptoms are needed for the diagnosis. Likewise, only 47 percent of psychotic homicide offenders in the present study were diagnosed with APD.
Table 2. The prevalence of PD and SUD in the main groups of major mental disorders among homicidal patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schizophrenia (n = 70)</th>
<th>Schizoaffective Disorder (n = 15)</th>
<th>Other Psychoses (n = 5)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PD</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD could not be assessed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD + BPD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD + OPD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD + OPD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SUD</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD could not be assessed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUD</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD, no OSUD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUD, no AUD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD + OSUD</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PD and SUD</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD, no SUD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD, no PD</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SUD, no PD</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD or SUD not assessed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—APD = antisocial personality disorder; AUD = alcohol use disorder; BPD = borderline personality disorder; OPD = other personality disorder; OSUD = other substance use disorder; PD = personality disorder; SUD = substance use disorder.

In the McArthur Study (Steadman et al. 1998) no significant difference was found between the prevalence of violence by discharged patients (n = 51) and persons living in the same neighborhoods, a result that seems to contradict several previous and subsequent studies among persons with schizophrenia. However, less than a fifth of the patients followed in that study were diagnosed with schizophrenia (17.2%). And, as Steadman et al. (1998) reported, followed-up patients were less likely to have a documented history of violence than patients lost to followup. Furthermore, 44 percent of the patients with schizophrenia refused to participate in the study, which is a high proportion that is likely to include most antisocial personalities with schizophrenia.

National Considerations. There are no priori reasons to believe that substantial national differences exist in the prevalence of APD and SUD in Western countries. However, the possibility may not be totally ruled out until structured clinical studies are performed in other countries. The population in Finland, with over 5 million inhabitants, is considered to be socially and racially homogeneous. Organized crime, illegal drug abuse, and gang violence have been less common in Finland than in most other Western countries. The homicide rate, however, has been higher in Finland than in most European countries (2.14–3.03 per 100,000 population), but it is still only one-third of the rate found in the United States (7.9–9.8 per 100,000 population) (Eronen et al. 1997). About 77 percent of all homicide offenders in Finland were heavily intoxicated by alcohol during the homicide (Virkkunen 1974). There are, unfortunately, no large epidemiological studies on the prevalence of APD and SUD in the general population, or among persons with schizophrenia in Finland. In a large birth-cohort study (n = 11,017), males with coexisting schizophrenia and alcoholism (n = 11) were 25.2 times more likely to commit violent crimes than mentally healthy men, and 36 percent had already committed violent crimes (Räsänen et al. 1998), but no one had committed homicide. However,
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even non-SUD males with schizophrenia had a 3.6 times higher risk for violent crimes than males without mental disorders. None of the women with schizophrenia (n = 25) had committed any crimes. Low socioeconomic status (SES) did not increase the risk of violence among males with schizophrenia, as boys with schizophrenia from high-SES homes had a higher risk for violent crimes than boys with schizophrenia from low-SES homes (Tiihonen et al. 1997). Seven percent of all male violent offenders of the cohort were diagnosed as psychotic by the age of 26.

Treatment. A dual diagnosis (i.e., the combination of MMD and SUD) is associated with poor treatment outcome (Drake and Mueser 2000), increased psychotic symptoms (Negrete et al. 1986; Drake et al. 1989), medication noncompliance (Drake et al. 1989), hostile and threatening behavior (Drake et al. 1989), depression, suicidal behavior (Bartels et al. 1992), and psychosocial problems such as homelessness (Drake et al. 1991). Persons with dual diagnoses have an increased vulnerability toward negative outcomes, which include violence, legal problems, and incarceration, and they are less capable of being helped by traditional psychiatric or substance abuse treatments. Alcohol and most other psychoactive drugs increase the risk for violent behavior by pharmacologically disinhibiting aggressive impulses (Virkkunen 1974), which can increase conflict and volatility in social relations, thus exacerbating symptoms of perceived threat and hostility (particularly in persons with active psychoses), substituting for or interfering with prescribed psychotropic medications that might otherwise control high-risk symptoms, increasing economic stress and survival demands, and, finally, exposing the user to criminal affiliations and surroundings. The violent acts of persons with APD and SUD may result from certain psychotic symptoms (Link et al. 1992), although the violence is more likely to reflect interactions between several different factors (e.g., personality traits, history of violent behavior, substance abuse [Taylor et al. 1998]) and/or originate from childhood antisocial behavior (Arsenault et al. 2000; Tengström et al. 2001).

There are promising data of integrated treatments for dually diagnosed persons (Ridgely et al. 1990; Drake et al. 1998; Drake and Mueser 2000), but there is not much evidence on specific treatments of persons with triple diagnoses. APD is associated with poor compliance, not only for traditional treatments but also for integrated treatments for dually disordered persons (Robins et al. 1991). However, we have not found any controlled studies of specific treatments for persons with triple diagnoses (MMD + SUD + APD), and, therefore, we do not know if they cannot be treated with the methods currently available. The lack of data on the specific treatment needs of this underdiagnosed subgroup of mentally ill persons with a history of APD and SUD, and the subsequent lack of effective treatments, is likely to be associated with their poor outcome and increased risk for severe violence. For instance, the insufficient response of violent offenders to traditional psychiatric treatments, which are usually targeted to psychotic symptoms and only sometimes to dual disorders, may be a consequence of the high prevalence of APD among violent offenders.

In the treatment of violent offenders with MMD, distinguishing the three categories (persons with triple diagnoses, persons with pure dual diagnoses, and persons with pure MMD) is of clinical importance because the risk factors for violence and the treatment needs of each group are likely to be different. Antisocial peers, disadvantaged neighborhoods (Silver et al. 1999), and easy access to alcohol and some other psychoactive drugs may be particularly detrimental for patients with a triple diagnosis (APD + SUD + MMD). Early detection of psychotic symptoms and administration of novel antipsychotic medication in the early phase of the MMD may decrease substance abuse (Drake and Mueser 2000), symptoms of APD (Benedetti et al. 1998), and violent behavior. The effects of integrated treatments for dually diagnosed persons might be improved if the persons with pure dual disorders and those with a history of APD were treated in separate settings. Further research is needed to demonstrate whether the prognosis of different groups of violent offenders with MMD can be improved by specific integrated, multidisciplinary, long-term treatment programs, according to the needs of persons with dual and triple diagnoses. The prevention of severe violence by mentally ill persons necessitates that effective treatments be created for those with dual diagnoses who also have a history of APD.

Conclusions

Several conclusions can be drawn from this study. First, there are three different diagnostic categories of persons with MMD who kill or try to kill others. About one-half had a triple diagnosis (MMD + APD + SUD), one-quarter had a pure dual diagnosis (MMD + SUD), and one-quarter had pure MMD. The fourth possible category, "APD and MMD but no SUD," was not found.

Second, APD (DSM-IV) is an important predictor of severe violence of psychotic persons, but only when comorbid with SUD.

Third, among persons with dual diagnoses (MMD + SUD), those with a history of APD are a particular risk group for severe violence. People with triple diagnosis accounted for nearly two-thirds (61%) of the homicidal acts of this group.
Fourth, lifetime SUD, and particularly alcoholism, is an even more important risk factor for severe violence among psychotic males than the previous literature has demonstrated. Among mentally ill homicide offenders, 74 percent had SUD and 72 percent had an alcohol use disorder.

Fifth, the prevention of severe violence of persons with MMD necessitates that effective treatments be created for persons with triple diagnoses (MMD + SUD + APD).
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