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ABSTRACT

We consider the unusual evolutionary state of the secondary star in Cygnus X-2. Spectroscopic data give a low mass (\(M_2 \approx 0.5 - 0.7 M_\odot\)) and yet a large radius (\(R_2 = 7 R_\odot\)) and high luminosity (\(L_2 = 150 L_\odot\)). We show that this star closely resembles a remnant of early massive Case B evolution, during which the neutron star ejected most of the \(\sim 3 M_\odot\) transferred from the donor (initial mass \(M_{2i} \sim 3.6 M_\odot\)) on its thermal timescale \(\sim 10^6\) yr. As the system is far too wide to result from common-envelope evolution, this strongly supports the idea that a neutron star efficiently ejects the excess inflow during super-Eddington mass transfer. Cygnus X-2 is unusual in having had an initial mass ratio \(q_i = M_{2i}/M_1\) in a narrow critical range near \(q_i \approx 2.6\). Smaller \(q_i\) lead to long-period systems with the former donor near the Hayashi line, and larger \(q_i\) to pulsar binaries with shorter periods and relatively massive white dwarf companions. The latter naturally explain the surprisingly large companion masses in several millisecond pulsar binaries. Systems like Cygnus X-2 may thus be an important channel for forming pulsar binaries.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Cygnus X-2 is a persistent X-ray binary with a long orbital period (\(P = 9.84\) d, Cowley, Crampton & Hutchings 1979). The observation of unambiguous type I X-ray bursts (Smale 1998) shows that the accreting component is a neutron star rather than a black hole. The precise spectroscopic information found by Casares, Charles & Kuulkers (1998), and the parameters that can be derived from it, are summarized in Table 1. The mass ratio \(q = M_2/M_1 = 0.34\) implies that mass transfer widens the system, and is therefore probably driven by expansion of the secondary star. Normally in long-period low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) this occurs because of the nuclear evolution of a subgiant secondary along the Hayashi line, with typical effective temperatures \(T_{\text{eff,2}} = 3000-4000\) K. However, Casares et al.’s observations show that this cannot be the case for Cygnus X-2. The secondary is in the Hertzsprung gap (spectral type A9 III): use of Roche geometry and the Stefan–Boltzmann law gives \(L_2 = 150 L_\odot\) with \(T_{\text{eff,2}} = 7330\) K (see Table 1). Moreover, the mass ratio \(q = 0.34\), and the assumption that the primary is a neutron star and thus obeys \(M_1 \leq 2 M_\odot\), implies that the secondary has a low mass (\(M_2 = q M_1 \leq 0.68 M_\odot\)). In contrast, an isolated A9 III star would have a mass of about \(4 M_\odot\). More recently, Orosz & Kuulkers (1999) have modelled the ellipsoidal variations of the secondary and thereby derived a model-dependent inclination of \(i = 62.5^\circ \pm 4^\circ\) which translates into component masses \((M_1 = 1.78 \pm 0.23) M_\odot\) and \((M_2 = 0.60 \pm 0.13) M_\odot\).

In this paper we consider explanations for the unusual nature of the secondary in Cygnus X-2. We find only one viable possibility, namely that this star is currently close to the end of early massive Case B mass transfer, and thus that the neutron star has somehow managed to reject most of the mass (\(\sim 3 M_\odot\)) transferred to it in the past. In support of this idea, we show that this type of evolution naturally explains the surprisingly large companion masses in several millisecond pulsar binaries.

2 MODELS FOR CYGNUS X-2

In this section we consider four possible explanations for the unusual nature of the secondary in Cygnus X-2. We shall find that three of them are untenable, and thus concentrate on the fourth possibility.

2.1 A normal star at the onset of Case B mass transfer?

The simplest explanation is that the position of the secondary in the Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) diagram is just that of a normal star crossing the Hertzsprung gap. Because such a star no longer burns hydrogen in the core, this is a massive Case B mass transfer as defined by Kippenhahn & Weigert (1967, hereafter KW). Provided that the initial mass ratio \(q_i \approx 1\) the binary always expands on mass transfer, which occurs on a thermal timescale. Kolb (1998) investigated this type of evolution systematically and
found that the position of the secondary on the HR diagram is always close to that of a single star of the same instantaneous mass. For Cygnus X-2 the A9 III spectral type would require a current secondary mass $M_2 = 4M_\odot$, and thus a primary mass $M_1 = M_2 q/\hat{q} = 12M_\odot$. This is far above the maximum mass for a neutron star and would require the primary to be a black hole, in complete contradiction to the observation of type I X-ray bursts from Cygnus X-2 (Smale 1998).

We conclude that the secondary of Cygnus X-2 cannot be a normal star. Accordingly we must consider explanations in which the mass function and mass ratio for Cygnus X-2 can be ruled out for Cygnus X-2, as the required stellar masses conflict with observation. However, a more promising assignment is a helium white dwarf undergoing a hydrogen-shell flash.

### 2.3 A helium white dwarf undergoing a hydrogen-shell flash?

It is known that newly born helium white dwarfs can undergo one or more hydrogen-shell flashes during their evolution from the giant branch to the white dwarf cooling sequence. During these flashes the star has a much larger photosphere. Calculations by Driebe et al. (1998) show that only low-mass He white dwarfs in the interval $0.21M_\odot \leq M_{\text{WD}} \leq 0.30M_\odot$ can undergo such a flash, which in turn can put the star in the same position on the HR diagram as the secondary of Cygnus X-2 (e.g. the first shell flash of the sequence with $M_{\text{WD}} = 0.259M_\odot$). However, the required low secondary mass has a price: the observed mass ratio implies $M_1 \approx q M_2 = (0.76 \pm 0.09)M_\odot$, much smaller than required by the mass function $M_1 \sin^3 i = (1.25 \pm 0.09)M_\odot$. To makes matters worse, the evolutionary track crosses the relevant region of the HR diagram in an extremely short time: the radius of the star expands on a time-scale $\tau = dt/dR_s = 33$ yr. Not only does this give the present system an implausibly short lifetime, the radius expansion would drive mass transfer at a rate $\dot{M} \sim \Delta M_H/\tau \sim$ few $10^{-4}M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, again totally inconsistent with observations. We conclude that the secondary of Cygnus X-2 cannot be a low-mass He white dwarf undergoing a hydrogen-shell flash.

### 2.4 A star near the end of early massive Case B mass transfer?

We saw in Section 2.1 above that a secondary near the onset of early massive Case B mass transfer (i.e. with $q \approx 1$ throughout) is ruled out for Cygnus X-2, as the required stellar masses conflict with observation. However, a more promising assignment is a secondary near the end of an early massive Case B evolution which began with $q_i \approx 1$.

KW have investigated this process in detail. In contrast to the case $q_i \approx 1$ discussed by Kolb (1998), and considered in Section 2.1 above, the ratio $q_i \approx 1$ means that the binary and Roche lobe initially shrink on mass transfer. Adiabatic stability is nevertheless ensured because the deep radiative envelope of the secondary (‘early’ Case B) contracts on rapid mass loss. Mass transfer is therefore driven by the thermal time-scale expansion of the envelope, but is more rapid than for $q_i \approx 1$ because of the orbital shrinkage. Once $M_2$ is reduced to the point that $q \approx 1$, the Roche lobe begins to expand. This slows the mass transfer, and shuts it off entirely when the lobe reaches the thermal-equilibrium radius of the secondary, because the latter then has no tendency to expand further (except possibly on a much longer nuclear time-scale). Calculations by KW and Giannone, Kohl & Weigert (1968, hereafter GKW), show that in some cases the orbit can shrink so much that the process ends in the complete exhaustion of the hydrogen envelope of the donor, ultimately leaving the core of the secondary in a detached binary. Alternatively, the rapid Case B mass transfer may end with the donor on the Hayashi line, still retaining a large fraction of its original hydrogen envelope. However, there will be no long-lasting phase of mass transfer with the donor on the Hayashi line because the star starts shrinking.

with ignition of central helium burning (KW). Neither of these two cases describes Cygnus X-2. However, there is an intermediate possibility: the initial mass ratio $q_i$ may be such that the donor retains a small but non-negligible hydrogen envelope as mass transfer slows. The current effective temperature of 7330 K shows that the envelope of the companion is mainly radiative, with only a very thin surface convection zone. (A paper in preparation by Kolb et al. shows this in detail.) In the example computed by KW, the donor, at the end of mass transfer, is not on the Hayashi line, but at almost the same point in the HR diagram as it occupied immediately before mass transfer began (this is confirmed by the detailed numerical calculations of Kolb et al.). Just before the process ends we then have an expanding low-mass donor, driving a modest mass-transfer rate in a long-period expanding binary, but at the HR diagram position of a much more massive normal star.

As we shall show below, for an initial donor mass of about $3.6 M_\odot$ the end point of such an evolution can be made to match closely that observed for the secondary of Cygnus X-2. (Note that we have not performed detailed numerical calculations for this paper, but rather used the results of KW and GKW. The forthcoming paper by Kolb et al. reports detailed calculations.)

Clearly this idea offers a promising explanation of the secondary in Cygnus X-2. However, there is an obvious difficulty in accepting it immediately. KW’s calculations assumed that the total binary mass and angular momentum were conserved, and in particular that the primary retained all the mass transferred to it. But the primary of Cygnus X-2 is known to be a neutron star, with a mass presumably $\lesssim 2 M_\odot$, so we must require instead that it accretes relatively little during mass transfer. This agrees with the idea that a neutron star cannot accrete at rates greatly in excess of $M_\text{ej}$, which the donor attains at the end of mass transfer (see e.g. GKW). As discussed above, we assume that the neutron star ejects any super-Eddington mass inflow. Because the mass-transfer rate exceeds the Eddington limit by factors $\gtrsim 100$ (see above), almost all of the transferred mass must be ejected, and to an excellent approximation we can assume that the neutron-star mass $M_1$ remains fixed during the mass transfer (the equation for $R_\text{L}$ can actually be integrated exactly even without this assumption, but at the cost of some algebraic complexity). We assume further that the ejected mass carries the specific angular momentum of the orbit of the neutron star. This is very reasonable, because the ejection region is much smaller than the size of the disc (see equation 2).

Then we can use the result quoted by Kalogera & Webbink (1996) to write

$$ R_\text{L}/R_{\text{L,1}} = \left( \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right)^{5/3} \left( \frac{M_1}{M} \right)^{4/3} e^{(2M_1-M_2)/M_1}, $$

where $M_2$ and $M = M_1 + M_2$ are the donor and total binary mass at any instant, and $M_2, M_1$ their values at the onset of mass transfer. In writing equation (3) we have used the simple approximation $R_\text{L}/a \approx (M_2/M)^{3/5}$, where $a$ is the binary separation. Using this and Kepler’s law we get the change of binary period $P$ as

$$ P/\dot{P} = \left( \frac{R_\text{L}}{R_{\text{L,1}}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right)^{1/2}, $$

so that

$$ P/\dot{P} = \left( \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right)^3 \left( \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right)^{2/5} e^{(3M_1-M_2)/M_1}. $$

Conventional massive Case B evolution always begins with a mass ratio $q_i = M_2/M$ sufficiently large that $R_\text{L}$ initially shrinks. From equation (3) it is easy to show that this requires $q_i > 1.2$. If during the evolution $M_2$ decreases enough that $q < 1.2$, $R_\text{L}$ begins to expand again. The curves of log $R_\text{L}$ thus have the generic U-shaped forms shown in Figs 1–3.

The thermal equilibrium radius $R_{\text{2,e}}$ depends on the relative mass $M_{\text{He}}/M_2$ of the helium core of the donor. Figs 1–3 show the so-called ‘generalized main sequences’ of GKW, the first schematically, and the latter two for $M_3 = 3 M_\odot, 5 M_\odot$. For a core-envelope structure to be applicable, the star must have at least finished central hydrogen burning. Because the mass transfer takes place on the thermal time-scale of the donor there is little nuclear evolution, and we can regard $M_{\text{He}}$ as fixed. The quantity $R_{\text{2,e}}$ shown in Figs 1–3, therefore, gives the thermal equilibrium radius attained by the star after transferring varying amounts of its hydrogen envelope. The evolution of the system is now specified by the initial mass ratio $q_i$ and the radius $R_\text{2,e}$ of the donor at the onset of mass transfer. This can lie between the maximum radius $R_\text{H}$ reached during central hydrogen burning and one almost as large as the value $R_{\text{H}}$ at the Hayashi line (mass transfer is adiabatically unstable if the donor develops a deep convective envelope). The allowed initial radius range is about a factor of 2 for a donor with $M_2 = 2.5 M_\odot$, increasing to a factor of $\sim 6$ for $M_2 = 5 M_\odot$ (Bressan et al. 1993).

3 Early Massive Case B Evolution for Neutron-Star Binaries

The main features of early massive Case B evolution can be understood by considering the relative expansion or contraction of the Roche lobe of the donor $R_\text{L}$ and the thermal equilibrium radius $R_{\text{2,e}}$, which the donor attains at the end of mass transfer (see e.g. GKW). As discussed above, we assume that the neutron star ejects any super-Eddington mass inflow. Because the mass-transfer rate exceeds the Eddington limit by factors $\gtrsim 100$ (see above), almost all of the transferred mass must be ejected, and to an excellent approximation we can assume that the neutron-star mass $M_1$ remains fixed during the mass transfer (the equation for $R_\text{L}$ can actually be integrated exactly even without this assumption, but at the cost of some algebraic complexity). We assume further that the ejected mass carries the specific angular momentum of the orbit of the neutron star. This is very reasonable, because the ejection region is much smaller than the size of the disc (see equation 2).
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computed from (3) with M1 = 1.4 M⊙, M2 = 5 M⊙. The average mass transfer rate is again of order 10−8 M⊙yr−1, but may become up to an order of magnitude higher during thermal pulses (Pastetser & Ritter 1989). The donor may also lose large amounts of envelope mass in a wind. If the binary again manages to avoid common-envelope evolution by ejecting most of the transferred mass, mass transfer will finally end once the envelope of the secondary has been lost, leaving a very wide (period ~ 10 yr) binary containing a neutron star and a CO white dwarf.

(ii) ‘critical’ q. We define this as the case where the RL, R2,e curves cross at the ‘knee’ in the mass-radius curve, i.e. with M2 only slightly larger than M1, so the mass transfer depletes almost the entire envelope. The remnant donor retains a thin hydrogen envelope, and lies between the main sequence and the Hayashi line on the HR diagram. Thus the envelope mass is low enough to prevent the star lying on the Hayashi line, but not so low that the remnant is small and hot, i.e. to the left of the main sequence. The initial separation must be small enough that mass transfer starts before central helium burning, but large enough that it starts only after the donor has reached the Schönherr-Chandrasekhar limit. This limit is defined as the point where the isothermal helium core has reached the maximum mass which is able to support the overlying layers of the star, i.e. the point at which core collapse begins. The orbital period is shorter than in case (i), but longer than in case (iii) below. Cygnus X-2 is an example of this evolution, viewed at the point where the donor has almost attained its thermal-equilibrium radius, and mass transfer is well below the maximum thermal-time-scale rate. This evolution ends with nuclear evolution of the donor to smaller radii as the mass of the hydrogen-rich envelope is further reduced by shell burning. The system detaches, leaving a helium-star remnant which subsequently ignites central helium burning and finally becomes a CO white dwarf.

(iii) ‘large’ q. The curves cross only when the hydrogen envelope is effectively exhausted. The remnant is a helium star and the orbital period is short. If M1He < 0.9 M⊙ the helium star evolves directly into a CO white dwarf. If M1He ≥ 1 M⊙ this star re-expands during helium-shell burning. This in turn can give rise to a further phase of (so-called Case BB) mass transfer, e.g.
Table 2. Outcomes of Case B evolution with a neutron-star primary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subcase</th>
<th>intermediate stages</th>
<th>$P_1$ (d)</th>
<th>final WD companion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low mass</td>
<td>Hayashi-line LMXB</td>
<td>$\sim 10$–1000</td>
<td>He, obeys mass-period relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early massive, $q_i &lt; q_{\text{crit}}$</td>
<td>Hertzsprung-gap XRB, Case C mass transfer</td>
<td>$\simeq 1000$</td>
<td>CO, obeys mass-period relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early massive, $q_i = q_{\text{crit}}$</td>
<td>Cygnus X-2</td>
<td>$\sim 10$</td>
<td>CO, overmassive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early massive, $q_i &gt; q_{\text{crit}}$</td>
<td>NS + He star, Case BB mass transfer?</td>
<td>$\simeq 1–10$,</td>
<td>CO, overmassive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 END PRODUCTS

The discussion above shows that for both low-mass Case B, and for early massive Case B with $q_i$ below a critical value ($\sim 2.6$ for $M_2 \sim 3.6 M_\odot$), the evolution leads to a long-period binary with the donor on the Hayashi line. As is well known, the luminosity and radius of such a star are fixed by its degenerate core mass $M_c$ rather than its total mass $M_2$. Even though the degenerate core is different in nature in the two cases, it is possible to give a single formula for the radius, i.e.

$$r_2 = \frac{3.7 \times 10^3 m_2^4}{1 + m_2^2 + 1.75 m_2^4}$$

where $r_2 = R_2/R_c$, $m_c = M_2/M_\odot$ (Joss, Rappaport & Lewis 1987). Then using the well-known relation

$$P = 0.38 \frac{r_2^{3/2}}{m_2^2} d$$

which follows from Roche geometry, we get a relation between $M_2$ and the orbital period $P$ (e.g. King 1988).

Once all of the envelope mass has been transferred we are left with a wide binary containing a millisecond pulsar (the spun-up neutron star) in a circular orbit with the white dwarf core of the donor. Because at the end of mass transfer we obviously have $m_2 = m_c$, such systems should obey the relation

$$P = 8.5 \times 10^5 \left[ \frac{m_2^{1/2}}{(1 + m_2^2 + 1.75 m_2^4)^{1/2}} \right] d.$$  

The timing orbit of the millisecond pulsar allows constraints on the companion mass, so this relation can be tested by observation. Lorimer et al. (1995), Rappaport et al. (1995) and Burderi, King & Wynn (1996) show that while the relation is consistent with the data for a majority of the 25 relevant systems, there are several systems (currently 3 or 4; see Fig. 4 and Table 3) for which the white dwarf mass is probably too large to fit. While one might possibly exclude B0655+64 because of its long spin period $P_\ast$, but see below), the other three systems are clearly genuine millisecond pulsars.

Our considerations here offer a simple explanation for this discrepancy. If the initial mass ratio $q_i$ lies above the critical value ($\sim 2.6$ for $M_2 \sim 3.6 M_\odot$), the donor radius will be less than $R_{\text{HL}}$ at the end of early massive Case B mass transfer, and the orbital period relatively shorter. When such systems finally detach from the Roche lobe, the WD companion is considerably more massive than expected for the orbital period on the basis of the Hayashi-line relation equation (8). We see from equation (5) that systems with large initial companion masses $M_{2i}$ ($\simeq 4 M_\odot$) can end as short-period systems. Table 4 and Fig. 4 show the expected minimum final periods $P_1$ and companion masses $M_2$ for various

end with very short orbital periods, offering an alternative to the usual assumption of a common-envelope phase (Bhattacharya 1996; Tauris 1996). The limiting factor for this kind of evolution may be the so-called ‘delayed dynamical instability’ (Webbink 1977; Hjellming 1989). For a sufficiently massive initial donor, mass transfer eventually becomes dynamically unstable because the adiabatic mass-radius exponent of a strongly stripped radiative star becomes negative and the donor begins to expand adiabatically in response to mass loss. For typical neutron-star masses $M_1 = 1.4 M_\odot$ this would limit $M_2$ to values $\leq (4-4.5) M_\odot$ (Hjellming 1989; Kalogera & Webbink 1996). Given the uncertainties in our estimates, this is probably consistent with the initial mass $M_2 \gtrsim 5 M_\odot$ needed to explain the current state of the most extreme discrepant system (B0655+64). The other three systems can all be fitted with $M_2 \lesssim 4 M_\odot$, so there is no necessary conflict with the mass limits for the delayed dynamical instability.

We may now ask about the end states of such an evolution if the neutron star were unable to eject the mass transferred at the very high rates expected once the delayed dynamical instability sets in and the system went through a common-envelope phase instead. Using the standard prescription for estimating the parameters of a post-common-envelope system (e.g. Webbink 1984) with the values for $M_{2i}$ and $M_2 = M_2$ given in Table 4, $M_1 = 1.4 M_\odot$ and $\alpha_{CE} = 0.5$, where $\lambda \sim 0.5$ is a structural parameter and $\alpha_{CE}$ the common-envelope efficiency parameter defined by Webbink (1984), we find that the final orbital period is $0.04 \leq P_f(d) \leq 0.2$ if mass transfer set in when the donor was already near the Hayashi line, and smaller still if mass transfer set in earlier or if $\alpha_{CE}$ is smaller than unity. On the other hand, unless $\alpha_{CE}$ is significantly smaller than unity, common-envelope evolution starting from a system with the donor on the asymptotic giant branch would end with periods much longer than those of the systems listed in Table 3 and shown in Fig. 4. Table 5 shows the outcome of common-envelope evolution in the two extreme cases where mass transfer starts at the Schönberg–Chandrasekhar limit, and on the Hayashi line. Although common-envelope efficiencies exceeding unity are discussed in the literature (i.e. energy sources other than the orbit are used to expel the envelope), the values found for $\alpha_{CE}$ in Table 5 show that to produce Cyg X–2–like systems requires absurdly large efficiencies, even starting from the most distended donor possible. Thus common-envelope evolution does not offer a promising explanation for these systems. Their very existence may thus indicate that an accreting neutron star can eject mass efficiently even at the very high mass-transfer rates encountered in the delayed dynamical instability. We conclude therefore that even very rapid mass transfer on to a neutron star does not necessarily result in a common envelope (cf. King & Begelman 1999).

We note finally that all of the pulsars of Table 3 have spin periods much longer than their likely equilibrium periods (i.e. they lie far from the ‘spin-up line’, cf. Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991), suggesting that they have accreted very little mass ($\lesssim 0.1 M_\odot$) during their evolution. This agrees with our proposal that these systems are the direct outcome of a super-Eddington mass-transfer phase in which almost all the transferred mass is ejected.

5 SPACE VELOCITY AND POSITION OF CYGNUS X-2 IN THE GALAXY

The distance to Cygnus X-2 derived from the observations of type I X-ray bursts (Smale 1998) is $d = (11.6 \pm 0.3) \mathrm{kpc}$. From the galactic coordinates $l = 87^\circ 33$ and $b = -11^\circ 32$ and the solar
galactocentric distance $R_0 = (8.7 \pm 0.6)$ kpc one derives a galactocentric distance for Cygnus X-2 of $d_{\text{GC}} = (14.2 \pm 0.4)$ kpc and a distance from the galactic plane of $z = (-2.28 \pm 0.06)$ kpc. Thus Cygnus X-2 has a very peculiar position indeed, being not only in the halo but also in the very outskirts of our Galaxy. But not only is its position peculiar, its space velocity with respect to the galactic centre is also surprising. It can be shown that the observed heliocentric radial velocity of $v = (208.6 \pm 0.8)$ km s$^{-1}$ (Casares et al. 1998) is totally incompatible with prograde rotation on a circular, even inclined orbit around the galactic centre (Kolb et al., in preparation). The orbit is either highly eccentric and/or retrograde. In either case Cygnus X-2 must have undergone a major kick in the past, presumably when the neutron star was formed in a Type II supernova. Because prior to the supernova explosion the primary was much more massive ($M_{1,i} \approx 10 M_\odot$) than the secondary ($M_2 \sim 3.6 M_\odot$), the latter was still on the main sequence when the supernova exploded. Thus the age of Cygnus X-2 (and the time elapsed since the supernova) is well approximated by the nuclear time-scale of the secondary, which is $\sim 4 \times 10^8$ yr for a $\sim 3.6 M_\odot$ star. This means that Cygnus X-2 must have gone around the galactic centre a few times since its birth or supernova explosion and that, therefore, its birthplace in the galaxy cannot be inferred from its current position and velocity.

### 6 DISCUSSION

We have shown that the unusual nature of the secondary star in Cygnus X-2 can be understood if the system is near the end of a phase of early massive Case B evolution in which almost all of the transferred material is ejected. The system is unusual in having had an initial mass ratio $q_i = M_2/M_1$ in a narrow critical range near $q_i = 2.6$; smaller ratios lead to detached systems with the secondary near the Hayashi line, and larger ratios produce binary pulsars with fairly short orbital periods and relatively massive white dwarf companions. During this evolution, much of the original mass of the companion ($\sim 3 M_\odot$ for Cygnus X-2) is transferred and consequently lost on the thermal time-scale $\sim 10^8$ yr of this star. Evidently the huge mass-loss rate and the short duration of this phase make it difficult to detect any systems in this state; they would probably resemble Wolf--Rayet stars of the WNe type (i.e. showing hydrogen).

Cygnus X-2 is currently near the end of the thermal-time-scale mass-transfer phase, so that its mass-transfer rate is now well below the thermal-time-scale value, and probably given by the accretion rate. At $M_{\text{acc}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Smale 1998), this is nevertheless one of the highest in any LMXB, making it easily detectable. Only a full calculation of the evolution, with in particular a detailed model for the secondary, can predict the duration of the current phase; this is not an easy task, as this star deviates strongly from thermal equilibrium during most of the evolution. But it is clear that the mass-transfer rate will decline as the remaining few tenths of a solar mass in the hydrogen envelope are transferred. The long orbital period and large accretion disc of Cygnus X-2 mean that even its current mass-transfer rate only slightly exceeds the critical value required for a persistent rather than a transient LMXB (cf. King, Kolb & Burderi 1996), so the system will eventually become transient. Once the envelope has been transferred, mass transfer will stop, and the system will become a pulsar binary with about the current orbital period $P = 10$ d, and a white dwarf companion with a mass which is slightly higher than that of the present helium core of the companion. Clearly, because the present core mass is at least $0.35 M_\odot$ this $P-m_2$ combination will not obey the Hayashi-line relation equation (8), so Cygnus X-2 will become another ‘discrepant’ system like those in Table 3.

The reasoning of the last paragraph shows that Cygnus X-2 will cease to be a persistent X-ray binary within the current mass-transfer time-scale $t_{\text{B}} = (M_2 - M_1)/M_{\text{acc}} \sim 10^7$ yr. Its past lifetime as a persistent source before the current epoch, and its future one as a detectable transient after it, are both likely to be of a similar order, although full evolutionary calculations are required to check this. The fact that we nevertheless observe even one system like Cygnus X-2 strongly suggests that the birth rate of such systems must be relatively high, i.e. $\sim 10^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, in the Galaxy. As the binary pulsar end-products of these systems have enormously long lifetimes, this may suggest that systems like Cygnus X-2 play a very important role in providing the Galactic population of millisecond pulsars.

Cygnus X-2 thus fits naturally into a unified description of long-period LMXBs in which super-Eddington Case B mass transfer is efficiently ejected by the neutron star. While the ejection process can already be inferred for the formation history of Hayashi-line LMXBs resulting from low-mass Case B evolution (Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991; Kalogera & Webbink 1996), Cygnus X-2 supplies the most powerful evidence that this process must occur. The work of Section 2 shows that it is very hard otherwise to reconcile the rather low current mass ($M_2 = 0.5$–0.7 $M_\odot$) of the secondary with its large radius ($R_2 = 7 R_\odot$) and high luminosity ($L_2 = 150 L_\odot$). From Section

### Table 5. Outcomes of common-envelope evolution for early massive Case B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$M_2$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_3$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_1$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$R_2$ ($R_\odot$)</th>
<th>$P(d)$ for $\beta_{CE} = 0.5$</th>
<th>$\beta_{CE}$ for $P_t = 8$ d</th>
<th>$\beta_{CE}$ for $P_t = 1$ d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{21} = R_{\text{SC}}$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{21} = R_{\text{HL}}$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>109.</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>153.</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial system in each case consists of a neutron star (mass $M_1$) a donor at the onset of massive Case B evolution (mass $M_3$, core mass $M_2$). In the upper half of the table mass transfer is assumed to start when the donor reaches the Schönberg–Chandrasekhar limit, corresponding to the minimum possible orbital separation. In the lower half of the table mass transfer is assumed to start only when the donor has reached the Hayashi line, corresponding to the maximum possible orbital separation. The parameter $\beta_{CE} = \lambda a_{CE}$.
4 we see that the orbital period $P = 9.84 \text{ d}$ is far too long for the system to be the product of common-envelope evolution, leaving no realistic alternative for driving the required mass ejection.
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**APPENDIX A: X-RAY HEATING IN CYGNUS X-2**

In Section 2.2 we considered a stripped subgiant model for Cygnus X-2, and asserted that the observed orbital modulation of the optical flux ($\Delta V_{\text{obs}} = 0.3$ mag) would require an extremely low inclination if one appeals to X-ray heating of the companion to raise its observed effective temperature to 7330 K. Here we justify this claim.

We consider a simple picture in which the hemisphere of the (spherical) companion facing the neutron star has effective temperature 7330 K, while the other hemisphere has the Hayashi-line effective temperature 4100 K. We consider the effect of relaxing these assumptions below. Then viewing the heated face at the most favourable phase the observer sees hot and cool areas $2\pi R_2^2(1/2 + i/\pi)$, $2\pi R_2^2(1/2 - i/\pi)$, where $i$ is the inclination in rad, with the two expressions reversing at the least favourable phase. Neglecting limb-darkening, the ratio of maximum to minimum flux is

$$\frac{F_{\text{max}}}{F_{\text{min}}} \approx \frac{(1/2 + i/\pi)B_{\text{hot}} + (1/2 - i/\pi)B_{\text{cool}}}{(1/2 + i/\pi)B_{\text{hot}} + (1/2 - i/\pi)B_{\text{hot}}},$$

where $B_{\text{hot}}$, $B_{\text{cool}}$ are the optical surface brightnesses of the hot and cool regions, respectively. Approximating these by Planck functions at 5500 Å, we find $B_{\text{hot}}/B_{\text{cool}} = 15$. Requiring $F_{\text{max}}/F_{\text{min}} \leq 1.3$ ($\Delta V_{\text{obs}} = 0.3$ mag) in equation (9) shows that $i \approx 0.0745 \pi$, or $i \approx 13^\circ.4$, as used in Section 2.2.

In reality the heated region would be smaller than a hemisphere, and its temperature higher than 7330 K in order to produce an average observed temperature of this value. However, relaxing these limits clearly requires even smaller inclinations than the estimate above, because the contrast in optical surface brightness between the hot and cool regions would be even larger than the ratio $\sim 15$ we found above.
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