Mantle dynamics of continentwide Cenozoic subsidence and tilting of Australia
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ABSTRACT

Australia is distinctive because it experienced first-order, broad-scale vertical motions during the Cenozoic. Here, we use plate-tectonic reconstructions and a model of mantle convection to quantitatively link the large-scale flooding history of the continent to mantle convection since 50 Ma. Subduction-driven geodynamic models show that Australia undergoes a 200 m northeast downward tilt as it approaches and overrides subducted slabs between Melanesia and the proto–Tonga-Kermadec subduction systems. However, the model only produces the observed continentwide subsidence, with 300 m of northeast downward tilt since the Eocene, if we assume that Australia has moved northward away from a relatively hot mantle anomaly. The models suggest that Australia’s paleoshoreline evolution can only be reproduced if the continent moved northward, away from a large buoyant anomaly. This results in continentwide subsidence of ~200 m. The additional progressive, continentwide tilting down to the northeast can be attributed to the horizontal motion of the continent toward subducted slabs sinking below Melanesia.

INTRODUCTION

Dynamic topography is an important control on Earth’s overall topography and the gravity field (Cazenave et al., 1989; Christensen, 1998; Colín and Fleitout, 1990; Hager et al., 1985; LeStunff and Ricard, 1995). An intriguing implication of this concept is the contribution of mantle processes toward the formation of intracontinental basins, which may be recorded by continental flooding (Artemieva, 2007; Gurnis, 1990; Mitrovica et al., 1989; Pysklywec and Mitrovica, 1998; Spasojević et al., 2008). Although mantle-driven topography on continents is a global phenomenon (Heine et al., 2008), it is generally difficult to quantitatively link continental geological to mantle processes and to resolve the dynamic component of amplitude and rate of change. This is largely due to our limited ability to separate a dynamic component of topography from other crustal and lithospheric processes. In addition, geodynamic models are limited by their input conditions and their ability to produce realistic magnitudes of dynamic topography to match surface observations (Billen et al., 2003).

While it may be difficult to isolate the mantle-driven topography from changes in lithospheric and crustal thickness (Wheeler and White, 2000), Australia is generally free from such difficulties, since it is a stable continent with distal plate boundaries (Fig. 1). However, since the Eocene, rapid seafloor spreading on the Southeast Indian Ridge has moved the Australian continent away from Antarctica and toward the subduction zones in SE Asia. Indeed, despite the absence of proximal plate boundaries, it has been suggested that Australia has undergone both a broad-scale subsidence since the Late Cretaceous (Bond, 1978; DiCaprio et al., 2009; Russell and Gurnis, 1994) and a progressively increasing northeast downward tilt since the Eocene (DiCaprio et al., 2009; Sandiford, 2007; Sandiford et al., 2009). Broad-scale subsidence of the continent is inferred from the difference between relative and global sea level. As global sea level fell starting in the Cretaceous (Haq et al., 1987; Haq and Al-Qahtani, 2005; Miller et al., 2005), Australia became increasingly flooded. The preserved marine facies imply that the entire continent has experienced an ~200 m downward shift since the Cretaceous (Bond, 1978; DiCaprio et al., 2009; Russell and Gurnis, 1994; Veevers, 2000). In addition to the broad-scale subsidence, differential vertical motion of the continent since the Cenozoic inferred from reconstructed shorelines shows that the Australian continent was progressively tilted down toward the northeast by around 300 m since the Eocene (DiCaprio et al., 2009) (Fig. 1).

The downward shift and progressive tilting of Australia remain unexplained geodynamically. Here, for the first time, we attempt to interpret these first-order features in the stratigraphic record with geodynamic models. Moreover, to overcome the limitations of earlier geodynamic models (Gurnis et al., 1998; Gurnis and Müller, 2003), we include a more realistic lithosphere through the assimilation of tectonic boundary conditions. Our geodynamic models include the history of plate motions, changing plate geometries and modeled ages and thermal structures of the subducting lithosphere to investigate the time-dependent dynamically driven topography of the southwest Pacific and Australia since the Eocene. Surprisingly, we find that the subduction control on the vertical motions of Australia is insufficient to explain the continentwide subsidence observed in paleoshoreline analysis.

MODEL SETUP

We used continuously changing plate velocities and plate margins at 1 m.y. increments as surface velocity boundary conditions (Gurnis et
Our models have a Newtonian viscosity that is dependent on temperature, depth, composition, and position. The mantle is divided into four layers: lithosphere (0–100 km), asthenosphere (100–410 km), transition zone (410–670 km), and lower mantle (670–2880 km). All models have the same radial distribution of viscosity (Table 1); however, models with alternative layered viscosities were examined further in DiCaprio (2009). We varied both the strength of the asthenosphere and lower mantle. Our preferred models have a weak asthenosphere (2 × 10^{20} \text{ Pa·s}) and strong lower mantle. The weak asthenosphere allows subducted slabs to be more easily distributed laterally within the upper mantle beneath the Australian northeast margin and produces a broader topographic signal compared to those with a higher-viscosity upper mantle. Models with a high-viscosity lower mantle (1 × 10^{23} \text{ Pa·s}) impede the descent of slabs into the lower mantle. These models retain more cool material in the upper mantle and produce a smaller-amplitude but broad-scale length of dynamic topography. Here, we show the effect of changes in the gradient of the Clapeyron slope for the phase changes bounding the mantle transition zones, which we altered for a range of reasonable values based on mineral physics experiments (Table 2), as summarized in Billen (2008).

---

1GSA Data Repository Item 2011264, data distribution constraining subsidence analyses and a detailed description of model setup and method of data assimilation, is available at www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2011.htm, or on request from editing@geosociety.org, Documents Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA.
an analysis of paleoshorelines (DiCaprio et al., 2009). A SW-NE cross section shows that the slope of the modeled subsidence across the continent poorly matches the slope from paleoshoreline analysis since the Miocene (Fig. 3). Although, the modeled subsidence is qualitatively consistent with the trend observed by paleoshoreline analysis, the modeled subsidence is much too confined to the north. Both models and paleoshoreline analysis show that since the Cenozoic, Australia has subsided in the northeast, and the magnitude of this subsidence has increased toward the present.

The model that best approximates the subsidence estimated by paleoshoreline analysis has a steep Clapeyron slope (4 MPa/K) at the 410 km discontinuity and a shallow Clapeyron slope (2 MPa/K) at the 660 km discontinuity (Fig. 3). The shallow Clapeyron slope at the 660 km phase change allows slabs material to descend into the lower mantle relatively easily and produces a larger signal of surface subsidence in the northeast.

**Vertical Subsidence of Australia during the Cenozoic**

Our models produce insufficient surface subsidence since the Miocene, ~100 m smaller than that inferred from paleoshoreline analysis. This implies that the continent requires an additional downward shift over a much longer wavelength since the Oligocene. The downward shift of the Australian continent may be related to its motion northward away from putatively hot or chemically buoyant mantle beneath Antarctica.

The mantle beneath Cenozoic Antarctica may have been relatively hot due to its connection to Gondwanaland. This is inferred from the abundance of magmatism before and during breakup (Kent, 1991; Storey et al., 1995). The presence of a large-scale upwelling beneath Antarctica during the Cenozoic and today is consistent with the distribution and geochemistry of igneous rocks (Behrendt et al., 1991; Finn et al., 2005; LeMasurier and Landis, 1996; Sutherland et al., 2010). In addition, global tomography models reveal low-velocity perturbations in the upper mantle and transition zone beneath the West Antarctic margin (Grand, 2002; Gu et al., 2001; Masters et al., 2000; Ritsema and Heijst, 2000). Recently, geodynamic models have shown that the Campbell Plateau subsided by ~1 km as it moved away from a buoyant mantle anomaly presently located beneath Antarctica (Spasojevic et al., 2010; Sutherland et al., 2010). These observations and interpretations suggest that Australia has likely also moved away from a dynamic topography high since 50 Ma.

Motivated by these observations, we modified a model named M50_1 by prescribing a 5% temperature difference (75 °C hotter) in the mantle beneath Australia and Antarctica. The buoyancy associated with this hot mantle causes a topographic high beneath both continents at 50 Ma. However, as the spreading rate at the South East Indian Ridge increases and accelerates Australia northward, the Australian continent moves away from this topographic high (Fig. DR1 [see footnote 1]). This motion causes vertical subsidence of the whole continent, in addition to a downward tilt toward the northeast, as the continent overrides the Melanesian subducted slabs. The summation of these two dynamic forces matches the predicted tilt of the continent from paleoshoreline analysis (Fig. 3). The buoyant mantle produces the additional broad-scale subsidence required to fit the paleoshoreline analysis. This can be seen by computing a residual since the Miocene (Fig. 4C) between the modeled differential topography (Fig. 4A) and the anomalous topography from paleoshorelines (Fig. 4B). However, we would like to note that the mantle buoyancy, here modeled as simply a thermal effect, is likely due to a combination of mantle hydration and other geochemical and thermal heterogeneities that may be associated with the long-lived eastern Gondwanaland slab graveyard (Spasojevic et al., 2010).

**RESULTS**

Our models show that since the Eocene, subducted material has accumulated beneath the northeastern margin of Australia and the Tasman Sea (Fig. 2). In the model, slabs presently beneath the northeast Australian margin are the product of Melanesian subduction, while those beneath the Tasman Sea are remnants of Loyalty-Tonga-Kermadec subduction. This result is consistent with fast-velocity perturbations observed beneath the NE margin in tomography (Hall and Spakman, 2003; Ritsema et al., 1999). Between 50 and 32 Ma, slabs from Melanesian and Loyalty subduction descended through the upper mantle and transition zone beneath the West Antarctic margin (Spasojevic et al., 2010). Recently, geodynamic models have shown that the Campbell Plateau subsided by ~1 km as it moved away from a buoyant mantle anomaly presently located beneath Antarctica (Spasojevic et al., 2010; Sutherland et al., 2010). These observations and interpretations suggest that Australia has likely also moved away from a dynamic topography high since 50 Ma.

**TABLE 1. PARAMETERS HELD CONSTANT WITHIN THE MODELS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference mantle density</td>
<td>( \rho_0 )</td>
<td>3500 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity</td>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>10 m/s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the surface</td>
<td>( T_s )</td>
<td>0°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in temperature from the core-mantle boundary to the surface</td>
<td>( \Delta T )</td>
<td>1500°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>6,371,000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of thermal expansion</td>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>2 × 10¹⁴ K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity</td>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻⁵ m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference viscosity</td>
<td>( \eta_{ref} )</td>
<td>2 × 10¹⁶ Pa·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: lithosphere (0–100 km)</td>
<td>( \eta_{lith} )</td>
<td>2 × 10¹⁶ Pa·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: asthenosphere (100–410 km)</td>
<td>( \eta_{asth} )</td>
<td>2 × 10¹⁶ Pa·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: transition zone (410–660 km)</td>
<td>( \eta_{trans} )</td>
<td>1 × 10¹⁶ Pa·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity: lower mantle (660–2800 km)</td>
<td>( \eta_{ml} )</td>
<td>1 × 10¹⁶ Pa·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh number</td>
<td>( R_M = \frac{\rho_0 g \alpha \Delta T R^2}{\kappa \eta_0} )</td>
<td>( R_M = 1.3576 \times 10^{16} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. GEODYNAMIC MODELS AND PROPERTIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Slope 410 (MPa/K)</th>
<th>Slope 660 (MPa/K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M50_1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50_2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50_3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50_4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS_Heat</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CONCLUSIONS

Dynamic topography from models of the evolution of subducted slabs since the Eocene is in disagreement with geologic observations of continent-scale tilting. Models with the negative thermal buoyancy associated with slabs between Melanesia and the proto–Tonga–Kermadec subduction systems only recover the tilt of the continent, while the vertical motion of the continent is underestimated by up to 200 m. By including 75 °C (5%) hotter than average mantle beneath the Australian and Antarctic continents, our models match both the tilt and vertical displacement of the Australian continent. The agreement of the models with Australia’s paleoshoreline evolution suggests that the mantle anomaly was buoyant, and it may have been the result of mantle hydration or some combination of thermal and geochemical heterogeneities. During the Cenozoic, as spreading along the South East Indian Ridge accelerated Australia away from the buoyant Antarctic mantle and toward the subduction zones in Melanesia, the continent both subsided in bulk and tilted to the NE.
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Figure 3. A comparison of profiles of differential topography from geodynamic models (colored lines) and paleoshoreline analysis (gray lines) (DiCaprio et al., 2009). Differential topography is sampled from along a line running SW to NE shown in the lower right. The profiles are for selected times since the Eocene, and differential topography refers to the change in subsidence since an Eocene reference state. All geodynamic models show an increase in tilt toward the NE since the Eocene, which is consistent with the trend observed from paleoshorelines. However, the model with the hotter mantle (orange line) is a good match to both the trend and total differential topography observed through paleoshoreline analysis.

Figure 4. (A) Modeled differential subsidence since 50 Ma. Forward geodynamic models were initiated at 50 Ma, the approximate timing of major plate reorganization in the SW Pacific (Whittaker et al., 2007). (B) Differential subsidence since 44 Ma from geologic and paleoshoreline analysis (DiCaprio et al., 2009). The 44 Ma reference time and subsequent intervals 33 Ma, 8 Ma, and 4 Ma are constrained by the available paleogeographic reconstructions (described in DiCaprio et al., 2009). (C) Residual between column A and B. Residuals were calculated using the geodynamic model time steps closest to the paleogeographic reconstructions. Columns A and B show the evolution of the tilting of the Australian continent through time. The residual (C) is mostly flat over the Australian continent and has an approximate misfit of 100 m. This indicates that the Australian continent has experienced 100 m of subsidence that is not accounted for by our geodynamic models. Shaded contours are at 100 m intervals for all plots.
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