Polyphenols and disease risk in epidemiologic studies1–4

Ilja CW Arts and Peter CH Hollman

ABSTRACT

Plant polyphenols, a large group of natural antioxidants, are serious candidates in explanations of the protective effects of vegetables and fruits against cancer and cardiovascular diseases. Epidemiologic studies are useful for evaluation of the human health effects of long-term exposure to physiologic concentrations of polyphenols, but reliable data on polyphenol contents of foods are still scarce. The aim of this review is to summarize available epidemiologic data on the health effects of polyphenols, focusing on the flavonoid subclasses of flavonols, flavones, catechins, and lignans. Data obtained to date suggest beneficial effects of both flavonoids and lignans on cardiovascular diseases but not on cancer, with the possible exception of lung cancer. There is a need for more research on stroke and lung diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Most studies to date have included only flavonols and flavones. With data becoming available for other polyphenols, these compounds should be included in future studies. Careful design of prospective studies is important to offset some of the major drawbacks of epidemiologic studies, including residual confounding (by smoking and other dietary factors) and exposure assessment. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81(suppl):317S–25S.
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INTRODUCTION

Epidemiologic studies suggest a protective effect of vegetables and fruits against cancer and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) (1, 2). Various hypotheses have been suggested to explain these beneficial effects of increased consumption of vegetables and fruits. An attractive hypothesis is that vegetables and fruits contain compounds that have protective effects, independent of those of known nutrients and micronutrients. Plant polyphenols, a large group of natural antioxidants ubiquitous in a diet high in vegetables and fruits, certainly are serious candidates. All plant phenols are derived from the common intermediate phenylalanine, or its close precursor shikimic acid, through the shikimic acid pathway in plants. They can be divided into at least 10 different classes on the basis of their general chemical structures, with the common characteristic being at least one aromatic ring structure with one or more hydroxyl groups. A large variety of plant (poly)phenols exist, including cinnamic acids, benzoic acids, flavonoids including proanthocyanidins, stilbenes, coumarins, lignans, and lignins. Within each family of plant phenols, many compounds may be present. For example, >6000 different flavonoids occurring in plants have been described.

In addition to their antioxidant properties, polyphenols show several interesting effects in animal models and in vitro systems; they trap and scavenge free radicals, regulate nitric oxide, decrease leukocyte immobilization, induce apoptosis, inhibit cell proliferation and angiogenesis, and exhibit phytoestrogenic activity (3–6). These effects may contribute to their potentially protective role in cancer and CVDs. The question remains of whether these data are relevant for human disease outcomes, where exposure to polyphenols is chronic and at relatively low concentrations, depending on bioavailability and metabolism. An important phenomenon is that, after absorption, polyphenols are subject to phase II metabolism, yielding methoxylated, glucuronidated, and sulfated compounds (7). This may greatly influence their bioactivity, but only a few studies have examined this to date. In addition, bacteria present in the human colon metabolize polyphenols. The major polyphenol metabolites are a variety of phenolic acids such as homovanillic acid (8). As a consequence, body tissues are exposed to high concentrations of these phenolic acids.

Although no information on causality can be obtained, epidemiologic studies are useful for evaluation of the human health effects of long-term exposure to physiologic concentrations of polyphenols. Reliable data on polyphenol contents of foods are needed for studies of the potential role of dietary polyphenols in cancer and CVD prevention. Comprehensive data are available only for the flavonoid subclasses of flavonols, flavones, and catechins, but data on other polyphenols, such as lignans, are forthcoming. In this article, we provide an overview of epidemiologic studies on the health effects of flavonols, flavones, catechins, and lignans conducted to date.

FLAVONOIDS

Studies

Of the 6 major classes of flavonoids, comprehensive data on their contents in foods are available only for the flavonols (quercetin,
TABLE 1
Prospective studies of flavonoid intake and risk of CVDs\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(^2)</th>
<th>Comparison (high vs low intake)(^3)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome(^4)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)(^5)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesso et al, 2003 (15)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>38 484 F</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>47.4 vs 8.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0.80 (0.59, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leijten et al, 2002 (16)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>4807 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>40.0 vs 16.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Nonfatal MI</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.93 (0.57, 1.52)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9131 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavonanes</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3,(^6)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>0.93 (0.74, 1.17)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts et al, 2001 (17)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>32 857 F</td>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>74.8 vs 3.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>0.85 (0.67, 1.07)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts et al, 2001 (18)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>806 M</td>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>124.0 vs 25.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.49 (0.27, 0.88)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvonen et al, 2001 (19)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>25 372 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>17.8 vs 3.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nonfatal MI</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>0.77 (0.64, 0.93)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yochum et al, 1999 (20)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>34 492 F</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>28.6 vs 4.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.62 (0.44, 0.87)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertog et al, 1997 (21)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>804 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>41.6 vs 12.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.47 (0.27, 0.82)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertog et al, 1997 (14)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1900 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>42.8 vs 13.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.60 (0.90, 2.90)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knekt et al, 1996 (22)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2745 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>&gt;4.8 vs &lt;2.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.67 (0.44, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rimm et al, 1996 (23)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>34 789 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>40.0 vs 7.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nonfatal MI</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>1.08 (0.81, 1.43)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertog et al, 1993 (24)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>805 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>41.6 vs 12.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.32 (0.15, 0.71)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9131 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavonanes</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3,(^6)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>0.79 (0.64, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts et al, 2001 (18)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>806 M</td>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>124.0 vs 25.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Incident stroke</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.92 (0.51, 1.68)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvonen et al, 2000 (25)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>26 497 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>16.4 vs 4.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Incident stroke</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>0.98 (0.80, 1.21)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yochum et al, 1999 (20)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>34 492 F</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>28.6 vs 4.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.18 (0.70, 2.00)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keli et al, 1996 (26)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>552 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones</td>
<td>33.3 vs 14.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Incident stroke</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.27 (0.11, 0.70)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) MI, myocardial infarction; —, no data provided.
\(^2\) Flavonols: quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin; flavones: apigenin, luteolin; flavanones: hesperetin, naringenin, eriodictyol; catechins: (+)-catechin, (+)-gallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin, (-)-epigallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin gallate, (-)-epigallocatechin gallate.
\(^3\) Mean, median, or category cutoff value.
\(^4\) Death, unless indicated otherwise.
\(^5\) 95% CI in parentheses.
\(^6\) Quartiles were constructed for men and women separately, but RR is provided for sexes combined only.

kaempferol, and myricetin), flavones (apigenin and luteolin), and catechins [(+)-catechin, (+)-gallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin, (-)-epigallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin gallate, and (-)-epigallocatechin gallate]. The flavonoid data used in most epidemiologic studies were supplemented in some studies with data for additional food items. Recently, data became available for flavonones in Finnish foods (hesperetin, naringenin, and eriodictyol) (13). Except for one study from the United Kingdom (14), all epidemiologic studies of flavonoids are from the Netherlands, Finland, or the United States.

CVDs
To date, 12 cohort studies on flavonoid intake and the risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) and 5 cohort studies on the risk of stroke have been published (Table 1). Seven of these prospective studies found protective effects of flavonoids and flavones or of catechins with respect to fatal or nonfatal CAD, and reductions of mortality risk were up to 65%. These studies were as follows: the Zutphen Elderly Study, with a small cohort of 805 men in the Netherlands after 5 and 10 y of follow-up monitoring (21, 24), the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (significant among men only) (22), the Iowa Women’s Health Study, a cohort study of 34 500 women in the United States (20), the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study among 25 000 male smokers (19), the Dutch Zutphen Elderly Study for Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study among 25 000 male smokers (19), the Rotterdam Study in the Netherlands, a cohort study of 4800 men and women (16). In a large cohort study of 35 000 male US health professionals, a suggestion of a reduction in coronary mortality rates with high flavonol intake was found only among men with a previous history of CAD (relative risk (RR): 0.63; 95% CI: 0.33, 1.20) (23). In contrast, a trend for increased CAD mortality rates (P for trend = 0.12) was found in the Caerphilly Study, a cohort study of 1900 Welsh men (14). It was suggested that the English habit of adding milk to tea (the major source of flavonols for this cohort) could inhibit the absorption of flavonoids, thus explaining the lack of protection of tea flavonols against CAD. Proteins bind phenols efficiently and therefore might inhibit absorption from the gastrointestinal tract when consumed together with flavonoids. However, it was shown that the absorption of flavonols was not impaired with the addition of milk (27). Residual confounding by lifestyle factors
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**Note:** This text seems to be a continuation or expansion of the content from the table, discussing various studies on the intake and risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) with a focus on flavonoids and their potential protective effects. The text integrates findings from different studies, highlighting the protective role of flavonoids in reducing the risk of CAD and stroke, with notable exceptions and caveats discussed. The article also mentions residual confounding by lifestyle factors as a potential concern in studies evaluating the absorption of flavonoids. Further discussion is not included due to the format of the table, which provides a structured overview of the studies included in the analysis.
might have affected evaluation of the results of this study among Welsh men.

Two of 5 studies of flavonoid intake and stroke risk found an inverse association, ie, the Zutphen Elderly Study and the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (Table 1). In the Zutphen Elderly Study, a protective effect was observed for flavonols and flavones (26) but not for catechins (18).

Cancer

Associations between the intake of flavonoids and the incidences of a variety of cancers have been studied in 7 prospective cohort studies (Table 2) and 4 case-control studies. Significant associations were observed only for lung cancer and colorectal cancer. Two Finnish studies with relatively low intakes of flavonols and flavones, ie, the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (30) and the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study (32), found inverse associations with lung cancer risk (RR: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.29, 0.97; and RR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.45, 0.69, respectively). In contrast, a borderline positive association was found for colorectal cancer risk in the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study (RR: 1.70; 95% CI: 1.00, 2.70; P for trend = 0.10). For catechins, an inverse association was reported for rectal cancer (RR: 0.55; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P for trend = 0.02), but not for colon cancer, among postmenopausal women in the United States. No evidence for an effect of flavonoid intake was found for the incidence of any epithelial cancer or cancer of the stomach, urinary tract, prostate, or breast. None of the case-control studies of prostate (34), lung (35), testicular (36), and ovarian (37) cancer found significant associations.

Other chronic diseases

Because of their antioxidant and antiinflammatory properties, flavonoids may also beneficially influence other chronic diseases involving oxidative stress or inflammation, such as rheumatoid arthritis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Knekt et al (13) studied the associations between the intake of flavonols, flavones, and flavanones and the incidences of rheumatoid arthritis, type 2 diabetes mellitus, cataracts, and asthma among a cohort of ~10 000 male and female participants in the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (Table 2). A significant inverse association was observed only for asthma (RR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.47, 0.90; P for trend = 0.04). This finding supported an earlier cross-sectional study, in which intake of flavonoids was beneficially associated with pulmonary function and symptoms of COPD. Pulmonary function (measured as forced expiratory volume in 1 s) was better among subjects in the highest quintile, compared with the lowest quintile, of intake of flavonols, flavones, and catechins (44 mL; 95% CI: 18, 69 mL). Catechin intake alone was most strongly associated with the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (130 mL; 95% CI: 101, 159 mL) and with all 3 symptoms of COPD [cough odds ratio (OR): 0.72; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.90; phlegm OR: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.47, 0.75; breathlessness OR: 0.69; 95% CI: 0.52, 0.90] (38).

LIGNANS

Studies

Plant lignans can be converted by human intestinal bacteria into the so-called enterolignans, ie, enterolactone and enterodiol. Enterolignans are found in biological fluids of humans and animals (6, 39). It was shown, that in addition to the well-known enterolignan precursors secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol, several other plant lignans were converted into enterolactone and enterodiol, although with varying degrees of efficiency (40). The health effects of lignans were evaluated in epidemiologic studies that used both the intake of secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol and plasma or urinary concentrations of enterolactone and enterodiol as exposure estimates. The calculated intake of secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol was based on published food composition tables (41–43), of which that provided by De Kleijn et al (41) is the most comprehensive. Of 8 published studies on enterolignan concentrations and the risk of CVD or cancer, only 2 measured enterodiol in addition to enterolactone. Enterolactone is usually measured with a time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay, which is not available for enterodiol (44).

CVDs

Two publications (45, 46) from one Finnish cohort study in which plasma enterolactone concentrations were studied in relation to CVD risk reported significant inverse associations (Table 3). In the Finnish Kuopio Heart Disease Risk Factor Study, a 65% lower risk of incident CAD was observed (46). With 2 additional years of follow-up monitoring, the risk of CAD death was of the same order of magnitude (RR: 0.44) and similar, although results were only borderline significant for total CVD deaths (RR: 0.55) (45). The association between serum enterolactone concentrations and plasma F2-isoprostane concentrations (a biomarker of in vivo lipid peroxidation) was studied cross-sectionally in a subset of 100 male participants in the Antioxidant Supplementation in Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (47). With higher enterolactone concentrations, F2-isoprostane concentrations were significantly lower (P = 0.02).

No studies on lignan intake and CVD risk have been published to date, but 2 cross-sectional studies related lignan intake to CVD risk factors. Of several risk factors studied among postmenopausal US women, only the waist-hip ratio (difference between extreme quartiles: −0.017; 95% CI: −0.030, −0.002; P for trend = 0.03) and the metabolic syndrome score, a summary score of several risk factors (difference between extreme quartiles: −0.55; 95% CI: −0.82, −0.28; P for trend = 0.0001), were associated with intake of secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol (48). Aortic stiffness, assessed with pulse-wave velocity measurements of the aorta, was borderline significantly inversely associated with lignan intake among postmenopausal Dutch women (49). The regression coefficient for those with a high intake of lignans was −0.41 (95% CI: −0.93, 0.11; P for trend = 0.06), compared with those with a low intake. The protective effect was most pronounced and significant among women with a postmenopausal time of > 20 y.

Cancer

To date, 3 prospective, nested, case-control studies and 3 case-control studies have studied plasma or urinary lignan concentrations and cancer risk (Table 4); all except one investigated breast cancer incidence. The 2 prospective, nested, case-control studies on breast cancer risk, among Dutch postmenopausal women (54) and among female participants in 3 cohorts in northern Sweden (53), found no relationship with plasma or urinary enterolactone concentrations. In contrast, all 3 case-control studies found an
## TABLE 2
Prospective studies of flavonoid intake and risk of incident cancer and other chronic diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/d y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Knekt et al, 2002 (13) Finland 9865 MF | Flavonols, flavones, flavanones & flavones | M > 26.9 vs < 4.3,
F > 39.5 vs < 8.5 | 30 | Any cancer | 1093 | 0.89 (0.74, 1.06) | 0.33 |
| Arts et al, 2002 (28) US 34 651 F | Catechins | 75.1 vs 3.6 | 13 | Any cancer | 5038 | 0.97 (0.88, 1.06) | 0.65 |
| Arts et al, 2001 (29) Netherlands 728 M | Catechins | 123.7 vs 25.2 | 10 | Epithelial cancer | 96 | 0.94 (0.56, 1.59) | 0.82 |
| Knekt et al, 1997 (30) Finland 9959 MF | Flavonols, flavones | M > 4.8 vs < 2.1,
F > 5.5 vs < 2.4 | 24 | Any cancer | 997 | 0.87 (0.70, 1.09) | — |
| Hertog et al, 1994 (31) Netherlands 738 M | Flavonols, flavones | > 29.9 vs < 19 | 5 | Any cancer | 75 | 1.02 (0.51, 2.04) | 0.96 |

Lung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirvonen et al, 2001 (32) Finland 3799 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>43.5 vs 12.7</td>
<td>4.3 (case-cohort)</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>0.99 (0.69, 1.42)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stomach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Knekt et al, 2002 (13) Finland 9865 MF | Flavonols, flavones, flavanones | M > 26.9 vs < 4.3,
F > 39.5 vs < 8.5 | 30 | Upper digestive tract | 176 | 0.71 (0.46, 1.11) | 0.31 |

Colorrectum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Knekt et al, 2002 (13) Finland 9865 MF | Flavonols, flavones, flavanones | M > 26.9 vs < 4.3,
F > 39.5 vs < 8.5 | 30 | Colon | 613 | 0.84 (0.43, 1.64) | 0.95 |

Urinary tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Knekt et al, 1997 (30) Finland 9959 MF | Flavonols, flavones | M > 4.8 vs < 2.1,
F > 5.5 vs < 2.4 | 24 | Kidney bladder | 92 | 0.84 (0.29, 2.45) | — |

Prostate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid(s)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knekt et al, 2001 (32) Finland 27 110 M</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>&gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Urothelial renal cell</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1.20 (0.73, 1.80)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continues
inverse association between lignan concentrations and breast cancer risk (52, 55, 56). When a subset of postmenopausal women only was included in the analysis of the Shanghai Breast Cancer Study, the inverse association was no longer significant and the RR increased from 0.40 (95% CI: 0.24, 0.64) to 0.50 (95% CI: 0.23, 1.10) (51). In all of these case-control studies, plasma or urine was collected after diagnosis and sometimes even after initiation of treatment of the disease, which might have influenced lignan concentrations through changes in the diet as a result of disease or through other mechanisms. The only study on prostate cancer risk conducted to date found no association with plasma enterolactone concentrations among a large cohort of male residents of Finland, Norway, and Sweden (50).

Intake of the lignans secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol was studied in relation to the risk of several cancers in 1 prospective cohort and 3 case-control studies, all from the United States (Table 4). A significant inverse association was observed for breast cancer among premenopausal women (RR: 0.49) but not postmenopausal women (RR: 0.72) in western New York State (58). In contrast, breast cancer risk was borderline significantly elevated with a high intake of secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol among a large group of women participating in the multi-ethnic Bay Area Breast Cancer Study (RR: 1.3) (59) and of secoisolariciresinol only in the prospective California Teachers Study (RR: 1.4) (57). In the latter study, the association was substantially attenuated to a RR of 1.2 (95% CI: 0.9, 1.6) after adjustment for wine consumption. This led the authors to conclude that the increased risk with secoisolariciresinol was attributable to confounding by alcohol consumption.

Significant or borderline protective associations were also reported for endometrial cancer (60), ovarian cancer (37), and thyroid cancer (61) among female participants. No associations between lignan intake and incident prostate (34) or testicular (36) cancer were found.

### TABLE 2

Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flavonoid</th>
<th>Comparison (high vs low intake)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9283 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3, F &gt; 39.5 vs &lt; 8.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.18 (0.62, 2.26)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9878 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3, F &gt; 39.5 vs &lt; 8.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>0.98 (0.77, 1.24)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataracts</td>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>10 022 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3, F &gt; 39.5 vs &lt; 8.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1.36 (0.84, 2.21)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Knekt et al, 2002 (13)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>10 039 MF</td>
<td>Flavonols, flavones, flavanones</td>
<td>M &gt; 26.9 vs &lt; 4.3, F &gt; 39.5 vs &lt; 8.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.65 (0.47, 0.90)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. —, no data provided.
3. Mean, median, or category cutoff value.
4. Design in parentheses if other than prospective cohort.
5. 95% CI in parentheses.
6. Quartiles were constructed for men and women separately, but RR is provided for sexes combined only.

### TABLE 3

Prospective studies of serum lignans and risk of CVDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Lignan</th>
<th>Comparison (high vs low plasma concentration)</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (high vs low)</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vanharanta et al, 2003 (45)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1889 M</td>
<td>ENL</td>
<td>&gt; 23.9 vs &lt; 6.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.55 (0.29, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanharanta et al, 1999 (46)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2005 M</td>
<td>ENL</td>
<td>&gt; 30.1 vs &lt; 7.2</td>
<td>10 (nested case-control)</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.44 (0.20, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. ENL, enterolactone.
2. Design in parentheses if other than prospective cohort.
3. Death, unless indicated otherwise.
4. 95% CI interval in parentheses.
2.30) for 2 studies from the United Kingdom, 0.27 (95% CI: 0.16, 0.44) for 3 studies from continental Europe, and 0.95 (95% CI: 0.84, 1.08) for 8 studies from the United States. Explanations for this phenomenon, which also seems to occur for flavonoids, include differing associations with a healthy lifestyle and publication bias. However, no satisfactory explanation has been provided, and research into these differences seems worthwhile.

Attempts to distinguish the effects of flavonols and flavones from those of catechins were undertaken with data from the Zutphen Elderly Study (18, 29) and demonstrate one of the major limitations of component-based epidemiologic studies. Each component, because of multicollinearity. This was the case for flavonols and catechins in the Zutphen Elderly Study. Tea

| Ref                  | Country | Population | Lignan | Comparison (high vs low)
|----------------------|---------|------------|--------|-----------------------------
| Strom et al, 1999 (34) | US      | 190 M      | SECO   | >0.48 vs <0.48              |
| Horn-Ross et al, 2002 (57) | US      | 111 526 F  | SECO   | >0.12 vs <0.05              |
| McCann et al, 2002 (58) | US      | 617 F      | SECO   | >0.12 vs <0.05              |
| Horn-Ross et al, 2001 (59) | US      | 2983 F     | SECO   | >0.22 vs <0.10              |
| Ovarian              | US      | 942 F      | SECO   | >0.24 vs <0.12              |
| Thyroid              | US      | 820 F      | SECO   | >0.71 vs <0.30              |
| Walcott et al, 2002 (36) | US      | 295 M      | SECO   | >1.42 vs <0.28 μg/1000 kcal |

TABLE 4
Prospective and case-control studies on plasma or urinary lignan concentrations or dietary lignan intake and incident cancer

DISCUSSION
In the past decade, several well-designed, prospective, cohort studies in which the health effects of flavonoids were studied have been published. The data regarding CVD suggest protective effects of high intakes of flavonols and flavones and possibly of catechins. However, only a few studies are available for catechins and for stroke; given the results obtained to date, these deserve more study. A meta-analysis of tea consumption in relation to CAD and stroke, including all studies published up to October 2000, was conducted by Peters et al (62). Most studies included in the current review were also included in that meta-analysis, because they provided data not only for tea, which is a major flavonoid source, but also for flavonoids. Peters et al (62) found evidence for publication bias, particularly with respect to stroke, and therefore urged caution in interpreting the results for this endpoint. Publication bias might have occurred for flavonoid epidemiologic studies as well. Another striking finding is that studies of CAD or myocardial infarction conducted in continental Europe reported strong inverse associations, whereas studies conducted elsewhere did not. Summarized RRs for drinking 3 cups per day compared with no tea were 1.62 (95% CI: 1.15, 2.30) for 2 studies from the United Kingdom, 0.27 (95% CI: 0.16,
supplied 87% of this population’s intake of catechins and 61% of the intake of flavonols and flavones (24). To circumvent multicollinearity problems but still distinguish the effects of catechins from those of flavonols, subgroups were defined, ie, tea, catechins from sources other than tea, and flavonols from sources other than tea. Independent effects on CAD mortality rates were borderline significant for tea (P = 0.06) and catechins from other sources than tea (P = 0.11). For correct interpretation of results of dietary component-based epidemiologic studies, adjustment for other dietary factors (both nutrient and nonnutrient) is of major importance.

Data are less convincing for cancer. Of several cancers studied, protective effects have been reported only for lung cancer in relation to flavonol and flavone intake. Together with data from one cohort study and one cross-sectional study suggesting beneficial effects on asthma and lung function, these data suggest a role for flavonoids in lung health that merits additional investigation. For colorectal cancer, data are inconsistent, with 1 positive, 1 inverse, and 4 null associations. Residual confounding by smoking is the most serious drawback of the flavonoid studies published to date. Unhealthy (or healthy) behaviors tend to cluster. Smoking, which is the single most important risk factor for many cancers and an important determinant of CVDs, is associated with higher intakes of energy, alcohol, and fat, lower intakes of fruits and vegetables, lower socioeconomic status, and physical inactivity (64–66). Previous studies showed that consumption of important sources of flavonoids, such as tea in the Netherlands (67) and in Japan (68) and wine in Denmark (69), is associated with healthy dietary patterns. Residual confounding occurs if confounders such as smoking are insufficiently accounted for in statistical analyses. Insufficient control for confounders can occur as a result of misclassification of the confounding factors, and control thus depends on the quality and amount of detail with which the confounders are measured. In particular, if the confounding is strong, as is usually the case for smoking, then misclassification of the confounder can yield spurious associations (70). Studying associations among lifelong nonsmokers is an effective way of ruling out residual confounding by smoking, and this should be done in future studies.

The strong inverse associations found for plasma enterolactone concentrations and the risk of CAD in a prospective study in Finland and supportive data from several cross-sectional studies make this an exciting new area of research that requires more investigation. Lignans are phytoestrogens, and their effect on breast cancer is a more traditional area of research in which several studies have been conducted to date. Inverse associations were reported only for case-control studies, whereas no associations between lignans and breast or prostate cancer were found in 3 prospective studies. Case-control studies may suffer from misclassification of the confounding factors, and control thus depends on the quality and amount of detail with which the confounders are measured. In particular, if the confounding is strong, as is usually the case for smoking, then misclassification of the confounder can yield spurious associations (70). Studying associations among lifelong nonsmokers is an effective way of ruling out residual confounding by smoking, and this should be done in future studies.

The quality of dietary intake assessment and of food composition tables is crucial in the component-based epidemiologic approach. Imprecision of exposure measurement is an important limitation of these studies. If valid biomarkers are available, then these may replace questionnaire-based assessment of exposure; for most compounds, however, no biomarkers that reflect long-term exposure are available. No studies using biomarkers of flavonoid intake have been conducted to date. In contrast, several studies have used plasma or urinary enterolactone concentrations as biomarkers of lignan exposure. A major drawback of most of those studies was that enterodiol, the other enterolignan produced by the colonic microflora, was not measured. It was recently shown that not only secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol but also several other plant lignans, including pinosylvin and lariciresinol, are converted into enterolignans (71). We determined the concentrations of these 2 additional lignans in plant foods and found that their intake is several-fold higher than that of secoisolariciresinol and matairesinol (IEJ Milder, ICW Arts, and PCH Hollman, unpublished results, 2004). These compounds should be included in future evaluations of the health effects of dietary lignans.

Epidemiologic studies can be useful tools to study the health effects of polyphenols. Data obtained to date suggest of a beneficial effect on CVD but not on cancer, with the possible exception of lung cancer. There is a need for more research on stroke and lung diseases such as asthma and COPD. Most studies have included flavonoids and flavones only. With data becoming available for other polyphenols, these should be included in future studies. Careful design of prospective studies is important to offset some of the major drawbacks of epidemiologic studies, including residual confounding (by smoking and other dietary factors) and exposure assessment.
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