Comparison of procalcitonin and CrP in the postoperative course after lung decortication
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of this prospective study was to compare the clinical value of procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CrP) plasma concentrations in their postoperative course after decortication. Methods: Twenty-two patients requiring surgery for pleural empyema were chosen for this prospective study. Routine blood samples including CrP and PCT plasma concentrations were taken before the operation and on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 7th postoperative day. Results: Due to infection PCT and CrP were elevated preoperatively. In the postoperative course both PCT and CrP reached peak-levels on day 2 with values up to 43.55 ng/ml and 384.00 mg/l, respectively. In PCT the rise was followed by a clear decrease in 20 (90.9 %) patients until day 7. In contrast the CrP levels decreased slowly and only seven (54.5%) patients had values of 100 mg/l or below on day 7. PCT showed a better correlation with the clinic in case of septic course than CrP does. Conclusions: PCT reflects postoperative clinical course more accurately than CrP. Therefore, PCT is a more appropriate laboratory parameter to monitor patients after surgery for pleural empyema.

1. Introduction

The main goal of surgery in the treatment of pleural empyema is to control the infection [1]. Therefore, a rapidly obtainable and accurate laboratory marker capable of distinguishing persistent infection from SIRS or sepsis in the postoperative course would be clinically useful. After surgery C-reactive protein (CrP) is widely used to monitor the postoperative course, especially with regard to infection. However, several trials showed a lower sensitivity in the (early) postoperative period for CrP in comparison to procalcitonin (PCT) that has become increasingly popular as a marker of infection after surgical procedures [2,3].

Until now no study has evaluated the time course and clinical relevance of changes in PCT in patients undergoing surgery for pleural empyema. The aim of this prospective pilot study was to investigate the accuracy of PCT in comparison to CrP as a marker of postoperative course and complications.

2. Methods

Twenty-two patients undergoing surgery for pleural empyema at the Division of Thoracic Surgery, Inselspital Bern, were chosen for this prospective pilot study. Inclusion criteria consisted of clinically proven pleural empyema following pneumonia and secondary infected posttraumatic pleural effusion. Definition of pleural empyema was based on the following criteria: presence of micro-organisms in pleural fluid Gram stain or culture, pleural pH less than 7.2, radiographic features of empyema as pleural enhancement in CT scan, or intraoperative findings of purulent liquid in the pleural space. Patients with empyema after lung resections or with tuberculous empyema and patients on immunosuppressive therapy were excluded from the trial.

Complete lung decortication was performed through a muscle-sparing lateral thoracotomy without rib resection and with preservation of the latissimus and serratus muscles. The whole fibrous peel encasing the lung and diaphragm was removed. Resection of the parietal peel was done by an extrapleural dissection. To ensure adequate drainage of the pleural space three chest tubes were placed.
Routine blood examinations including CRP and PCT were sampled before operation and on 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 7th postoperative day.

### 2.1. Assays

PCT was measured using the quantitative Kryptor-Test PCT (Brahms Diagnostica AG, Germany) with a lower detection limit of 0.06 ng/ml. All blood samples were collected, prepared, and frozen according to the manufacturers instructions. The PCT measurement was carried out at a later date. Therefore the PCT values were not available at time of hospitalisation.

### 3. Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used. To compensate for the multiple testing situation the $p$ values were adjusted using the procedure according to Holm.

### 4. Results

The median age of the 22 patients (10 woman and 12 men) was 58.0 years and ranged from 24 to 84 years. The leading cause of the pleural empyema was pneumonia ($n = 17$) followed by a thoracic trauma in five cases.

#### 4.1. PCT

In the postoperative course PCT rose to a peak level on day 2 (median 0.85 range: 0.14—43.55 ng/ml) followed by a decrease until day 7 (median 0.17 ng/ml, range: 0.04—2.66 ng/ml) (Fig. 1). On day 3, 54.5% of the patients had reached PCT levels of 0.5 ng/ml or below. On day 7 the number of patients with PCT values $<0.5$ ng/ml was 19 (86.4%) (Fig. 1).

In some patients PCT was elevated excessively: 11 patients (50.00%) had PCT levels of higher than 0.5 ng/ml on day 1, 14 patients (63.6%) on day 2, and 10 (45.4%) on day 3. Values of more than 2 ng/ml were observed in four (18.2%), seven (31.8 %), and three (13.6) cases on day 1, 2, and 3 respectively. Four patients (18.2%) developed levels of over 10 ng/ml in the postoperative course. In three of these four patients the levels decreased to less than 10 ng/ml by day 7. One patient had a PCT of 2.66 ng/ml on day 7, another patient a PCT of 36.39 ng/ml. This patient developed recurrent pleural effusion (see below).

In patients with a PCT level of 10.0 ng/ml or higher the decrease was slower than in the patients with PCT values below 10.0 ng/ml. Six of seven patients (85.7%) with values of more than 2 or even 10 ng/ml in the postoperative course also had the clinical signs of a sepsis (according to the guidelines of the Consensus Conference of American College of Chest Physicians and of the Society of Critical Care Medicine) (Table 1) [4,5]. PCT values in case of sepsis were significantly higher than in the other patients on day 1, 2, and 3 ($p = 0.001$).

#### 4.2. CrP

CrP showed a median value of 144.50 mg/l before surgery (range: 3.00—501.00 mg/l). CrP levels also peaked on the second postoperative day (median 226.50, range: 84.00—390.00 mg/l). Thereafter the values decreased slowly. On day 3 after surgery, 10 patients (45.4%) still had levels of 200 mg/l and more, eight patients (36.4%) levels of 100 mg/l or more. On day 7 only 12 patients (54.5%) had CrP levels of 100 mg/l or below whereas in 10 patients the levels were 100 mg/l or higher (up to 261 mg/l) (Fig. 2, Table 1). In the patients with septic course and very high PCT values the CrP did not show significantly different values to the other ones ($p = 0.30$).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCT and CrP course in patients with and without septic signs</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>7th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCT 1</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03—2.89)</td>
<td>2.25 (1.94—43.55)</td>
<td>16.4 (1.94—43.55)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.54—36.81)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.17—36.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT 2</td>
<td>0.12 (0.04—1.29)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.15—1.66)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.15—1.40)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.07—0.92)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.03—0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrP 1</td>
<td>144 (5—477)</td>
<td>198 (64—262)</td>
<td>224 (84—312)</td>
<td>180 (66—285)</td>
<td>112 (39—261)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrP 2</td>
<td>136 (3—501)</td>
<td>128 (44—486)</td>
<td>236 (98—390)</td>
<td>198 (44—381)</td>
<td>56 (3—207)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCT 1: patients with septic signs; PCT 2: patients without septic signs; CrP 1: patients with septic signs; CrP 2: patients without septic signs median (range) preoperative and postoperative days 1, 2, 3, and 7.
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values were elevated before surgery (81.8%) but in contrast to PCT they decreased very slowly. High CRP values are the cause of why more than half of the patients (63.6%) received antibiotics at time of discharge for the next 1 or 2 weeks. In 12 of these 14 patients the PCT level was lower than 0.5 ng/ml. If the PCT values had been available most of these patients (up to 85.7%) would not have received antibiotics. So the use of PCT instead of CRP in the postoperative course after decortication could save at least 7 days of antibiotic treatment. A meta-analysis by Simon et al. showed that the PCT level was more sensitive (88% vs 75%) and more specific (81% vs 67%) than the CRP level in differentiating bacterial from non-infective causes of inflammation [9].

Furthermore in patients with a sepsis after the operation CRP was not more elevated than in all the other patients. Several trials have proved the poor diagnostic value of CRP in the postoperative period especially if compared with PCT. Macrina et al. [2] used CRP to follow septic patients and found that CRP was unable to predict the outcome of disease or severity.

6. Conclusions/summary

Although there are only a small number of patients with pleural empyema due to different causes in this trial PCT seems to describe more accurately the postoperative course after successful decortication than CRP. After surgery for pleural empyema the main focus should be on the dynamics of PCT levels, rather than on the absolute values. By using the Kryptor method a lower detection concentration of 0.06 ng/ml allows a higher accuracy. PCT is a more appropriate laboratory diagnostic parameter than CRP to monitor the course of patients after surgery for pleural empyema.
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