Diagnosis of *Strongyloides stercoralis* Infection
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*Strongyloides stercoralis* infects 30 million people in 70 countries. Infection usually results in asymptomatic chronic disease of the gut, which can remain undetected for decades. However, in patients receiving long-term corticosteroid therapy, hyperinfection can occur, resulting in high mortality rates (up to 87%). *Strongyloidiasis* is difficult to diagnose because the parasite load is low and the larval output is irregular. Results of a single stool examination by use of conventional techniques fail to detect larvae in up to 70% of cases. Several immunodiagnostic assays have been found ineffective in detecting disseminated infections and show extensive cross-reactivity with hookworms, filariae, and schistosomes. Although it is important to detect latent *S. stercoralis* infections before administering chemotherapy or before the onset of immunosuppression in patients at risk, a specific and sensitive diagnostic test is lacking. This review describes the clinical manifestations of strongyloidiasis, as well as various diagnostic tests and treatment strategies.

Strongyloidiasis is caused by 2 species of the intestinal nematode *Strongyloides*. The most common and globally distributed human pathogen of clinical importance is *Strongyloides stercoralis*. The other species, *Strongyloides fuelleborni*, is found sporadically in Africa and Papua New Guinea [1–3]. Strongyloidiasis affects anywhere from 30 to 100 million people worldwide [3, 4] and is endemic in Southeast Asia, Latin America, sub-Saharan Africa, and parts of the southeastern United States (tables 1 and 2) [2, 3, 8]. The unique ability of this nematode to replicate in the human host permits cycles of autoinfection, leading to chronic disease that can last for several decades [1–3].

*S. stercoralis* was first reported in 1876 in the stools of French soldiers on duty in Vietnam who had severe diarrhea, and the disease the organism produces was known for many years as Cochinchina diarrhea [1]. The elucidation of the complete life cycle (figure 1) occurred 50 years after the discovery of the worm. *S. stercoralis* has a complex life cycle in which parthenogenetic females (i.e., capable of reproducing without males) embedded in the intestinal mucosa lay embryonated eggs that hatch internally [1, 33]. The resultant first-stage larvae (L1; rhabditiform larvae) are passed out in the feces and may develop directly into second (L2)–stage and third (L3; filariform larvae)–stage larvae may develop through 4 free-living larval stages to become free-living adult males and females. The free-living adults reproduce sexually to produce L1, which also develop to L0. The L1 of either cycle can penetrate the skin of the human host, pass through the circulation to the lungs, enter the airways, be swallowed, and finally reach the intestine, where they mature into adult egg-laying females (figure 1).

In autoinfection, larvae that have developed to the infective third stage within the gastrointestinal tract penetrate the intestinal mucosa and then migrate to the definitive site in the small intestine or to parenteral sites (e.g., lungs) [1, 34]. Some have argued that the pulmonary route is just one of the several possible pathways for the larvae to reach the duodenum [35]. In any event, this ability to establish a cycle of repeated endogenous reinfection within the host invariably results in chronic infection that can last for several decades; the current record appears to be 65 years [2].

Chronic infections with *S. stercoralis* can be clinically inapparent or can lead to cutaneous, gastrointestinal, or pulmonary symptoms [1, 2, 8, 36–38]. Skin involvement is characterized by a migratory, serpiginous, urticarial rash, termed larva currens [1, 2]. The larvae in many cases invade the skin in the perianal region and are extremely motile. The buttocks, groin, and trunk are more commonly affected by larva currens than the extremities and the head [2]. Gastrointestinal symptoms of strongyloidiasis include diarrhea, abdominal discomfort, nausea, and anorexia [1, 2, 8]. Abdominal bloating is the most common complaint.
Table 1. Surveys of the prevalence of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in the United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. of specimens examined</th>
<th>Specimens positive for <em>S. stercoralis,</em> %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harlan County, KY</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson, TN</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay County, KY</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington, KY</td>
<td>3271</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson City, TN</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, WV</td>
<td>4566</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware, MD</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>8458</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>10,072</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle, WA*</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Among refugees from Asia.*

When malabsorption is present, the radiographic findings are similar to those of tropical sprue, including increased diameter of the small intestinal lumen, generalized hypotonia, and edema [39]. The symptoms of pulmonary strongyloidiasis (hyperinfection) include cough and shortness of breath [1, 2, 8, 37–41]. Diagnosis is difficult because many patients have baseline pulmonary complaints [40, 41].

Table 2. Recent data on *Strongyloides stercoralis* prevalence in some developing nations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. of specimens examined</th>
<th>Specimens positive for <em>S. stercoralis,</em> %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abidjan</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the stool (figure 2A). However, in a majority of uncomplicated cases of strongyloidiasis, the intestinal worm load is often very low and the output of larvae is minimal [2]. Eosinophilia is usually the only indication to the presence of *S. stercoralis* infection, but it is mild (5%–15%) and nonspecific [1–3, 8, 36]. In more than two-thirds of cases, there are ≤25 larvae per gram of stool [2]. It has been shown that a single stool examination fails to detect larvae in up to 70% of cases. Repeated examinations of stool specimens improve the chances of finding parasites; in some studies, diagnostic sensitivity increases to 50% with 3 stool examinations and can approach 100% if 7 serial stool samples are examined [46, 47].

A number of techniques have been used to discern larvae in stool samples, including direct smear of feces in saline–Lugol iodine stain, Baermann concentration, formalin-ethyl acetate concentration, Harada-Mori filter paper culture, and nutrient agar plate cultures (figure 2) [48–50]. Concentrating the stool with formalin-ethyl acetate increases the yield, but dead individual larvae are more difficult to discern at low magnification. The Baermann method and the Harada-Mori filter paper capitalize on the ability of *S. stercoralis* to enter a free-living cycle of development. These methods are much more sensitive than single stool-smears, but they are rarely standard procedures in clinical parasitology laboratories [51]. In the Harada-Mori technique, filter paper containing fresh fecal material is placed in a test tube with water that continuously soaks the filter paper by capillary action. Incubation at 30°C provides conditions suitable for the development of larvae, which can migrate to either side of the filter paper [48–50]. In the Baermann procedure, stool is placed on mesh screen and a coarse fabric in a funnel that is filled with warm water and connected to a clamped tubing. After an hour of incubation, larvae crawl out of the fecal suspension and migrate into the warm water, from where they can be collected by centrifugation [48–50].

In the agar culture method, the stool sample is placed on a nutrient agar plate and incubated for at least 2 days [50, 52]. As the larvae crawl over the agar, they carry bacteria with them, creating visible tracks (figure 2C) [53]. Motile *S. stercoralis* larvae can also be seen with the aid of a dissecting microscope [54]. A comparative study that used >1300 stool samples and
Figure 2. Different diagnostic staining and culture procedures for the detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis* larvae. 

A, Lugol iodine staining of the rhabditiform larva in stool. This is the most commonly used procedure in clinical microbiology laboratories. A single stool examination detects larvae in only 30% of cases of infection. Scale bar = 25 μm. 

B, Human fecal smear stained with auramine O, showing orange-yellow fluorescence of the rhabditiform larva under ultraviolet light. Routine acid-fast staining of sputum, other respiratory tract secretions (e.g., bronchial washings), and stool may also serve as a useful screening procedure. Scale bar = 25 μm. 

C, Agar plate culture method. Motile rhabditiform larvae and characteristic tracks or furrows, which are made by larvae on the agar around the stool sample. This diagnostic method is laborious and time-consuming (2–3 days) but is more sensitive than other procedures (e.g., wet mount analysis) for detection of larvae in feces [56]. As Grove [1] points out, “the balance of opinion probably favors the agar plate culture method but this is perhaps more expensive and complex” (p. 281). 

Although some studies have reported that the examination of duodenal aspirate is very sensitive, this invasive method is recommended only for children, when it is necessary to rapidly demonstrate the presence of parasites, as in the case of an immunocompromised child who is suspected of having overwhelming infection [2, 39]. Microscopic examination of a single specimen of duodenal fluid was found to be more sensitive than wet mount analysis of stools samples for the detection of larvae [57]. This method identified 76% of patients; the parasite was found exclusively in duodenal fluid (and not in feces) in 67% of patients. The string test—a gelatin capsule containing a string that is swallowed by the patient and retrieved after a few hours—enjoyed a brief period of popularity, but currently it is used infrequently [58]. Also, in some cases, histological examination of duodenal or jejunal biopsy specimens may reveal *S. stercoralis* embedded in the mucosa [36, 39]. 

Detection of *S. stercoralis* larvae is usually easier in cases of hyperinfection, because large numbers of worms are involved in disseminated infections [2, 36, 39]. The larvae can be identified in wet preparations of sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, bronchial washings and brushings, lung biopsies, or examination of pleural fluid by means of Gram, Papanicolaou, or acid-fast (auramine O and Kinyoun) staining procedures [2, 37–41, 51, 59]. Findings of chest radiographs are usually variable; pulmonary infiltrates, when present, may be alveolar or interstitial, diffuse or focal, unilateral or bilateral [60]. Lung consolidation, occasional cavitation, and even abscess formation have also been reported [37–39]. The varying appearance of chest radiographs is due to different types of bacterial superinfection, particularly by gram-negative bacilli. 

Because it is imperative to examine multiple stool samples to make a correct diagnosis, it is important to note that failure to detect larvae in a stool examination does not necessarily indicate the unequivocal absence of the infection [1, 2]. Hence, there is a great need for a highly specific and efficient serodiagnostic test for *S. stercoralis* that has the potential to be used even in multiple helminth infections. Several immunodiagnostic assays have been tested over the years, with limited success, including skin testing with larval extracts, indirect immunoflu-
cence analysis of fixed larvae, radioallergosorbent testing for specific IgE, and gelatin particle agglutination [1, 2, 39, 61–63]. An ELISA test (Strongyloides antibody) for detecting the serum IgG against a crude extract of the filariform larvae of S. stercoralis is available only at specialized centers [1, 2, 39, 64–67]. The sensitivity and specificity of this ELISA test can be improved if the serum samples are preincubated with Onchocerca antigens before testing [64, 68].

The specificity question of this ELISA test has been thoroughly reviewed recently. Briefly, Genta [66] claimed that the ELISA was 88% sensitive, 99% specific, and had positive and negative predictive values of 97% and 95%, respectively. By use of state-of-the-art statistical models, in another study of Indochinese refugees in Canada, the ELISA was calculated to be 95% sensitive, 29% specific, and to have positive and negative predictive values of 30%, and 95%, respectively [69]. The difficulty in calculating diagnostic efficiency parameters can be attributed to the absence of a definitive gold standard for diagnosing S. stercoralis infection [70]. In population-based studies, it is widely believed that stool examination generally underestimates the prevalence, whereas serological examination generally overestimates it [1]. It has been argued that by use of a Bayesian approach, it is possible to make simultaneous inferences about the population prevalence and the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of each diagnostic test [70]. Furthermore, demonstration of antibodies, even when correct, does not distinguish between past and current infection; and it is difficult in many cases to know whether or not low-level autoinfection is continuing [1]. This is mainly because antibody levels remain detectable for years after anthelmintic treatment [1, 2].

The Strongyloides antibody test shows cross-reactivity with other helminth infections, including filariasis, Ascaris lumbricoides infection, and acute schistosomiasis [65, 71], but for the general population in the United States, these are rarely included in the differential diagnosis of symptomatic strongyloidiasis [2]. However, this does not hold true with respect to veterans and international travelers, because these populations may have been exposed to cross-reactive antigens of other helminths while on trips outside the United States. Furthermore, helminths that contain cross-reactive antigens have the ability to persist long-term in the host and the tendency to produce circulating antibodies that can be detected for many years after exposure [72]. On a practical basis, this test is unlikely to be available for a wider use, because a constant supply of the S. stercoralis filariform larvae is needed to obtain the crude antigen preparation and an abundant supply of Onchocerca antigens is also required for presoaking of serum samples before performing an ELISA. The major value of serological examination is that it provides a screening test that, if positive, can stimulate a further search for the parasite [1, 2].

To improve the serodiagnosis of S. stercoralis, a number of proteins on the surface or in the excretory or secretory products of S. stercoralis infective larvae have been identified [1], some of which are shown to be immunoreactive with serum samples from infected humans [73, 74]. There is considerable variability among such serum samples; the number of reactive bands ranges from 1 to >18. However, the most prominent antigenic proteins of S. stercoralis appear to be of 28, 31, and 41 kDa in size [75, 76]. However, the specificity of these antigens has yet to be tested. Two S. stercoralis recombinant antigens, 5a and 12a, which show no cross-reactivity with serum samples from patients with filarial or nonstrongyloid intestinal nematode infections, have also been identified [77]. IgE and IgG4 antibodies against antigens 5a and 12a were also detected in patients infected with Strongyloides [77]. We have identified several bona fide antigens of S. stercoralis that are recognized by the host’s immune system in natural human infections. Three of these antigens (P1, P4, and P5) exhibit no immunoreactivity with serum samples obtained from people infected with schistosomes, filarial parasites, hookworms, and Onchocerca [78].

Screening of a S. stercoralis complementary DNA library with affinity-purified antibodies against antigens P1, P4, and P5 has led to the identification of these antigens as oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase [79, 80]. Studies are under way to express S. stercoralis oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase in a baculovirus–insect cell system. The recombinant proteins generated will be used to develop antibody and antigen capture assays for the detection of S. stercoralis. It is expected that a test based on these 3 antigens will be extremely useful in detecting chronic, latent, and de novo infections of S. stercoralis and in monitoring the effectiveness of therapy. Such a test can also be used to detect S. stercoralis in multiple parasite infections.

Strongylooidiasis is a difficult infection to treat because, for many helminth infections, a treatment is considered sufficient if worm burden is below the level at which clinical disease develops [1, 2]. In S. stercoralis, however, only complete eradication of parasites removes the danger of potentially serious disease—that is, any truly effective anthelmintic must kill every autoinfective L3 larvae, which are relatively resistant to chemical agents [1, 2]. Additionally, the poor sensitivity of diagnostic stool examination makes it even harder to determine the efficacy of treatment, because a true cure cannot be pronounced on the basis of negative findings of a follow-up stool examination alone. Thiabendazole (Mintezol; Merck & Company) has been the drug of choice for the treatment of strongyloidiasis, despite the associated gastrointestinal side effects and a high relapse rate [1, 56]. However, recent studies have shown that ivermectin (Stromectol; Merck & Company) is the best drug for the treatment of uncomplicated S. stercoralis infection [81].
It is well tolerated and has a higher cure rate than thiabendazole. Other drugs, such as mebendazole (Vermox; McNeil Consumer Healthcare) and albendazole, have had variable therapeutic efficacy [2]. Ivermectin has been found to be the most effective drug in treating disseminated strongyloidiasis [82] in patients with chronic intestinal disease, including children [83] and adults [84]. Recently, ivermectin has also been registered as the drug of choice in the World Health Organization’s list of essential drugs for the treatment of S. stercoralis [85].

In summary, stool examination is currently the primary technique for the detection of S. stercoralis infection. If the diagnosis is strongly suspected and special techniques are not available, several specimens collected on different days should be examined. Generally, there are no distinctive clinical symptoms that suggest infection, although guaiac-positive stools and eosinophilia are common among infected patients. Almost all deaths due to helminths in the United States result from S. stercoralis hyperinfection [86, 87]. Mortality rates due to hyperinfection can be as high as 87% [88]. Because most of the fatal infections caused by S. stercoralis can be prevented by early detection and treatment of asymptomatic chronic infections, a comprehensive screening program that includes examination of eosinophilia should be applied to detect latent S. stercoralis infection before the start of chemotherapy, before immunosuppression, and before initiating steroid therapy for patients in endemic areas who are at risk.
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