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S. BAS, T. CUNNINGHAM, T. K. KVIEN,* A. GLENNAS,* K. MELBY† and T. L. VISCHER

Division of Rheumatology, University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland. *Oslo City Department of Rheumatology, Norwegian Lutheran Hospital, Oslo and †Department of Microbiology, Ullevål University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

SUMMARY

In clinical rheumatology, the diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis is difficult because an incomplete form of the disease can closely resemble an undifferentiated seronegative mono/oligoarthritis. We investigated whether measuring specific isotypes of anti-Chlamydia antibodies in serum can improve the diagnosis, by comparing such antibody concentrations in the serum of patients with well-defined disease, i.e., Chlamydia trachomatis sexually acquired reactive arthritis (CT-SARA), with other arthritides. Antibody levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). When considering two different isotypes and their combination, the best sensitivity (63%) was obtained for IgM and/or IgA results with a specificity of 81%. The patients with CT-SARA and SARA had the highest levels of antibodies of all isotypes tested. It is concluded that, in our experimental conditions, only very high values of specific isotypes could indicate a diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis.
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Chlamydia has been shown to induce arthritis in man mostly after sexually acquired genitourinary infection [1]. Several studies [2–6] have shown that an acute Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infection was present in 36–69% of patients, immediately before the onset of sexually acquired reactive arthritis (SARA), even in the absence of clinical symptoms of urethritis. An incomplete form of reactive arthritis without a history of an initial infectious event can closely resemble an undifferentiated seronegative mono/oligoarthritis. Kingsley and Sieper [7] reported that reactive arthritis with a subclinical rather than a clinical triggering infection is common, but is not often recognized as such. It is well known that some chlamydial infections in humans can be inapparent [8] and CT has been found in urogenital samples of patients without appropriate symptoms and mono/oligoarthritis [9–11]. Chlamydia pneumoniae may also trigger undifferentiated oligoarthritis [12].

In current practice, the diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis is difficult and based on clinical suspicion, the demonstration of organisms in genitourinary specimens and/or the detection of serum antibodies. The role of serological testing in diagnosis remains controversial because antibodies against Chlamydia are frequent in the general population. Epidemiological studies have revealed a prevalence of positive antibody tests for this organism in ~5% of the normal population [13, 14] and in 10–45% of patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in Western Europe and North America [8].

Wollenhaupt et al. [15] found that the determination of Chlamydia-specific serum antibodies of the IgA class may yield further diagnostic information in IgG-positive patients with suspected chlamydial-induced arthritis. However, Sieper et al. [16] determined the value of specific antibody detection in the diagnosis of reactive arthritis and concluded that no test had a significant positive predictive value (PPV) for reactive arthritis in the general population and, even in the rheumatology clinic, the PPV was low.

We therefore investigated whether measuring specific isotypes of anti-Chlamydia antibodies in serum could improve the diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis by comparing such antibody concentrations in the serum of patients with well-defined disease, i.e., CT-SARA, with other arthritides. We have determined the concentrations of serum IgG, IgM and IgA antibodies against Chlamydia by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method in 103 patients with different forms of arthritis (30 having a well-characterized CT-SARA), and have compared the results obtained for one, two or three isotypes in CT-SARA with those in other forms of arthritis and in healthy blood donors.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Serum samples came from our serum collection and have been kept for various times at −70°C. The diagnosis was taken from the chart at the time of sample collection. All the patients were questioned about possible genitourinary infection (urethritis, dysuria, discharge?).

Patients were divided into the following groups. 1. CT-SARA (n = 30): asymmetrical mono/oligoarthritis with urethritis and evidence of C. trachomatis infection (five had a positive urethral/endocervical Chlamydia...
antigen detection by direct immunofluorescence (IF), 24 had a positive urethral/endocervical Chlamydia culture and had been included in a study on reactive arthritis [11], one had a positive urethral Chlamydia DNA amplification with the Amplicor test of Roche Diagnostic Systems Inc. (Branchburg, NJ); median age (yr): 25, range: 18-58; 20% were female patients; HLA-B27: 12 positive, 13 negative patients, five not determined. 2. SARA (n = 23): asymmetrical mono/o oligoarthritides with urethritis, but without proof or evidence for CT infection (15 had a negative urethral/endocervical Chlamydia detection, for eight patients the detection was not performed); median age (yr): 36, range: 19-62; 13% were female patients; HLA-B27: seven positive, eight negative patients, eight not determined. 3. Undifferentiated rheumatoid factor-negative mono/oligoarthritides (n = 56): 1-4 joints, asymmetrical, mainly lower extremities; absence of evidence for another defined rheumatic disease; median age (yr): 40, range: 16-77; 46% were female patients; HLA-B27: six positive, 25 negative patients, 25 not determined. 4. Rheumatoid factor-positive rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (n = 37): median age (yr): 63, range: 24-83; 70% were female patients. 5. Crystal-induced arthritis (n = 17): gout (8), chondrocalcinosis (9); median age (yr): 51, range: 33-88; 24% were female patients. 6. Mechanical arthropathies (n = 19): osteoarthritis (9), femoropatellar chondropathies (3), post-traumatic arthropathies (3), meniscus lesion (3), fracture (1); median age (yr): 58, range: 22-80; 63% were female patients. The urethral/endocervical Chlamydia detection was not performed for the negative disease groups (4, 5 and 6) and was presumed to be negative.

The healthy control group was composed of 100 blood donors; median age (yr): 44, range: 22-68; 37% were female individuals.

Materials

Flat-well microtitre plates (Immunoplate I, catalogue no. 439454) were purchased from Nunc (Life Technologies, Basel, Switzerland), CT LGV-1 + Chlamydia psittaci 6BC antigen preparation from Virion (Cham, Switzerland), fetal calf serum (FCS) from Gibco (Life Technologies, Basel, Switzerland) and F(ab')2 fragment of polyclonal goat IgG anti-human IgG, IgM or IgA from Cappel (Embrach, Switzerland).

Measurements of anti-Chlamydia antibodies by ELISA

The strains used for the Chlamydia antigen preparation were CT LGV-1 and C. psittaci 6BC, both obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. They were grown in the Vero cell line, disrupted by homogenization and an elementary body-enriched fraction separated by sucrose density-gradient differential centrifugation. The control antigen was prepared in parallel using non-infected cells. Flat-well microtitre plates were used as the solid phase and 'coating' overnight at 4°C with 100 µl/well of Chlamydia antigen or control antigen protein (1.5 µg/ml), dissolved in carbonate 'coating' buffer (pH 9.6). FCS (1%) was used to saturate the microplates after 'coating' (100 µl/well for 1 h at room temperature). Dilutions (usually 1/20, 1/40, 1/80, more if necessary) of the same sample in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.05% Tween 20 were tested for Chlamydia antibodies and for anticellular antibodies (100 µl/well for 2 h at room temperature). Antibody was detected with alkaline phosphatase-labelled (conjugation according to Voller et al. [17]) F(ab')2; fragment of polyclonal goat IgG anti-human IgG (Fc specific), IgM (µ chain specific) or IgA (α chain specific) (100 µl/well for 2 h at room temperature). The working dilutions of the alkaline phosphatase-labelled antibodies were determined after calibration with the previous homemade preparation and were usually 1/600. Substrate (100 µl/well of 1 mg/ml p-nitrophenyl phosphate in 10% diethanolamine) was added for 1 h at room temperature. The colour development was stopped by addition of 25 µl/well of 3 m NaOH.

The optical density (OD) value obtained for the control antigen was subtracted from the OD value obtained for chlamydial antigen. A plot of the OD versus sample dilutions for high-positive sera used as standard and different patient sera showed parallel curves. Antibodies were quantified by comparing the OD at 410 nm of three serial 2-fold dilutions with standard curves using a Dynatech MR 5000 Microplate reader linked to a Macintosh computer equipped with the Biocalc program [18]. Antibody concentrations were expressed as relative units (1 unit is the value obtained from a 10^-4 dilution of a reference serum). Antibody titres of sera from 100 healthy blood donors were measured, and values at least 3 s.D. higher than the mean antibody concentration of these sera were defined as positive.

Calculations

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, false positives and false negatives were calculated as described by Griner et al. [19]. A sample was designated 'true positive' if it came from a patient with CT-SARA and antibodies of the isotype concerned (level greater than the mean of 40 donor + 3 s.D.). A sample was designated 'true negative' if it was obtained from a patient who had a diagnosis of RA, crystal-induced arthritis or mechanical arthropathy and had no antibody of the isotype concerned (level less than the mean of 40 donor + 3 s.D.). Thus, sensitivity = true positives/true positives + false negatives, specificity = true negatives/true negatives + false positives, positive predictive value = true positives/true positives + false positives, negative predictive value = true negatives/true negatives + false negatives, false positive rate = false positives/total patients without disease, false negative rate = false negatives/total patients with disease.

RESULTS

Serum concentrations of antibodies against Chlamydia

The distributions obtained for IgG, IgM and IgA in
the six diagnostic groups of patients and healthy blood donors are given in Fig. 1. We observed that the groups of patients with CT-SARA and with SARA had the highest levels of antibodies of all isotypes tested. They were the only groups which had positive mean antibody concentrations (greater than the mean + 3 S.D. of the 100 blood donors' serum values) for all isotypes, except for the IgM of CT-SARA which was just below the cut-off. For the group of patients with undifferentiated mono/oligoarthritis, the means were lower than the mean + 3 S.D. of the 100 blood donors' serum values, but were always higher than those obtained for the control groups. Furthermore, we observed that with higher cut-off (greater than the mean of the 100 blood donors' serum values + 4 S.D. for IgG, +6 S.D. for IgM, +9 S.D. for IgA), except for one IgA value from group 4, positive values would be only found in the CT-SARA, SARA and undifferentiated mono/oligoarthritis groups of patients.

For individual or isotype combinations, the percentages of samples with antibody concentration greater than the mean + 3 S.D. of antibody concentration obtained for donors are given in Table I. Patients and donors with a value higher than the mean + 3 S.D. of antibody concentration obtained for donors,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>IgG only</th>
<th>IgM only</th>
<th>IgA only</th>
<th>IgG + IgM</th>
<th>IgG + IgA</th>
<th>IgM + IgA</th>
<th>IgG or IgM or IgA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chlamydia trachomatis sexually acquired reactive arthritis (n = 30)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sexually acquired reactive arthritis (n = 23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Undifferentiated seronegative mono/oligoarthritis (n = 56)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rheumatoid arthritis (n = 37)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Crystal-induced arthritis (n = 17)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Mechanical arthropathies (n = 19)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Controls (blood donors) (n = 100)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
considered positive for *Chlamydia* antibodies, were observed in all groups tested. The prevalence of positive values was highest in the group of patients with CT-SARA: 73% had at least one positive isotype. In the group of patients with SARA, 71% had at least one positive isotype and in the group of patients with undifferentiated seronegative mono/oligoarthritis, 53%. In all other groups, positive patients were found for any of the three isotypes, reaching 36% for the group of patients with crystal-induced arthritis and 37% for the group of mechanical arthropathies. As shown in Fig. 1, most of the positive values obtained with groups 4–6, used as controls, were just slightly higher than the cut-off limit.

No difference was observed between the HLA-B27-positive and -negative patients, either for the mean antibody concentration of the different isotypes or for the prevalence of positive values.

**Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, false-positives and false-negatives obtained for the different isotypes and their combinations**

These values were calculated as described by Griner *et al.* [19] and are presented in Table II. With two different isotypes and their combination, the best sensitivity (63%) was obtained when considering IgM and/or IgA results with a specificity of 81%. The negative predictive value was 84%.

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, antibody responses against *Chlamydia* were detected in sera of mainly two groups of patients. One group is composed of patients with definite, suspected or possible *Chlamydia*-related rheumatic disease, the other of patients with well-defined rheumatic diseases unrelated to *Chlamydia*. Since it is well known that antibodies to *C. trachomatis* are present in a large number of cases with no clinical or microbiological evidence of chlamydial infection [13, 14], it was important to examine the antibody levels in healthy blood donors. We considered the results obtained for a group of 100 blood donors to provide a baseline for the positive antibody levels. In order to improve specificity, we applied a relatively high limit for a positive antibody level (3 S.D. above the mean value for blood donors). In these conditions, the percentage of individuals with positive antibody levels in our blood donor population was 5%, a percentage which is in agreement with previous studies [13, 14]. In our control groups with defined non-*Chlamydia* rheumatic diseases (RA, crystal-induced arthritis and mechanical arthropathies), the percentage of patients with positive antibody levels was 22%. Therefore, these patients have been exposed to *Chlamydia* at a higher rate than our blood donor population. The exposure to *C. pneumoniae* could be responsible for some of the positive results since the proportion of normal individuals with anti-*C. pneumoniae* antibodies increases with age [20–22]. Indeed, the median ages of the RA, crystal-induced arthritis and mechanical arthropathy groups of patients (63, 51, 58) were higher than that of the healthy control group (44) which was, however, at middle distance between both groups of patients, the median age of the CT-SARA group being 25. This points out the difficulty of getting an age-matched control group for this type of study, since most of the rheumatic diseases with no *Chlamydia* implication, chosen for the control group of patients, occur in elderly population.

In addition to the presence of positive anti-*Chlamydia* antibody levels in the general population, another difficulty is the absence of antibodies in some of the patients with well-defined *Chlamydia*-involved rheumatic disease, i.e. CT-SARA. Our results showed that 27% of these patients had no anti-*Chlamydia* antibodies. Other authors have made similar observations [3, 9, 23]. Several explanations could be proposed. It is possible that antibodies are synthesized, but bind to antigen with high affinity and are not free for detection. It could also be hypothesized that, in some instances, chlamydial antigens are not processed or not presented in the context of HLA class II antigens or not recognized by CD4+ T cells and could thus escape immune surveillance. This absence of an immune response could be influenced by genetic factors of the host or by the state of the bacteria. Indeed,
in Chlamydia-associated reactive arthritis, atypical chlamydial forms have been observed [24]. They appear identical to persisting chlamydiae described in vitro, known to have a decrease in the levels of the major structural constituents [25].

In spite of these observations, which lower both the sensitivity and specificity of any serological test used for the diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis, we determined the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value for each isotype and their combination. It has been suggested that the consideration of particular isotypes, mainly IgM and IgA, may be diagnostically useful. As IgG might remain in the circulation for periods in excess of 1 yr after cessation of apparent infection [3], the significance of raised IgG titres seems uncertain [3], because it is difficult to differentiate between a current or previous infection. The short duration of the IgM antibody response should indicate a recent or current chlamydial infection [3]. The detection of IgA indicates prolonged B-cell stimulation by a persistent agent since their half-life is only 5–6 days in normal subjects [26].

For our calculations, we took positive patients as those from group 1 (CT-SARA), and negative patients from groups 5 (RA), 6 (crystal-induced arthritis) and 7 (mechanical arthropathies). The main problem was the low sensitivity of this type of determination (between 0 and 73%). The specificity was always >78%. With two different isotypes and their combination, the best sensitivity (63%) was obtained when considering IgM and/or IgA results, with a specificity of 81%. The negative predictive value was 84%; therefore the absence of IgM and/or IgA could be helpful in order to eliminate suspicion, but is not sufficient since there would be 19% false positives and 37% false negatives. Thus, a negative serological test would not provide reasonable evidence that subclinical infection is not present. Our results agree with those of Sieper et al. [16].

With a higher cut-off (greater than the mean of the 100 blood donors' serum values + 4 S.D. for IgG, + 6 S.D. for IgM, + 9 S.D. for IgA), it was possible to get a specificity of 100% for IgG and IgM, and of 99% for IgA. The sensitivity was decreased slightly for IgG and by about half for IgM and IgA. However, in these conditions of analysis, most of the positive values (except one) were found in groups of patients with proven or possible CT-SARA. In the group of patients with an undifferentiated mono/oligoarthritis, some individual values were very high and suggest the presence of Chlamydia infection in some of these patients. High antibody titres are thus useful for diagnosis.

To summarize, our results suggest that, in our experimental conditions, only very high values of specific isotypes of anti-Chlamydia antibodies in serum could indicate a diagnosis of Chlamydia reactive arthritis.

It is possible that a better antigen preparation could increase both sensitivity and specificity. Arthritogen proteins or peptides could be used instead of elementary or reticulate body preparations, but recent results [27] are not promising for the determination of these target proteins or peptides.
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