An *XMM–Newton* observation of Abell 2597
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ABSTRACT

We report on a 120-ks *XMM–Newton* observation of the galaxy cluster Abell 2597 (A2597). Results from both the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) and the Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS) are presented. From EPIC we obtain radial profiles of temperature, density and abundance, and use these to derive cooling time and entropy. We illustrate corrections to these profiles for projection and point spread function (PSF) effects. At the spatial resolution available to *XMM–Newton*, the temperature declines by around a factor of 2 in the central 150 kpc or so in radius, and the abundance increases from about one-fifth to over one-half solar. The cooling time is less than 10 Gyr inside a radius of 130 kpc. EPIC fits to the central region are consistent with a cooling flow of around 100 solar masses per year. Broad-band fits to the RGS spectra extracted from the central 2 arcmin are also consistent with a cooling flow of the same magnitude; with a preferred low-temperature cut-off of essentially zero. The data appear to suggest (albeit at low significance levels below formal detection limits) the presence of the important thermometer lines from Fe XVI at 15–17 Å rest wavelength, characteristic of gas at temperatures ~0.3 keV. The measured flux in each line is converted to a mass-deposition estimate by comparison with a classical cooling flow model, and once again values at the level of 100 solar masses per year are obtained. These mass-deposition rates, whilst lower than those of previous generations of X-ray observatories, are consistent with those obtained from ultraviolet data for this object. This raises the possibility of a classical cooling flow, at the level of around 100 solar masses per year, cooling from 4 keV by more than two orders of magnitude in temperature.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Abell 2597 (A2597) is a relatively nearby (z ≈ 0.08), Abell richness class 0 cluster of galaxies. Rich in features, it has been studied extensively in many wavebands.

The central cD galaxy contains the radio source PMN J2323–1207 (PKS B2322–12) (Wright & Otrupcek 1990; Griffith et al. 1994). The total flux density of this source is ~1.7 Jy at 1.5 GHz (McNamara et al. 2001; Owen, White & Burns 1992), and ~0.3 Jy at 5.0 GHz (Ball, Burns & Loken 1993), implying a fairly steep spectral index α = −1.3 (S ν ~ να). The source is physically small, ~7 × 5.5 × 2 arcsec at 1.5, 5.0 GHz, respectively. The source is only moderately resolved at these frequencies, but at the higher frequency has an elongated appearance suggesting a double-lobed structure. At 8.44 GHz (Sarazin et al. 1995), the source is resolved into a nucleus and two lobes (~5 arcsec across), orientated roughly NE–SW. A jet is clearly seen leading from the nucleus on the SW. This jet undergoes a sharp (~90°) bend about 0.5 arcsec from the nucleus. Assuming a typical spectral index for the jets of ~0.7, the inferred lobe spectral index is ~ −1.5, suggestive of confinement and synchrotron ageing. Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) 1.3, 5.0 GHz observations of the central 0.3 arcsec (Taylor et al. 1999) show an inverted spectrum (α = 0.6) core, together with straight, symmetric jets emanating from both sides.

A2597 has previously been observed in X-rays by various observatories, for example: *Einstein* (Crawford et al. 1989); *ROSAT* (Sarazin et al. 1995; Sarazin & McNamara 1997; Peres et al. 1998); *ASCA* (White 2000); *Chandra* (McNamara et al. 2001); *XMM–Newton* (Still & Mushotzky 2002). Traditionally, it has been classified as a moderately strong cooling flow (e.g. Fabian 1994) cluster, with inferred X-ray mass-deposition rate (M cool yr⁻¹): 270 ± 41 (*ROSAT* PSPC; Peres et al. 1998), r cool = 152±52 (kpc); 259±178 (ASCA; White 2000).

A short (~20 ks of good time) *Chandra* observation (McNamara et al. 2001) highlighted the interaction between the radio source and the X-ray gas, through the presence of so-called ‘ghost cavities’ of low surface brightness, seemingly coincident with spurs of low frequency (1.4 GHz) radio emission. Such brightness depressions are believed to be buoyantly rising bubbles (e.g. Birzan et al. 2004) associated with a prior (~10⁸ yr previously, in this case) outburst of
the central radio source. The small physical size of the radio source means, however, that these issues are not amenable to study with XMM–Newton, given its ~5-arcsec point spread function (PSF).

In the Chandra and XMM–Newton era of high spatial and spectral resolution, the cooling flow model is undergoing re-interpretation. The cause is quite simply an absence (or at best a severe weakness) of the spectral features expected from gas at the low-end (<1 keV, say; though there does not appear to be a fixed absolute cut-off) of the X-ray temperature regime in the observed spectra of cluster central regions. Reductions in temperature by factors of a few (e.g. Kaastra et al. 2004) with radius are seen. The expected emission lines for several important low-temperature species (e.g. the Fe xvii 15- and 17-Å lines) do not seem to be present at the levels predicted by simple models. This is a trend that appears to be repeated in many clusters that have traditionally been thought to harbour cooling flows (e.g. Peterson et al. 2003). Many explanations (e.g. Fabian et al. 2001; Peterson et al. 2001) for this effect have been put forward; some involving suppression of the gas cooling, some involving departures from standard collisionally ionized radiative cooling. After a flirtation with thermal conduction (but see e.g. Soker 2003), theoretical studies at present seem to be concentrated on some form of distributed heating from a central active galactic nucleus (AGN, e.g. Dalla Vecchia et al. 2004; Reynolds et al. 2004; Ruszkowski, Brüggen & Begelman 2004), effected by buoyant plasma bubbles.

Observations at ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths suggest that A2597 may be a particularly interesting object for study in light of these issues. A2597, together with the $z = 0.06$ cluster Abell 1795, was observed with the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE; Moos et al. 2000) mission by Oegerle et al. (2001). FUSE is sensitive to the O vi 1032–1038-Å resonance lines for several important low-temperature species (e.g. the He + [N ii] luminosity is $2.7 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, making it among the most luminous in the sample of Heckman et al. (1989). The Hα luminosity is many ($\sim$300) times greater than that expected from extrapolating the classical $\sim 10^7$ K X-ray mass-flow rates down to $\sim 10^4$ K, a general feature of such nebulae. The discrepancy is made even worse if the relative sizes of the nebulae and X-ray cooling regions are taken into account. The deep optical spectra of Voit & Donahue (1997) reveal that the A2597 nebula has $T \sim 10^5$ K, $Z \sim 0.5 Z_{\odot}$, $n_e \sim 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and is significantly reddened by (intrinsic, owing to the low Galactic column) dust. The low inferred column density $N_{H_1} \sim 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ relative to that of H I suggests that thin ionized H I layers surround cold neutral H I cores. The nebula has a low ionization parameter, most species being only singly ionized. Photoionization from hot stars is the only ionization mechanism not ruled out by the observations, but even the hottest O stars (in isolation) would not be able to heat the nebula to the observed temperature. Some form of heat transfer from the intracluster medium (ICM) may supply a comparable amount of energy to the nebula.

The core of the central clO exhibits a significant blue optical excess (e.g. McNamara & O’Connell 1993; Sarazin et al. 1995). HST observations (Koekemoer et al. 1999) resolve this excess into continuum emission around and across the radio lobes, and several knots to the south-west. Line-dominated (thereby not optical synchrotron or inverse Compton in origin) excess appears in bright arcs around the edge of the radio lobes, and more diffusely across the lobe faces. Such geometry is suggestive of an emission shell surrounding the radio lobes. A bright optical knot is also seen coincident with the southern radio hot spot, consistent with a massive clump responsible for the deflection of the southern jet. The central region also shows strong dust obscuration, resolved into several large (several hundred parsecs) regions.

In the infrared, vibrational molecular hydrogen emission lines characteristic of $\sim 1000$–$2000$ K collisionally ionized gas have been detected from the innermost $\sim 3$ arcsec of the central cluster galaxy (Falcke et al. 1998; Donahue et al. 2000; Edge et al. 2002). As with the optical nebula, there is too much emission as compared to the expectation from even an inordinately massive classical cooling flow, and yet too little mass for this to be the final reservoir of a standard, long-lived flow. Furthermore, the molecular gas is dusty, and therefore unlikely to be the direct condensate of the hot ICM (where dust is rapidly destroyed). Again as with the optical light, there is a complex filamentary structure that seems to be spatially associated with the radio source. The optical and infrared properties are both consistent with UV heating of the gas by a population of young, hot stars (Donahue et al. 2000) [star formation rate ~ few $M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$]. A2597 also has a possible CO detection (Edge 2001); indicative of very cold, molecular gas.

The Galactic column density in the direction of A2597 ($l = 65.4, b = -64.8$) has the relatively low value $2.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman 1990; Stark et al. 1992). Recently, Barnes & Nulsen (2003) have shown that the 5σ limit on any fluctuation in the foreground HI column in this direction, on scales ~1 arcmin, is $0.43 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (17 per cent).

Intrinsic H I 21 cm absorption towards the central radio source was detected by O’Dea, Baum & Gallimore (1994). A narrow (~200 km s$^{-1}$), redshifted (~300 km s$^{-1}$), spatially unresolved component coincident with the nucleus is inferred to be a gas clump falling on to the clO. A broad (~400 km s$^{-1}$), spatially extended (~3 arcsec, i.e. the size of the radio source) component at the systemic velocity is consistent with being associated with the (hence photon bounded) Hα. The inferred column densities and masses...
Earth scatter through the mirror system, and are responsible for periods of intense background flaring, where the instrument count rate can rise by orders of magnitude. Such intervals can only be dealt with by excising them from further analysis using a rate-based GTI filtering. Energetic charged particles that pass through the detector excite fluorescent emission lines in several of the constituent components. The strong spatial variation of some components of this emission (Freyberg et al. 2002a; Freyberg, Pfeffermann & Briel 2002b; Lumb 2002) makes it preferable to extract a background from the same region of the detector as the source. For the analysis of extended sources, the use of blank-sky background templates (Lumb 2002) is therefore to be desired.

For A2597, cluster emission is detected up to around 8 and 9 keV in the MOS and pn, respectively. The pn exhibits strong fluorescence lines of Ni, Cu and Zn around 8 keV. The only non-negligible fluorescent line visible in the pn data below 8 keV is the 1.5-keV Al line. Unlike the Cu (for example) line, this line does not vary spatially over the detector (Freyberg et al. 2002b), as it originates in the camera housing. Cutting off the spectra at 7.3 keV removes very few counts, and means that the only background line remaining in the pn is not spatially varying. This frees us from the need to extract background spectra from the same spatial region of the pn detector, i.e. enables us to use a local background. This is desirable because it removes the vagaries of scaling and varying cosmic background necessarily associated with the use of a blank-sky background (see below); and it allows us greater freedom in the filtering of background flares. Additionally, comparison of the off-source pn spectra for the A2597 and blank-sky fields reveals that the 8-keV fluorescence lines are shifted to a lower energy by about 20 eV in the latter. This almost certainly represents a change in calibration between the processing of the blank-sky templates and our reprocessing of the ODFs for A2597 (the effect of such a shift would of course be largely restricted to an increase in $\chi^2$ in those channels lying around the fluorescent line energies).

The only significant fluorescent lines in the MOS data are the Al and Si lines at 1.5 and 1.7 keV, respectively (the Cr, Mn and Fe lines around 6 keV are seen to be negligible). Both these features exhibit strong spatial variation (Lumb 2002) over the MOS field of view (hereinafter FOV). Using a local background for the MOS is therefore not as viable a proposition as it is for the pn.

In order to be able to make use of the blank-sky EPIC background templates of Lumb (2002), we use the same form of filtering process as employed in the construction of those files. That is, we make a light curve (e.g. Fig. 1) in 100-s time bins of the high-energy (PI > 10000) single pixel (PATTERN == 0) events across the whole detector. The standard XMM event selection flags #XMMEA_EM and #XMMEA_EP are applied for the MOS and pn, respectively (these exclude cosmic rays, events in bad frames, etc.).

Our preferred filtering method is to form a histogram of the number of events in the 100-s time bins of the light curve, fit the core of the distribution (i.e. ignoring the high count rate tail) with a Gaussian, and exclude periods where the count rate lies more than $n\sigma$ away from the mean. Unfortunately, for MOS1 (and the pn, but this is not an issue because we use a local background in this case) the $2\sigma$ count rate thresholds lie above the filtration limits used in the creation of the background events templates (0.2 and 0.45 ct s$^{-1}$ for the MOS and pn, respectively). In order to make use of the MOS1 background template we therefore apply the same absolute count rate filtering to this instrument as was used to make the background events file. For MOS2, the $2\sigma$ count rate threshold is 0.13 ct s$^{-1}$, and this absolute value is used to filter both the observation events file, and to refilter the background template events.

### 2 EUROPEAN PHOTON IMAGING CAMERA DATA REDUCTION

A2597 was observed by XMM–Newton on 2003 June 27–28 (observation ID 0147330101), during revolution 0650. The nominal length of the observation was 120 ks, unfortunately the exposure was heavily affected by background flaring (see Fig. 1). The thin optical blocking filter was applied to the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC). The MOS (Turner et al. 2001) and pn (Strüder et al. 2001) detectors were both operated in full frame mode.

EPIC events files were regenerated from the observation data files (ODFs) using the SAS meta-tasks EPCHAIN and EMCHAIN. For EMCHAIN, standard options were used, except that bad pixel detection was switched on. EPCHAIN was run in two steps in order to merge in the instrument house-keeping good time interval (GTI) data (which are otherwise ignored) before the final events list was created.

#### 2.1 Background filtering and subtraction

The EPIC background is comprised of a number of components: proton, particle, photon. Soft protons in the magnetosphere of the...
file to the same level. For the pn, the 2σ count rate threshold is 0.54 ct s\(^{-1}\).

We strengthen the filtering by requiring that all GTIs be at least 10 min long. The GTI files are aligned on the frame boundaries of the relevant events files before being applied. The mean remaining ONTIME of the events files after filtering in this way are 39 (pn), 37 (MOS1) and 38 ks (MOS2).

It is known that the particle background can vary from observation to observation at around the 10 per cent level (Freyberg et al. 2002a). We therefore scale the products (images and spectra) of the blank-sky MOS events file before they are used to correct the source products. The scaling factor is obtained from the ratio of the count rates outside the FOV, where the only events should be the result of particle-induced fluorescence rather than from actual photons passing through the mirror system. In detail, we find the number of counts remaining in the GTI-filtered data after applying the \textsc{evselect} filtering criterion #XMMEA\_16 \&\& FLAG \& 0x766a0f63 \(\rightarrow\) 0 \&\& PI in [200:12000] \&\& PATTERN \(\leq\) 12, where the flag expression selects events outside the MOS FOV but with no other non-zero flag settings. Combining this figure with the exposure time gives an out-of-FOV count rate which is used to normalize the background template products. In this way, we obtain scaling factors of 1.02 and 0.91 for the MOS1 and MOS2 backgrounds, respectively. To allow for some uncertainty in the normalization, we assign a 10 per cent systematic error to the blank-sky products, which is added in quadrature to the statistical errors.

The \textsc{sas} task \textsc{attcalc} was used to reproject the blank-sky templates to the same sky position as the Abell 2597 observation, so that the same sky coordinate selection expressions could be applied to both the science and the background fields.

Below 5 keV or so, the cosmic X-ray background (CXB) dominates (Lumb 2002) over the internal camera background. If there were no significant variation of the CXB over the sky, then the blank-sky templates would also represent this background component. To allow for any changes in the CXB in the region of the target, a so-called double background subtraction approach can be used. The large FOV of \textit{XMM–Newton}, together with the redshift of A2597, result in significant areas of the detectors being essentially free from cluster emission. This enables us to examine the background spectrum from such regions and compare it with those of the (scaled) blank-sky templates extracted from the same detector region.

For this purpose we extracted spectra from an annulus lying between 7 and 10 arcmin (avoiding regions near the edge of the FOV) from the cluster centre, which we take to be located at the emission peak, in sky coordinates \((X, Y) = (23720.5, 23720.5)\). Non-ICM sources in this region were excluded with circular masks of radius at least 30 arcsec. The BACKSCAL \textsc{fits} header keys were corrected for the area so removed.

Initial comparison of the spectra extracted from this region of the A2597 field reveals that the agreement with the blank-sky spectra scaled according to the out-of-FOV count rates is good; despite the relatively poor quality of the science observation as a whole (i.e. the heavy background flaring). There is something of a slight excess of soft (\(\leq 2\) keV) counts in the A2597 field, but not to any great degree.

In summary, we use a local (extracted from 7–10 arcmin in radius) background for the pn. For the MOS, we use the blank-sky templates to deal with the spatially varying Si and Al background lines, corrected by a double-background subtraction approach to account for any (minor) variance in CXB between the science and background fields. For the MOS, we make use of single, double, triple and quadruple pixel events (i.e. PATTERN \(\leq 12\)). For the pn, we use single and double events (PATTERN \(\leq 4\)). In both cases only events with FLAG \(=\) 0 are considered.

### 2.2 Out of time events

When the pn is operated in Full Frame mode, as is the case here, bright sources can be significantly affected by so-called out of time (OOT) events. These are caused by photons which arrive while the CCD is being read out (charge shifted along columns towards the readout node). Such events are assigned a wrong RAWY coordinate and hence an improper charge transfer inefficiency correction. In an uncorrected image, the OOT events owing to a strong source are visible as a bright streak smeared out in RAWY. OOT events act to broaden spectral features.

OOT events can only be corrected for in a statistical sense. We follow the standard procedure of rerunning the \textsc{sas} meta-task \textsc{epchain} with the option withouttime \(=\) y passed to the \textsc{epevents} task. This generates an events file that consists entirely of OOT events, distributed over the range of RAWY present in the data. OOT images and spectra can then be produced in the normal way, and scaled and subtracted from source images and spectra to correct them for the OOT events.

The appropriate scale factor is obtained from the expected fraction of OOT events, which depends on the ratio of the readout time to the frame time. For the pn in Full Frame mode, this is 4.6/73.3 ms = 6.3 per cent (Strüder et al. 2001, table 1). A slight correction to this factor is needed because the version of \textsc{epevents} used does not take account of the fact that in pn Full Frame mode, the first 12 (of 200) rows are marked as bad. Accordingly, the correct scaling factor is \((1 - 12/200)\) 6.3 = 5.9 per cent. In practice, OOT events make little difference to the pn spectral fits.

### 2.3 Response files

The lower limit for the spectral fits was placed at 500 eV for the MOS (following the advice of Kirsch 2003 for post-revolution 450 data; in any case the MOS results are relatively insensitive to the adopted low-energy cut-off). For consistency (and to avoid low-energy residuals) the same limit was applied to the pn data. The high energy cut-off was placed at 7.3 keV, slightly below the maximum detected energy from the cluster, to avoid the background pn fluorescence line complex at 8 keV (as described in Section 2.1).

Spectra were grouped to a minimum of 20 counts per channel to ensure applicability of the \(\chi^2\) statistic.

Redistribution matrix files (RMFs) and ancillary response files (ARFs) were generated using the \textsc{sas} tasks \textsc{rmfgen} and \textsc{arfgen}, respectively. RMFs were made using the standard \textsc{sas} parameters. ARFs were made in extended-source mode, using a low-resolution detector-coordinate image of the spectral region. The image pixel size was chosen according to the size of the extraction region so as to adequately sample the variation in emission, bearing in mind that the version of \textsc{arfgen} employed does not model variations on scales \(\leq 1\) arcmin. Strictly speaking, the images should be exposure weighted (i.e. de-vignetted; Saxton & Siddiqui 2002), but we find this makes almost no difference in practice in this case. Bad pixel locations were taken from the relevant events file. The approach of generating ARFs appropriate to the emission pattern in the spectral extraction region differs from the ‘weighting’ method (e.g. Arnaud et al. 2001) more frequently employed in \textit{XMM–Newton} spectral analyses. We find that the differences in the temperature profiles etc. obtained using the two approaches are negligible (as per Gastaldello et al. 2003).
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TMOS. Importantly, however, freeing \(N_H\) results in a redshift consistent with the optical value.

σ detectors adopt disparate redshifts, with MOS1 and the pn disagreeing a higher reduced so that the background contribution was insignificant, in order to radial range was selected to excise any cooling flow region and to check the agreement between the three EPIC detectors, and to extract spectra from an annulus of radius 1–4 arcmin centred on the cluster emission peak (J2000: RA = 23h25m19.8s, Dec. = −12°07′26″; sky coordinates: X = 23720.5, Y = 23720.5). This radial range was selected to excise any cooling flow region and so that the background contribution was insignificant, in order to have the most simple spectral shape possible. The results of basic single-temperature fits are presented in Table 1.

When a fixed, Galactic absorption is used (model A), the pn has a temperature somewhat below that of the MOS instruments, and a higher reduced \(\chi^2\). If the absorbing column is allowed to vary (model B), then all three detectors prefer a value significantly below Galactic, with the pn \(N_H\) being much lower than that of either MOS. Importantly, however, freeing \(N_H\) results in the MOS and pn \(T\) and \(Z\) values coming in to much closer agreement with each other. The conclusion we may draw from this is that all three detectors exhibit some form of soft excess (whose origin is unclear) with respect to a Galactic absorbing column, more so in the pn; but that when the absorbing column is allowed to be free, the three detectors agree reasonably well with regards to the other cluster properties. When all three detectors are analysed simultaneously, the fits adopt a compromise between the pn and MOS values (there are roughly equal numbers of counts in the pn and two MOS combined).

Model C has a fixed \(N_H\), but freely varying redshift \(z\). The three detectors adopt disparate redshifts, with MOS1 and the pn disagreeing (at the 1σ level) with the nominal optical redshift, 0.0822. Analysis of the RGS data, however, with its higher spectral resolution (see Section 5.2), results in a redshift consistent with the optical value.

Comparing the results of models A and C (which differ only by whether redshift is free or not), we see that the only effects of freeing \(z\) are to reduce \(\chi^2\) somewhat – the results for other parameters such as \(T\) and \(Z\) are unchanged. Accordingly, we have left \(z\) fixed at the optical value in all subsequent EPIC fits.

In Table 2 are shown the results of fitting the entire central 4 arcmin. Now that we include the cooling region, a wider variety of spectral models become relevant. Models A and B are the non-ICM sources in the FOV, though only two of these are within 6 arcmin of the cluster centre. The cluster emission itself displays a relaxed, somewhat elliptical form.

In order to examine the global spectral properties of the cluster, and to check the agreement between the three EPIC detectors, we extracted spectra from an annulus of radius 1–4 arcmin centred on the cluster emission peak (J2000: RA = 23h25m19.8s, Dec. = −12°07′26″; sky coordinates: X = 23720.5, Y = 23720.5). This radial range was selected to excise any cooling flow region and so that the background contribution was insignificant, in order to have the most simple spectral shape possible. The results of basic single-temperature fits are presented in Table 1.

When a fixed, Galactic absorption is used (model A), the pn has a temperature somewhat below that of the MOS instruments, and a higher reduced \(\chi^2\). If the absorbing column is allowed to vary (model B), then all three detectors prefer a value significantly below Galactic, with the pn \(N_H\) being much lower than that of either MOS. Importantly, however, freeing \(N_H\) results in the MOS and pn \(T\) and \(Z\) values coming in to much closer agreement with each other. The conclusion we may draw from this is that all three detectors exhibit some form of soft excess (whose origin is unclear) with respect to a Galactic absorbing column, more so in the pn; but that when the absorbing column is allowed to be free, the three detectors agree reasonably well with regards to the other cluster properties. When all three detectors are analysed simultaneously, the fits adopt a compromise between the pn and MOS values (there are roughly equal numbers of counts in the pn and two MOS combined).

Model C has a fixed \(N_H\), but freely varying redshift \(z\). The three detectors adopt disparate redshifts, with MOS1 and the pn disagreeing (at the 1σ level) with the nominal optical redshift, 0.0822. Analysis of the RGS data, however, with its higher spectral resolution (see Section 5.2), results in a redshift consistent with the optical value.

Comparing the results of models A and C (which differ only by whether redshift is free or not), we see that the only effects of freeing \(z\) are to reduce \(\chi^2\) somewhat – the results for other parameters such as \(T\) and \(Z\) are unchanged. Accordingly, we have left \(z\) fixed at the optical value in all subsequent EPIC fits.

In Table 2 are shown the results of fitting the entire central 4 arcmin. Now that we include the cooling region, a wider variety of spectral models become relevant. Models A and B are the same as in the 1–4 arcmin case. The fits in the 0–4 arcmin region adopt lower temperatures and higher abundances, so that we may expect to see temperature and abundance profiles that decrease and increase respectively with decreasing radius (see Section 4).

The results of model B can be compared directly with those of Allen et al. (2001a), who used ASCA: \(T = 3.38^{+0.06}_{-0.04}\), \(Z = 0.35^{+0.03}_{-0.04}\) and \(N_H = 0.99^{+0.07}_{-0.08}\) (90 per cent confidence limits).

In models D and E we add a second MEKAL component, with an independent temperature and normalization. For all three detectors, the fits are significantly improved. For example, for MOS1 with a free \(N_H\), the change in fit statistic is \(\Delta \chi^2 = -17.9\) for the removal of two degrees of freedom. According to the \(F\)-test, the significance of such an improvement is \((1–3.4 \times 10^{-4})\). Adhering to strict mathematical formalism, the \(F\)-test is not really valid in cases such as this (testing for the presence of a second component), where one of the hypotheses lies on the boundary of the parameter space (Protassov et al. 2002). In practice, however, Monte Carlo simulations show that it gives acceptable answers (Johnstone et al. 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(N_H)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(kT)</td>
<td>(3.55^{+0.05}_{-0.07})</td>
<td>(3.69^{+0.05}_{-0.07})</td>
<td>(3.55^{+0.05}_{-0.07})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.078^{+0.001}_{-0.002}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td>349.6/343</td>
<td>340.5/342</td>
<td>342.1/342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_H)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.91^{+0.3}_{-0.3}</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kT)</td>
<td>3.43^{+0.05}_{-0.05}</td>
<td>3.50^{+0.07}_{-0.07}</td>
<td>3.42^{+0.08}_{-0.05}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.081^{+0.002}_{-0.002}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td>297.3/348</td>
<td>294.2/347</td>
<td>296.8/347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_H)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.01^{+0.1}_{-0.1}</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kT)</td>
<td>3.24^{+0.03}_{-0.04}</td>
<td>3.59^{+0.04}_{-0.04}</td>
<td>3.22^{+0.03}_{-0.02}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.077^{+0.004}_{-0.001}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td>1321.2/1003</td>
<td>1118.8/1002</td>
<td>1293.6/1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_H)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.59^{+0.1}_{-0.1}</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kT)</td>
<td>3.35^{+0.01}_{-0.03}</td>
<td>3.61^{+0.03}_{-0.03}</td>
<td>3.33^{+0.02}_{-0.02}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>0.079^{+0.001}_{-0.001}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td>2000.6/1698</td>
<td>1815.8/1697</td>
<td>1968.0/1697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(N_H\) is Galactic column in units of \(10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\); \(kT\) temperature in keV; \(Z\) metallicity relative to solar. Errors are 1σ. Quantities without errors were fixed. Models A–C are PHABS × MEKAL, with Galactic \(N_H\); free \(N_H\); free \(z\). When fitting all three detectors simultaneously, the normalizations were untied (though the effects of this were slight in this instance).
The relative normalization of the second temperature component is a few per cent of that of the bulk hot gas. If the hot and cold phases are in pressure equilibrium, then \( n_C T_C = n_H T_H \). The normalization of the MEKAL model varies as \( K \propto n^2 \). Hence, the relative filling factor of the cold phase is given by

\[
V_C/V_H = (K_C/K_H)(n_H/n_C)^2 = (K_C/K_H)(T_C/T_H)^2;
\]

which applied to the results in Table 2 for each detector gives values \( \sim \text{few} \times 10^{-4} \) in this case.

Interestingly, when a second temperature component is available, then the fitted \( n_H \) for the MOS detectors becomes consistent with the Galactic value. The pn results, however, for model E are not sensibly constrained. It is noticeable that the best-fitting low-temperature components for the two MOS detectors in models D and E are somewhat discrepant. If (as we will argue later on) there really is a cooling flow operating down to low temperatures in this system, then there will be gas present at a continuous range of temperatures, and it is not obvious what the preferred single low-temperature value will be in a model with only two temperature components, nor how it will vary between different instruments. The upper temperatures for the two MOS instruments also seem to be systematically different, albeit at a lower level than for the low temperatures. In terms of the fit, the higher value for \( T_2 \) in MOS2 seems to be owing to a slightly broader Fe L hump at 1 keV in this detector.

For completeness, we note that the pn results are stable against alternative forms of light-curve filtering and background correction. For example, if we apply the same count rate cut (0.45 ct s\(^{-1}\)) as used in the blank-sky fields of Lumb (2002), and then employ a double background subtraction technique (as used for the MOS), then the pn fits are essentially unchanged.

Using a cooling flow model (in which gas cools from the temperature of the bulk hot gas), instead of a single temperature, for the second component, also provides a significant improvement in fit over a one-component model. The data are not able to distinguish between these two possibilities with any degree of accuracy. For example, for MOS1 a cooling flow provides a very slightly worse fit than a single temperature, whereas for the MOS2 the situation is reversed. The cooling flow fit does have only one extra free parameter though.

The cooling flow fit does have only one extra free parameter though. In terms of the fit, the higher value for \( T_2 \) in MOS2 seems to be owing to a slightly broader Fe L hump at 1 keV in this detector.

For completeness, we note that the pn results are stable against alternative forms of light-curve filtering and background correction. For example, if we apply the same count rate cut (0.45 ct s\(^{-1}\)) as used in the blank-sky fields of Lumb (2002), and then employ a double background subtraction technique (as used for the MOS), then the pn fits are essentially unchanged.

Using a cooling flow model (in which gas cools from the temperature of the bulk hot gas), instead of a single temperature, for the second component, also provides a significant improvement in fit over a one-component model. The data are not able to distinguish between these two possibilities with any degree of accuracy. For example, for MOS1 a cooling flow provides a very slightly worse fit than a single temperature, whereas for the MOS2 the situation is reversed. The cooling flow fit does have only one extra free parameter though. When the absorbing column is allowed to be free, all three detectors independently fit a mass-deposition rate \( \sim 90 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \). An analysis of the Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS) data (Section 5) produces very similar mass-deposition results.

In Fig. 4 we show the results of allowing the minimum temperature of the cooling flow component, \( T_{\text{min}} \), to vary freely; for both fixed and free \( N_H \) (i.e. models F and G in Table 2, respectively). When \( T_{\text{min}} \) is allowed to vary freely, a value somewhat above zero (i.e. the model minimum, 0.081 keV) is preferred; but a zero cut-off temperature is entirely consistent with the data, within the 1σ limits. The associated mass-deposition rate, \( \dot{M} \), is little changed. Freeing \( N_H \) broadens the allowed confidence regions, but does not greatly affect the results.

### 3.1 Comparison with Chandra

We present a brief comparison of the results of XMM–Newton and Chandra observations of A2597 through a re-analysis of the Chandra observation of McNamara et al. (2001). We use a conservative
flare screening of the Chandra data that results in 7 ks of good time. The agreement between the Chandra and XMM–Newton pointings for the location of the X-ray emission peak is excellent. The largest complete circle centred on this point that can be extracted from the ACIS-S3 chip has a radius of 1 arcmin. This encompasses most of the cooling region (see Section 4.2). A background spectrum was extracted from the appropriate standard blank-sky field. The spectrum was fitted over the 0.6–7.0 keV range.

Fig. 4 is the Chandra counterpart of the upper panel (i.e. for a fixed $N_H$) of Fig. 3 for the MOS. We were unable to obtain sensible constraints when the absorbing column was allowed to vary freely. The preferred low-temperature cut-off for the cooling flow component, though not tightly constrained, is as low as possible. The associated mass-deposition rate is $\sim 70 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, entirely consistent with the XMM–Newton EPIC results for the central 4 arcmin. These results are also in agreement with those from the RGS, as shown in Section 5.2. Fig 4 may be contrasted with the more common Chandra result in such cases, where a much higher $T_{\text{min}}$ is preferred (e.g. in Abell 3581; Johnstone et al. 2005, fig. 10).

4 RADIAL PROPERTIES

Given the symmetric nature of the emission visible in Fig. 2, we have performed analyses using circular annuli centred on the cluster emission peak.

4.1 Surface brightness profile

Fig. 5 shows the surface brightness profile of the central 4 arcmin in radius of the cluster in the 0.5–2.0 keV band, from the sum of the MOS1 and MOS2 data. The profile was extracted from 1-arcsec pixel images and vignetting-corrected exposure maps, using circular annuli centred on the emission peak. A background was subtracted using the appropriate scaled blank-field data sets. The width of each annulus was such that the significance of the background-subtracted counts it contained was at least 5$\sigma$. Point sources were excluded.

Also shown is the result of a $\beta$ profile fit to the surface brightness, $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 (1 + (r/r_0)^2)^{-3\beta+0.5}$, with $\beta = 0.585^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$, $r_0 = 23.0^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ arcsec.

The surface brightness profile is affected by the XMM–Newton PSF, which can itself be well described by a $\beta$ model (Ghizzardi 2001, 2002), with a core radius of around 5 arcsec. When an intrinsic brightness profile $a(r)$ is modified by an instrumental PSF $b(r)$, the observed profile is the convolution of the two

$$c(r) = \int d^2r \ a(u) b(r - u) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dk \ J_0(kr) \hat{a}(k) \hat{b}(k),$$

where the second form is for the case of radial symmetry and makes use of the convolution theorem. $\hat{a}(k)$ in this expression is the radially symmetric Fourier transform (Birkinshaw 1994), or Hankel transform of order zero

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \int_0^\infty dr \ J_0(kr) \tilde{f}(r),$$

with $J_0$ a Bessel function of the first kind. Evaluating the effect of instrumental PSF on a surface brightness profile therefore reduces to performing three Hankel transforms. The general $\beta$ function has an analytic Hankel transform (Gradsteyn & Ryzhik 2000, equation 6.565.4), but the specific case of $\beta = 2/3$, which is a good approximation for both the XMM–Newton PSF and many cluster brightness...
profiles, is especially simple, i.e.

$$f(r) = (a^2 + r^2)^{-3/2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{f}(k) = \frac{e^{-ak}}{a}. \quad (3)$$

As a result, if

$$S(r) = S_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_s} \right)^2 \right]^{-3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad P(r) = P_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_p} \right)^2 \right]^{-3/2} \quad (4)$$

describe the source and PSF profiles, respectively, with the latter normalized so that $P_0 = (2 \pi r_p)^{-1}$, then it is straightforward to show that the convolution $c(r)$ is given by

$$c(r) = S_0 \left( \frac{r_s}{r_s + r_p} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_s + r_p} \right)^2 \right]^{-3/2}. \quad (5)$$

This is nothing more than another $\beta$ profile, with a reduced central amplitude $S_0 \beta$ and increased core radius $r'_s$

$$S_0 = S_0 (1 + \frac{r}{r_s})^{-2} \sim S_0 \left( 1 - \frac{2r_p}{r_s} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r'_s = r_s + r_p. \quad (6)$$

The simplest PSF correction we can make to the surface brightness profile is therefore to subtract the radius of the PSF, 5 arcsec, from the core fitted to the convolved profile, 23 arcsec, resulting in an estimate of 18 arcsec for the intrinsic surface brightness core radius.

### 4.2 Spectral profiles

In order to obtain reliable spectral fits, annular radii were chosen so as to encompass slightly more than 100000 (net, i.e. background-subtracted) counts in each MOS, and thus over 20000 counts in the pn. It was found that annuli with fewer counts (e.g. 7500 per MOS) tended to give poor (unphysical) results in the deprojection and PSF-correction analyses. In such cases, the lower signal-to-noise ratio allows physically unrealistic solutions (in which fitted quantities tend to oscillate about the smoother profiles obtained from annuli with more counts) to become mathematically valid. Both correction algorithms (see e.g. Johnstone et al. 2005 for testing of the deprojection process) involve redistribution of counts between annuli, potentially (depending on the details of the brightness profile) leaving small net numbers of counts in any one annulus. In practice it is found to be beneficial not to let the uncorrected count numbers fall too low. The outermost annulus was truncated at a radius of 5 arcmin (owing to the increasing relative background) and so contains somewhat fewer counts. By the time this last annulus is reached, the background is contributing about 30 per cent of the total counts.

#### 4.2.1 Projected profiles

Fig. 6 shows the results of fitting a single-temperature MEKAL (Mewe, Kaastra & Liedahl 1995) model to each spectral annulus, with an uniform (but free to vary) absorbing column applied to all annuli. The central temperature is a little over 2.5 keV (a function of the size of the central annulus). Over the central 100 kpc or so the temperature increases, reaching a maximum of $\sim 3.6$ keV. Outside the central cooling region, there is perhaps evidence for a gradual decline in temperature beyond $\sim 150$ kpc or so. The metallicity exhibits a fairly smooth decline with increasing radius in the central cooling region, dropping from around $0.5 Z_\odot$ at the centre to $0.2 Z_\odot$ at the outside.

Results are shown for the simultaneous fit of both MOS instruments, and also for the pn. The two instruments agree reasonably well in terms of $T$ and $Z$, but have very different preferences for $N_H$.

In Fig. 7, we show the radial dependence of the cumulative mass-deposition rate, $M(< r)$, within the cooling flow radius (130 kpc; see Section 4.2.2). There is a discrepancy between the MOS and pn detectors, with the former preferring smaller mass-deposition rates. Owing to the way this figure was constructed (fitting each annulus independently with a single-temperature component and a cooling flow component, then summing the $M$ values so obtained from the centre outwards), the discrepancy between the two instruments builds with increasing radius. Also shown is the $M$ value inferred by Oegerle et al. (2001) from their FUSE data; namely $40 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ within a radius of 40 kpc. The figure illustrates that there is reasonable agreement between the X-ray and UV mass-deposition rates within a radius of 40 kpc.

#### 4.2.2 Deprojected profiles

The results of Fig. 6 are subject to projection effects, in which the spectral properties at any point in the cluster are the emission-weighted superposition of radiation originating at all points along the line of sight through the cluster. In Fig. 8 we show the results of a deprojection of the MOS and pn spectra using the XSPEC PROJCT
As is to be expected, the temperature in the outer regions where the profile is fairly flat is seen to be relatively little influenced by projection. Deprojection recovers a lower central temperature than before, because in the projected fits the spectrum of the central annulus is contaminated by overlying hotter emission. There is also some evidence for a higher central abundance in the deprojected metallicity profile.

The deprojected pn temperature profile shows some signs of instability in the centre, in which neighbouring bins ‘oscillate’ with high and low temperatures. The interpolated values, though, agree well with the MOS results. Recently, Johnstone et al. (2005) have shown that the PROJCT approach works well at reproducing various simple synthetic cluster temperature and density structures. Possibly the slight instability of the pn fit reflects a more complex underlying temperature distribution (e.g. more than one phase); but more likely it is simply a quirk of geometry that renders certain physically unrealistic solutions mathematically plausible. Freezing the abundances at the projected value makes little difference, but the effect can be removed by using larger annuli (although the pn annuli shown already contain similar numbers of counts to the combined MOS annuli), but we retain the same annuli here for both instruments for illustration.

In Fig. 9 we show various quantities derived from the deprojected spectral fits. The electron density $n_e$ is obtained from the MEKAL normalization, and scales as $H_\text{cool}^{-1/2}$. The cooling time (scaling as $H_\text{cool}^{-1/2}$) is an approximate isobaric one, calculated as the time taken for the gas to radiate its enthalpy using the instantaneous cooling
rate at any temperature
\[ t_{\text{cool}} \approx \frac{H}{n_{\text{H}} \Lambda(T)} = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \frac{\mu X_{\text{H}} n_{\text{H}} \Lambda(T)}{kT}} \]  
where \( \gamma = 5/3 \) is the adiabatic index; \( \mu \approx 0.61 \) (for a fully ionized 0.3 Z\(_{\odot}\) plasma) is the molecular weight; \( X_{\text{H}} \approx 0.71 \) is the hydrogen mass fraction; and \( \Lambda(T) \) is the cooling function. The ‘entropy’ is the standard entropy of the astronomer, \( S = T n_{H}^{2/3} \) and scales as \( H_{0}^{1/3} \).

The cooling time is less than 10 Gyr inside a radius of 130 kpc (in perfect agreement with the ROSAT result of Sarazin et al. 1995), and less than 5 Gyr inside 85 kpc.

Also shown in Fig. 9 are the results of simple model fits to the density and entropy profiles. The density profile is represented by a \( \beta \) model, \( n(r) = n_0 [1 + (r/r_c)^{2}]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \); with \( \beta = 0.57^{+0.01}_{-0.01}, n_0 = 0.0354^{+0.0006}_{-0.0006} \text{ cm}^{-3}, r_c = 49.0^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \text{ kpc} \). These results for the density profile are in reasonable agreement with those obtained from fitting the surface brightness profile (Section 4.1). The absence of a significant excess in the deprojected density in the last annulus indicates that we reach a sufficiently large radius that projection from more distant material is not significant (compare with the Chandra deprojection results of Johnstone et al. 2005). The entropy profile is parametrized as a constant plus power law, \( S(r) = S_0 + k r^{\beta} \); with \( S_0 = 15.1^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \text{ keV cm}^{2}, k = 0.28^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \text{ keV cm}^{2}\text{ kpc}^{-1.19}, \eta = 1.19^{+0.03}_{-0.04} \). The fit with the \( S_0 \) term is substantially better than that without, but bear in mind that the PSF has not been corrected for here. A logarithmic slope for the entropy profile of \( \approx 1.1 \) is produced in simulations involving gravitational collapse and shock heating (Tozzi & Norman 2001).

The virial radius becomes a quantity of interest at this point. It is known that the calibrations of the scaling relations obtained from simulations have normalizations quite different to those obtained from observation. Allen, Schmidt & Fabian (2001b) studied the cluster virial scaling relations using Chandra, but do not quote an explicit radius–temperature relation. Using their data for a standard Lambda cold dark matter model (SCDM) cosmology, we calibrate the explicit radius–temperature relation. Using their data for a standard Lambda cold dark matter model (SCDM) cosmology, we calibrate the explicit radius–temperature relation.

4.2.3 PSF-corrected profiles

The broadening effect of the XMM–Newton PSF acts to redistribute counts between spectral annuli in a manner conceptually similar to that of projection. Consequently, it can be corrected for in the same way, namely by using an XSPEC mixing model, XMMSF.

The most recent version available at the time of writing was unable to fit observations from different instruments simultaneously, so we present here only the results for MOS1, in order to illustrate the effects of PSF on the spectral fits. We have been unable to achieve physically realistic solutions when using the XMMSF and PROJCT models in combination, to correct for both projection and PSF at the same time. The exception is when annuli that are physically very large are employed; but in such cases the PSF redistribution becomes less significant anyway, and we also obtain very limited resolution of the central cooling region, which is the main area of interest here.

For a given set of spectral annuli, and a given brightness profile, the XMMSF model calculates the mixing factors through which flux from any given annulus is redistributed to all the others. The factors are calculated at ten energies in the range 0.5–6.0 keV, although the energy dependence of the XMM–Newton PSF is relatively small. The input brightness profile can be specified using either an image, or a model parametrization. We find that there is no significant difference between using a MOS1 (say) image or the PSF-corrected \( \beta \) profile from Section 4.1 to provide the brightness information. By way of example, we show the mixing factors for our set of annuli at 1.0 keV in Fig. 10. At the 10 per cent level, each annulus is only affected by its immediate neighbours. Nevertheless, the mixing is potentially quite significant, as for many regions only 50 per cent of their flux originates from that same region.

In Fig. 11, we show the \( T \) and \( Z \) profiles obtained from a PSF-corrected fit of the MOS1 data. Compared to the uncorrected profiles (Fig. 6), a steeper central temperature gradient is recovered, as well as a larger central abundance peak.

Fig. 12 displays the density, cooling time, and entropy derived from the PSF-corrected profiles. Compared to the results of Fig. 9, a higher central density, and a lower central cooling time and entropy are obtained. Also shown is a \( \beta \) model fit for the density, with \( \beta = 0.579^{+0.004}_{-0.004}, n_0 = 0.066^{+0.006}_{-0.006} \text{ cm}^{-3}, r_c = 31.6^{+0.6}_{-0.6} \text{ kpc} \). The PSF-corrected core radius of 15 arcsec is comparable to the estimate of 18 arcsec made in Section 4.1 using the surface brightness profile.

The entropy profile is well fitted – reduced \( \chi^2 = 7.49/(9 - 3) \) – by a constant plus power-law model of the same form used in Section 4.2.2, with \( S_0 = 7.9^{+1.3}_{-1.5} \text{ keV cm}^{2}, k = 0.40^{+0.05}_{-0.11} \text{ keV cm}^{2}\text{ kpc}^{-1.15} \) and \( \eta = 1.15^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \).

4.3 Comparison with the Chandra temperature profile

As per Section 3.1, we have compared the XMM–Newton and Chandra results. Chandra spectra were extracted from the same annuli as...
used in the XMM–Newton analysis. Restricting ourselves to those annuli that lie entirely on the ACIS-S3 chip, we obtain five spectral regions from the Chandra data. Background spectra were produced as previously described (though background should not be significant in this region).

In Fig. 13 we compare the temperature profiles obtained from MOS1 and Chandra, using a simple PHABS × MEKAL model with a fixed Galactic absorption. The agreement between the MOS1 and ACIS temperatures is good everywhere except in the central bin, where the ACIS temperature is significantly lower than that of the MOS. Correcting for the XMM–Newton PSF using the XMMPSF model in the previously described manner lowers the central MOS1 temperature so that it agrees very well with that of Chandra. The agreement of the other MOS1 points with those of ACIS is worsened though, as the PSF correction raises all but the central MOS1 temperatures.

The XMM–Newton/Chandra cross-calibration has recently been examined by Kirsch et al. (2004).

5 REFLECTION GRATING SPECTROMETER

Around half of the light in each telescope feeding the MOS detectors is diverted to a RGS (den Herder et al. 2001). Each RGS contains nine CCDs (though one has failed in each RGS) along the dispersion direction, and is sensitive to soft X-rays in the range ∼6–38 Å. The FOV in the cross-dispersion direction is 5 arcmin.

5.1 Data reduction

The two RGS were operated in the standard spectroscopy mode. Data were processed from the ODFs using the SAS meta-task RGScrear. The position of the cluster emission peak as measured from the MOS image was used to specify the source extraction point. The generated RGS1 and RGS2 events files were filtered for periods of high background with the same approach as used in the construction of the RGS background files (Tamura, den Herder & González-Riestra 2003). Indeed, as the background files do not
have the necessary information for further filtering, we have little choice but to proceed in this manner (though we might prefer to use a stricter filtering in this case). That is, we form a light curve from the \((\text{FLAG} = \pm 8,16)\) events at high cross-dispersion angles \((\text{XDSP\_CORR} > 1.5\text{e-4})\) on CCD9 (that which covers the highest energy band and is most affected by background), using 100-s time bins. Only periods with count rates less than 0.15 ct s\(^{-1}\) for each RGS were retained, leaving \(\sim 74\) ks of time per RGS. Observe that although this is significantly larger than the remaining EPIC exposure, both EPIC and RGS have (essentially) been filtered to the same level as the appropriate European Space Agency (ESA)-supplied background templates (soft protons channelled through the mirrors are responsible for most of the XMM–Newton background flaring, and relatively few are scattered by the gratings into the RGS detectors).

As the FOV in the cross-dispersion direction is only 5 arcmin wide, it is essentially filled by cluster emission from A2597. We therefore make use of the standard background template files provided by Tamura et al. (2003). We note that these files were made at an operating temperature of \(-80^\circ\text{C}\), but that in late 2002 both RGSs were cooled to \(-110^\circ\text{C}\).

In Fig. 14 we show the ‘one-dimensional surface brightness’ profile obtained from RGS1 by summing counts in 10 arcsec bins in the cross-dispersion direction. The centre of A2597 is unfortunately offset from the mid-point of the CCD array by about 1 arcmin. There are insufficient counts to form profiles in narrow energy bands, in order to examine the possible differences between the profiles of different spectral lines, e.g. owing to resonant scattering (Sakelliou et al. 2002).

RGS spectra are extracted by making selections in both the spatial (dispersion/cross-dispersion) and the energy (dispersion/PI) planes, by making use of the intrinsic energy discrimination of the detector CCDs. Owing to the extended nature of the source, we have used slightly broader selection criteria than the defaults. Our spatial selection took 97 per cent of the PSF in the cross-dispersion direction, which is equivalent to the central 2 arcmin or so of the cluster, i.e. the central cooling region. In the energy plane we took 94 per cent of the pulse-height distribution. We restricted our analysis to the first-order spectra.

Response files were generated using the SAS task \textsc{rgsrcfgen} with standard parameters (4000 energy bins between 0.3 and 2.8 keV). Spectra were grouped to a minimum of 20 ct bin\(^{-1}\). RGS source and background spectral channels can have different qualities, and as XSPEC ignores quality information in the background spectrum, the source PHA (pulse height analyser) files were modified by adding in any extra bad channels from the background spectra. We fitted the two RGS instruments simultaneously over the 5–38 \(\AA\) range, thereby compensating for the missing Fe L complex and O viii line (owing to failed CCDs) from RGS1 and RGS2, respectively.

In an attempt to deal with the spectral blurring that results from the extended nature of the source, we have employed the \textsc{xspec rgsxsrfc} model (owing to A. Rasmussen). This convolves the spectral model with an angular structure function computed from an image (we used the MOS1 image in the RGS energy range of 0.3–2.5 keV) of the target region. Correcting for broadening in this way is only an approximation to the complex instrument response to a spatially extended input. The \textsc{rgxsrfc} model assumes that the spatial structure of the source is independent of energy. To test the possible consequences of this assumption, we examined the effects of using input images in different energy ranges (e.g. below 1 keV, or dividing the RGS energy range in two and using either the upper or lower half). The results quoted in subsequent sections were found to have very little dependence on the energy range used for the \textsc{rgxsrfc} image. For example, the changes in fitted fluxes for the Fe xvi lines (see Section 5.3) when using a different energy range for the \textsc{rgxsrfc} image are typically of order of a few per cent, in a random direction (i.e. not systematically higher or lower for an image in any given energy range). The changes in line significances were negligible.

According to the \textit{XMM–Newton Users’ Handbook},\(^3\) the theoretical wavelength resolution of the RGS spectral order \(n\) for an extended source of angular size \(\theta\) (arcmin) is degraded according to the formula \(\Delta \lambda / \lambda \approx 0.138 \theta / n\). Thus, for a source region with extent \(\sim 2\) arcmin we may expect a wavelength resolution \(\approx 0.25\) \(\AA\). This is borne out by examination of the model width of an \textsc{rgxsrfc}-blurred line of zero intrinsic width.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure14.png}
\caption{Profile of RGS1 counts in 10-arcsec bins in the cross-dispersion direction, for the events lying in the first order PI-\(\beta\) (dispersion angle) selection region.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure15.png}
\caption{RGS1 (dark) and RGS2 (light) spectra, in units of ct \(\text{\AA}\) s\(^{-1}\). Also shown is the best-fitting single-temperature model, together with its \(\chi^2\) residuals (lower panel).}
\end{figure}

5.2 Broad-band spectral fits

In Fig. 15 we show the best-fitting single-temperature \textsc{vmekal} model and its \(\chi^2\) residuals as applied to the data. The abundances

\(^3\) \text{http://xmm.vilspa.esa.es/external/xmm_user_support/documentation/uhb/}
of iron and oxygen were allowed to vary independently (both these elements exhibit strong line features in the RGS energy range), so we may reasonably expect to obtain reliable constraints on their individual abundances), whilst those of all the other elements were tied together. The redshift and absorbing hydrogen column were also allowed to vary. Good fits (even with a single-temperature model) could not be obtained when the absorption was fixed at Galactic. The fit parameters are listed in Table 3. The preferred absorption is substantially higher than Galactic (and that favoured by the EPIC instruments), for reasons that are not clear. The excess of $N\text{H}$ above Galactic is consistent with the intrinsic $N\text{H}$ (Section 1) detected by O’Dea et al. (1994); but that was only seen against the small central radio source, so this is probably not significant. Allowing $N\text{H}$ to vary results in temperatures and abundances that are consistent with those of the EPIC fits to the similar spatial region.

5.2.1 Redshift issues

Two similar, but distinct, redshifts are in common use for Abell 2597. Kowalski, Ulmer & Crudderace (1983), as used in the compilation of Struble & Rood (1999), measured the optical redshifts of three (non-d) cluster galaxies as $z = 0.0874, 0.0832, 0.0851$, hence $\tilde{z} = 0.0852\pm0.0002$ (relative to Local Group standard of rest). Converting this result to heliocentric using the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Data base (NED) velocity converter4 subtracts $\sim 140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, giving $z_{\text{helio}} = 0.0847$. In contrast, Noonan (1981), using Schmidt (1965), has $z = 0.0821$ (heliocentric), based on the central radio galaxy. Owen, Ledlow & Keel (1995) find a heliocentric $z = 0.0822\pm0.0002$, using optical emission lines of the central radio galaxy PKS 2322.12. The best-fitting redshift found by Voit & Donahue (1997) for the optical emission line nebula in A2597 is $z = 0.0821\pm0.0002$. In light of all this, we choose to adopt $z = 0.0822$ for the heliocentric redshift of the cluster.

The best-fitting RGS broad-band redshift is consistent with the adopted optical redshift. The most prominent single line in the spectrum is that of O vii at around $20.5 \text{ Å}$. The rest energy of this feature is $653.6 \text{ eV}$. Fitting the spectrum in the 19–22 Å range with an RGSXSRC-blurred power law plus a (zero intrinsic width) Gaussian, we find the best-fitting value for the energy of this line to be $E = 603.8_{-0.4}^{+0.2} \text{ eV}$, implying a redshift of $z = 0.0825_{-0.0004}^{+0.0004}$, consistent with the broad-band RGS fit, and the optical value.

We have also fitted just the Fe L complex, by restricting attention to the 9.2–17 Å range (chosen to exclude the Mg line around 9 Å, and to include as much line-free continuum around the Fe L complex as possible). The plasma properties are not well constrained in such a narrow wavelength range, with the exception of redshift, which is our sole interest here. According, we froze $N\text{H}$ at the broad-band value, and fitted the data with a single MEKAL model. The best-fitting redshift for the Fe L complex was $z = 0.0831_{-0.0002}^{+0.0002}$, As per Still & Mushotzky (2002), the best-fitting O viii redshift is formally less than that of the Fe L complex. Within the 1σ limits, however, the redshifts obtained from the various RGS fits (broad-band, Fe L complex, O viii) are consistent, and agree with our adopted optical redshift. The hypothesis of separate velocity components in the core (Still & Mushotzky 2002) could therefore be supported by these data, but does not seem to be required.

5.2.2 Additional fit components

Also shown in Table 3 are the results of adding a second temperature component to the fit, with an independent temperature and normalization. The removal of two degrees of freedom results in a change in fit of $\Delta \chi^2 = -28.5$. According to an F-test, this improvement in fit is significant at the (1–3) × 10−6 level. In Fig. 16 we show the contributions made by each of the two temperature components to the total model. The bulk of the spectral fit is obviously dominated by the high-temperature component. The low-temperature component contributes several emission lines at wavelengths around 15 Å – these are examined in more detail below.

In Table 3 we also show the results of fits using a cooling flow (VMCFLOW model) rather than a single temperature for the second component. These also provide significant improvements to the single-temperature fit, although the data are not of sufficient quality to unambiguously state that a cooling-flow fit is preferred. The most interesting aspect of the fit is the obtained mass-deposition rate: $M \sim 100 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This is consistent with the EPIC fits to the central regions, Table 2.

Allowing the low-temperature cut-off of the cooling flow component, $T_{\text{min}}$, to be free (rather than fixed at the lowest value possible in
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**Figure 16.** Contributions of the two temperature components (solid lines) of model B in Table 3 to the total (dotted line) model. The minority component is the low-temperature one.
the XSPEC model, 0.081 keV), makes no improvement to the fit quality. Indeed, as we show in Fig. 17, a very low temperature cut-off for the cooling flow is preferred. The slight upward curve of the significance contours does indicate that higher mass-deposition rates are permissible (though not preferred) with higher low-temperature cut-offs.

5.3 Fe XVII line fitting

We now return to the low-temperature emission lines in the 15–Å region of the spectrum previously alluded to. The Fe XVII lines in this region are particularly important because they are strong indicators of gas at temperatures $\sim 0.3$ keV, and consequently their presence is an important prediction of the cooling flow model.

In order to examine these lines in more detail, we fitted the 15–20 Å region with a blurred power-law and four (zero intrinsic width) Gaussians. Adopting a fixed redshift of $z = 0.0822$, the line energies were fixed at the positions appropriate for the 15.02, 16.78, 17.08 Å (rest wavelength) Fe XVII lines, and the 16.00 Å O VIII Kβ line. We found the best-fitting values and 1σ limits for the normalizations of each line. We obtained positive (but weak) evidence for the presence of gas at temperatures $\sim 0.3$ keV, and consequently their significance of each individual Fe line is admittedly low, varying from 1σ to 2σ.

The normalizations were converted to line fluxes (using a luminosity distance of 503 Mpc) by subtracting off the continuum for a formal detection). We have also converted these fluxes to equivalent cooling flow mass-deposition rates. This was achieved by using the MKCFLOW model in XSPEC to make a fake spectrum for a fiducial 1000 M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ cooling flow, at a redshift etc. appropriate to A2597. We fitted the 15–20 Å range of this model spectrum in the same way as applied to the actual data, in order to obtain the flux expected in each of the Fe XVII lines. The ratios of the A2597 line fluxes to those from the model were used to estimate the associated mass-flow rates. The results, as shown in Table 4, are poorly constrained, but consistent with both the broad-band RGS fits and the EPIC fits to the central region.

Table 4 also gives the F-test significance (the comments of Section 3 on the F-test also apply here) for each of the Fe lines. This is obtained from the improvement in fit that results when fitting a model with just the O VIII and each Fe line in turn present, compared to the best fit with just the O line. The O line has been treated separately owing to its different temperature dependence. The significance of each individual Fe line is admittedly low, varying from about 1σ to 2σ. In order to try and achieve greater significance, we have tied the relative normalizations of the three Fe XVII lines (all with similar temperature dependences) to that present in the fiducial isobaric cooling flow model, and just allowed the overall normalization to vary. The results are given in the ‘All’ row of Table 4. When combining the lines in this way, the significance is still low, but exceeds 2σ.

In Fig. 18 we show the 15–20 Å region of the RGS spectrum, in terms of the ratio of the data to a model with no emission lines. Also shown are the appropriate curves for the model fitted for Table 4 (power law plus Gaussians) and for model C of Table 3 (isobaric cooling flow). We note that the normalizations adopted by the Gaussians (where the line strengths may vary independently) are very similar to those of the cooling flow model. The exception is the 15.02 line, which is the Fe XVII line most susceptible to resonant scattering (e.g. Rugge & McKenzie 1985, see also the APED data base5), for which a weaker fit is preferred. The quality of the line fits is clearly poor, but the values obtained for mass-deposition...
rates agree with those from broad-band fits in both the EPIC and the RGS.

6 DISCUSSION

First, we must caution that the overall quality of our observation is low, and that the formal statistical significance of our results is not large. In particular, the results on the Fe XVII lines are really no more than suggestive. Nevertheless, the data do present a self-consistent picture between the various detectors, with an extremely interesting interpretation.

Fitting each of the three EPIC detectors individually for a large central region covering that radial range where the cluster emission is high, then the addition of a cooling flow component provides a highly significant improvement in fit over a single-temperature model (although we cannot statistically distinguish a cooling flow component, with a range of temperatures, from a single-temperature second component). The best-fitting mass-deposition rate is around $90\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$, with a $1\sigma$ error of about $15\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ (see Table 2 for details). The cooling time is less than $10\,\text{Gyr}$ within a radius of $130\,\text{kpc}$ (Fig. 9).

Very similar results are obtained from the broad-band simultaneous fits to the two RGS detectors (Table 3). The main evidence in the data for low-temperature gas comes from the spectral region around $15\,\text{Å}$, in particular the emission lines of Fe XVII at $15$–$17\,\text{Å}$ rest wavelength. We obtain weak ($1$–$2\sigma$; below formal detection levels) evidence for the three most important lines, and measure the power associated with each line (see Table 4). By comparing the line fluxes with those produced from a classical isobaric cooling flow model, we can estimate the corresponding mass-flow rate for each line. Once again, we obtain values that are consistent with the $90\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ mark. Fixing the relative strengths of the three Fe lines to that predicted by the standard isobaric cooling flow model, and allowing the absolute normalization to vary, we obtain a fit suggesting the presence of Fe XVII at a significance of just over $2\sigma$.

We therefore establish, using three distinct methods, evidence for a cooling flow at a level $\sim 90\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$. The preferred low-temperature cut-off for the flow, established from the RGS data, is essentially zero (0.081 keV, the minimum temperature available in the XSPEC MEKAL model). A plot of the $M$–$T_{\text{min}}$ confidence contours is shown in Fig. 17.

A re-analysis of the Chandra data for A2597 (McNamara et al. 2001), as described in Section 3.1, also supports the evidence of a cooling flow at these levels, with a very small low-temperature cut-off – see Fig. 4.

This mass-deposition rate is consistent with the results of Oegerle et al. (2001), using FUSE UV observations. These authors detected the O vi 1032-Å resonance line (characteristic of gas at temperatures $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ K) in Abell 2597. Converting the detected flux to an equivalent mass-deposition rate gives a UV mass-deposition rate $\sim 40\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ within the FUSE effective radius of 40 kpc. This is consistent with the X-ray results (Fig. 7).

In contrast, O vi was not detected in Abell 1795 (Oegerle et al. 2001), nor in Abell 2029 or Abell 3112 (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2004). From both an X-ray and a UV standpoint, therefore, A2597 appears to be an atypical object. The combination of the XMM–Newton X-ray and FUSE UV results for A2597 suggests that it may harbour a classical cooling flow in which gas cools from $\sim 4\,\text{keV}$ by at least two orders of magnitude in temperature. Interestingly, a recent Chandra analysis of Abell 2029 (Clarke, Blanton & Sarazin 2004) shows that the X-ray data in this object are also consistent with a modest (by traditional standards) cooling flow extending down to very low temperatures, despite the lack of a UV detection.

Among the many (e.g. Fabian et al. 2001; Peterson et al. 2001) explanations suggested for the ‘cooling-flow problem’, heating from a central AGN, mediated by the rise of buoyant plasma bubbles through the ICM, is a popular candidate (e.g. Dalla Vecchia et al. 2004; Reynolds et al. 2004; Ruszkowski et al. 2004). The Chandra observation of A2597 (McNamara et al. 2001) showed it to contain X-ray surface brightness depressions, interpreted (as a result of the extension of spurs of old, low-frequency radio emission) as ‘ghost cavities’, associated with a radio outburst $\sim 10^8$ yr ago.

A2597 therefore appears to harbour a central AGN that generates buoyant bubbles, and yet it also appears to contain gas cooling to very low temperatures. The process of bubble generation is necessarily an episodic one, and it is therefore perhaps unreasonable to assume that a steady, time-independent state will be maintained. Clusters may go through cycles of behaviour, in which cooling builds up to some level, then an AGN outburst initiates a heating phase in which cooling is suppressed (else massive cooling flows would be common phenomena). A2597 would then be an object near the ‘cooling catastrophe’ point of the cycle. The relative frequency of such objects would depend on the relative time-scales of the cooling and heating phases. The fact that such objects seem to be quite rare indicates that the phase of strong cooling is short-lived.

Such a mechanism would require coupling between the state of the ICM and the activity of the central AGN, in order that a feedback loop could be maintained.
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