

(or strain) in a cantilever-mass force transducer. The two DOF model was based on the stiffness characteristics of the transducer. Calculations of force were made for a simulated friction test. The results of the two DOF and single DOF models were compared to the solution of the Euler-Bernoulli beam equations (Streator and Bogy, 1992). It was found that the two DOF model can provide improved accuracy compared with the single DOF model over a certain frequency range. As the two DOF model did not accurately describe the transducer's anti-resonance behavior, there was a limited range of frequencies for which the two DOF model was useful. Nevertheless, if the two DOF model is employed in a careful manner, it offers a viable method to increase the accuracy of force calculations over the single DOF method while maintaining much of its simplicity.

The present study has focused on analytical models of the response of a cantilever-mass transducer to dynamic contact forces. These methods provide a means for determining dynamic interfacial forces with a transducer that is statically calibrated. For measurement systems that can be dynamically calibrated, such as with an impact hammer, accurate experimental determination of the frequency response functions is generally preferred over modelling. On the other hand, an analytical model can be used not only to extract information from an actual transducer, but also from hypothetical transducers, a feature which allows for transducers to be designed

for optimal performance (i.e., to avoid resonance) for a given tribological test.

References

- Aronov, V., D'Souza, A. F., Kalpakjian, S., and Shareef, I., 1984, "Interactions Among Friction, Wear, and System Stiffness—Part 2: Vibrations Induced by Dry Friction," *ASME JOURNAL OF TRIBOLOGY*, Vol. 106, pp. 59–64.
- Beckwith, T. G., Buck, N. L., and Marangoni, R. D., 1982, *Mechanical Measurements*, 3rd edition, Addison-Wesley, pp. 49–74.
- Bell, R., and Burdekin, M., 1967, "Dynamic Behaviour of Plain Slideways," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Engrs.*, Vol. 181, pp. 169–181.
- Brockley, C. A., and Ko, P. L., 1970, "Quasi-Harmonic Friction-Induced Vibration," *ASME JOURNAL OF LUBRICATION TECHNOLOGY*, Vol. 92, pp. 550–556.
- Crandall, H. S., Dahl, N. C., and Lardner, T. J., 1972, *An Introduction to the Mechanics of Solids*, 2nd edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Earles, S. W. E., and Lee, C. K., 1976, "Instabilities Arising From the Frictional Interaction of a Pin-Disk System Resulting in Noise Generation," *ASME Journal of Engineering for Industry*, pp. 81–86.
- Hess, D. P., and Soom, A., 1991, "Normal Vibrations and Friction Under Harmonic Loads: Part I—Hertzian Contacts," *ASME JOURNAL OF TRIBOLOGY*, Vol. 113, No. 1, pp. 80–86.
- Jarvis, R. P., and Mills, B., 1963–64, "Vibrations Induced by Dry Friction," *Proc. of Inst. of Mech. Engrs.*, Vol. 178, Part 1, pp. 847–866.
- Ko, P. L., and Brockley, C. A., 1970, "The Measurement of Friction and Friction-Induced Vibration," *ASME JOURNAL OF LUBRICATION TECHNOLOGY*, Vol. 92, pp. 543–549.
- Streator, J. L., and Bogy, D. B., 1992, "Accounting for Transducer Dynamics in the Measurement of Friction," *ASME JOURNAL OF TRIBOLOGY*, Vol. 114, No. 1, pp. 86–94.

DISCUSSION

P. J. Blau¹

The author is to be congratulated for addressing a problem which undoubtedly affects the interpretation of countless pin-on-disk tests. The effects of system vibrations on friction and their relationship to interfacial conditions are not an easy problem to solve, even when simplifying assumptions are made about the distribution of frictional transients which stimulate the system's response. In a recent paper on "Scale Effects in Steady-State Friction" [1], I qualitatively discussed similar questions with regard to the interpretation of laboratory friction data. When considering samples of pin-on-disk friction data for sliding alumina on alumina and for sliding aluminum on aluminum, taken at a recording rate of 1 kHz, I found that the distribution of friction force values was relatively normal in the former case and quite skewed in the latter. The asymmetry of the friction force distribution for self-mated aluminum was attributed to the fact that the periodic relaxation of high friction forces, through the breakage of contact junctions, caused significant spring-back of the force transducer system, at times producing apparent force values less than zero. A further discussion of experimentally-observed asymmetries in kinetic friction are given in my book [2].

A machine designer might ask: What is the friction coefficient of material A upon material B? In the cited scale-effects paper, I state that a single value of the friction coefficient in imperfectly-lubricated systems should be replaced by an expected range and most-likely value for that specific system. Does the author agree with this approach? The implications for producing friction coefficient compilations and using handbook data are obviously significant.

The situation under consideration is one of frictional stimulus and mechanical feedback. Should one take the alternate

tack, i.e., that friction is a materials property alone, would it be possible when comparing friction vs. time data from different machines to somehow remove the effects of the machine and compare the interfacial friction on a normalized basis?

In closing, the discussor complements the author on this work and looks forward to future studies in which the influences of materials properties, contact conditions, and asymmetrical friction force distributions might be explicitly taken into account.

Additional References

- 1 Blau, P. J., "Scale Effects in Steady-State Friction," *Tribology Trans.*, Vol. 34, 1991, pp. 335–342.
- 2 Blau, P. J., *Friction and Wear Transitions of Materials*, Noyes Publications, 1989, pp. 385–395.

Author's Closure

The author thanks Dr. Blau for his kind remarks. When the measurement of friction is accomplished by a mechanical transducer, the raw data of the transducer will not be friction but elastic deformation. Clearly, to obtain the friction force, we must know the calibration between force and elastic deformation. Under many sliding conditions, the dynamic response of the transducer will not be important, so that the calibration comes via a simple proportionality factor. Under other conditions, however, one must take into consideration the dynamic response of the transducer [1]. In this latter case, the raw output of the transducer may show large variation (due to vibration or spring back) although the actual friction may be relatively constant. In this instance it would be imprecise to estimate the

¹Metals and Ceramics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6063.

magnitude of friction variation based on the amplitude of transducer response. On the other hand, significant variations in the friction trace are found even when the dynamics of the transducer are not involved (i.e., in a quasi-static test with the absence of stick-slip behavior). Hence the author would agree with Dr. Blau that one should expect a range of friction coefficients whenever two materials slide over one another.

Further, while the present work emphasizes the influence of friction force on mechanical response, in certain instances the elastic properties of the system can significantly influence the interfacial friction force. For example, during sliding between materials which exhibit stick-slip, the duration of stick is determined by the stiffness of the system. This means that the average (over time) of the actual friction force depends on the

mechanical stiffness. In addition, if there is a dependence of friction on sliding speed, the friction experienced during slip will depend on the resonant frequency of the system. Consequently, in the normalization of friction data to arrive at purely materials effects, one must account for the fact that, in certain cases, the friction itself depends on the mechanical properties of the system.

The author again thanks Dr. Blau for his insightful comments.

Additional Reference

Streator, J. L., and Bogy, D. B., 1992, "Accounting for Transducer Dynamics in the Measurement of Friction," *ASME JOURNAL OF TRIBOLOGY*, Vol. 114, No. 1, Jan., pp. 86-94.