Cultivation-independent population analysis of bacterial endophytes in three potato varieties based on eubacterial and Actinomycetes-specific PCR of 16S rRNA genes
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Abstract

Endophytic bacteria are ubiquitous in most plants and colonise plants without exhibiting pathogenicity. Studies on the diversity of bacterial endophytes have been mainly approached by characterisation of isolates obtained from internal tissues. Despite the broad application of culture-independent techniques for the analysis of microbial communities in a wide range of natural habitats, little information is available on the species diversity of endophytes. In this study, microbial communities inhabiting stems, roots and tubers of three potato varieties were analysed by 16S rRNA-based techniques such as terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis as well as 16S rDNA cloning and sequencing. Two individual plant experiments were conducted. In the first experiment plants suffered from light deficiency, whereas healthy and robust plants were obtained in the second experiment. Plants obtained from both experiments showed comparable endophytic populations, but healthy potato plants possessed a significantly higher diversity of endophytes than stressed plants. In addition, plant tissue and variety specific endophytes were detected. Sequence analysis of 16S rRNA genes indicated that a broad phylogenetic spectrum of bacteria is able to colonise plants internally including K-, L-, and Q-Proteobacteria, high-GC Gram-positives, microbes belonging to the Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides group and Planctomycetales. Group-specific analysis of Actinomycetes indicated a higher abundance and diversity of Streptomyces cacti-related species in the variety Mehlige Muhlviertler, which is known for its resistance against potato common scab caused by S. scabiei. ß 2002 Federation of European Microbiological Societies. Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Endophytic bacteria reside in plant tissues mainly in intercellular, rarely in intracellular spaces and inside vascular tissues without causing symptoms of disease. For a long time endophytic microorganisms were regarded as latent pathogens or as contaminants from incomplete surface sterilisation [1], but recent reports have shown that bacterial endophytes are able to promote plant growth and to act as plant pathogen antagonists [2–6]. In general, endophytes are believed to originate from the rhizosphere or phylloplane microflora [7], although endophytes of sugarcane have been shown to exist predominantly within plant tissue and they have not been found in soils [8]. Infection via seed-borne bacteria has been suggested to be a common route for the transmission of bacterial endophytes [9], whereas other proposed mechanisms by which endophytes enter the plant include local cellulose degradation [10] and entrance via cracks in lateral root junctions [11].

Studies on the species diversity of bacterial endophytes have been mainly approached by cultivation-based methods [12–14], where over 129 species have been isolated from internal plant tissues [7]. Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Enterobacter and Agrobacterium have been found to be the most abundant bacterial genera isolated [7]. Few studies, however, deal with the microbial diversity within potato plants. Sturz [15] categorised endophytic bacteria from potato tubers as plant growth promoting, plant growth
Agrobacterium, Actinomyces, and Pseudomonas similarly distributed throughout the different genera isolated, which were Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Xanthomonas, Agrobacterium, Actinomycyes, and Acinetobacter. Recently, 28 bacterial genera affiliated with the phyla Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides were isolated from 640 potato tubers [14]. In that study, almost 50% of the isolates obtained belonged to the genus Pseudomonas. According to a recent study, dominant endophytic isolates obtained from potato were characterised as Pseudomonas spp., Agrobacterium radiobacter, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and Flavobacterium resinovorans [16]. However, a range of bacteria is not accessible to cultivation methods [17], because of their unknown growth requirements or their entrance into a viable but not culturable state [18]. Therefore, the 16S rRNA gene (rDNA) has become a frequently employed phylogenetic marker to describe microbial diversity in natural environments without the need of cultivation [19,20]. Methods that rely on the analysis of the 16S rDNA gene include denaturing or temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (D/TGGE) [19,21–23], terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) [24–26], PCR-single-strand-conformation-polymermorphism (SSCP) [27], and 16S rDNA cloning [20,23]. Recently, Garbeva et al. [16] monitored endophytic populations by PCR-DGGE that indicated the occurrence of a range of organisms falling into several distinct phylogenetic groups. Their results also suggested the presence of non-culturable endophytes in potato.

In this paper, we combined T-RFLP analysis, DGGE and 16S rDNA cloning and sequencing as cultivation-independent approaches in order to study the diversity of endophytic populations within three potato cultivars. Plant varieties were examined regarding their overall diversity of endophytic eubacteria as well as their diversity of Actinomycetes. Furthermore, we compared community structures of endophytes colonising plants that were grown under different conditions. In order to obtain information on the origin of endoplant bacteria, their populations were compared with those of their adjacent rhizospheres.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Potato varieties and plant growth conditions

Three potato varieties – Bionta, Achirana Inta and Mehlige Mühlviertler – were used for the analysis of endophytic bacteria in two individual experiments. Achirana Inta is a medium to late maturing cultivar, which was first registered in Argentina, but is also cultivated in many Asian and American countries. The Austrian varieties, Bionta and Mehlige Mühlviertler, are late maturing and highly tolerant towards several potato pathogens such as Phytophthora infestans and various potato viruses. In addition, Mehlige Mühlviertler is also highly resistant to common scab caused by Streptomyces scabies [28]. Mehlige Mühlviertler is an old, robust Austrian landrace, whereas the high-yielding cultivar Bionta has been available since 1992.

Potatoes were grown in tissue cultures on MS medium [29] at 22°C. At a plant height of about 10 cm, plants were transplanted in small containers filled with standard growth substrate (Frux ED 63 not pasteurised soil substrate; Gebr. Patzer GmbH and Co.KG, Sinntal-Jossa, Germany; 100–250 mg l⁻¹ N, 100–250 mg l⁻¹ potassium oxide, and 100–200 mg l⁻¹ phosphorpentoxide, 85% peat, pH 5–6.5) and transferred to a greenhouse. After 2 weeks they were transplanted in bigger pots filled with the same standard growth substrate. The first experiment was set up in autumn and due to disadvantageous light conditions most plants did not produce tubers and showed some disease symptoms. Roots, stems and the rhizospheres were harvested after 13–14 weeks. In order to compare endophyte communities from stressed plants to those from healthy plants, the experiment was replicated in spring. Healthy roots, stems and tubers were harvested after 13–14 weeks at the early tuber production stage. Two individual plants of each potato variety were analysed.

2.2. DNA isolation

DNA was isolated individually from all tissues, using a protocol based on bead beating to disrupt bacterial cells. In order to avoid the isolation of surface bacterial DNA, stems and tubers were peeled aseptically. As it was not possible to peel roots, 0.2–0.5 g root material was shaken vigorously in 0.9% NaCl solution and pulverised in a mixer mill (Type MM2000, 220 V, 50 Hz, Retsch GmbH and Co KG, Haam, Germany) in the presence of two sterile stainless steel beads (5 mm) at thawing. Then 0.3 g of 1 N, 100–250 mg l⁻¹ potassium oxide, and 85% peat, pH 5–6.5) and transferred to a greenhouse. After 2 weeks they were transplanted in bigger pots filled with the same standard growth substrate. The first experiment was set up in autumn and due to disadvantageous light conditions most plants did not produce tubers and showed some disease symptoms. Roots, stems and the rhizospheres were harvested after 13–14 weeks. In order to compare endophyte communities from stressed plants to those from healthy plants, the experiment was replicated in spring. Healthy roots, stems and tubers were harvested after 13–14 weeks at the early tuber production stage. Two individual plants of each potato variety were analysed.

DNA was isolated individually from all tissues, using a protocol based on bead beating to disrupt bacterial cells. In order to avoid the isolation of surface bacterial DNA, stems and tubers were peeled aseptically. As it was not possible to peel roots, 0.2–0.5 g root material was shaken vigorously in 0.9% NaCl solution containing 0.3 g acid-washed glass beads (Sigma; 0.1 mm) for 20 min in order to dislodge cells from roots. Then, plants were rinsed five times with sterile H₂O and tested for their sterility on TSA plates. No growth was observed.

For the isolation of DNA, 0.2–0.5 g plant tissue were amended with 0.8 ml TN150 (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0; 150 mM NaCl), frozen in liquid nitrogen and pulverised in a mixer mill (Type MM2000, 220 V, 50 Hz, Retsch GmbH and Co KG, Haam, Germany) in the presence of two sterile stainless steel beads (5 mm) at thawing. Then 0.3 g of 0.1 mm acid-washed glass beads (Sigma) were added and bead beating was performed twice for 1 min at full speed in a mixer mill. After extracting with phenol and chloroform, DNA was precipitated with 0.1 volume 3 M sodium acetate solution and 0.7 volume iso-propanol for 20 min at −20°C. DNA was centrifuged for 10 min at 14000 rpm, washed with 70% ethanol and dried. Finally, the DNA was resuspended in 60 μl TE buffer containing RNase (0.1 mg ml⁻¹).

For the isolation of DNA from rhizospheres a protocol described by van Elsas and Smalla [30] was used. DNA isolated from 0.12 g rhizosphere soil was resuspended in 80 μl TE (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). For
further purification, spin-columns were prepared containing Sepharose CL-6B (Pharmacia) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (20 mg ml⁻¹ CL-6B). In general, passage through two columns was needed to remove all PCR-inhibiting substances.

2.3. T-RFLP analysis

All rhizosphere and tissue samples of two individual plants of each potato variety were subjected to T-RFLP analysis. The eubacterial primers 8f [31] labelled at the 5’ end with 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-Fam; MWG) and 518r [24] were used to amplify approximately 530 bp of the 16S rRNA gene. Reactions were carried out with a thermocycler (PTC-100®, MJ Research, Inc.) using an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1 min annealing at 54°C and 2 min extension at 72°C. PCR reactions (50 μl) contained 1× reaction buffer (Gibco BRL), 200 μM each dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 0.2 μM of each primer, 3 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL) and 20 ng template DNA. PCR product (100 ng) was digested for 4 h with a combination of the restriction enzymes HhaI and HaeIII (Gibco BRL). Preliminary experiments with several restriction enzymes with 4-bp recognition sites (BRL) yielded a higher number of T-RFs than other enzymes. Aliquots (0.5 μl) were mixed with 1 μl of loading buffer (deionised formamide+loading dye, 5+1) and 0.3 μl of DNA fragment length standard (Genscan 500 Rox; Perkin-Elmer). Reaction mixtures were denatured at 92°C for 2 min and chilled on ice prior to electrophoresis. Samples (1.75 μl) were applied on 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gels and fluorescently labelled terminal restriction sizes were analysed using an ABI 373A automated DNA sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). Lengths of labelled fragments were determined by comparison with the internal standard.

The eubacterial primers used to amplify 16S rDNA are also homologous to chloroplast 16S and mitochondrial 18S rRNA genes resulting in two T-RF peaks of 303 bp and 197 bp, respectively, in T-RFLP fingerprints. These peaks were not shown in endophyte community fingerprints. Terminal fragments (T-RFs) were only scored positive, when they had more than 50 fluorescent units. Fragment sizes between 35 and 500 bp were analysed, which was the range of the size marker that could be determined reliably.

2.4. Partial 16S rDNA clone libraries

Clone libraries were created from partial 16S rRNA genes amplified from DNA of Mehlige Mühlviertler and Achirana Inta stems and roots (first experiment). Primers and PCR conditions were used as described above for the T-RFLP analysis. A high percentage of chloroplast-derived sequences was expected and therefore, PCR products were digested with PsiI (Gibco BRL) as this enzyme possesses a restriction site in chloroplast 16S rDNA sequences that is not found in most eubacterial 16S rDNA genes. Undigested fragments were excised from an agarose gel using the Concert Nucleic Acid Purification System (Gibco BRL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and ligated into the pGEM-T vector (Promega). Ligation products were cloned into electrocompeent Escherichia coli DH5α cells. One hundred clones of each potato variety and type of tissue that did not show β-galactosidase activity were further analysed. Positive clones were resuspended in 80 μl TE buffer, boiled for 10 min and centrifuged for 5 min at 13 000 rpm. Supernatants (0.5 μl) were used in PCR reactions with 0.15 μM each of the primers M13uni and M13rev and the conditions described above to amplify cloned inserts. Following PCR amplification, 8 to 10 μl of 16S rDNA from each of the clones were digested separately with AluI and HaeIII. Digests were electrophoresed in 2.5% agarose gels. Restriction patterns were compared and indistinguishable patterns were grouped. Each phylotype was defined as a group of sequences with identical AluI and HaeIII restriction patterns.

2.5. DGGE and sequence analysis of Actinomycetes

All tissue samples obtained from the first experiment were subjected to a DGGE analysis of Actinomycetes. A nested PCR approach was used to amplify 16S rDNA sequences derived from Actinomycetes. First, a PCR reaction was carried out as described above using the eubacterial primers 8f and pH [31]. Products were purified with a NucleoTraPCR kit (Macherey-Nagel) and used as a template for a second PCR with the Actinomycete-specific primer pair F243-R518GC [22]. PCR reactions and DGGE analyses were carried out as described by Heuer et al. [22]. For sequence analysis the latter PCR reaction was carried out without GC-clamp using 16S rDNA PCR products from Mehlige Mühlviertler stem DNA as template. Purified products were cloned into pGEM-T vector (Promega) and ligation products were cloned into electrocompetent E. coli DH5α cells. Twenty clones that did not show β-galactosidase were submitted to DGGE analysis in order to select sequences with different running distances. Inserts that showed different mobilities were sequenced as described below.

2.6. DNA sequence analysis

Using the Quantum Prep plasmid miniprep kit (BioRad) plasmids of each phylotype were isolated. Plasmid DNA (500 ng) was used as template in sequencing reactions. DNA sequencing was performed using an ABI 373A automated DNA sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems Inc.,
Foster City, CA, USA) and the ABI PRISM Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer). Sequences were subjected to a BLAST analysis [32] with the National Center for Biotechnology Information database and were compared with sequences available in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) [33]. Alignments with related sequences were done with the Multalin alignment tool available in the web site (http://www.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin.html) [34]. The TREECON software package [35] was used to calculate distance matrices by the Jukes and Cantor [36] algorithm and to generate phylogenetic trees using nearest-neighbour criteria.

2.7. Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers AF424745–AF424757 (partial eubacterial 16SrDNA sequences) and AF424758–AF424762 (partial Actinomycetes 16S rDNA sequences).

3. Results

3.1. T-RFLP profiles of the first experiment

In pre-experiments, DGGE and T-RFLP were compared regarding their suitability to analyse bacterial endophytes (data not shown). Despite the high abundance of plant organelle ribosomal sequences, both profiling methods, DGGE and T-RFLP, allowed the detection of bacterial endophytic communities in potato tissues. However, as silver staining of DGGE gels is less sensitive than laser detection of fluorescently labelled T-RFs [26], more endophyte-derived bands were detected by T-RFLP than by DGGE.

T-RFLP analysis was applied to analyse endophytic bacteria in stems and roots of three potato varieties. In our analyses a combination of the restriction enzymes HaeIII and HhaI was used to generate T-RFLP fingerprints. Because of the high abundance of plant organelle-derived T-RFs, T-RFLP data were used only qualitatively, i.e. the absence or presence of bands was recorded. Furthermore, a PCR bias due to preferential annealing to particular primer pairs [37] cannot be excluded. Four to eleven T-RFs representing the endophytic bacterial community, depending on the plant variety and the type of tissue, were detected (Table 1). Few variations were found among replicate plants, and potato varieties possessed endophytic populations with comparable diversities.

In the first experiment T-RFs of 151 bp, 201 bp and 312 bp were present in all cultivars and plant compartments (Table 1). Several T-RFs were found predominantly in stem tissues such as a 60 bp, 132 bp, 191 bp, 297 bp and a 388 bp fragment (Table 1). The 191-bp and the 297-bp fragments were present in all potato cultivars, whereas fragments of 132 bp and 388 bp were found exclusively in stems of Achirana Inta. A fragment of 388 bp was detected only in stems of Austrian cultivars, whereas a 204-bp T-RF was observed exclusively in root tissues but was present in all varieties (Table 1).

The potato variety Mehlige Mühlviertler hosted a unique endophyte as indicated by the presence of a T-RF of 337 bp (Table 1). Additional differences were found among Austrian and American varieties. The South American potato cultivar Achirana Inta showed two T-RFs of 83 bp and 132 bp that were not present in the Austrian varieties. Fragments that were found in both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>T-RF length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39 42 60 83 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achirana Inta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-root1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-root2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-stem1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-stem2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bionta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-root1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-root2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-stem1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-stem2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehlige Mühlviertler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-root1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-root2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-stem1</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-stem2</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chloroplast- and mitochondrial-derived T-RFLP fragments are not included.

a ■ T-RFs found in planta as well as in the rhizosphere.
b □ T-RFs not found in the rhizosphere.
Austrian varieties, but not in the American one, included 145 bp, 148 bp, and 388 bp.

T-RFLP fingerprints were used to compare endophytic and rhizosphere bacterial communities. Most endophyte T-RFs were also detectable in the rhizosphere, however, some fragments were exclusively found in planta such as T-RFs of 132 bp, 151 bp, 156 bp, 297 bp and 337 bp (Table 1).

### 3.2. T-RFLP profiles of the second experiment

Potato plants obtained in healthy plants possessed more diverse endophytic populations than those obtained in the first experiment (Tables 1 and 2) and numbers of endophytic T-RFs detected ranged from 9 to 13. In general, the majority of peaks could be detected in both experiments, however, six T-RFs (163 bp, 176 bp, 276 bp, 308 bp, 318 bp and 335 bp) were found exclusively in healthy plants. Two peaks, 176 and 318 bp, represented endophytes that mainly colonised tubers, which were not analysed in the first experiment. T-RFs that were present in roots, stems and tubers of all potato plants included fragments of 151 bp and 312 bp as in stressed plants as well as additional fragment sizes of 163 bp, 335 bp and 337 bp. In addition, most healthy plants contained T-RFs of 60 bp, 145 bp and 148 bp. Again, the T-RF of 388 bp was predominantly present in stem tissues.

### 3.3. Analysis of 16S rRNA clones

Bacterial endophytes of the first experiment were analysed by 16S rDNA cloning and sequencing. In total, 400 clones obtained from the varieties Mehlige Mühlvierler and Achirana Inta were screened for the presence of eubacterial 16S rRNA genes. The majority of clones contained mainly mitochondrial and to a lower extent chloroplast small subunit rRNA sequences, whereas 13 clones were of bacterial origin (Table 3). Ten of these sequences derived from the cultivar Mehlige Mühlvierler, whereas only three were obtained from Achirana Inta. Names and accession numbers of most closely related organisms, their percent similarities calculated by BLAST, as well as their tentative phylogenetic placements by the RDP Sequence Match function, are given in Table 3.

Our clones fell into six different lineages of the eubacterial domain: the Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides phylum, the γ, β, and α subdivisions of the Proteobacteria, Gram-positive organisms with a high GC content as well as Planctomycetales. Two clones derived from Mehlige Mühlvierler, M3rb1 and M4rb3, which fell into the Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides phylum, however, showed only 89% and 93% sequence homology, respectively, to unidentifed 16S rRNA genes within the NCBI database. Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that both clones cluster with a range of as yet uncultivated bacteria that showed the highest sequence similarity with Flexibacter flexilis (Fig. 1). However, as only partial 16S rRNA gene sequences were used, this phylogenetic placement is tentative. Three Mehlige Mühlvierler sequences, M4rb3, M4rb4 and M3sb7, grouped with different Streptomyces species, and the remaining sequences showed high homology (96–99%) to Proteobacteria. The clones M4rb6, M3sb9 and M4sb10 showed highest similarity with members of the γ-Proteobacteria, whereas M4rb5 was highly...
Two Achirana Inta clones, A2rb11 and A3sb13, showed 98% sequence homology to Q-Proteobacteria, whereas clone A3sb12 fell into the phylum Planctomycetales.

### 3.4. Analysis of Actinomycetes

The species diversity of endophytic Actinomycetes in potato samples obtained from the first experiment was assessed by DGGE as well as by cloning and sequencing. PCR with Actinomycetes-specific PCR primers yielded reproducibly higher amounts of amplified products with plant material of the cultivar Mehlige Mühlvierltler than with other cultivars. Particularly stems of Bionta and Achirana Inta contained only low concentrations of Actinomycetes-derived PCR product. The composition of Actinomycetes populations was characterised by DGGE analysis. The number of DGGE bands ranged from 0 to 4 bands depending on the type of tissue and potato cultivar tested. Actinomycetes populations in stems and roots were highly different, with only the variety Mehlige Mühlvierlter showing identical banding patterns in both tissues (Fig. 2).

Cloning of Actinomycetes-derived partial 16S rRNA genes and DGGE analysis of 20 clones revealed 5 clones with different mobilities. Three of them showed the same running distances as bands obtained in the population related to α-Proteobacteria and M4sb8 to β-Proteobacteria. Two Achirana Inta clones, A2rb11 and A3sb13, showed 98% sequence homology to γ-Proteobacteria, whereas clone A3sb12 fell into the phylum Planctomycetales.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Closest database match</th>
<th>Putative phylum</th>
<th>RDP</th>
<th>T-RF length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mehlige Mühlvierltler root</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3rb1</td>
<td>unident. eubacterium AF010069</td>
<td>99 Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides unclassified</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3rb2</td>
<td>clone TBS17 AJ005988</td>
<td>93 Flexibacter/Cytophaga/Bacteroides unclassified</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4rb3d</td>
<td>Streptomyces lincolnensis X79854</td>
<td>98 High-GC Gram-positives</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4rb4d</td>
<td>Streptomyces turgidiscabies AB026221</td>
<td>98 High-GC Gram-positives</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4rb5</td>
<td>Sphingomonas subterranea AB025014</td>
<td>99 α-Proteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4rb6d</td>
<td>Sphingomonas aromaticivorans AB025012</td>
<td>99 γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3sb7</td>
<td>Streptomyces scabies AB026214</td>
<td>98 High-GC Gram-positives</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4sb8</td>
<td>β-proteobacterium A0640 AF236010</td>
<td>96 β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3sb9d</td>
<td>Pseudomonas sp. Pk AF105389</td>
<td>99 γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4sb10</td>
<td>Cellvibrio sp. AJ289164</td>
<td>97 γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achirana Inta root</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2rb11d</td>
<td>uncult. bacterium OSW4 AF018068</td>
<td>98 γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3sb12d</td>
<td>uncult. planctomycete clone 40 AF271331</td>
<td>94 Planctomycetales</td>
<td>186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3sb13d</td>
<td>uncult. eubacterium WD259 AJ292672</td>
<td>98 γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- Tentative phylogenetic placement and percent similarity values were determined by using BLAST and are based on approximately 500 bp of the 16S rRNA gene sequence for each clone.
- Accession numbers of closest database matches are given.
- The tentative phylogenetic placement was determined by using the Sequence Match option in the RDP.
- Sequenced from both ends of the PCR product.

---

**Fig. 1.** Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree based on 437 nucleotides of the 16S rRNA gene of clones showing highest similarity with bacteria belonging to the Cytophaga/Flexibacter/Bacteroides division. Sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold letters. Percent of 100 bootstrap replicates are shown at the left node when at least 70%. As only partial 16S rRNA sequences were determined this tree presents tentative rather than definitive phylogenetic relationships. Accession numbers of the 16S rDNA sequences used are: AF145860 (clone K20-69), AJ005990 (clone TBS28), AF013535 (clone C113), AF009993 (clone AF009993), AJ252690 (clone RSC-II-66), AJ252690 (clone PHOS-HE21), M62786 (Runella slithyformis), AF182020 (Flectobacillus sp. BAL49), AB015937 (Microscilla sp. Nano 1), and Y17356 (Hymenobacter actinosclerus). L35504 (Nitropsina gracilis) was used as outgroup.
analysis, whereas the remaining clones were not detected in DGGE profiles. These two clones showed similar mobilities as other clones and may have co-migrated with other bands in *Actinomycetes* DGGE profiles. Sequence analysis indicated the presence of several endophytic *Streptomyces* species of the *S. scabiei* subgroup, only one sequence showed higher similarity to bacteria belonging to the *S. coelicolor* subgroup (Table 4). Sequences were identical or highly similar to *Streptomyces* sequences that were found in 16S rDNA clone libraries. As a smaller portion of the 16S rRNA gene was analysed with sequences derived from *Actinomycetes*-specific PCR, BLAST analysis resulted in closest database matches that are different to clones obtained with eubacterial PCR primers. However, the sequence represented by the 16S rDNA clone M4rb3, which showed 98% similarity to *S. lincolnensis*, was not found among *Actinomycetes*-derived clones. *Actinomycetes* sequences showed 1–5 nucleotide differences demonstrating the high resolution of DGGE analysis.

### 4. Discussion

Endophytic bacterial communities of three potato varieties were examined by applying a 16S rRNA-based cultivation-independent approach. Two individual plant experiments were conducted. In the first experiment, plants suffered from light deficiency resulting in lower photosynthesis rates and therefore probably preventing the transformation of carbon into starch. As a consequence no tubers were produced. Additionally, plants were weakened by the presence of white flies and thrips in the greenhouse. The second experiment provided robust and healthy plants. Plants obtained from both experiments showed distinct endophytic communities, although the majority of endophytic T-RFs were found in both stressed and robust plants. Interestingly, healthy plants of the second experiment possessed a higher diversity of endophytes than stressed plants of the first experiment. Growth of Achirana Inta was particularly affected by the unfavour-

### Table 4

Sequence analysis of clones containing partial *Actinomycetes* 16S rDNA sequences obtained from Mehlige Mühlviertler stems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Closest database match</th>
<th>Similarity (%)</th>
<th>RDP</th>
<th>Designation in DGGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActinM3s7</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces cyaneus</em> AJ310927</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>S. scabiei sg</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinM3s5</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces kathirae</em> AJ015428</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>S. scabiei sg</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinM3s4</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces nodosus</em> AF114036</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>S. coelicolor sg</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinM3s1</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces cyaneus</em> AJ310927</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Streptomyces scabiei sg</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinM3s20</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces cyaneus</em> AJ310927</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>S. scabiei sg</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Tentative phylogenetic placement and percent similarity values were determined by using BLAST and are based on approximately 285 bp of the 16S rRNA gene sequence for each clone.

*b* Accession numbers of closest database matches are given.

*c* The tentative phylogenetic placement was determined by using the Sequence Match option in the RDP.
able conditions of the first experiment and this cultivar showed a lower endophyte diversity compared to the Austrian potato varieties. It is well known that biotic and abiotic stressors of plants induce a cascade of reactions leading to the formation of several enzymes such as peroxidases, catalases, and superoxide dismutases, as well as the synthesis of stress proteins. Typical stress responses also include the synthesis of stress metabolites including H$_2$O$_2$, phytoalexins, and stress signals such as abscisic acid, jasmonic acid and salicylic acid [38], which can create a hostile environment for bacteria, and may explain the lower species diversity found in stressed plants. Although it has been postulated that the low stress tolerance of axenic plants may partly result from the absence of endophytic microorganisms [7], it remains unclear, whether the higher diversity found in healthy plants contributed to their better performance.

Several T-RFs were predominantly abundant in robust plants of the second experiment such as a 60 bp fragment and 337 bp fragment. Of the known bacterial 16S rRNA sequences only lactobacilli possess a theoretical T-RF of 337 bp, whereas the 60 bp T-RF is characteristic for bacteria belonging to the Rhizobium–Agrobacterium group. Both, the latter group as well as lactobacilli are known to live in association with plants and they also have been isolated from internal plant tissues [3,6,13,14,39]. Stressed plants had T-RFs of 156 bp, 204 bp and 224 bp that were not found in robust plants of the second experiment. The latter fragment probably derived from bacteria belonging to the genus Streptomyces, as also sequence analysis of amplified 16S rRNA gene sequences indicated the presence of Streptomyces species. Endophytic Streptomyces strains have been isolated from a variety of plants including Ficus, Dieffenbachia, Allium porrum, Brassica oleracea, Quercus sp., and others [40,41].

Endophytes proved to be plant tissue-sensitive, as different bacterial communities were found in different plant compartments, particularly in stressed plants. Furthermore, stems showed slightly higher diversities than roots. Similar findings were reported by Sturz et al. [42], who found different endophytic populations in roots, foliage, stems and nodules of red clover. In that study the greatest diversity was found in stems and foliage and certain bacteria were found colonising only stems and foliage, roots or nodules. In addition, cultivar-dependent differences were found. Again, this effect was more pronounced in the first experiment, where plants suffered from unfavourable conditions. Host-specific endophytic populations were also observed by cultivation-dependent approaches [43,44]. The fact that many T-RFs were found in both experiments and that variation between replicates was low indicated that the potato apoplast is a suitable niche for certain specific sets of bacteria.

A comparison of endophytic and rhizosphere microbial communities confirmed, to a certain extent, the observation of previous reports that endoplant populations repre-
Streptomyces sp. that protected potato against common scab by utilizing thaxtomin, the phytotoxin produced by the pathogen.

5. Conclusions

Community analysis by T-RFLP of 16S rRNA genes proved to be a suitable and sensitive tool to investigate endophytic microbial communities and to detect population shifts of bacteria in different plant tissues, varieties or plants grown under different conditions. Nevertheless, the presence and high concentration of organelle small subunit RNA in plants is a major drawback for the culture-independent community analysis of endophytes. This is particularly true for direct cloning and sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. We demonstrated rather high-complex community structures as well as the presence of bacteria belonging to various phylogenetic groups within plants, but our 16S rDNA clone library did not encompass the number of community members found by T-RFLP analysis. The use of group-specific PCR primers avoided the problem of chloroplast- and mitochondrial-derived sequence confusion and proved to be a valuable tool for the analysis of endophytes. We conclude that molecular techniques suitable for the analysis of endoplant bacteria will continue to improve our understanding of the role of endophytes for stress tolerance and pathogen resistance in plants.

Acknowledgements

This project was financed by the Austrian Science Foundation (Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung), and A. Sessitsch received an APART fellowship funded by the Austrian Academy of Sciences. We thank Arche Noah for their support by providing us potato tubers of the cultivar Mehlige Mühliert.

References


