Contribution of nurses to the quality of care in management of inflammatory bowel disease: A synthesis of the evidence

Paloma Hernández-Sampelayo, Montserrat Seoane, Lorena Oltra, Laura Marín, Antonio Torrejón, María Isabel Vera, Valle García, Pablo Lázaro, Elizabeth Parody, Antonio J. Blasco, Francisco Casellas

Digestive System Service, Gregorio Marañón University Hospital, C/ Doctor Esquerdo 46, 28007, Madrid, Spain
Digestive System Service, Santiago de Compostela University Hospital, C/ la Choupana s/n, 15706, Santiago de Compostela, Coruña, Spain
Digestive System Service, Manises Hospital, C/ Las Rosas s/n, 46940, Manises, Valencia, Spain
Digestive System Service, Germans Trias i Pujol Hospital, Carretera de Canyet s/n, 08916 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain
Digestive System Service, Vall d’Hebron University Hospital, Paseo Vall d’Hebron 119, 08035, Barcelona, Spain
Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Manuel de Falla 1, 28222 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain
Digestive System Service, Reina Sofia University Hospital, Avenida Menéndez Pidal s/n, 14004, Córdoba, Spain
Advanced Techniques in Health Services Research (TAISS), C/ Cambrils 49, 28034, Madrid, Spain

Received 25 May 2010; received in revised form 13 July 2010; accepted 27 August 2010

Abstract

Background: The purpose of quality of care programs is to improve patient outcomes. In programs targeting patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), nurses play a key role.

Aim: To know the available scientific evidence on the quality of care in IBD management, at the levels of structure, process and outcome, in relation to nurses.

Methods: Systematic search in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Índice Médico Español, Cochrane Library, and grey literature. Inclusion criteria were: 1) documents referring IBD; 2) documents providing relevant information on nurses’ involvement in the management of IBD; and 3) an original article.

Results: A total of 284 documents were identified, 15 of which were included: 8 related with structure, 12 with process, and 6 with outcomes. Some documents treated more than one level. At the level of structure, services should incorporate specialist nurses as part of the multidisciplinary team, as well as resources to facilitate patient access to nursing care. Notable at the process...
level, organizational aspects and nurses’ competencies and skills in the management of IBD have been described. Among the outcomes mentioned are clinical outcomes, quality of life, and patient satisfaction attributable to nursing staff. No evidence was found about the association between structure or process issues with patient outcomes. Most of the studies reviewed have methodological limitations.

Conclusions: The available evidence provide useful information for the design of standards of structure and process relating to nurses’ management of IBD. The IBD nurses’ challenge is to provide evidence that these standards help improve health outcomes in patients.

© 2010 European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
2. Methodology

2.1. Systematic search

A systematic search of bibliographic databases and the grey literature was performed with regard to management of IBD by nurses.

The search was conducted in the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, Índice Médico Español (IME), and the Cochrane Plus Library; it was limited to documents published in English or Spanish between January 1999 and September 2009.

The MEDLINE search used the following Medical Subject Headings (MeSH): Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, Chronic disease, Nurses, Nurse's role, Nursing care, Attitude of health personnel, Standards, Quality indicators, and Practice guidelines as topics. To complete the search, other key words in the title and abstract fields were also used: Guidelines, Management, IBD, Quality standard, and Standard of care.

In EMBASE the EMTREE descriptors were used: Enteritis, Chronic disease, Nursing care, Disease management, Standard, and Practice guideline. To complete the search, other key words in the title field were also used: Inflammatory bowel disease and Management.

The terms used to define the search strategies in the IME were obtained from the list of key words included in the database. These are descriptors and synonyms based on MeSH terms from the PubMed system, but adapted to Spanish medical terminology. The descriptors used were: Crohn, Unidad, Cuidados, Enfermeras, Enfermería, Manejo and Estándares. In addition to these descriptors, we used other key words that were not indexed: Enfermedad Inflamatoria Intestinal, Cuidados de enfermería, Consulta de enfermería and Estándares de calidad.

In the Cochrane Library the following key words were used: Inflammatory bowel diseases, Nurs*, and Standards.

To conduct the search in the grey literature, we combed the websites of various institutions such as scientific societies and quality of care agencies (Appendix A). A generic search was also performed using the Google search engine, and the first 100 results were reviewed. The search was limited to documents published in English or Spanish.

2.2. Inclusion criteria for documents

The inclusion criteria for consideration in the synthesis of the evidence were that the document must: 1) deal with IBD; 2) provide relevant information on management of the disease involving nursing staff; and 3) be an original article.

2.3. Synthesis of the evidence

The first step was to read the title of each document. If the document was not excluded after reading the title, the abstract was read, and if it was still not excluded, the complete text of the document was read. To decide if the document met the inclusion criteria, two investigators independently reviewed each document, and cases of discrepancy were resolved by consensus. The quality of the evidence for "quantitative" documents was assessed applying the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence, while for articles using "qualitative" research, the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) evaluation tool for qualitative research was applied. To assign a level of evidence or to identify potential flaws, two investigators independently reviewed each document, and cases of discrepancy were resolved by consensus.

An evidence table summarizing the synthesis of the evidence was made based on the documents that met the inclusion criteria. The table contains information on: the bibliographic reference (first author, year and reference number), country, objective, study design/methodology, results and conclusions, domain of quality of care treated (structure, process and outcome), level of evidence and comments on the document.

Following the conceptual model of quality of care developed by Donabedian,11,12 to classify the standards or quality of care indicators found in the selected documents in a specific domain, we used the definitions of structure, process, and outcome of the National Quality Measures Clearinghouse.13 Structure of care, was defined as a feature of a healthcare organization or clinician relevant to its capacity to provide health care. For example, facilities, equipment, and nurse/patient ratio are structure-based measures. A process of care is a health care service provided to, on behalf of, or by a patient. For example, the adherence to explicit recommendations for clinical practice, to provide education and support, or to check the compliance to treatments is a process measure. An outcome of care is a health state of a patient resulting from health care. For example, outcome measures are mortality, avoided surgery, or improvement in quality of life, among others. To assign a quality domain to each indicator or standard found, two investigators independently classify each indicator, and cases of discrepancy were resolved by consensus.

3. Results

3.1. Documents found in the systematic search

Using the search strategies described, 282 articles were found (50 duplicates) in MEDLINE, 33 in EMBASE, 1 in the IME, and 8 (1 duplicate) in the Cochrane Library. In the search for grey literature, 6 potentially interesting documents were found in the web pages of three organizations: National Association for Colitis and Crohn’s Disease (NACC) (2 documents), Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates (SGNA) (1 document), and the IBD Standards Group (3 documents). In the generic search in Google, 6 articles were found in Spanish scientific journals (one was the same as in the IME).

Of the 232 articles in MEDLINE and the 33 in EMBASE, 198 were eliminated after reading the title. The abstracts of 67 articles were read, after which 44 articles were eliminated. Of the 23 articles read, only 10 met the inclusion criteria. Of the 6 articles found in Spanish journals, one was eliminated after reading the abstract; the complete text of the other 5 articles was read, one of which met the inclusion criteria. None of the 7 articles in the Cochrane Plus Library met the inclusion criteria. Accordingly, 11 of the articles identified met the inclusion criteria. With regard to the grey literature, after reading the 6 potentially interesting documents on institutional websites, 4 were found to meet the inclusion criteria. Thus, we found a total of 15 documents dealing with...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/country</th>
<th>Objective(s)</th>
<th>Design/methodology</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
<th>Aspect treated</th>
<th>Level of evidence·/comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nightingale et al.16 England</td>
<td>To determine the effect of a specialist nurse on outcomes in patients with IBD.</td>
<td>Intervention study (before–after). Audit of management of a cohort of 339 patients in the year before and after employment of an IBD specialist nurse. Patients received educational material on lifestyles, health promotion, medications and diagnostic tests. Direct telephone access to the specialist nurse was established. The outcome variables were: patient's health status, number of consultations, hospital admissions, length of stay, quality of life, and satisfaction with service.</td>
<td>Hospital visits were reduced by 38% and length of hospital stay by 19%. The number of patients in remission increased from 63% to 69%. Quality of life was unchanged. Patient satisfaction improved with regard to access to information on IBD, advice on avoidance of illness and maintaining health. Of the 251 calls to the telephone helpline, only 19 patients were referred for a medical opinion and 5 patients required hospital admission. The IBD specialist nurse is a valuable and cost-effective member of the gastroenterology team in terms of improved patient education and satisfaction and disease management.</td>
<td>S, O</td>
<td>4 Sample size is not specified, nor is information provided on the statistical analysis. No details are given on the cost-effectiveness analysis. Given these limitations, the results should be considered with caution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al.17 United Kingdom</td>
<td>To demonstrate that nurse-led psychological counseling improves health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients with IBD.</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial including 100 patients with IBD. Physical and psychological wellbeing were assessed using the SF-36 questionnaire. The intervention consisted of providing orientation and information to half the patients by a trained nurse. HRQoL was assessed at baseline, 6 months and 12 months. Anxiety and depression were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) questionnaire.</td>
<td>The intervention produced improvement in the SF-36 mental health domain in patients with Crohn's disease (but not in ulcerative colitis) at 6 months, but not at 12 months. There was no difference in the SF-36 physical health domain. No differences were observed in HAD scores or in adaptive styles.</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2b Lack of sufficient statistical power (small sample size). Possible bias in patient selection. Inappropriate statistical analysis. Due to methodological limitations, the results should be considered with caution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leshem18 Israel</td>
<td>To discuss the importance of support groups for patients with IBD and to describe the role of gastroenterology nurses in these groups.</td>
<td>Opinion article</td>
<td>Nurses have an important role in the formation and coordination of support groups for IBD patients. Assessment of outcomes with regard to quality of life, wellbeing, and levels of stress and anxiety in participating</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5 The search methodology, in which the opinions are based, is not specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cónsul and Soldevilla</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Opinion article about a case of IBD in a nurse</td>
<td>Nursing units must confront psycho-social aspects like isolation, shame, fear, distress, and myths, among other aspects involved in all chronic diseases affecting the patient's personal, family and social life. Education and support are essential elements in palliating these aspects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Eijk et al.</td>
<td>Norway, Denmark, Holland, Ireland, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Israel</td>
<td>Observational study (audit) conducted at 8 university hospitals and 4 general hospitals using a questionnaire to evaluate 20 characteristics of the facility. Consensus was reached with regard to defining current “best practice.”</td>
<td>“Best practice” related to nursing care includes: availability of daily telephone consultation, records of both treating physician and paramedics in the same patient file, protocols provided to doctors and nurses, continuous postgraduate training, wide integration, and rotation of nurses in outpatient, inpatient, and endoscopy units.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Observational study (before–after) including 825 patients. Study duration: 24 months. In addition, qualitative methodology was used (survey, focus groups and process mapping).</td>
<td>The first phase of the audit reflected lack of a standardized focus for monitoring immunosuppressors. The focus groups showed a high level of satisfaction with the IBD service with regard to emotional and psychological support and information received. Responsibilities identified in the methodology: the nurses are responsible for a telephone helpline, coordinating hematological monitoring and control of follow-up visits. The side effects of medications were detected earlier (21 days on average).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/country</th>
<th>Objective(s)</th>
<th>Design/methodology</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
<th>Aspect treated</th>
<th>Level of evidence\footnote{\textsuperscript{a}}/comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gray\textsuperscript{22} United Kingdom</td>
<td>To identify the most important aspects of the health services and those that should be improved, according to IBD patients and their caregivers.</td>
<td>Qualitative. Two focus groups, one for IBD patients and the other for caregivers.</td>
<td>Specialist nurses should be part of the multidisciplinary team for IBD management. They are essential as the point of contact between patients and health personnel.</td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td>Qualitative research. Details are lacking on the focus group recruitment, number and profiles of participants, and analysis, among other potential sources of bias.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGNA\textsuperscript{23} United Kingdom</td>
<td>Development of quality standards of clinical nursing practice in gastroenterology.</td>
<td>Development of quality standards. Based on the standards of the American Nursing Association. Delineation of the role of nurses was obtained from the SGNA document.</td>
<td>Describes 16 functions to be carried out by specialist nurses. Nurses must evaluate their own professional practice, establish priorities and make ethically-sound decisions, collaborate with other health professionals, manage follow-up of patient care and respond to emergency situations to ensure optimal outcomes by recognizing changes in patients' health status.</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5 The target group for the standards is specified, but they do not explain how they were developed (e.g., if by Delphi, consensus of experts...).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson\textsuperscript{24} England</td>
<td>Not stated. A reading of the article suggests that the objective is the implementation of a telephone helpline for patients directed by the specialist nurse.</td>
<td>Observational study (before–after). The database included 756 patients with IBD. A nurse-led telephone helpline was established. In addition, qualitative methodology was used: patient questionnaire, focus groups and discussion groups were used.</td>
<td>In 12 months the nurse conducted 950 follow-ups. Implementation of the helpline made it possible to: free up time for the specialist, improve communication within the team, and reduce hospital stay by 4 days and time of detection of side effects by 21 days. Responsibilities identified in the methodology: being available so that patients with flare-ups have someone to contact, make periodic calls to stable patients, ensure that patients come for routine and preventive examinations, verify compliance with treatment, early detection of adverse effects, and participation in the administration of biologic treatments.</td>
<td>S, P, O</td>
<td>4 Objectives not stated, no clear study design, lack of details on the methodology used, no statistical analysis applied, and sample size not explained. Qualitative research methodology is not described. Data to support the findings are not presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cierzniakowska et al.25
Poland
To present some problems relating with the IBD patient in the perisurgical period.
Opinion article
The authors present problems that may arise when IBD patients receive surgery. They indicate how nurses should act when faced with these problems and what would be the expected effects.
P
Belling et al.26
United Kingdom
Not stated. A reading of the article suggests that the objective is to know the perceptions of stakeholders (mainly patients and family members) about ideal behaviors and personal characteristics of IBD specialist nurses.
Qualitative (thematic focus).
131 participants: most are patients and relatives of patients.
The skills and behaviors highlighted by participants are related with easy availability of specialized nurses. The most frequently mentioned personal characteristics were: kindness, empathy, and ability to give patients the confidence to cope with their disease. A wide range of behaviors, skills and personal attributes of IBD specialist nurses mark the difference in patient care.
P
Jones et al.27
England
To develop quality criteria for the management of four gastrointestinal disorders: celiac disease, gastro-esophageal reflux disease, IBD and irritable bowel syndrome.
Qualitative study including 3 patient focus groups on IBD. Additionally, a literature review and guideline synthesis, and quality criteria setting process were done (not included in this review).
Patients should have access to IBD specialist nurse, practice nurse, and stoma nurse.
S
The conclusions are not related with the results.

IBD Standards Group28
United Kingdom
To describe the standards to ensure that IBD patients receive high quality care.
Document referring to quality standards. The document is a report of the National Health Service (NHS) and is part of a strategy in the United Kingdom to improve services and care to patients with IBD. The results are based on the audit report of the United Kingdom IBD group.
An IBD unit that serves a population of 250,000 should have 1.5 IBD specialist nurses and 1.5 specialist nurses in ostomies and ileoanal surgery. Among the quality standards is that patients should have rapid access to clinical counseling from specialist nurses (mainly when they have flare-ups), ideally by telephone or email, and that nurses should participate in meetings of the multidisciplinary team.
S, P

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/country</th>
<th>Objective(s)</th>
<th>Design/methodology</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
<th>Aspect treated</th>
<th>Level of evidence(^{a}/comments)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK IBD Audit Steering Group(^{29}) United Kingdom</td>
<td>To audit IBD Services in the United Kingdom to determine if they meet quality standards and to assure that patients receive high quality care.</td>
<td>Observational study to assess the level of compliance with the quality standards of the IBD Standards Group. Data collection was done online via the website. The audit was conducted between September and December 2008.</td>
<td>The number of IBD specialist nurses has increased in recent years, however 38% of the sites do not yet have an IBD specialist nurse. The time that specialist nurses devote to IBD care has also increased. The study demonstrates the need to increase the number of specialist nurses to the level recommended by the IBD Standards Group.(^{28}) Access to telephone contact with an IBD specialist nurse is available in 85% of sites and email contact is available in 41% of sites.</td>
<td>S, P, O</td>
<td>2c The report presents the main findings and recommendations for each standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludlow et al.(^{30}) United Kingdom</td>
<td>To investigate whether the use of email and text messaging helps to improve services offered to patients and reduce delays in blood tests.</td>
<td>Intervention study (before–after) including 126 patients with IBD being treated with azathioprine or mercaptupurine. Patients were divided into three groups (self-selection): reminder of blood test by email, reminder of blood test by text message, and a control group that received telephone calls and occasional letters. Analysis of information and days that monitoring tests were delayed. The IBD nurse was responsible for follow-up.</td>
<td>There was overall improvement in all three groups in the number of days of delay and in the number of important delays (over 28 days), although the email and text messaging groups had fewer delays than the control group. Patients in the email and text messaging groups found these methods of contact to be useful for follow-up and wished them to continue. The authors conclude that the intervention is cost-effective.</td>
<td>S, P, O</td>
<td>4 A limitation described in the study is that it was not random, thus it is subject to several sources of bias. Other study limitations are lack of methodological detail (e.g., they do not specify the statistical analysis and there is no analysis of cost-effectiveness) and lack of detailed results. For these reasons, the results should be considered with caution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S: structure; P: process; O: outcomes.  
\(^{a}\) Levels of evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence.\(^{13}\) For qualitative research articles, the CASP tool was used for assess the methodological quality.\(^{14}\)
IBD that provide relevant information on disease management by nurses (Table 1). Fig. 1 summarizes the flow of document search.

3.2. Synthesis of the evidence

With regard to study design or methodology, 5 studies use qualitative methodology, 21,22,24,26,27 4 are observational studies, 20,21,24,29 2 are intervention studies, 16,30 2 refer to quality standards, 23,28 3 are opinion articles, 18,19,25 and one is a randomized controlled clinical trial. 17 Two documents use more than one methodology.

Eight documents were found to be related with the “structure” of the service 16,21,22,24,27,30, 12 with “process,” such as organizational aspects of the service related with nurses’ management of IBD. 20,29 skills of nursing staff in IBD management, 19,21,22,24,26,30 skills that nursing staff should have in managing IBD, 18,26 and 6 documents were related with clinical and quality of life “outcomes” in patients attributable to the role of nurses. 16,17,21,24,29,30 Some documents treated more than one domain.

No conceptual or operational definition was found of organized forms of nursing (e.g., nursing units) for the management of IBD.

The IBD Standards Group establishes that an IBD unit (IBDU) covering a population of 250,000 should have 1.5 IBD specialist nurses and 1.5 specialist nurses in ostomies and ileoanal surgery. 18 However, in a study carried out in the United Kingdom, 79 of 207 centers surveyed (38%) did not have specialist nurses. 29 The study also showed that, although patients should have telephone or email access to specialist nurses for medical advice, patients do not have telephone access in 15% of centers, and do not have email access in 59%. 29

In terms of process, among other quality standards, nurses should participate in meetings of the IBD unit and should rotate to inpatient, outpatient and endoscopy units to improve their knowledge. 20 Numerous skills, both conceptual and operational, were assigned to nurses in IBD management. Notable among these are integration within the multidisciplinary team, 22,28 acting as a link between the patient and the team and with primary care, 22 compliance with treatment, 24 early identification of adverse effects, 21,24 provision of health information to patients and their families, 16,17 provision of emotional support, 17,19 being accessible when the patient so requires, 27,28 (for example, giving advice by telephone, 16,21,24,28,29), and organizing patient support groups by selecting group participants, establishing objectives and looking for subjects of interest. 18

The attributes of nurses most highly valued by patients and their families include easy availability, kindness, empathy, and the ability to communicate and to reinforce patients’ confidence. 26

Only 5 original studies (4 observational 16,21,24,30 and one controlled clinical trial 17) measure patient outcomes attributable to nursing interventions. Among these outcomes, the authors refer to: a) improved mental domain on the SF-36 quality of life questionnaire in patients with CD (but not UC) at 6 months, but not at 12 months, 16 whereas others do not find quality of life differences 16; b) reduced number of hospital visits 16 and reduced length of hospital stay 16,24; c) increased patient satisfaction 21; d) earlier detection of adverse effects 21,24; e) increased number of patients in remission 16; and f) reduced working time of the specialist physician. 24

From the methodological point of view, these found quantitative studies have important limitations, such as design defects, lack of statistical analysis, inappropriate analysis, and numerous sources of bias. According to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence, the maximum
level of evidence reached by an article in our review is 2b. The qualitative research studies have also several flaws which make them vulnerable to bias (see Table 1, "Level of Evidence/Comments").

4. Discussion

This review provides a synthesis of the available evidence on relevant aspects of the quality of care in nurses’ management of IBD. These aspects have been classified into three large subject areas: structure, process and outcomes. Some of the documents found treat one or more of these aspects.

With adequate structural elements, such as personnel and medical and physical resources, the process of care could be said to be the key element in assuring quality. In these conditions, an adequate “process” of care has a high probability of producing a satisfactory outcome in providing the service, thus “process” is a key element of quality, whose ultimate objective is to improve patient outcomes.11,12 The documents reviewed that deal with structure highlight the importance of having an IBD specialist nurse for a specified number of inhabitants. The availability of telephone access for patients receiving care from an IBD nurse is reported to be associated with beneficial patient outcomes.16,21,24

Health care, considered as a comprehensive process, could help to improve continuity in patient care, so that coordination and integration among the different levels of care guarantee consistent, appropriate, and high quality health care. In this continuity of care, some of the studies reviewed consider nursing personnel as the link between specialized care and primary care and as key persons in assuring that patients have easier access to other health professionals.

IBD requires a multidisciplinary approach. The scientific literature shows the important role that nurses have in managing this disease and in providing patients with education, counseling, and physical and emotional support, among other aspects. In fact, patients with IBD evaluate positively the role of nurses in disease management and emphasize the confidence and peace of mind they have in being treated by nurses.

Unfortunately, the studies found have important methodological limitations, especially those that try to identify associations between the role of nurses and patient outcomes (some do not state their objectives, do not describe how patients are selected, or use inappropriate statistical analyses, among other limitations). Our assessment of the methodological quality of the found evidence is consistent with the assessment done in two previous systematic reviews of the effectiveness of inflammatory bowel disease specialist nurses.31,32 and one non-systematic review on the contribution of specialist nurses in managing patients with IBD.33 Consequently, the results of the available studies must be viewed with caution.

The standards of structure and process found were few, highly variable, and the underlying logic of their development is poorly documented. For these reasons, it would be advisable to design standards of structure and process dealing with organized forms of IBD care by nurses as an important part of the multidisciplinary team of professionals who manage IBD.

The hypothesis that organized forms of nursing care in IBD, with explicit responsibilities and integration of nurses within the team, will improve patient outcomes is plausible. But this hypothesis has not been verified in the present review. Methodologically sound studies should be designed to confirm this hypothesis. The challenge for IBD nurses is to produce the needed evidence, to demonstrate the relevance of their role in caring IBD patients.

Naturally there are other conceptual models of quality of care different from what was used in this work. But they all share the common aim of improving patient outcomes.34–36 An outcome measure can be used to assess quality of care to the extent that health care services influence the likelihood of desired health outcomes,15 but based on the scientific evidence available from our review, we do not know the degree to which nurses help bring patients’ health outcomes closer to the desired outcomes. Thus, IBD nurses have an excellent opportunity to produce knowledge on the most appropriate ways to improve patient outcomes as a function of different models of organizational processes and structures of nurses’ management of IBD.

In conclusion, despite the methodological limitations of the studies reviewed, the documents found provide information that could serve as a foundation for the design of standards of structure and process for the management of IBD by nurses. The next step would be to demonstrate that these standards help improve desired health outcomes in patients. These two steps will require the design and implementation of research projects aimed at covering the gaps in knowledge that have been detected, specially the association between nursing interventions and patient outcomes.
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Appendix A. National organizations

- Asociación de Enfermos de Crohn y Colitis Ulcerosa (ACCU) [www.accuesp.com]
- Asociación Española de Gastroenterología (AEG) [www.aegastro.es]
- Associação Brasileira de Colite Ulcerativa e Doença de Crohn (ABCD) [www.abcd.org.br]
- Associação Portuguesa de Doença Inflamatória do Intesino (APDI) [www.apdi.org.pt]
- Association Française Aupetit (AFA) [www.afasasso.fr]
- Association Luxembourgeoise de la Maladie de Crohn (ALMC)
- Australian Crohn’s & Colitis Association [www.crohnsandcolitis.com.au]
- Australian Crohn’s & Colitis Association (Queensland) [www.accaq.org.au]
- British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) [www.bsg.org.uk]
- Canadian Society of Gastroenterology Nurses & Associates [www.csagna.com]
- Colitis Crohn Foreningen (CCF) [www.ccf.dk]
- Crohn en Colitis Ulcerosa Vereniging Nederland (CCUVN) [www.ccv-vzw.be]
- Crohn Club (VUV) – Vyskumný ústav vyzivy
- Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA) [www.ccfa.org]
- Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA) [www.ccff.ca]
- Deutsche Morbus Crohn/Colitis Ulcerosa Vereinigung (DCCV e. V.) [www.dccv.de]
- Federazione Nazionale delle Associazioni per le Malattie Inflamatorie dell’Intestino (AMICI) [www.amicitalia.net]
- Grupo Andaluz para el Estudio de la Enfermedad Inflamatoria Intestinal (GAEEII) [www.a2000.es/gaeii]
- Grupo Español de Trabajo en Enfermedad de Crohn y Colitis (GETECCU) [www.geteccu.org]
- Irish Society for Colitis & Crohn’s Disease (ISCC) [www.iscc.ie]
- Israel Foundation for Crohn’s Disease and Ulcerative Colitis
- Landsforeningen Mot Fordøyelsessykdommer (LMF) [www.lmfnorge.no]
- Magyarországi Crohn-Coliteses Betegk Egyesülete (MCCBE) [www.mccbe.hu]
- National Association for Colitis and Crohn’s Disease (NACC) [www.nacc.org.uk]
- Österreichische Morbus Crohn-Colitis Ulcerosa Vereinigung (ÖMCCV) [www.omcccv.at]
- Riksförbundet föö Mag-och Tarmsjuka (RMT) [www.magtarm.se]
- Schweizerische Morbus Crohn/Colitis Ulcerosa Vereinigung (SMCCV) [www.smccv.ch]
- Sociedad Española de Patología Digestiva (SEPD) [www.seped.es]
- Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates (SGNA) [www.sgna.org]
- South African Crohn’s & Colitis Association
- Swiss Association of Crohn’s Disease/Ulcerative Colitis [www.smccv.ch]
- Zimbabwe Association for Colitis & Crohn’s Disease

International organizations

- International Foundation for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders [www.iffgd.org]
- European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) [www.ecco-ibd.eu]
- European Federation of Crohn’s and Ulcerative Colitis Associations (EFCCA) [www.efcca.org]

Institutions that develop quality standards or indicators of process

- The IBD Standards Group [www.ibdstandards.org.uk]
- National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC) [www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov]
- National Guidelines Clearinghouse (NGC) [www.guidelines.gov]
- Northwestern Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center [www.ibdcenter.org]
- Royal College of Nursing (Crohn’s and Colitis Special Interest Group) [www.ibdnurses.com]
- Unidad de Atención Crohn-Colitis (UACC) [www.ua-cc.org]
- University of Chicago Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center [www.ibdcenter.uchicago.edu]
- National quality of care agencies in different countries (e.g., NICE in the United Kingdom) and international institutions (e.g., WHO and INHATA)
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