large number of the sentences quoted (nine out of twenty-three), the difficulty lies in the pronunciation of the letter t, which is now sounded like s ("les portions"). It may interest your readers to learn that a very ingenious plan has been proposed to solve this difficulty, which occurs in so many words. This is to put a cedilla under the t when it is to be pronounced like s or ç. But I cannot say that there seems to be any chance of this very simple innovation being adopted. A. Beljame.

Madame D'Arrblay's "Diary" (5th S. vi. 406.)—On referring to the Peerage I find that the second wife of the Hon. Stephen Digby—the Miss Fuzilier of the Diary—was Charlotte Margaret, daughter of Sir Rob. Gunning, K.B. His first wife was (Lady) Lucy (Strangways), daughter of the first Earl of Ilchester. I would also point out that Miss P——, companion to Mrs. Delany, was that lady's great niece, Miss Port, afterwards Mrs. Waddington, of Llanover (Memoirs of Dr. Burney, iii. 91). The identification of four persons mentioned in the Diary under fictitious names or by blanks is, I think, satisfactorily established, and I hope others will be added.

Charles Wylie.

I find, on the fly-leaf of a copy of the Diary which belonged to a lady who died some years ago, notes in her handwriting to the effect that "Mr. Turbulent" was M. de la Guiffardière, German Reader to the Queen and the princessess; "Miss Fuzilier" was Margaret, daughter of Sir Robert Gunning; she became the second wife of "Mr. Fairly," i.e., Hon. Stephen Digby; and that "Col. Well Bred" was Col. Greville. I wonder if he was uncle to the author of the Greville Memoirs.

M. C. B.

Many years ago, when I first read this Diary, I was always said that Mr. Fairly was the writer's name for Col. Stephen Digby, and that the "Miss Fuzilier" whom he married (and who was his second wife) was Charlotte Margaret Gunning, eldest daughter of Sir Robert Gunning, Bart. The name Fuzilier was doubtless given in playful allusion to the lady's real name, Gunning. The reason why Miss Burney concealed her friend Mr. Fairly's real name of Digby appeared to me to be that the feeling towards him on her part was somewhat deeper than friendship, and some questions asked her and comments made to her by Queen Charlotte on this subject (see Diary) confirm this impression.

Lindis.

Ireton the Regicide (5th S. vi. 287, 334, 377, 390, 429.)—I am quite content to let the facts stated in my former article (p. 390) stand against the opinions of Mr. White (p. 430). Nothing that he says weakens their force, or in the slightest degree strengthens the opposite theory. The only authority quoted by him, viz., the Rev. William Betham, is, as Mr. White ought to be aware, notoriously almost, if not quite, as untrustworthy as his predecessor, the Rev. Mark Noble, whom he evidently merely followed; and the other authorities which he cites, but does not quote, merely followed them, Mr. White himself bringing up the rear.

Two misrepresentations in Mr. White's article demand my attention. He says that the conclusions I have formed "appear to be based upon the assumption that, because Mary Ireton was called Fleetwood, she was the daughter, and not the step-daughter, of General Charles Fleetwood." Now, I formed my opinions upon no assumption whatever, and assumed nothing whatever; but I expressed my belief, as I express it now, that when, at an interesting crisis in the life of the young lady in question, and on an occasion when the legal establishment of her identity was absolutely necessary, it was solemnly sworn that she was the daughter of Charles Fleetwood, as it had been twice before sworn that the daughters of Ireton were Fleetwood's step-daughters, such positive legal evidence outweighs overwhelmingly the mere conjecture of such an irresponsible authority as the Rev. Mark Noble.

Again, Mr. White "cannot help thinking that Col. Chester is in error, in 4th S. ii. 600, in raising a doubt that General Fleetwood's third wife was Dame Mary Hartopp." This is really too bad. I had flattered myself that, on the contrary, on that very page I had put that doubt, raised by somebody else, forever at rest, by being the first to give the marriage allegation, and the date and place of the marriage, to the world.

Joseph Lemuel Chester.

In my note at p. 429 I find I have committed an error in stating that General Fleetwood's third wife was the widow of Sir Thomas instead of Sir Edward Hartopp. I cannot imagine how I could have made the blunder, as the rough notes from which I penned the article are very plain. I should not have noticed it except for some collateral questions put to me by your valued correspondent, Mr. Edward Solly.

George White.

St. Bravel's, Epsom.

Harry of Monmouth, &c. (5th S. vi. 429.)—Henry V.—Redmayne's description (condensed):—

"Head spherical; broad forehead; hair brown, thick, and smooth; nose straight; face becomingly oblong; complexion florid; eyes bright, large, and subrubus [auburn], dove-like when unmoved, but fierce as those of a lion in his anger; teeth even and white as snow; ears graceful and small; chin divided; neck fair, of a becoming thickness throughout; cheeks part rosy, part of