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A recently discovered document sheds new light on Einsatz Reinhardt, the murder of the Jews in the General Government. For the first time detailed statistics on the 1942 killings in the extermination camps of Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka, and in the Concentration Camp Lublin-Majdanek, as compiled by Nazi officials, are available. The reliability of the numbers appears confirmed by a consideration of the related research of other historians. The authors analyze the document in its wider historical context, raising issues that call for further research.

The Background

A recently discovered document on Einsatz Reinhardt, the murder of the Jews in the General Government, is of fundamental importance for any discussion of the numbers of Jewish victims in Poland. For the first time we have detailed figures, as compiled by Nazi officials, for the 1942 killings in the extermination camps of Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka, and the Concentration Camp Lublin-Majdanek. Some deaths at Majdanek are here included as part of Einsatz Reinhardt. The sum total given in this document equals the total number in the so-called Korherr Report, written by Himmler’s statistician Richard Korherr and later (in all probability) presented by Himmler to Hitler. This document adds to our understanding of the Einsatz Reinhardt extermination camps in Poland, and of the channels of information about the “Final Solution of the Jewish Question” leading up to the highest levels, including “the Führer” himself.

This document was uncovered among recently declassified material at the Public Record Office in Kew, England, and comprises two partially intercepted “state secret” radio messages from Lublin, General Government. Both are dated January 11, 1943, the second following within five minutes of the first. One was addressed to SS Lieutenant Colonel Eichmann at Reich Security Main Office (RSHA) Berlin, and the other to SS Lieutenant Colonel Heim, deputy commander of the Security Police and SD for the General Government in Cracow. It was sent by SS Major Höfle, staff member of the SS and Police Leader (SSPF) in Lublin. Due to receiving problems,

12 OMQ de OMG 1000 89 ??
State Secret
To the Reich Main Security Office, for the attention of SS Obersturmbannführer EICHMANN, Berlin, rest missed

13/15 OLQ de OMG 1005 83 234 250
State Secret
To the Senior Commander of the Security Police [and the Security Service], for the attention of SS Obersturmbannführer HEIM, CRACOW

Subject: fortnightly report Einsatz REINHART
Reference radio telegram therefrom

recorded arrivals until December 31, 42,

L [Lublin] 12,761,
B [Belzec] 0,
S [Sobibor], 515,
T [Treblinka] 10 335 [,]
Together 23 611
The message to Eichmann was only partially intercepted and decoded by British Intelligence. The second message to Heim is much more complete. Again, this message was only partially intercepted, as can be seen from a gap in the transcription. There is no indication that British intelligence analysts understood the meaning or significance of these two messages.

Unfortunately, no similar decode about Einsatz Reinhardt operations is to be found within the material declassified to date. We do not wish to pursue here a discussion of the provenance, reliability, and distribution of the German Police Decodes other than to say British Intelligence regarded the decodes as exceptional intelligence gathering, the secrets of which they maintained for more than fifty years.

The Telegram

SS Major Hermann Höfle was a leading staff member working under SS and Police Major General Odilo Globočnik in Lublin. Rather grandly, Höfle’s function was called “Head of the Main Section ‘Einsatz Reinhardt’ under the SS and Police Leader in the Lublin District” (Leiter der Hauptabteilung “Einsatz Reinhardt” beim SS- und Polizeiführer im Distrikt Lublin). A list of all staff officers of the SS and Police Leader Lublin identified Höfle as a “Section Head for Jewish Affairs – Special Action Reinhardt” (Referent für Judenangelegenheiten–Sonderaktion Reinhardt). Höfle was in charge of almost all relevant activities concerning the murder of the Jews in the General Government: supervising construction work at the extermination camps, presenting them to visitors like Eichmann, preparatory work of “cleansing” the ghettos, in particular coordination of activities with the local civil administration, “cleansing” of the ghettos by Security and Order Police units reinforced by Globočnik’s police auxiliaries from the Trawniki training camp, dispatch of trains to the chosen extermination camps, utilization of the properties left by the Jews to be murdered.

The addressee of the radio telegram was SS Lieutenant Colonel Franz Heim, from September 1941 deputy of the Commander of the Security Police and the SD for the General Government (Befehlshaber der Sicherheitspolizei und des SD, SS Oberführer Eberhard Schöngarth). The subject of the radio telegram reads “fortnightly report Einsatz REINHART [sic]” The same idiosyncratic spelling Einsatz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24 733,</th>
<th>434 508,</th>
<th>101 370,</th>
<th>71 355, [read: 713 555]</th>
<th>1 274 166</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>24 733,</td>
<td>434 508,</td>
<td>101 370,</td>
<td>71 355, [read: 713 555]</td>
<td>1 274 166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS and Police Leader Lublin, HÖFLE, Sturmbannführer
Reinhart appears in both the printed and the typewritten office letterheads of Höfle’s section in Globocnik’s staff. Whether it reflects Höfle’s inability to spell is not clear, though the latter is well documented. The reference line of the radio message indicates that Höfle was answering a request from Heim in Cracow. As no date is given, the latter may have been sent the same day, January 11, 1943.

Höfle’s radio telegram provides us not only with a sum total of the victims of Einsatz Reinhardt in 1942 but for the first time also with precise knowledge of the distribution by extermination camp. The Höfle telegram does not give us the full names of the extermination camps, but only the letters L, B, S, and T. The letters B, S, and T certainly stand for Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka. Until recently it has been accepted by historians that only these three extermination camps belonged to Globocnik’s “Einsatz Reinhardt.” Now we have a fourth camp—L—obviously the Concentration Camp Lublin, commonly known as Majdanek, listed by Höfle ahead of the other three camps Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka were extermination camps, so it is reasonable to assume that the numbers given for “L” are numbers of Jews murdered also it would not make sense to give the numbers killed for three camps and make Lublin an exception.

Who were the 24,733 Jewish victims sent to “L,” of whom more than half arrived and were murdered during the last fourteen days of 1942? Were they prisoners of the concentration camp killed in the gas chambers after selections there? It is rather unlikely that such large-scale selections inside the concentration camp, other murders by gassings, or transports of bodies to huge mass graves would have escaped the notice of surviving prisoners. Many of the latter were able to give testimonies after the war, in particular at the Düsseldorf Majdanek trial. As far as can be ascertained, no mass killing exceeding 12,000 Jewish prisoners there before 1943 is mentioned in the rather scarce literature on Majdanek. The victims must have come from somewhere else. The only area whence large transports could have arrived at this time was the Bialystok General District. A number of transports were directed indeed from Bialystok to Auschwitz and Treblinka, but some might have reached Lublin too. Another possible source, after the dissolution of almost all ghettos, was the remaining small labor camps in the General Government. In mid-November 1942 the communist underground army Guardia Ludowa attacked the water administration camp (Wasservirtschaftslager) Janiszow in Krasnik district, where 900 Jewish prisoners were employed at draining marshes. Himmler immediately issued an order to the Higher SS and Police Leader Friedrich-Wilhelm Krüger in Cracow to have all smaller, weakly-guarded camps liquidated. Of forty-two such camps in the Lublin region thirty-eight were dissolved before the end of 1942. Most of these had had a capacity of 400 to 600 prisoners in 1941, with a few ranging up to 1,500. But it is doubtful whether this capacity was still employed at the end of 1942. However, we can assume that several thousand Jewish prisoners from the water administration camps fell victim to Himmler’s order. In addition, a considerable number of other forced labor camps
were also dissolved. There were dozens of them in the districts of Lublin and Radom, which were closer to Concentration Camp Lublin than to Sobibor or Treblinka (Belzec had already been closed). So the huge numbers of victims at Concentration Camp Lublin in a short period of time may have come from unknown transports from the Bialystok General District or, more likely, from small forced labor camps.

Globocnik must have been responsible for sending these unknown Jewish victims to the gas chambers of Majdanek, because, according to Höfle's telegram, he counted them as Einsatz Reinhardt victims. Taking into consideration that slightly more than half of these Lublin victims were killed during the last fourteen days of the year, the Concentration Camp Lublin might have served Globocnik and his men as an additional killing site, which they might have taken over before the killings at Belzec were stopped. Globocnik halted the deportations of Jews from the ghettos in the district of Lublin on November 9, 1942, the day when about 3,000 Jewish men, women, and children from Majdan Tatarski camp were sent to the Concentration Camp Lublin. From the beginning of November until the closing of Belzec a month later only Galician Jews were still being gassed there. Unfortunately, reliable information is unavailable, so further research is needed.

The telegram's statistics for the other camps cause fewer problems. The number for Belzec, in particular, seems on target as the killings in this camp stopped in December. For this reason the figure of 434,508 must be nearly identical with the actual total number. It is considerably lower than most historians have calculated. Wolfgang Scheffler, who gave expert opinions on the Reinhardt camps and deportations at numerous German trials of Nazi criminals, is an exception. Scheffler arrived at a minimum figure of 441,442 victims from identified towns and villages, and explained that a precise calculation was not possible because of additional unknown transports. Scheffler's minimum figure comes very close to the actual number in Höfle's telegram. If we accept Höfle's figure, we may conclude that any allowance for unknown transports cannot be made. The figure for Sobibor in Höfle's radio telegram is 101,370 victims for 1942. Scheffler's minimum figure of 102,577 for 1942 almost matches the actual number.

In Treblinka 713,555 victims were murdered. The figure 71,355 in Höfle's radio telegram is a typing error or a mistake in the process of decrypting. If the figures for Lublin, Belzec and Sobibor are subtracted from the established sum of 1,274,166, the correct number 713,555 for Treblinka remains, the numeral "5" simply got left off. Historical research had already reached an approximate number: Yitzhak Arad estimated about 763,000 victims up to the end of April 1943, and gives 32,500 for the period between January and April, i.e., 730,500 for 1942. Again there can be no consideration for any unknown transports.

Höfle's telegram also details the killing rate for the last fourteen days of 1942 (14-tägige Meldung). Though not as important as the totals for each extermination camp, these numbers provide clues for further research. On December 5, 1942,
Higher SS and Police Leader Friedrich-Wilhelm Krüger cabled a cry for help to Himmler. His SS and Police Leaders (i.e., his subordinates) had unanimously informed him that there would be a cessation of transports in the General Government between December 15, 1942, and at least January 15, 1943, thus endangering the planned “total evacuation” of Jews (Himmler had fixed the date for completion at December 31, 1942). So Krüger entreated his chief to intervene with the Wehrmacht High Command (OKW) and the Ministry of Transport to obtain at least three trains (Zugpaare) for this most urgent task. Himmler must have been successful, for the fortnightly report clearly shows there must have been several transports to the camps during this period, a conclusion also supported by other evidence.

As for Lublin, we have pointed out the possibility of trains from the Białystok region or, more likely, from smaller forced labor camps not too far from Lublin itself. As for Treblinka, Christian Gerlach has already provided evidence that at least three trains went from the district of Białystok to Treblinka. A transport from the collection camp Kielbasin is documented, starting on December 14, 1942 and arriving on December 15 at Treblinka, allegedly carrying 7,000 Jews to their death. If their arrival at Treblinka actually took place a day or two later (entirely possible as the date is an estimate), the deportation would fit into the “fortnightly report” of the document. On December 17, 1942, a train left Treblinka via Białystok to Grodno, presumably to return fully laden again. According to survivor testimony, the last train from Kielbasin left for Treblinka on December 20.

Whereas Treblinka murdered 10,335 victims in the second half of December 1942, for Sobibor only 515 are recorded. These Sobibor Jews must be identical with those ascertained by Scheffler, who listed one deportation to Sobibor from Staw on December 22, 1942. Details on this deportation emerge from the reports of survivors. It has to be stated that the number 515 accords well with the sources.

It should be noted that the figures in Höflé’s message include only the numbers of murders in the extermination camps. Three of them were devoted extermination camps, where those unable to walk were shot and the huge majority gassed. Lublin, however, was a regular concentration camp; from October 1942 three gas chambers were used to murder prisoners after selections of those deemed unable to work. The number culled during selections in Majdanek from October onwards is known: their sum is much lower than the figures given in Höflé’s radio telegram. As the document indicates, the murder of Jews transported to Lublin without being registered at the concentration camp became an integral part of Einsatz Reinhardt from an unspecified date onward. Further research, one hopes, will hopefully permit more precise information.

In the main, the separate figures for the Reinhardt camps provided by Höflé’s radio telegram can be confirmed by published research. The document is a reliable source and will have to be considered in all future research concerning the numbers of murdered Jews in the General Government. An unknown number of Jews, perhaps...
tens of thousands, were shot during the ghetto ‘cleansings’ or in the forests.\textsuperscript{28} Undoubtedly their number is not included in these statistics.

**A Note on Terminology and Spellings**

The murder of the Jews in the General Government was overseen by SS and Police Leader Lublin Odilo Globocnik between mid-October 1941 and October 19, 1943.\textsuperscript{37} In 1942 it was given the codenames *Einsatz* or *Aktion Reinhardt*. The language of the killers identified four distinct spheres of operations. “evacuation” (*Aussiedlung*), utilization of labor (*Verwertung der Arbeitskraft*); seizure and utilization of personal belongings (*Sachverwertung*), and confiscation of hidden assets and real estate (*Einnahme verborgener Werte und Immobilien*).\textsuperscript{28} The new document is concerned only with the first aspect of *Einsatz Reinhardt*, the “evacuation” of the Jews of the General Government from the ghettos and forced labor camps to the extermination camps.\textsuperscript{29}

The subject line of Höfle’s radio telegram reads *Einsatz Reinhardt*. The term *Einsatz* was apparently the original one in use from June 1942 on, but certainly less often in 1943; the extermination camps Belzec, Sobibor, and Treblinka were officially called “camps of *Einsatz Reinhardt*.” New personnel assigned to these camps by the Chancellery of the Führer—that is the euthanasia organization T-4—had to undergo instruction by Hermann Höfle in Lublin and then to sign a secrecy agreement as “specially commissioned persons for the execution of tasks in the resettlement of Jews, *Einsatz Reinhardt*.”\textsuperscript{30} Officially they were designated “SS-Sonderkommandos Einsatz Reinhard.” *Einsatz* is the term the perpetrators on Globocnik’s staff used themselves in their correspondence during 1942. On the other hand, the term *Aktion* did not occur before mid-September 1942 as far as we can ascertain. It seems to have been used first in the SS Economics and Administration Main Office (WVHA) and its Inspectorate of Concentration Camps,\textsuperscript{32} and only later, in 1943, by Globocnik and Himmler themselves.\textsuperscript{33} For these reasons, and because the telegram’s subject line itself has *Einsatz*, the authors prefer using this term instead of *Aktion*.

Similarly, the spelling *Reinhardt* is to be recommended instead of *Reinhard* (which was sometimes used by Globocnik and others), or the seemingly more private spelling *Reinhart* appearing in the new document and typically used in Höfle’s office. First of all, it has to be stated that *Einsatz* or *Aktion Reinhardt* was indeed named after the chief of the RSHA and Göring’s “Commissioner for the Total Solution of the Jewish Question in the German Sphere of Influence in Europe,” Reinhard Heydrich.\textsuperscript{44} What is not widely known is that Heydrich apparently used a different spelling of his first name for some time in the 1930s. In a speech on the occasion of the introduction of Kaltenbrunner as Heydrich’s successor on January 30, 1943, Heinrich Himmler himself told his audience how he first met *Reinhard* Heydrich in 1930, and specifically mentioned the unusual spelling “Heydrich had his first name written with a dt.”\textsuperscript{35} When a rumor arose among party members that the young chief of the Secu-
The Historical Context

On October 13, 1941 Himmler held a conference at his headquarters in East Prussia with Higher SS and Police Leader for the General Government Friedrich-Wilhelm Krüger, and SS and Police Leader for Lublin Odilo Globocnik. In all probability this was the occasion when Globocnik received an order from Himmler to start construction work at Belzec, site of the first extermination camp in the General Government. At the beginning of November 1941 Belzec was erected by Richard Thomalla, Globocnik's construction engineer. His work continued in spring 1942, when he built two more extermination camps, Sobibor and Treblinka. The murder by gassing of the Jews started on March 17, 1942, when two trains from Lublin and Lwów (Lemberg) reached Belzec. After a successful phase of experimentation at Belzec, the operations were extended to the General Government as a whole between the end of March and the middle of April 1942, Himmler's "Special Order Reinhardt" (Sonderauftrag Reinhardt—no date is given) can be found in an enumeration of Globocnik's various tasks in his files. When extermination camp Treblinka became operational, Himmler ordered Higher SS and Police Leader Krüger to accelerate the murder of the Jews in the General Government "I order that the resettlement of the entire Jewish population of the General Government be carried out and completed by December 31, 1942." It is common bureaucratic and military practice to verify that orders had been fulfilled by a fixed date. Himmler certainly expected reports from his SS and police units involved in the mass murder of the Jews in the General Government.

Until recently we have known of the existence of three such reports reaching the Reichsführer-SS and Chief of the German Police. The first report was given orally by Eichmann to Himmler in his headquarters near Zhitomir on August 11, 1942.
According to Eichmann, Himmler wanted an "almost general report . an entire survey of the work of the Security Police towards the solution of the Jewish Question." It is likely that Eichmann spoke about the deportations to the General Government, which was the primary destination of trains from the Reich, the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia, and Slovakia.

The second report was the "Operations and Situation Report 1942 on the Final Solution of the European Jewish Question" (Tätigkeit- und Lagebericht 1942 über die Endlösung der europäischen Judenfrage) drawn up in Eichmann’s Section IV B 4 at the RSHA and sent to Himmler on December 15, 1942. The document has not been identified and is probably lost. Heading, date, Eichmann's reference mark, and letter number (IV B 4 - 490/42 gRs. [1618]) are known only from a note by Himmler to Heinrich Muller, provisional chief of the RSHA after Heydrich's assassination. In all probability Eichmann devoted at least one passage of his "Operations and Situation Report 1942" to the General Government. In the course of 1942 he had directed at least twenty-six transports of Jews from Germany (at least 24,000), six from Austria (6,000), twenty-five from the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia (35,000), and thirty-eight from Slovakia (39,000) to ghettos in the General Government: at least ninety-five transports, totaling over 104,000 Jewish men, women, and children. This was the end of Eichmann's responsibility. Thereafter it was Globocnik’s task to deport the Jews from these ghettos (e.g., Piaski, Izbica, Opole, Warsaw) to the three extermination camps in the General Government. The deportation of the Polish and other European Jews from these ghettos to the extermination camps in the General Government did not directly involve Eichmann's office.

The third report known to have reached Himmler stems from the German statistician Dr. Richard Korherr. Only a small part of the report is concerned with the Jews of the General Government, but it is of crucial importance for understanding the new document. Himmler commissioned Korherr orally and by letter on January 18, 1943 to prepare a report on “The Final Solution of the Jewish Question,” adding that “the Reich Security Main Office is to put at your disposal whatever materials you request or need for this purpose.” Himmler's additional letter the same day to Gestapo chief Muller expressed an unusually harsh criticism of the statistical endeavors of Eichmann's Section IV B 4. “The Reich Security Main Office is hereby relieved of its statistical responsibilities in this area, since the statistical materials submitted to date have consistently fallen short of professional standards of precision.” In other words, Eichmann was removed from this job because of incompetence. In came the professional Dr. Richard Korherr and his two assistants, who began work in Eichmann's office at Kurfürstenstraße 116. In Argentina fifteen years later, Eichmann’s reminiscences of Korherr and his work were rather vague and unenthusiastic.

Korherr's statistical treatise of sixteen pages covering the period from January 30, 1933 until December 31, 1942, was sent to Himmler's office on March 23, 1943 as a "first provisional report." On April 1, Himmler asked Korherr to prepare a "shortened report for presentation to the Führer," which was delivered to Himmler.
in a version of six pages on April 19, now partly covering the period until March 31, 1943. In all probability the shortened report was presented to Hitler in person by Himmler. Eichmann stated it was typed on the special "Führer typewriter" with extra-large letters at his office. He claimed to have received the report back annotated by Himmler, "Führer has taken note." This is another indication that Hitler was kept informed about the "Final Solution."

To fully understand Höfle’s telegram we have to take into account Himmler’s criticism of the first, March 23, Korherr report. The Reichsführer-SS rejected several phrases in the sixteen-page paper and had Dr. Rudolf Brandt, the head of his Personal Office, write to Korherr on April 14, 1943.

The Reichsführer-SS has received your statistical report on the “Final Solution of the European Jewish Problem”. He does not wish the words “special treatment of Jews” [Sonderbehandlung] to be used at all. On page 9, point 4 the text must read as follows: "Transportation of Jews from the Eastern Provinces to the Russian East. Number of those passed through the camps in the General Government, through the camps in Warthegau. A different formulation must not appear."

It should be noted that the seemingly harmless euphemism “passed through the camps in the General Government” (durchgeschleust durch die Lager im Generalgouvernement) already carried a sinister meaning for insiders in 1942/43. The phrase derives from the common term “transit camps” (Durchgangslager). For example Theresienstadt and Westerbork were officially termed Durchgangslager, whence transportation to the East meant in fact dispatch to death. But there are other examples of Durchgangslager that served exclusively as killing sites. The extermination camps Sobibor and Chelmno were also designated by this term. But the euphemism used by Himmler and Korherr was calculated to make outsiders believe that there really were transports “to the Russian East.”

Korherr’s original wording of page 9 point 4 to which Himmler objected is not fully known. Only the corrected version is extant. Korherr must have been too explicit, leaving little doubt that he meant the killings: otherwise Himmler’s objections to the widely familiar term Sonderbehandlung in a “State Secret” document could not be explained. Korherr changed page 9 of the report as requested. When he sent the corrected version back to Himmler’s office on 28 April, it apparently escaped the Reichsführer’s notice that the objectionable term Sonderbehandlung remained on page 10. Apart from this lapse, Korherr used Himmler’s precise wording, only complementing it with numbers:

4 Transportation of Jews from the Eastern Provinces to the Russian East

- Number of those who passed through the camps in the General Government: 1,449,693
- through the camps in Warthegau: 145,301*
In Korherr’s shortened report the “camps in the General Government” are no longer mentioned. Only the number 1,274,166 appears in the table “Emigration, Excess Mortality, and Evacuation,” and in the columns “Evacuation” and “General Gov. (with Lemberg).” The “camps in the Warthegau,” that is Chelmno, are no longer mentioned and no numbers are given.

Korherr’s number of Jewish victims with reference to the “camps in the General Government” exactly matches the total in Höfle’s radio telegram. It is worth noting that only five minutes before, at 1000h, the same broadcaster, with the German police call sign “OMQ,” identified by British Intelligence as located in Lublin, had sent another radio telegram, also marked “State Secret,” this time to Eichmann in Berlin. It is reasonable to assume that both State Secret radio telegrams, transmitted by the same sender, were in fact identical or almost identical.

In a postwar interview with Willem Sassen in Argentina Eichmann claimed that an unnamed Regierungsrat, after completing his statistical report in the IV B 4 office, handed over the total material collected by him:

> Obviously there was also information on how many Jews Globocnik had killed in the General Government. Where the Regierungsrat received it from, I do not know.\(^{66}\)

The documented chronological sequence tells another story: first, Eichmann completed his Tätigkeits- und Lagebericht 1942, which Himmler received and rejected. Then he very likely received the numbers concerning the General Government from Höfle on January 11. Korherr could make use of these same numbers when he began work at Eichmann’s office a week later. On completion, the Korherr Report was handed over to Himmler and also to Eichmann. In Argentina Eichmann either suffered a lapse of memory or he lied, putting intermediaries between the information about mass extermination and himself. Eichmann probably learned the correct numbers of murdered Jews in the General Government directly through Höfle’s radio message.

The authors have not been able to determine whether non-Polish Jews from Germany, Austria, the Protectorate, and Slovakia were included in Höfle’s and Korherr’s figure. Korherr’s statistics are apparently too ambiguous for a decision. On the one hand, his number for Jews deported to Theresienstadt is more than 21,000 smaller than the actual number.\(^{67}\) This evident reduction in numbers suggests that at least some of the deportees from Theresienstadt to the Lublin district and Warsaw ghetto are probably included in the Höfle–Korherr number of 1,274,166 victims. On the other hand, Korherr’s number of Jews deported from Slovakia comes near to the sum total of Jews actually deported.\(^{68}\) At the end of 1942 at least 30,000 to 35,000 of these Slovak Jews had already been murdered in the Reinhardt camps. It follows that they cannot be included in the Höfle–Korherr number, otherwise it would be statistical double-counting. Further research is required to resolve this contradiction.
The radio telegram should be seen as an extremely condensed balance sheet of *Einsatz Reinhardt* for 1942 that was subsequently integrated into the overall Korherr Report, though the Korherr Report left out the numbers for each camp. Now, for the first time we know precisely how many Jews, according to Nazi officials, were sent to each of the Reinhardt death camps by the end of 1942. We know that Höfle’s total sum of killings, reflected in Korherr’s report, almost certainly was conveyed via Eichmann, Korherr, and Himmler to Hitler himself. A short document nearly sixty years old that survives only in British records of World War II can still teach us much about the Holocaust and lead us to address some unresolved issues. It is potent evidence of why governments should release intelligence records related to the war and the Holocaust.

**Notes**

1. Richard Breitman, *Official Secrets What the Nazis Planned, What the British and Americans Knew* (London: The Penguin Press, 1999), pp. 236-45. The new British Labor government released to the Public Record Office in Kew the first tranche of Second World War decoded material—the German Police Decodes—in 1997. Many more volumes have followed. The Police Decodes are something of a misnomer, since they are not restricted to German Police radio messages, but cover radio transmissions from the whole range of SS and Police organizations, including the Waffen-SS. They also cover mundane subjects such as supplies of food and ammunition or personnel transfers between units, but also occasionally matters of serious historical importance. The latter is the case here. It should be noted that the decoded material was not always appreciated by British Intelligence, though transmitted on January 11, 1943, the messages were not decrypted and distributed until January 15, 1943.

2. Hermann Höfle born June 19, 1911, Salzburg, trained as a mechanic, NSDAP and SS 1933, briefly imprisoned for political offenses in 1935, December 1939–August 1940 Selbstschutzfuhrer in Neu Sandez (District Cracow), September 1940–February 1944 Staff SSFPF Lublin, fall 1940 camp leader of a Jewish forced labor camp (“Globocnik’s Ditch” near Belzec), 1942–43 organized deportations in the District of Lublin and from Warsaw ghetto, March 1944 officer (Schutzhaftlagerfuhrer) in the concentration camp Sachsenhausen, July 1944 with HSSPF Greece, on May 31, 1945, together with Globocnik and other staff officers traced to an alpine hut near Weissensee (Carinthia/Austria) and arrested by soldiers of the “C” Squadon, 4th Queen’s Own Hussars, eyewitness of Globocnik’s suicide by cyanide at Schloss Paternon the same day, custody and escape from a US camp, arrested 1961, Höfle committed suicide August 21, 1962 in a Viennese prison before trial. BAB, BDC SSO Hermann Höfle; Dokumentationsarchiv des Österreichischen Widerstands, file 11 545, Dieter Pohl, *Von der “Judenpolitik” zum Judenmord Distrikt Lublin des Generalgouvernements 1939–1944* (Frankfurt, Peter Lang, 1993), pp. 183–84, a summary of Höfle’s SS records is in Joseph Wolf, *Das Dritte Reich und seine Vollstrecker* (Frankfurt, Berlin; Wien: Ullstein, 1984), pp. 275–87.

4 List of staff officers SSPF Lublin and working staff of the General SS in the district of Lublin, undated (between early fall 1942 and mid-1943), BAB, BDC, SSO Odilo Globocnik

5 Franz Heim born on February 13, 1907 Catholic at first, later gottglaubig (the official Nazi non-church religion) By profession a pharmacist, he joined NSDAP and SA in 1930, a year later the SS. From 1933 to 1934 unemployed, worked from 1935 for the SD At the beginning of the war active in an Einsatzkommando in Poland. From the end of July to the end of August 1941 Deputy Commander of a “special tasks” (z.b.V) Einsatzkommando murdering Jews in former eastern territories of Poland SS-Oberführer Schongarth made him deputy in Cracow in September 1941, a position Heim held until the beginning of 1943, when he fell seriously ill. On March 1, 1943 promoted to SS-Standartenführer. Died of cancer February 19, 1944 BAB, BDC, SSO Franz Heim The authors wish to thank Dieter Pohl for information.
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