did not correlate with the degree of spread. Incidentally, Nishimura, Kitahara and Kusakabe (1959) reached the same conclusion using yet another technique employing radioisotope tracers.

The lack of correlation between the rates of injection and the injection pressures reported by Husemeyer and White is surely because the subjects were all young people and relatively small volumes were used. As the authors rightly say "Injection pressures may therefore depend as much on the rate of leakage as on the rate of injection." In our series, volumes of 20 and 40 ml were used with an age range 21-69 yr; our experience confirms the findings of Erdemir, Soper and Sweet (1965) and Usubiaga, Wikinski and Usubiaga (1967) that, under such circumstances, rapid injections (1-2 ml s⁻¹) can produce high pressures resulting in unpleasant and possibly even hazardous effects.

Our conclusion was that, as rapid injections appeared to confer no clinical benefit, they should not be used.

JAMES M. B. BURN
L. LANGDON
Southampton
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EFFECTS OF INTRAOPERATIVE NEFOPAM (ACUPAN)

Sir,—Nefopam is a novel analgesic which appears to have no respiratory depressant effects. It does, however, cause an increase in heart rate and arterial pressure, and also sweating and flushing. A simple study was carried out to ascertain whether these effects would also be seen when nefopam is given to patients anaesthetized with halothane. Thirty-one patients were studied, most of whom were undergoing minor general surgical procedures, hysterectomy or tubal ligation. Nefopam was given at a time when effects of surgical stimulation on heart rate and arterial pressure would be minimal.

In 17 patients, breathing was spontaneous; 15 of these showed an increase in heart rate (range 4-32 beat min⁻¹) and 14 showed an increase in arterial pressure (range 5.1-35.2 mm Hg). In the 14 artificially ventilated patients, seven showed an increase in heart rate (range 8-72 beat min⁻¹) and 12 an increase in arterial pressure (range 5.1-35.2 mm Hg). Two patients sweated and six were flushed. In three of these patients, these effects were not observed until the patient was in the recovery room. One patient showed an increase in heart rate from 88 to 160 beat min⁻¹ during operation and after operation her arterial pressure readings were 160/110 and 145/115 mm Hg. She was not hypertensive before her operation.

Insufficient patients were studied to ascertain whether there was any association between particular anaesthetic drugs and these changes of heart rate and arterial pressure. It is suggested that caution should be exercised in the use of nefopam during the course of an anaesthetic.

M. E. DODSON
Manchester

ARRHYTHMIAS AND THE CAROTID ARTERY

Sir,—I have read with interest two letters in the past year in which the authors describe severe cardiac arrhythmia as a result of carotid artery palpation. Amaranath, Kirilcuk and Leon-Ruiz (1978) described multiple deformed QRS complexes which occurred while routinely palpating the carotid artery during hip replacement. Sprigge and Oakley (1979) recorded a case of ventricular fibrillation following palpation of the carotid artery before cannulation of the internal jugular vein.

These reports were of concern in a department which undertakes a considerable number of general anaesthetics for carotid angiography. At Atkinson Morley's Hospital, carotid angiography is carried out following direct puncture of the carotid artery and this procedure necessitates handling of the carotid vessels both during cannulation and after de-cannulation to ensure haemostasis. It was decided, therefore, to monitor these patients continuously with an electrocardiograph and to record any abnormality produced.

The patients were in a wide age group, from young adults to the very elderly. They were being investigated for a variety of neurological conditions, but most commonly following subarachnoid haemorrhage. Patients less than 65 years of age were premedicated with hyoscine 0.3 mg, those older than 65 with atropine 0.4 mg i.m. Anaesthesia was induced with thiopentone, tracheal intubation followed relaxation with suxamethonium and IPPV continued using tubocurarine as the muscle relaxant. Anaesthesia was maintained with nitrous oxide, oxygen and either small doses of fentanyl or halothane 0.25-0.5%.

More than 100 patients have now been monitored and no cardiac arrhythmia has occurred. Thus, it would seem that prolonged manipulation and handling of the carotid artery is not usually accompanied by cardiac arrhythmia. This does not detract from the finding that, in an occasional patient, perhaps especially those with pre-existing cardiovascular disease, a serious abnormality of cardiac rhythm may occur. However, it would seem unnecessary, for example, to monitor the e.g.c. routinely in every patient requiring cannulation of the internal jugular vein.

J. COLLYER
London
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