

MDA-MB-435 and M14 Cell Lines: Identical but not M14 Melanoma?

Ann F. Chambers

London Regional Cancer Program, Department of Oncology, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Abstract

A controversy has arisen over the past several years about the true origin of the human MDA-MB-435 cell line. Originally described as a human breast cancer cell line, subsequent expression array studies instead suggested a gene expression profile consistent with a melanoma origin. Subsequent karyotype and comparative genomic hybridization studies supported the idea that current stocks of both MDA-MB-435 cells and M14 melanoma cells must be identical cell lines, and the conclusion was drawn that both cell lines were in fact M14 melanoma cells. However, an alternate conclusion based on these data is that both cell lines are indeed identical, but are in fact MDA-MB-435 breast cancer cells. There is evidence that many cell lines can display “lineage infidelity” and that assignment to tissue type is unreliably made based on expression patterns. Evidence from the literature is presented here that is inconsistent with both lines being of M14 melanoma origin, but rather is consistent with both cell lines being of MDA-MB-435 breast cancer origin. [Cancer Res 2009;69(13):5292–3]

Introduction

The MDA-MB-435 cell line was derived in the late 1970s from the pleural effusion of a female patient with breast cancer (1), and has been shown to be highly metastatic in nude mice (2–4). This cell line has been used for a large number of studies on the biology and molecular biology of breast cancer (see Fig. 1 in ref. 5). However, since 2000, this cell line has been subject to much speculation and controversy, related to the possibility that it is instead the M14 melanoma cell line, due to an early cross-contamination of cell cultures. Here, we revisit the history of this controversy, and present data to suggest that this speculation may not be true.

Evidence which suggests that MDA-MB-435 cells might be melanoma cells. In 2000, Ross and colleagues published a microarray expression study in which they used cDNA arrays to compare 60 human cancer cell lines (the NCI60 panel;¹ ref. 6). In that study, it was found that MDA-MB-435 cells clustered with several melanoma cell lines (6). Interestingly, the other breast lines were found not to cluster together, whereas cell lines from other tumor types showed a stronger clustering based on expression patterns (6). This study led to the speculation that the MDA-MB-435 cell line might be melanoma rather than breast in origin.

“Lineage infidelity”, coexpression of melanocytic and epithelial markers in breast tumors, and evidence for cell properties consistent with a melanoma or breast origin of the MDA-MB-435 cell line. MDA-MB-435 cells have been reported to

show properties consistent with a breast cancer origin. They can form primary tumors when injected into the mammary fat pad of mice, and can metastasize from these tumors (e.g., refs. 2–4, 7, 8). These cells can also express epithelial markers and secrete milk proteins and lipids (9). When transfected with the *nm23* metastasis suppressor gene, MDA-MB-435 cells show the morphologic features of normal breast epithelial cells, including acinus formation in three-dimensional culture and production of milk components (10).

MDA-MB-435 cells have also been reported to express genes and proteins consistent with a melanoma origin. In addition to the expression array study by Ross and colleagues (6), Sellappan and colleagues have reported that these cells express melanocyte proteins such as tyrosinase and melan A (9). Expressions of S100 and melan A proteins were also shown by immunohistochemistry of tumors growing in the mammary fat pad of mice implanted with MDA-MB-435 cells (11).

Various tumor types have shown “lineage infidelity”, expression of markers not usually associated with a particular tumor type. The concept was first described in hematopoietic tumor cells, and has been reported in solid tumors as well (for a review, see ref. 12). Several case reports have shown that breast tumors can coexpress epithelial and melanocytic markers (13–16). In breast cancer, this lineage infidelity is also referred to as metaplastic (melanocytic) differentiation (16). In a recent immunohistochemical study of 100 breast tumor samples, expression of melanocytic markers was frequently found in clinical breast tumor samples, and increased expression of melanocytic markers—notably melan A—was associated with poorly differentiated tumors (17).

Evidence to support the identity of current stocks of MDA-MB-435 and M14 cells. Karyotype studies indicate that multiple MDA-MB-435 derivatives, from sources worldwide, are quite similar, consistent with a common origin and modest karyotypic and phenotypic drift (18). That report showed that the majority of the MDA-MB-435–derived cell lines had two X chromosomes, consistent with a female origin for the cell line (18). In a subsequent study, Rae and colleagues compared stocks of MDA-MB-435 and M14 melanoma cells, using karyotype analyses, comparative genomic hybridization, and microsatellite analyses (5). That study presented evidence that both cell lines were “essentially identical with respect to cytogenetic characteristics as well as gene expression patterns and that the minor differences found can be explained by phenotypic and genotypic drift” (5). These authors concluded that both the MDA-MB-435 and M14 cell lines are identical cell lines, and that “all currently available stocks of MDA-MB-435 cells are derived from the M14 melanoma cell line and can no longer be considered a model of breast cancer” (5). In that study, the authors included DNA fingerprinting analysis of nine individual loci, including the Y chromosome–specific

Requests for reprints: Ann F. Chambers, London Regional Cancer Program, 790 Commissioners Road East, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 4L5. Phone: 519-685-8652; Fax: 519-685-8646; E-mail: ann.chambers@Lhsc.on.ca

©2009 American Association for Cancer Research.
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1528

¹ http://dtp.nci.nih.gov/docs/misc/common_files/cell_list.html

amelogenin locus. Evidence presented in that study indicates that the lines labeled as MDA-MB-435 and M14 both lacked a Y chromosome and were consistent with a female origin of the cell line(s). The findings presented in that study are consistent with the earlier karyotype analyses, indicating that multiple samples of the MDA-MB-435 lines were of female origin (18).

Evidence that both cell lines cannot be M14 melanoma cells. The M14 human melanoma cell line, also called UCLA-SO-M14, was derived at the University of California Los Angeles (19). Chee and colleagues noted that “the original culture was derived from an amelanotic lesion metastatic to the buttock of a 33-year-old patient” (20). In a subsequent report, Wong and colleagues stated that “a melanoma cell line, UCLA-SO-M14 (M14) was established from a biopsy specimen of a male patient with blood type O” (21). Additional information about the patient from whom the M14 line was derived does not seem to be available in the literature.

If the M14 line was indeed derived from a *male* patient, this is inconsistent with the karyotype results reported years later, which indicate that currently available stocks of M14 cells are of female origin (5, 18).

Conclusions

The controversy surrounding the identity of the MDA-MB-435 and M14 cell lines has generated strong opinions. From the evidence cited above, it seems clear that the MDA-MB-435 cell line is an aggressive cell line that expresses genes associated with both breast cancer and melanoma. Evidence exists in the literature to support the idea that some breast tumors, and especially poorly differentiated ones, can and do exhibit lineage infidelity or “metaplastic melanocytic differentiation” and express markers associated with both epithelial tumors and melanomas. It also seems clear that currently available stocks of the MDA-MB-435 and M14 cell lines are virtually identical at the karyotype level. However, the conclusion that they are identical and both of M14 melanoma

in origin is inconsistent with the origin of the M14 cell line from a male patient with metastatic melanoma and the female karyotypes of both cell lines at present. The alternative is more plausible. If the cell lines are indeed now identical due to an early contamination of cell stocks, then it is reasonable to propose that both represent the human MDA-MB-435 breast cancer cell line. [A less likely explanation might involve both the loss of the Y chromosome from the original M14 cell line, coupled with uniparental disomy of the X chromosome, which could be assessed, although a finding of identity of the two X chromosomes in the current cell line(s) would not prove this idea, as uniparental disomy of the X chromosome has also been reported to occur in breast cancer (22, 23).]

The evidence presented above suggests that the idea that the MDA-MB-435 cell line indeed represents a poorly differentiated, aggressive breast tumor line, with expression of both epithelial and melanocytic markers, should be reconsidered.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

Received 4/26/09; accepted 5/6/09; published OnlineFirst 6/23/09.

Grant support: Support of the research in the author's laboratory includes grant no. 016506 from the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Alliance, with special funding support from the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation and the Cancer Research Society; grant no. 42511 from the Canadian Institutes of Health; grant no. W81XWH-06-2-0033 U.S. Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program; and an award from the Lloyd Carr-Harris Foundation. The author is Canada Research Chair in Oncology, supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The author thanks Drs. Alison Allan, Alan Tuck, and David Rodenhiser for helpful comments on the manuscript and Gabriel Boldt, MLIS, Reference Librarian, London Regional Cancer Program, for assistance in accessing literature not readily available electronically.

References

- Cailleau R, Olive M, Cruciger QV. Long-term human breast carcinoma cell lines of metastatic origin: preliminary characterization. *In Vitro* 1978;14:911-5.
- Price JE. Metastasis from human breast cancer cell lines. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1996;39:93-102.
- Price JE, Polyzos A, Zhang RD, Daniels LM. Tumorigenicity and metastasis of human breast carcinoma cell lines in nude mice. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:717-21.
- Price JE, Zhang RD. Studies of human breast cancer metastasis using nude mice. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 1990;8:285-97.
- Rae JM, Creighton CJ, Meck JM, Haddad BR, Johnson MD. MDA-MB-435 cells are derived from M14 melanoma cells—a loss for breast cancer, but a boon for melanoma research. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007;104:13-9.
- Ross DT, Scherf U, Eisen MB, et al. Systematic variation in gene expression patterns in human cancer cell lines. *Nat Genet* 2000;24:227-35.
- Suzuki M, Mose ES, Montel V, Tarin D. Dormant cancer cells retrieved from metastasis-free organs regain tumorigenic and metastasis potency. *Am J Pathol* 2006;169:673-81.
- Welch DR. Technical considerations for studying cancer metastasis *in vivo*. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 1997;15:272-306.
- Sellappan S, Grijalva R, Zhou X, et al. Lineage infidelity of MDA-MB-435 cells: expression of melanocyte proteins in a breast cancer cell line. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:3479-85.
- Howlett AR, Petersen OW, Steeg PS, Bissell MJ. A novel function for the nm23-1 gene: overexpression in human breast carcinoma cells leads to the formation of basement membrane and growth arrest. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994;86:1838-44.
- Ellison G, Klinowska T, Westwood RF, Docter E, French T, Fox JC. Further evidence to support the melanocytic origin of MDA-MB-435. *Mol Pathol* 2002;55:294-9.
- Bignold LP. Embryonic reversions and lineage infidelities in tumour cells: genome-based models and role of genetic instability. *Int J Exp Pathol* 2005;86:67-79.
- Padmore RF, Lara JF, Ackerman DJ, et al. Primary combined malignant melanoma and ductal carcinoma of the breast. A report of two cases. *Cancer* 1996;78:2515-25.
- Nobukawa B, Fujii H, Hirai S, et al. Breast carcinoma diverging to aberrant melanocytic differentiation: a case report with histopathologic and loss of heterozygosity analyses. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1999;23:1280-7.
- Yen H, Florentine B, Kelly LK, Bu X, Crawford J, Martin SE. Fine-needle aspiration of a metaplastic breast carcinoma with extensive melanocytic differentiation: a case report. *Diagn Cytopathol* 2000;23:46-50.
- Ruffolo EF, Koerner FC, Maluf HM. Metaplastic carcinoma of the breast with melanocytic differentiation. *Mod Pathol* 1997;10:592-6.
- Bachmeier BE, Nerlich AG, Mirisola V, Jochum M, Pfeffer U. Lineage infidelity and expression of melanocytic markers in human breast cancer. *Int J Oncol* 2008;33:1011-5.
- Rae JM, Ramus SJ, Waltham M, et al. Common origins of MDA-MB-435 cells from various sources with those shown to have melanoma properties. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2004;21:543-52.
- Sulit HL, Golub SH, Irie RF, Gupta RK, Grooms GA, Morton DL. Human tumor cells grown in fetal calf serum and human serum: influences on the tests for lymphocyte cytotoxicity, serum blocking and serum arming effects. *Int J Cancer* 1976;17:461-8.
- Chee DO, Boddie AW, Roth JA, Holmes EC, Morton DL. Production of melanoma-associated antigen(s) by a defined malignant melanoma cell strain grown in chemically defined medium. *Cancer Res* 1976;36:1503-9.
- Wong JH, Aguero B, Gupta RK, Morton DL. Recovery of a cell surface fetal antigen from circulating immune complexes of melanoma patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 1988;27:142-6.
- Tuna M, Knuutila S, Mills GB. Uniparental disomy in cancer. *Trends Mol Med* 2009;15:120-8.
- Richardson AL, Wang ZC, De Nicolo A, et al. X chromosomal abnormalities in basal-like human breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2006;9:121-32.