New age constraints on Aptian evaporites and carbonates from the South Atlantic: Implications for Oceanic Anoxic Event 1a
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ABSTRACT

High-resolution carbon isotope (δ13C) profiles from shallow- and deep-water carbonates in the South Atlantic (Campos and Santos Basins) are here correlated to stratigraphically well calibrated Tethyan sections, constraining the end of major evaporite deposition in the South Atlantic to the early Aptian Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE) 1a interval. The unusually extensive evaporite deposition would have reduced the global dissolved sulfate inventory, possibly increasing the preservation of organic matter by decreasing sulfate reduction; this could explain the coincidence in timing between OAE 1a and the dramatic negative sulfur isotope excursion over this interval. Therefore, in addition to the coeval eruption of the Ontong Java Plateau, the opening of the South Atlantic may have played an important role in the genesis and character of OAE 1a.

INTRODUCTION

Oceanic anoxic events (OAEs) are time intervals when oxygen depletion characterized much of the middle and/or deeper waters of the world’s oceans. As a result, an unusual amount of organic matter was preserved in marine sediments worldwide (Jenkyns, 2010). OAE 1a is typically identified by an organic-rich sedimentary record and/or characteristic carbon isotope signature (δ13C) in carbonate or organic matter: phenomena recorded in geographically widespread localities (Menegatti et al., 1998; Bottini et al., 2015). Possible causative mechanisms include accelerated input of nutrients from basalt-seawater interaction, massive CO2 release from extrusion of the Ontong Java Plateau, and/or dissociation of methane hydrate (Méhay et al., 2009; Bottini et al., 2012, 2015).

The evaporites from the central segment of the South Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1) are conventionally considered to be in the age range 116–111 Ma, based on Ar-Ar ages from the supraevaporite volcanic sequence (e.g., Davison, 2007; Chaboureau et al., 2013), and to be unrelated to OAE 1a, dated as ca. 125–120 Ma (e.g., Bottini et al., 2015; Ogg et al., 2012). However, the existing dates for the evaporites are problematic. The aim of this study is to constrain the age of these deposits in southern Brazilian basins by using new foraminiferal biostratigraphy and δ18O data from supraevaporite carbonates from Petrobras-cored well CB-3 in the Campos Basin and published δ13C data from Petrobras wells CP-5 (Campos Basin) and X (Santos Basin), from below and above the evaporites, respectively (Dias, 1998; Quintaes, 2006). (Figs. 1 and 2).

Figure 1. Location map of offshore Petrobras wells CB-3 and CP-5 and X, southeast Brazil. Reconstruction between Brazil and Africa to 113 Ma after evaporite deposition is adapted from Norton et al. (2016).

METHODS

Petrobras well CB-3 is located in the offshore Campos Basin (22°44’S, 40°40’E) (Fig. 1) and terminated an unknown distance above the evaporites (Fig. 2). We prepared and analyzed 171 core samples (from depth interval 2484–2689 m) for foraminiferal biostratigraphy in the Research Center of Petrobras (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), using concepts from Premoli Silva and Verga (2004) and Huber and Leckie (2011). We analyzed 195 core samples (from depth interval 2437–2689 m) for carbon and oxygen isotopes (δ13C and δ18O) on bulk carbonate. Data are reported in parts per thousand (‰) relative to the Vienna PeeDee belemnite standard. The International Atomic Energy Agency CO-1 standard gave a standard deviation (σ) of <±0.07‰ for δ13C and ±0.12‰ for δ18O. We also compiled δ13C and total organic carbon (TOC) values from cuttings from supraevaporite Petrobras well X, Santos Basin (Quintaes, 2006), and subevaporite Petrobas well CP-5, Campos Basin (Dias, 1998), to generate composite carbonate carbon isotope and TOC curves (Santos-Campos composite), where original samples were not available for further analyses. All results, detailed methods, quality control, and compilation of data are provided in the GSA Data Repository1.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE SANTOS AND CAMPOS BASINS

The Santos and Campos Basins formed during the opening of the central segment of the South Atlantic Ocean in the Early Cretaceous (Davison, 2007; Chaboureau et al., 2013). The stratigraphic record, based on offshore wells, is divided into three main supersequences, rift, postrift, and drift, as described by Moreira et al. (2007) and Winter et al. (2007). Above the basement, the rift supersequence comprises volcanic rocks, continental siliciclastics, and...
interbedded lacustrine coquinas and organic-rich shales (Coqueiros and Itapema Formations; Fig. 2); in distal settings, the overlying postrift supersequence comprises interbedded microbial carbonates and shales (Macabu and Barra Velha Formations; Fig. 2). The evaporites terminate the postrift supersequence and comprise cycles of predominantly anhydrite and halite, locally with more soluble salts such as carnallite, sylvite, and tachyhydrite (Retiro and Ariri Formations; Fig. 2).

Halite and anhydrite from the Espírito Santo Basin, north of the Campos Basin (Fig. 1), have Br contents and 87Sr/86Sr ratios that indicate derivation from marine waters (Dias, 1998). The anhydrite probably formed from dewatering of gypsum during burial and shows textures typical of precipitation in subaqueous settings, such as thin laminations and twinned crystals, as well as nodules of probable sabkha facies (Dias, 1998). Above the evaporites, in distal settings, the early drift supersequence is represented by shallow-marine platform carbonates (Quissamã and Guarujá Formations; Fig. 2) containing planktonic foraminiferal species that are not age diagnostic (e.g., Favusella ex grege washitensis; Azevedo et al., 1987). Following platform drowning, deeper water sediments accumulated (Outeiro and Itanhaém Formations; Fig. 2), in which Aptian and younger calcareous nannofossils (e.g., Nannoconus truittii) and planktonic
foraminifera are present (e.g., Globigerinelloides ferroelensis; Ticinella raynaudi; Azevedo et al., 1987; this study).

RESULTS AND STRATIGRAPHIC CORRELATION WITH REFERENCE SECTIONS

An assemblage of 11 planktonic foraminifera (Hedbergella aptiana, H. gorbachikae, H. infracretacea, H. sigali, H. luterbacheri, H. tuschepsensis, H. kuznetsovae, H. occulta, G. ferreolensis, G. barri, and Favusella ex grege washitsensis) was identified from 2484 to 2508 m from Petrobras well CB-3. This assemblage indicates the upper Aptian from the G. ferreolensis to the G. algerianus zones (cf. Premoli Silva and Verga, 2004; Ogg et al., 2012) (Fig. 2; Fig. DR1 in the Data Repository). Samples below 2508 m, deposited in relatively shallow water, contain a low-diversity assemblage with relatively long ranging species of benthic (e.g., Lenticulina ex grege nodosa) and planktonic foraminifera (ex. Favusella ex grege washitsensis), and are interpreted as early-late Aptian or older.

The carbon isotope profile from Petrobras well CB-3 shows a defined pattern (Fig. 2): a stratigraphically lower section (2689–2517 m) showing relatively high δ13C values (3.60‰–4.97‰) and a stratigraphically higher section (2517–2437 m) that shows a trend of decreasing δ13C values from bottom (3.0‰–3.3‰) to top (1.2‰–1.6‰), interrupted by a positive excursion with values >4‰. Relatively high δ13C values, as in the interval 2689–2517 m, characterize part of the so-called C7/Ap7 isotopic segment (latest early Aptian–earliest late Aptian interval), with the highest δ13C values of the Aptian stage (e.g., Menegatti et al., 1998; Bottini et al., 2015) (purple band in Fig. 2). Although no biostratigraphic constraints are available stratigraphically above 2484 m, the trend of decreasing δ13C values and positive excursion, as in the interval 2517–2450 m, are similar to the C8–C9/Ap8–Ap15 segments of the late Aptian (cf. Bralower et al., 1999; Bottini et al., 2015). The Campos–Santos composite does not show the lower δ13C values and subsequent increasing trend of δ13C values (C8–C9/Ap8–Ap16 segments; Bralower et al., 1999; Bottini et al., 2015) present in the Tethyan reference curve (Fig. 2; time scale of Ogg et al., 2012). It is significant that the Ap8–Ap11 segments are apparently extremely condensed or possibly missing. The broad positive excursion in the interval 2948–3803 m has been observed in upper Aptian sediments worldwide (C10/Ap13–Ap15 segments; Bralower et al., 1999; Bottini et al., 2015) (yellow band in Fig. 2). Because we lack biostratigraphic analyses of the shallow- and deep-water carbonates above 3803 m, they are interpreted as latest late Aptian or younger in age.

STATIGRAPHY OF SOUTH ATLANTIC EVAPORITES

The evidence suggests that the top of the evaporites correlates with the upper part of the OAE 1a interval and that most of the postevaporite shallow-water carbonates are Aptian in age; this constitutes a major stratigraphic revision of southern Brazilian basins. Deposition of the South Atlantic evaporites is estimated to have lasted 400–600 k.y., based on cyclostratigraphy, and the reconstructed depositional rate of ~5 mm yr⁻¹ is similar to modern evaporite depositional rates from Lake Assal, Africa (10 mm yr⁻¹), MacLeod Basin evaporites, western Australia (4–100 mm yr⁻¹), and Messinian evaporites of the Mediterranean (6.6 mm yr⁻¹) (Dias, 1998; Davison et al., 2012; and references therein). Therefore, it is likely that the formation of South Atlantic evaporite body was shorter than the duration of OAE 1a (~1.1 m.y.; cf. Malinverno et al., 2010) and formed during the OAE 1a interval, although we cannot confidently exclude the possibility that the onset of deposition was as early as Barremian.

The current view is that the South Atlantic evaporites were deposited between 116 and 111 Ma in the late Aptian–earliest Albian interval (Davison, 2007; Chaboureau et al., 2013; Gomes et al., 2016; time scale of Ogg et al., 2012), ~4–13 m.y. after OAE 1a (cf. Bottini et al., 2012). This interpretation is based on the stratigraphic relationships between the evaporites and supposedly extrusive rocks with Ar-Ar ages of 117 Ma (cited in Moreira et al., 2007) and 113.2 ± 0.1 Ma (cited in Dias et al., 1994). However, no details are available as to whether the dated samples are from pristine or altered rocks, and detailed analytical methodologies are not reported. In addition, this evidence alone is at odds with the presence of lower Aptian ammonites (Dyfrenovia justinae) described by Bengston et al. (2007) from supraevaporite sediments in the Sergipe-Alagoas Basin (Fig. 1), and the record of lower Aptian planktonic foraminifera (presence of Leopoldina cabri) in supraevaporite sediments from the African side, offshore Angola (Davison, 2007). These associations suggest that evaporite deposition took place, at least locally, before or during the early Aptian. It is significant that the new stratigraphic correlation for the evaporites from the Campos and Santos Basins with OAE 1a corresponds closely with the well-dated ages of those from the African margin and Sergipe-Alagoas Basin, onshore northeastern Brazil, suggesting a similar age for these sediments across the entire central segment of the South Atlantic Ocean.

Important evidence for the age of the evaporites is offered by sulfur isotope values (δ34S) between ~17‰ and ~22‰, obtained from anhydrite of the Santos Basin (blue rectangle in Fig. 2; Santos Neto et al., 2013), that can be compared to δ34S values from barite and carbonate-associated sulfate in sediments worldwide (red data in Fig. 2). The values from the Santos Basin evaporites are higher than the range of δ34S values postdating OAE 1a (14‰–17‰) and lower than the highest values recorded in the latest late Barremian (24‰–25‰, Fig. 2). Therefore, they likely constrain evaporite deposition to the interval latest late Barremian–early Aptian, including OAE 1a.

The decrease in δ34S values recorded worldwide (Fig. 2) coincides with the characteristic pattern in δ13C profiles during OAE 1a, i.e., a negative excursion followed by the onset of a positive excursion (e.g., Gomes et al., 2016).
Formulation of the Ontong Java Plateau probably released massive amounts of CO₂ and SO₂ during basalt-seawater interaction, both relatively δ²³S and δ¹³C depleted, which could explain low δ³⁴S and δ¹³C values at the onset of OAE 1a (e.g., Paytan et al., 2004; Méhay et al., 2009). However, it cannot explain the decoupling between δ³⁴S and δ¹³C profiles, whereby the positive excursion in δ¹³C occurs with relatively low δ³⁴S values during and after OAE 1a. This pattern is in marked contrast with positive excursions in both δ³⁴C and δ³⁴S profiles of the Toarcian and Cenomanian–Turonian OAEs, when enhanced burial of organic matter and pyrite preferentially sequestered δ¹³C and δ³⁴S, respectively (Gill et al., 2011; Gomes et al., 2016). Geochemical models indicate that burying a huge volume of gypsum and/or anhydrite in the South Atlantic would have led to a reduction of the global concentration of sulfate, limiting pyrite burial and enhancing organic-matter preservation, which could explain this behavior of δ³⁴S and δ¹³C profiles during and after OAE 1a (Wortmann and Chernyakovsky, 2007).

In summary, our new stratigraphic revision suggests that deposition of the South Atlantic evaporites, after the release of CO₂ and SO₂ during the formation of the Ontong Java Plateau, may have removed massive amounts of sulfate from the world ocean, decreasing global pyrite burial, increasing average marine organic-matter preservation worldwide, and leading to relatively elevated δ¹³C values and lower δ³⁴S values in sediments deposited during and after the end of OAE 1a.
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