

## Oxidative Balance Score, Colorectal Adenoma, and Markers of Oxidative Stress and Inflammation

So Yeon J. Kong<sup>1</sup>, Robert M. Bostick<sup>1,2</sup>, W. Dana Flanders<sup>1</sup>, William M. McClellan<sup>1</sup>, Bharat Thyagarajan<sup>3</sup>, Myron D. Gross<sup>3</sup>, Suzanne Judd<sup>4</sup>, and Michael Goodman<sup>1,2</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** An oxidative balance score (OBS) that combines pro- and antioxidant exposures was previously reported to be associated with incident sporadic colorectal adenoma. We extend the previous analyses by assessing associations of the OBS and colorectal adenoma with circulating biomarkers of oxidative stress [F2-isoprostanes (FIP) and fluorescent oxidation products (FOP)], and inflammation [C-reactive protein (CRP)].

**Methods:** Using pooled data from two previously conducted colonoscopy-based case-control studies of incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma ( $n = 365$ ), the OBS was constructed and divided into three approximately equal intervals, with the lowest interval used as the reference. Biomarker levels were dichotomized as "high" versus "low" based on the median values among controls. Multivariable logistic regression was used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

**Results:** For the OBS-adenoma association, the ORs (95% CIs) for the middle and highest (relative to the lowest) score intervals were 0.81 (0.46–1.43) and 0.39 (0.17–0.89), respectively. The corresponding OBS category-specific ORs (95% CIs) were 0.50 (0.25–1.01) and 0.25 (0.10–0.65) for FIP, 2.01 (1.13–3.75) and 3.48 (1.51–8.02) for FOP, and 0.57 (0.31–1.04) and 0.21 (0.09–0.49) for CRP. The ORs (95% CIs) reflecting associations of adenoma with high levels of FIP, FOP, and CRP were 1.89 (1.08–3.30), 1.82 (1.11–2.99), and 1.45 (0.88–2.40), respectively.

**Conclusions:** As hypothesized, the OBS was inversely associated with colorectal adenoma and circulating FIP and CRP levels. The reason for the unexpected direct OBS-FOP association is unknown.

**Impact:** These data support the use of combined measures of pro- and antioxidant exposures in studies of colorectal neoplasia. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 23(3); 545–54. ©2014 AACR.

### Introduction

A considerable body of evidence from basic science and animal studies supports the role of oxidative stress as both an initiator and a promoter of carcinogenesis; however, epidemiologic studies of associations between individual determinants of oxidative stress and cancer are conflicting (1–5). One potential explanation for this discrepancy is the complex and multifactorial nature of mechanisms by which oxidative stress may affect cancer risk. The inde-

pendent effects of individual oxidative balance-related exposures are difficult to ascertain because they may be small and highly correlated and because of the likely biologic interactions involving multiple pro- and antioxidant factors (6). Therefore, it was suggested that a combined measure that takes into account multiple pro- and antioxidant exposures might be a more accurate indicator of the overall oxidative stress burden of an individual (7, 8). We and others previously proposed an oxidative balance score (OBS) as a measure of combined pro- and antioxidant exposure status (8, 9). We illustrated this approach using data from previously conducted case-control studies of incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma (10–12).

Many known pro- and antioxidants have been found to act through a variety of pro- and anticarcinogenic mechanisms that may be independent of oxidative stress. Experimental biology evidence demonstrates that antioxidant nutrients may exert antiproliferative effects on cells (13) and regulate gene expression (14) and immune response (15). Similarly, smoking, in addition to acting as pro-oxidant, has direct carcinogenic effects in many tissues and organ systems (16). These examples illustrate

**Authors' Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health; <sup>2</sup>Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, Minneapolis, Minnesota; and <sup>4</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama

**Note:** Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention Online (<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/>).

**Corresponding Author:** Michael Goodman, Emory University, Rollins School of Public Health, 1518 Clifton Rd., Atlanta, GA 30322. Phone: 404-727-2734; E-mail: [mgoodm2@emory.edu](mailto:mgoodm2@emory.edu)

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0619

©2014 American Association for Cancer Research.

that the reported associations between the OBS and health outcomes (8–11) may or may not be attributable to changes in oxidative stress. For this reason, it is important to assess how the OBS, which is designed to measure modifiable pro- and antioxidant exposures, may be related to biomarkers of oxidative stress or oxidative damage.

Currently, F2-isoprostanes (FIP) are considered to be the best available biomarker of oxidative stress *in vivo* (17–19). However, FIP measure only lipid peroxidation and may not reflect oxidative damage of proteins and DNA. Moreover, measuring FIP is expensive and requires careful sample handling and rapid processing. Thus, the use of FIP may not be practical in very large epidemiologic studies and is probably not suitable for analyses of archived samples that may have been affected by *in vitro* oxidation (20, 21).

A possible alternative to FIP as a biomarker of oxidative stress is plasma fluorescent oxidation products (FOP). FOP measure oxidation products from several sources, including lipids, proteins, and DNA, and thus may serve as a more global indicator of oxidative stress (21, 22). Previously used in the food industry and *in vitro* studies to detect oxidation (23–25), FOP are now being proposed as potential biomarkers of oxidative stress that can be used in clinical and epidemiologic studies. An additional advantage of FOP is that they are relatively easy to measure and are stable and can be assessed in samples with variable handling and storage protocols (26). Recently, a nested case-control study (22) and a small prospective study (27) reported that plasma FOP significantly and independently predicted risk of coronary heart disease. Yet, there have been no reported studies that measured plasma FOP in relation to cancer risk. Moreover, no previous studies assessed associations of FOP with measures of pro- and antioxidant exposures or the correlation between FOP and FIP.

It is important to emphasize that oxidative stress is closely related to inflammation and that these two processes should probably be assessed together. Chronic inflammation is associated with elevated oxidative stress levels; conversely, oxidative stress has proinflammatory effects through activation of NF- $\kappa$ B, a transcription factor that increases expression of cytokines, chemokines, and cell adhesion molecules (28–30). Thus, inflammation may be seen as both a cause and consequence of oxidative stress. For all of the above reasons, an examination of an association between oxidative stress-modifying exposures and biomarkers of oxidative stress may be better informed by also taking into consideration the level of inflammation, which is commonly assessed by measuring serum C-reactive protein (CRP).

CRP is an acute-phase reactant that is produced in response to inflammatory stimuli (31). As one of relatively few well-characterized, reliable biomarkers of inflammation (32), CRP has been found to be closely related to oxidative stress (33). Several measures of oxidative stress, including circulating levels of oxidized low-density lipoproteins, free-oxygen radical test (FORT) results, and

urine concentrations of FIP, have been reported to be positively correlated with serum CRP (34, 35); however, a CRP–OBS association has not been reported.

In this study, we extend our previous analysis of an OBS and adenoma (16) by examining whether the OBS is associated with FIP and FOP and by assessing the association of the OBS with inflammation as measured by serum CRP. We further explore associations of the three markers (FIP, FOP, and CRP) with risk of incident sporadic colorectal adenoma.

## Materials and Methods

### Study population

We used pooled data from two previously conducted colonoscopy-based case-control studies of incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma carried out in two different U.S. states by the same principal investigator (RMB). The first study, Markers of Adenomatous Polyps I (MAP I), was conducted in community gastroenterology practices in Winston-Salem and Charlotte, North Carolina. The second study, Markers of Adenomatous Polyps II (MAP II), identical in design to MAP I, was conducted at Consultants in Gastroenterology, PA, a large, private practice in Columbia, South Carolina.

Participants for these two case-control studies included patients who were 30 to 74 years of age with no prior history of colorectal neoplasms who were scheduled to undergo outpatient, elective colonoscopy at one of the study sites. Assessment of initial participant eligibility was identical in both studies. Cases ( $n = 235$ ) were first incident cases of colon or rectal adenomatous polyps at the time of elective outpatient colonoscopy, and controls ( $n = 391$ ) were free of all polyps at colonoscopy. The detailed study methods for MAP I (36, 37) and MAP II (38, 39) were previously published.

### Data collection

In both the MAP I and MAP II studies, a modified 153-item Willett food frequency questionnaire was administered to obtain information on usual dietary and nutritional supplement intakes (40, 41). Data were also collected on demographics, family history, lifestyle and body size characteristics, and medical history, including medications such as aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID). Total intakes of micronutrients (iron, vitamin C,  $\beta$ -carotene, and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol) were calculated based on the sum of the total average daily dietary and supplement intakes.

For both studies, blood was collected, handled, and stored in a manner that allows measurements of pro-/antioxidants, FIP, FOP, and CRP. The samples were drawn into red-coated, prechilled vacutainer tubes, plunged into ice and shielded from light and immediately delivered to the laboratory where the blood was centrifuged under refrigeration. Plasma and serum were separated; aliquotted into O-ring-capped, amber-colored cryopreservation vials; the air in the vials was displaced with inert gas (nitrogen in

MAP I and argon in MAP II); and then immediately frozen at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis. Plasma lycopene,  $\alpha$ -carotene,  $\beta$ -carotene, lutein,  $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin, and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol levels from both studies were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; refs. 42, 43). Plasma-free FIP was measured by a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method (44) by the Molecular Epidemiology and Biomarker Research Laboratory at the University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, MN). This method, considered the gold standard for measuring FIP, measures a well-defined set of FIP isomers. The FIP were extracted from participants' samples using deuterium (4)-labeled 8-iso-prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$  as an internal standard. Unlabeled, purified FIP was used as a calibration standard.

The modified method from Shimasaki (24) was used to measure FOP. The procedures were described in detail previously (26). Briefly, 0.2 mL of plasma was extracted with ethanol/ether (3:1 v/v) and vigorously mixed on a vortex mixer. The mixed solution was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3,000 rpm, and 1 mL of supernatant was added to cuvettes for spectrofluorometric readings. The fluorescence was determined as relative fluorescence intensity units per milliliter of plasma at 360/430 nm wavelengths (excitation/emission) by a spectrofluorometer. Quinine sulfate diluted in 0.1 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was used for calibration. Due to the limited amount of plasma samples available, in about 22% of the population FOP were measured using serum samples instead of plasma; however, analyses of a subset of patients with both types of samples available indicated that the two sets of values were highly correlated ( $r = 0.9$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). High-sensitivity CRP was measured by latex-enhanced immunonephelometry on a Behring nephelometer II (BN-II) analyzer [interassay coefficient of variation (CV) 4%; Behring Diagnostics].

### Oxidative balance score

The OBS was calculated by combining information from *a priori* selected pro- and antioxidant factors, which are listed in Table 1. The blood levels of pro-oxidant (iron) and antioxidant (lycopene,  $\alpha$ -carotene,  $\beta$ -carotene, lutein,  $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin, and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol) nutrients were divided into low, medium, and high categories based on study-specific tertile values among the controls. We did not include plasma  $\gamma$ -tocopherol in the OBS because circulating levels of this antioxidant are not a direct measure of its intake, but rather a reflection of metabolic response to oxidative stress and inflammation (45). Nevertheless, because  $\gamma$ -tocopherol is the major form of vitamin E in the U.S. diet (46), we constructed two alternative score versions: with and without plasma  $\gamma$ -tocopherol.

The tertile cutoffs for FFQ-derived variables (polyunsaturated fat, vitamin C, and alcohol) were study- and sex-specific. The participants with low (first tertile) pro-oxidant exposures were awarded 2 points, those with medium (second tertile) exposures received 1 point, and those with high (third tertile) exposures received 0 points. For alcohol consumption, nondrinkers, moderate drinkers (below median), and heavy drinkers (above median) received 2, 1, and 0 points, respectively. For antioxidants, low, medium, and high levels were assigned 0, 1, and 2 points, respectively. Similarly, for categorical variables, smoking status was categorized as never (2 points), former (1 point), and current (0 points); and for selenium supplement, aspirin, and NSAID use, 0 points were assigned to participants with no regular use, 1 point to those with unknown or missing data, and 2 points to those with regular use. The overall OBS was then calculated by summing the points assigned to each participant.

**Table 1.** OBS assignment scheme

OBS components	Assignment scheme <sup>a</sup>
1. PUFA intake	0 = High (3rd tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = Low (1st tertile)
2. Serum ferritin	0 = High (3rd tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = Low (1st tertile)
3. Total <sup>b</sup> vitamin C intake	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
4. Plasma lycopene	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
5. Plasma $\alpha$ -carotene	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
6. Plasma $\beta$ -carotene	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
7. Plasma lutein	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
8. Plasma $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
9. Plasma $\alpha$ -tocopherol	0 = Low (1st tertile), 1 = Medium (2nd tertile), 2 = High (3rd tertile)
10. Selenium supplements	0 = No supplement, 1 = Unknown (missing data), 2 = Supplement
11. Smoking history	0 = Current smoker, 1 = Former smoker, 2 = Never smoker
12. Regular aspirin use	0 = No regular use, 1 = Unknown, 2 = Regular use
13. Regular NSAID use	0 = No regular use, 1 = Unknown, 2 = Regular use
14. Alcohol consumption	0 = Above median, 1 = Below median, 2 = Nondrinker

Abbreviation: PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid.

<sup>a</sup>Low, intermediate, and high categories correspond to study-specific tertile values among controls.

<sup>b</sup>Total intake = dietary intake + supplemental intake.

### Statistical analyses

The OBS was treated as a continuous as well as an ordinal variable with all categories representing an approximately equal interval and the lowest interval used as the reference category. The use of equal intervals instead of quantiles (e.g., tertiles or quartiles) allows comparing extremes of the distribution. Unconditional logistic regression was used to examine three types of associations. First, we examined the association between the OBS and incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma, adjusting for age, race, sex, total energy intake, body mass index (BMI), plasma cholesterol, hormone replacement therapy (among women), physical activity, dietary fiber intake, study, and family history of colorectal cancer in a first-degree relative.

To assess the impact of individual OBS components on the overall results, we removed each component from the overall score and included that component as a covariate in the model. The association between colorectal adenoma and the OBS in each alternative model was then compared with the association between colorectal adenoma and the *a priori*-defined OBS in the original analysis.

Next, we examined the associations between the OBS and the markers of oxidative stress (FIP and FOP) and inflammation (CRP), which were dichotomized based on the study- and sex-specific medians among the controls, adjusting for the same potential confounding factors as in the first analysis. Finally, we examined the associations between the dichotomized markers of oxidative stress and inflammation and incident sporadic colorectal adenoma. The models for the third analysis included the same covariates as in the analysis of the association between the OBS and adenoma. Correlations among FIP, FOP, and CRP were assessed using Pearson correlation coefficients. We also conducted several sensitivity analyses to evaluate possible differences in results when (i) OBS quartiles were used instead of equal intervals; (ii) using biomarker quartiles to assess the biomarker-adenoma associations; and (iii) for the association between the OBS and each biomarker when both former and never smokers were assigned 2 points, whereas current smokers were assigned 0 points to consider the possibility that biomarkers may only be affected by current smoking status. The results of the logistic regression analyses were expressed as adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

All models were assessed for collinearity and goodness of fit. A two-sided  $P$  value  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute) statistical software package.

### Results

A total of 150 (64%) cases and 215 (55%) controls in the pooled MAP studies had sufficient information for calculating the OBS; however, complete covariate information was available for 139 cases and 201 controls. Selected

characteristics of the cases and controls, by study, are shown in Table 2. Cases and controls did not differ considerably with regard to most risk factors; however, in MAP I, cases were more likely to be male, current smokers, and less likely to have a history of a first-degree relative with colorectal cancer. When the MAP studies were pooled, the mean plasma concentrations of  $\alpha$ -carotene and  $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin were statistically significantly higher in the controls. The OBS ranged between 2 and 24 points. When the OBS was treated as a continuous variable, each additional score point was associated with a statistically significant lower risk of incident colorectal adenoma after multivariable adjustment (OR = 0.93, 95% CI, 0.88–0.99). Relative to those in the lowest OBS interval, risks for adenomas among those in the middle and the highest OBS intervals were statistically significantly 19% and 61% lower, respectively ( $P$  trend = 0.04; Table 3). When plasma levels of  $\gamma$ -tocopherol were added to the OBS, the results were essentially unaffected (Table 3).

The associations of the OBS with different markers of oxidative stress and inflammation are shown in Table 4. There was a statistically significant inverse higher OBS–FIP association. After adjusting for confounding factors, the ORs (95% CIs) for the middle and the highest OBS intervals (again using the lowest interval as reference) were 0.50 (0.25–1.01) and 0.25 (0.10–0.65), respectively ( $P$  trend  $< 0.01$ ). The corresponding results for FOP were in the opposite direction; compared with the reference category, those in the middle and highest OBS intervals had adjusted ORs (95% CIs) of 2.01 (1.13–3.75) and 3.48 (1.51–8.02), respectively ( $P$  trend  $< 0.01$ ).

The results for CRP were similar to those observed for FIP. Those in the middle and highest OBS interval groups were at approximately 40% and 80% lower risk, respectively, for having elevated CRP levels ( $P$  trend  $< 0.01$ ; Table 4).

We further examined the associations of markers of oxidative stress and inflammation with incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma (Table 5). Elevated levels of both markers of oxidative stress (FIP and FOP) were statistically significantly associated with higher risk of colorectal adenoma; the adjusted ORs were 1.89 (95% CI, 1.08–3.30) for FIP and 1.82 (95% CI, 1.11–2.99) for FOP. The CRP-adenoma association was in the same direction as those for FIP and FOP, but it was not statistically significant (OR = 1.45; 95% CI, 0.88–2.40).

We also assessed correlations among FIP, FOP, and CRP. The Pearson correlation coefficients were 0.06, 0.09, and 0.08 between FOP and FIP, FOP and CRP, and FIP and CRP, respectively ( $P > 0.05$  for all).

All sensitivity analyses are presented in Supplementary Tables. The results of the sensitivity analyses in which the observed association between colorectal adenoma and the original *a priori*-defined OBS (treated as a continuous variable) was compared with the corresponding results after each OBS component was removed from the score and included in the model as a covariate are shown in Supplementary Table S1. These sensitivity analyses

**Table 2.** Selected baseline characteristics of participants in the MAP I and II case-control studies of incident, sporadic colorectal adenomas

Characteristics	MAP I		MAP II		Pooled analysis	
	Cases (n = 106) mean (SD) or %	Controls (n = 109) mean (SD) or %	Cases (n = 33) mean (SD) or %	Controls (n = 92) mean (SD) or %	Cases (n = 139) mean (SD) or N (%)	Controls (n = 201) mean (SD)
Age, y	57.4 (8.9)	56.1 (10.2)	55.4 (7.3)	55.5 (7.9)	56.9 (8.6)	55.9 (9.2)
Male (%)	54.7	32.1 <sup>a</sup>	57.6	44.6	55.4	37.8 <sup>b</sup>
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.8 (6.1)	27.1 (5.7)	28.5 (5.2)	28.6 (6.7)	27.9 (5.9)	27.8 (6.2)
Physical activity, MET-hours/week	216.8 (143.4)	196.1 (127.3)	163.7 (116.9)	176.5 (125.2)	204.2 (139.1)	187.1 (126.4)
Family history of colorectal cancer <sup>c</sup> (%)	17.0	33.0 <sup>a</sup>	21.1	19.6	18.0	26.9
HRT user (women only; %)	62.5	54.1	78.6	70.6	66.1	60.8
Regularly take an NSAID (%)	21.2	32.1	33.3	34.8	24.1	33.3
Regularly take aspirin (%)	34.9	35.8	45.5	41.3	37.4	38.3
Current smoker (%)	34.0	20.2 <sup>a</sup>	24.2	13.0	31.7	16.9 <sup>a</sup>
Alcohol, drinks/week	20.8 (25.6)	14.6 (20.2)	9.4 (9.1)	13.4 (16.3)	16.3 (21.4)	13.9 (17.8)
Dietary intakes per day						
Total energy, kcal	2,061.3 (851.8)	2,172.6 (2493.7)	1,831.3 (765.3)	1,648.0 (647.8)	2,006.7 (835.1)	1,932.5 (1,902.0)
Total PUFA, gm	14.0 (6.3)	14.4 (14.5)	15.5 (8.9)	14.1 (10.4)	14.3 (7.0)	14.2 (12.8)
Dietary fiber, gm	22.8 (9.4)	25.5 (26.6)	16.6 (6.7)	15.3 (6.7)	21.3 (9.2)	20.9 (20.7)
Total <sup>d</sup> vitamin C, mg	286.6 (388.5)	302.1 (354.6)	237.5 (273.6)	298.9 (369.4)	275.0 (364.2)	300.7 (360.6)
Plasma levels						
Plasma lycopene, µg/dL	26.3 (14.3)	25.8 (13.3)	21.7 (11.4)	24.6 (10.8)	25.2 (13.8)	25.2 (12.2)
Plasma α-carotene, µg/dL	2.7 (2.9)	3.6 (4.8)	2.6 (2.6)	3.5 (3.1)	2.7 (2.8)	3.5 (4.1) <sup>b</sup>
Plasma β-carotene, µg/dL	15.3 (22.5)	16.4 (15.5)	12.6 (11.4)	16.3 (13.0)	14.6 (20.4)	16.4 (14.4)
Plasma lutein, µg/dL	16.8 (7.2)	18.1 (10.3)	17.7 (6.2)	15.7 (6.3)	17.0 (6.9)	17.0 (8.7)
Plasma β-cryptoxanthin, µg/dL	6.0 (4.7)	6.9 (5.8)	6.1 (4.1)	8.1 (7.2)	6.0 (4.5)	7.5 (6.5) <sup>b</sup>
Plasma α-tocopherol, mg/dL	1.2 (0.5)	1.1 (0.5)	1.1 (0.3)	1.2 (0.6)	1.1 (0.5)	1.2 (0.5)
Plasma γ-tocopherol, mg/dL	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)	0.2 (0.1)
Plasma ferritin, mg/dL	146.1 (135.2)	148.8 (185.9)	144.5 (108.3)	130.8 (127.5)	145.7 (129.0)	140.6 (161.7)
Plasma total cholesterol, mg/dL	203.4 (35.8)	206.3 (39.5)	194.8 (32.4)	199.3 (39.5)	201.4 (35.1)	203.1 (39.6)
Biomarker levels						
FIP, pg/mL	94.0 (41.8)	88.8 (38.4)	76.0 (25.0)	78.0 (28.9)	90.1 (39.3)	84.4 (35.1)
FOP, avg. std. ref. adj. <sup>e</sup>	0.06 (0.03)	0.05 (0.02)	0.03 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	0.05 (0.11)	0.05 (0.13)
CRP, µg/mL	6.1 (6.1)	7.5 (23.8)	3.7 (5.0)	4.6 (6.2)	5.5 (6.0)	6.2 (18.0)

Abbreviation: PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid.

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.01 based on t test for continuous variable and  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables.<sup>b</sup>P < 0.05 based on t test for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables.<sup>c</sup>In a first-degree relative.<sup>d</sup>Total = dietary + supplemental.<sup>e</sup>Unit for FOP measurement is "average standard reference adjusted," in which samples were calculated against a 1-ppm fluorescent reference standard quinine in 0.1 N sulfuric acid.

**Table 3.** Association between OBS (with and without inclusion of  $\gamma$ -tocopherol) and incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma

	Cases (n) <sup>a</sup>	Controls (n) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	P trend
OBS without $\gamma$ -tocopherol (range, 2–24)				
Interval 1 (OBS, 2–9)	44	43	1.0	0.04
Interval 2 (OBS, 10–16)	81	114	0.81 (0.46–1.43)	
Interval 3 (OBS, 17–24)	14	44	0.39 (0.17–0.89)	
OBS as continuous variable	139	201	0.93 (0.87–0.99)	
OBS with $\gamma$ -tocopherol (range, 2–25)				
Interval 1 (OBS, 2–9)	34	31	1.0	0.04
Interval 2 (OBS, 10–17)	88	126	0.76 (0.40–1.43)	
Interval 3 (OBS, 18–25)	17	44	0.40 (0.17–0.97)	
OBS as continuous variable	139	201	0.93 (0.88–0.99)	

<sup>a</sup>Total number of subjects in the models is lower due to missing covariate data.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for age, race, sex, BMI, total energy intake, plasma cholesterol, and family history of colorectal cancer in a first-degree relative, hormone replacement therapy (among women), dietary fiber, physical activity, and study (MAP I or MAP II).

produced a range of ORs from 0.91 (after exclusion of lycopene) to 0.96 (after exclusion of smoking). All OR estimates in the sensitivity analyses remained within 5% of the original OR of 0.93. When OBS quartiles were used instead of equal intervals, the results for the OBS–adenoma association remained essentially the same (Supplementary Table S2). In the sensitivity analyses in which biomarker quartiles were used instead of

binary (high vs. low) categories, the estimates reflecting the associations between the biomarkers and adenoma were similar, but less stable and with wider CIs (Supplementary Table S3).

#### Discussion

Although oxidative stress is thought to play a prominent role in many human diseases, there is no definitive

**Table 4.** Associations between OBS and markers of oxidative stress and inflammation

OBS	Biomarkers <sup>a</sup>		OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	P trend
	High <sup>c</sup>	Low <sup>c</sup>		
FIP				
Interval 1 (OBS, 2–9)	51	20	1.0	<0.01
Interval 2 (OBS, 10–16)	91	68	0.50 (0.25–1.01)	
Interval 3 (OBS, 17–24)	17	27	0.25 (0.10–0.65)	
Continuous	159	115	0.87 (0.81–0.94)	
FOP				
Interval 1 (OBS, 2–9)	33	45	1.0	<0.01
Interval 2 (OBS, 10–16)	107	77	2.01 (1.13–3.75)	
Interval 3 (OBS, 17–24)	36	19	3.48 (1.51–8.02)	
Continuous	176	141	1.10 (1.03–1.17)	
CRP				
Interval 1 (OBS, 2–9)	56	31	1.0	<0.01
Interval 2 (OBS, 10–16)	108	87	0.57 (0.31–1.04)	
Interval 3 (OBS, 17–24)	19	39	0.21 (0.09–0.49)	
Continuous	183	157	0.88 (0.82–0.94)	

<sup>a</sup>Each biomarker was dichotomized into "high" and "low" based on study- and sex-specific median values among controls.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for age, race, sex, BMI, total energy intake, plasma cholesterol, and family history of colorectal cancer in a first-degree relative, hormone replacement therapy (among women), dietary fiber, physical activity, and study (MAP I or MAP II).

<sup>c</sup>Total numbers of subjects in the models differ due to missing covariate or biomarker data.

**Table 5.** Associations of markers of oxidative stress and inflammation with incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma

Biomarker <sup>a</sup>	Cases <sup>c</sup>	Controls <sup>c</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	P
FIP				
Low	39	76	1.0	0.03
High	80	79	1.89 (1.08–3.30)	
Log (continuous)	119	155	1.38 (0.79–2.38)	
FOP				
Low	44	97	1.0	0.02
High	82	94	1.82 (1.11–2.99)	
Log (continuous)	126	191	1.32 (0.94–1.87)	
CRP				
Low	55	102	1.0	0.14
High	84	99	1.45 (0.88–2.40)	
Log (continuous)	139	201	1.14 (0.97–1.33)	

<sup>a</sup>Each biomarker was dichotomized into "high" and "low" based on sex-specific median values among controls.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for age, race, sex, BMI, total energy intake, plasma cholesterol, and family history of colorectal cancer in a first-degree relative, hormone replacement therapy (among women), dietary fiber, physical activity, and study (MAP I or MAP II).

<sup>c</sup>Total numbers of subjects in the models differ due to missing covariate or biomarker data.

evidence linking pro- and antioxidants to specific human health outcomes (47). This discrepancy between biologic plausibility and the lack of established epidemiologic associations is likely explained by inadequate methods of assessing oxidative stress in humans.

Previously, we and others used a score approach to measure combined pro- and antioxidant exposure status in relation to risk of several cancers, including colorectal, prostate, and lung (6–11, 48). The current study extends our previous analyses by assessing associations between an OBS and markers of oxidative stress and inflammation and by assessing the possible relations of various biomarkers to each other and to colorectal adenoma risk. We found a rather strong and statistically significant inverse association between the OBS and plasma FIP, and a significant direct association between FIP and colorectal adenoma risk. These associations were in the hypothesized directions and are in agreement with evidence from other studies.

FIP are prostaglandin-like compounds formed non-enzymatically as products of free radical-mediated lipid peroxidation (19). Among various currently available markers of oxidative stress, FIP are considered the "gold standard" in humans (18, 44). Current literature also supports the use of FIP in studies of human diseases (19, 49). Elevated levels of FIP were found to be directly associated with a wide variety of conditions, including cardiovascular, pulmonary, neurologic, and renal diseases (50–53). Previously reported associations between FIP and individual anti- or pro-oxidant factors, however, have been inconsistent. Block and colleagues examined several physiologic and behavioral factors, including diet, for their individual contributions to oxidative damage, as measured by plasma FIP (33). Although they found sta-

tistically significant inverse correlations between plasma FIP and plasma ascorbic acid and several carotenoids, there were no associations with  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, alcohol, or smoking. By contrast, Morrow and colleagues found significantly higher circulating plasma FIP in smokers than in the nonsmokers (54).

In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the effects of a combination of vitamin E and carotenoids on markers of antioxidant status and lipid peroxidation over 11 weeks among healthy persons (55), the group given supplemental 111 mg of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and 1.24 mg of carotenoids daily had a 15% reduction in plasma total F2 $\alpha$ -isoprostanes concentrations. In our study, we observed approximately 75% lower levels of plasma FIP in those with the highest relative to those with the lowest OBS, suggesting that the composite measure of OBS is more strongly associated with FIP than is any individual anti- or pro-oxidant factor.

Plasma FOP were relatively recently introduced into human population-based studies as biomarkers of oxidative stress, but no reported studies used FOP in relation to neoplasia outcomes. Measurement of FOP was first developed by Dillard and Tappel (56) in 1971, and modified by Shimasaki in 1994 (24). FOP are thought to measure oxidation products from several sources, including lipids, proteins, and DNA, and thus are thought to serve as a global indicator of oxidative balance (22). Moreover, FOP are relatively unaffected by specimen quality and storage conditions, an important, practical consideration for epidemiologic field studies.

In two previous studies, high levels of FOP were statistically significantly directly associated with the incidence of cardiovascular (57) and coronary heart diseases (22). In another recent study (21), FOP were statistically

significantly, positively associated with variables linked to systemic oxidative balance, including smoking, hypertension, and reduced renal function.

The findings for FOP in this study were unexpected. On the one hand, elevated FOP, like FIP, were associated with higher risk for colorectal adenoma. On the other hand, unlike FIP, a higher OBS was significantly associated with higher FOP concentrations. Moreover, although FOP and FIP are both presumed to reflect oxidative damage, there was no correlation between the two markers. Taken together, these results suggest that different components of the OBS may affect different processes; e.g., lipid peroxidation, inflammation, or some other process that is independent of lipid peroxidation, but is related to oxidative stress (see hypothetical diagram shown in Supplementary Fig. S1). The degree of lipid peroxidation is reflected by FIP, inflammation by CRP, and, hypothetically, nonlipid peroxidation component(s) of oxidative stress by FOP. If we assume that different components of the OBS exert different effects on lipid peroxidation and other oxidative stress mechanisms, then it is possible that the associations of the OBS with FOP and FIP will be in the opposite directions. As shown in Supplementary Table S4, this explanation is plausible because several OBS components were oppositely associated with FOP versus FIP concentrations.

Although the oxidative stress pathway is closely related to inflammation, most studies of biomarkers of oxidative stress do not consider markers of inflammation. The role of inflammation as both a cause and a result of oxidative stress is supported by a considerable body of evidence. Oxidative stress may play a role in inflammation by upregulating the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6 and acute-phase proteins such as CRP through activating redox-sensitive transcription factors such as NF- $\kappa$ B (58, 59). We found a statistically significant inverse association between the OBS and CRP, which was comparable with the corresponding association between the OBS and FIP.

A distinguishing feature of this study was the use of biomarker-based measurements for most OBS components. Food frequency questionnaires may not capture all sources of each nutrient, do not account for bioavailability, and are subject to recall bias, particularly in case-control studies in which exposure is assessed retrospectively (60). For these reasons, biochemical indicators are being used with increasing frequency. Unlike questionnaires, biomarkers are independent of recall and social desirability, and because blood levels reflect an individual's absorption and delivery to the circulation, they may provide better estimates of the relevant tissue doses (61, 62). On the other hand, biomarker-based measurements represent relatively recent exposures that may not reflect long-term patterns.

In addition to the general problems that are applicable to most case-control studies, our study has several limitations that are specific to the current analyses. First,

the OBS was limited to dietary/lifestyle exposures and included no endogenous measures of antioxidant cell function. Although oxidative balance is affected by modifiable factors, such as those included in the OBS, oxidative balance is also determined by enzymatic mechanisms (63). Endogenous factors that influence DNA damage, cell growth, and cell death contribute to carcinogenesis through modulating gene expression (64). Another limitation of the current analysis is the assumption that individual pro- and antioxidant exposures have equal weights. An equal weighting approach might not represent the real relative biologic contributions of the individual oxidative stress-related exposures. It has been shown, for example, that ascorbate (vitamin C) has a lower redox potential than  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and, thus, relative contributions of vitamins C and E may be different (65). On the other hand, we previously found that an OBS-colorectal adenoma association was not appreciably affected by various weighting methods (12).

In conclusion, our findings suggest that an OBS may serve as a composite measure of oxidative stress- and inflammation-related exposures. It is, however, unclear whether or how these exposures affect FOP, and what biochemical processes are reflected by plasma FOP concentrations. The lack of correlation between FOP and FIP also requires further study. Nevertheless, these data support the use of combined measures of pro- and antioxidant exposures in studies of colorectal neoplasia.

#### Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

#### Authors' Contributions

**Conception and design:** R.M. Bostick, W.M. McClellan, M.D. Gross, S. Judd, M. Goodman

**Development of methodology:** S.Y.J. Kong, R.M. Bostick, M. Goodman  
**Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.):** R.M. Bostick, B. Thyagarajan, M.D. Gross, S. Judd  
**Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis):** S.Y.J. Kong, R.M. Bostick, W.D. Flanders, W.M. McClellan, B. Thyagarajan, M.D. Gross, M. Goodman

**Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript:** S.Y.J. Kong, R.M. Bostick, W.D. Flanders, W.M. McClellan, B. Thyagarajan, M.D. Gross, S. Judd, M. Goodman

**Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases):** S.Y.J. Kong, R.M. Bostick, M. Goodman

**Study supervision:** R.M. Bostick, M. Goodman

#### Grant Support

M. Goodman, R.M. Bostick, W.D. Flanders, B. Thyagarajan, and M.D. Gross received support from the National Cancer Institute grant R01 CA116795. In addition, R.M. Bostick received support from the National Cancer Institute grant R01 CA66539 and from the Fullerton Foundation.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received July 1, 2013; revised November 26, 2013; accepted December 15, 2013; published OnlineFirst January 17, 2014.

## References

- Garland M, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hunter DJ. Antioxidant micronutrients and breast cancer. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1993;12:400–11.
- Greenberg E, Baron J, Tosteson T, Freeman DJ, Beck G, Bond J, et al. A clinical trial of antioxidant vitamins to prevent colorectal adenoma: Polyp Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:141–7.
- Kearney J, Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, et al. Diet, alcohol, and smoking and the occurrence of hyperplastic polyps of the colon and rectum (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 1995;6:45–56.
- Kovacic P, Jacintho JD. Mechanisms of carcinogenesis: focus on oxidative stress and electron transfer. *Curr Med Chem* 2001;8:773–96.
- Poulsen HE, Prieme H, Loft S. Role of oxidative DNA damage in cancer initiation and promotion. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1998;7:9–16.
- Wright ME, Mayne ST, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Li Z, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, et al. Development of a comprehensive dietary antioxidant index and application to lung cancer risk in a cohort of male smokers. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;160:68–76.
- Agalliu I, Kirsh VA, Kreiger N, Soskolne CL, Rohan TE. Oxidative balance score and risk of prostate cancer: results from a case-cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2011;35:353–61.
- Van Hoydonck PG, Temme EH, Schouten EG. A dietary oxidative balance score of vitamin C, beta-carotene and iron intakes and mortality risk in male smoking Belgians. *J Nutr* 2002;132:756–61.
- Goodman M, Bostick RM, Dash C, Flanders WD, Mandel JS. Hypothesis: oxidative stress score as a combined measure of pro-oxidant and antioxidant exposures. *Ann Epidemiol* 2007;17:394–9.
- Goodman M, Bostick RM, Dash C, Terry P, Flanders WD, Mandel J. A summary measure of pro- and anti-oxidant exposures and risk of incident, sporadic, colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Causes Control* 2008;19:1051–64.
- Goodman M, Bostick RM, Gross M, Thyagarajan B, Dash C, Flanders WD. Combined measure of pro- and anti-oxidant exposures in relation to prostate cancer and colorectal adenoma risk: an update. *Ann Epidemiol* 2010;20:955–7.
- Dash C, Goodman M, Flanders WD, Mink PJ, McCullough ML, Bostick RM. Using pathway-specific comprehensive exposure scores in epidemiology: application to oxidative balance in a pooled case-control study of incident, sporadic colorectal adenomas. *Am J Epidemiol* 2013;178:610–24.
- Heber D, Lu QY. Overview of mechanisms of action of lycopene. *Exper Biol Med* 2002;227:920–3.
- Bertram JS. Carotenoids and gene regulation. *Nutr Rev* 1999;57:182–91.
- Chew BP, Park JS. Carotenoid action on the immune response. *J Nutr* 2004;134:257S–61S.
- Pryor WA. Cigarette smoke radicals and the role of free radicals in chemical carcinogenicity. *Environ Health Perspect* 1997;105 Suppl 4:875–82.
- Kadiiska MB, Gladen BC, Baird DD, Germolec D, Graham LB, Parker CE, et al. Biomarkers of oxidative stress study II: are oxidation products of lipids, proteins, and DNA markers of CCl<sub>4</sub> poisoning? *Free Radic Biol Med* 2005;38:698–710.
- Liu T, Stern A, Roberts LJ, Morrow JD. The isoprostanes: novel prostaglandin-like products of the free radical-catalyzed peroxidation of arachidonic acid. *J Biomed Sci* 1999;6:226–35.
- Milne GL, Musiek ES, Morrow JD. F<sub>2</sub>-isoprostanes as markers of oxidative stress in vivo: an overview. *Biomarkers* 2005;10 Suppl 1: S10–23.
- Kitano S, Hisatomi H, Hibi N, Kawano K, Harada S. Improved method of plasma 8-Isoprostane measurement and association analyses with habitual drinking and smoking. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006;12: 5846–52.
- Wu T, Willett WC, Rifai N, Rimm EB. Plasma fluorescent oxidation products as potential markers of oxidative stress for epidemiologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166:552–60.
- Wu T, Rifai N, Willett WC, Rimm EB. Plasma fluorescent oxidation products: independent predictors of coronary heart disease in men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166:544–51.
- Mohanakumar KP, de Bartolomeis A, Wu RM, Yeh KJ, Sternberger LM, Peng SY, et al. Ferrous-citrate complex and nigral degeneration: evidence for free-radical formation and lipid peroxidation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1994;738:392–9.
- Shimasaki H. Assay of fluorescent lipid peroxidation products. *Methods Enzymol* 1994;233:338–46.
- Sziraki I, Rauhala P, Koh KK, van Bergen P, Chiueh CC. Implications for atypical antioxidative properties of manganese in iron-induced brain lipid peroxidation and copper-dependent low density lipoprotein conjugation. *Neurotoxicology* 1999;20:455–66.
- Wu T, Rifai N, Roberts LJ II, Willett WC, Rimm EB. Stability of measurements of biomarkers of oxidative stress in blood over 36 hours. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1399–402.
- Mezzetti A, Zuliani G, Romano F, Costantini F, Pierdomenico SD, Cuccurullo F, et al. Vitamin E and lipid peroxide plasma levels predict the risk of cardiovascular events in a group of healthy very old people. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001;49:533–7.
- Chen XL, Tummala PE, Olbrych MT, Alexander RW, Medford RM. Angiotensin II induces monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 gene expression in rat vascular smooth muscle cells. *Circ Res* 1998; 83:952–9.
- Scholz H, Yndestad A, Damas JK, Waehre T, Tonstad S, Aukrust P, et al. 8-isoprostane increases expression of interleukin-8 in human macrophages through activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases. *Cardiovasc Res* 2003;59:945–54.
- Zhang L, Zalewski A, Liu Y, Mazurek T, Cowan S, Martin JL, et al. Diabetes-induced oxidative stress and low-grade inflammation in porcine coronary arteries. *Circulation* 2003;108:472–8.
- Ballantyne CM, Nambi V. Markers of inflammation and their clinical significance. *Atheroscler Suppl* 2005;6:21–9.
- Ridker PM, Brown NJ, Vaughan DE, Harrison DG, Mehta JL. Established and emerging plasma biomarkers in the prediction of first atherothrombotic events. *Circulation* 2004;109:IV6–19.
- Block G, Dietrich M, Norkus EP, Morrow JD, Hudes M, Caan B, et al. Factors associated with oxidative stress in human populations. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;156:274–85.
- Abramson JL, Hooper WC, Jones DP, Ashfaq S, Rhodes SD, Weintraub WS, et al. Association between novel oxidative stress markers and C-reactive protein among adults without clinical coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2005;178:115–21.
- Yasunari K, Maeda K, Nakamura M, Yoshikawa J. Oxidative stress in leukocytes is a possible link between blood pressure, blood glucose, and C-reacting protein. *Hypertension* 2002;39:777–80.
- Boyapati S, Bostick R, McGlynn K, Svendsen K, Fina M, Fosdick L, et al. Calcium, vitamin D and risk for colorectal adenoma: dependency on vitamin D receptor Bsm I polymorphism and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12: 631–7.
- Gong Y, Xie D, Deng Z, Bostick R, Miao X, Zhang J, et al. Vitamin D receptor Tru9I polymorphism and risk for incident sporadic colorectal adenomas. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:4794–9.
- Daniel CR, Bostick RM, Flanders WD, Long Q, Fedirko V, Sidelnikov E, et al. TGF- $\alpha$  expression as a potential biomarker of risk within the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of patients with and without incident sporadic adenoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18:65–73.
- Sidelnikov E, Bostick RM, Flanders WD, Long Q, Cohen VL, Dash C, et al. MutL-homolog 1 expression and risk of incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma: search for prospective biomarkers of risk for colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18: 1599–609.
- Willett WC, Sampson L, Browne ML, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, et al. The use of a self-administered questionnaire to assess diet four years in the past. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;127: 188–99.
- Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschi J, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122:51–65.

42. Bieri J, Brown E, Smith J. Determination of individual carotenoids in human plasma by high performance chromatography. *J Liquid Chromatogr* 1985;8:473-84.
43. De Leenheer A, De Bevere V, De Ruyter M, Claeys A. Simultaneous determination of retinol and -tocopherol in human serum by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr* 1979;162:408-13.
44. Morrow JD, Roberts LJ. Mass spectrometric quantification of F2-isoprostanes in biological fluids and tissues as measure of oxidant stress. *Methods Enzymol* 1999;300:3-12.
45. Cooney RV, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, Gill J, Kolonel LN. Elevated plasma gamma-tocopherol and decreased alpha-tocopherol in men are associated with inflammatory markers and decreased plasma 25-OH vitamin D. *Nutr Cancer* 2008;60 Suppl 1:21-9.
46. Jiang Q, Christen S, Shigenaga MK, Ames BN. Gamma-tocopherol, the major form of vitamin E in the US diet, deserves more attention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;74:714-22.
47. Halliwell B, Grootveld M. The measurement of free radical reactions in humans. Some thoughts for future experimentation. *FEBS Lett* 1987;213:9-14.
48. Velthuis-te Wierik EJ, van den Berg H, Weststrate JA, van het Hof KH, de Graaf C. Consumption of reduced-fat products: effects on parameters of anti-oxidative capacity. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1996;50:214-9.
49. Montuschi P, Barnes PJ, Roberts LJ. Isoprostanes: markers and mediators of oxidative stress. *FASEB J* 2004;18:1791-800.
50. Ikizler TA, Morrow JD, Roberts LJ, Evanson JA, Becker B, Hakim RM, et al. Plasma F2-isoprostane levels are elevated in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Clin Nephrol* 2002;58:190-7.
51. Montine TJ, Markesbery WR, Zackert W, Sanchez SC, Roberts LJ II, Morrow JD. The magnitude of brain lipid peroxidation correlates with the extent of degeneration but not with density of neuritic plaques or neurofibrillary tangles or with APOE genotype in Alzheimer's disease patients. *Am J Pathol* 1999;155:863-8.
52. Pratico D, Clark CM, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ, Rokach J, FitzGerald GA. Increased 8,12-iso-iPF2alpha-VI in Alzheimer's disease: correlation of a noninvasive index of lipid peroxidation with disease severity. *Ann Neurol* 2000;48:809-12.
53. Vassalle C, Botto N, Andreassi MG, Berti S, Biagini A. Evidence for enhanced 8-isoprostane plasma levels, as index of oxidative stress in vivo, in patients with coronary artery disease. *Coron Artery Dis* 2003;14:213-8.
54. Morrow JD, Frei B, Longmire AW, Gaziano JM, Lynch SM, Shyr Y, et al. Increase in circulating products of lipid peroxidation (F2-isoprostanes) in smokers. Smoking as a cause of oxidative damage. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:1198-203.
55. Upritchard JE, Schuurman CR, Wiersma A, Tijburg LB, Coolen SA, Rijken PJ, et al. Spread supplemented with moderate doses of vitamin E and carotenoids reduces lipid peroxidation in healthy, nonsmoking adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;78:985-92.
56. Dillard CJ, Tappel AL. Fluorescent damage products of lipid peroxidation. *Methods Enzymol* 1984;105:337-41.
57. Mezzetti A, Lapenna D, Romano F, Costantini F, Pierdomenico SD, De Cesare D, et al. Systemic oxidative stress and its relationship with age and illness. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1996;44:823-7.
58. Himmelfarb J. Linking oxidative stress and inflammation in kidney disease: which is the chicken and which is the egg? *Semin Dial* 2004;17:449-54.
59. Rahman I, Adcock IM. Oxidative stress and redox regulation of lung inflammation in COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2006;28:219-42.
60. Schatzkin A, Kipnis V. Could exposure assessment problems give us wrong answers to nutrition and cancer questions? *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1564-5.
61. Ingles SA, Bird CL, Shikany JM, Frankl HD, Lee ER, Haile RW. Plasma tocopherol and prevalence of colorectal adenomas in a multiethnic population. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:661-6.
62. Kaaks R, Riboli E, Sinha R. Biochemical markers of dietary intake. *IARC Sci Publ* 1997:103-26.
63. Bruce WR, Giacca A, Medline A. Possible mechanisms relating diet and risk of colon cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000;9:1271-9.
64. Klaunig JE, Kamendulis LM. The role of oxidative stress in carcinogenesis. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2004;44:239-67.
65. Thomas SR, Neuzil J, Mohr D, Stocker R. Coantioxidants make alpha-tocopherol an efficient antioxidant for low-density lipoprotein. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1995;62:1357S-64S.