Clinical Cancer Research

A First-Time-in-Human Study of GSK2636771, a Phosphoinositide 3 Kinase Beta-Selective Inhibitor, in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors



Joaquin Mateo[1], Gopinath Ganji[2], Charlotte Lemech[3], Howard A. Burris[4], Sae-Won Han[5], Karen Swales[1], Shaun Decordova[1], M. Phillip DeYoung[2], Deborah A. Smith[6], Shanker Kalyana-Sundaram[2], Jiuhua Wu[7], Monica Motwani[8], Rakesh Kumar[9], Jerry M. Tolson[2], Sun Young Rha[10], Hyun Cheol Chung[10], Joseph P. Eder[11], Sunil Sharma[12], Yung-Jue Bang[5], Jeffrey R. Infante[4], Li Yan[2], Johann S. de Bono[1], and Hendrik-Tobias Arkenau[3]

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Abstract

Background: The PI3K/protein kinase B (AKT) pathway is commonly activated in several tumor types. Selective targeting of p110β could result in successful pathway inhibition while avoiding the on- and off-target effects of pan-PI3K inhibitors. GSK2636771 is a potent, orally bioavailable, adenosine triphosphate-competitive, selective inhibitor of PI3Kβ.

Methods: We evaluated the safety, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and antitumor activity of GSK2636771 to define the recommended phase II dose (RP2D). During the dose-selection and dose-escalation stages (parts 1 and 2), patients with *PTEN*-deficient advanced solid tumors received escalating doses of GSK2636771 (25–500 mg once daily) using a modified 3+3 design to determine the RP2D; tumor type-specific expansion cohorts (part 3) were implemented to further assess tumor responses at the RP2D.

Results: A total of 65 patients were enrolled; dose-limiting toxicities were hypophosphatemia and hypocalcemia. Adverse events included diarrhea (48%), nausea (40%), and vomiting (31%). Single- and repeat-dose exposure increased generally dose proportionally. GSK2636771 400 mg once daily was the RP2D. Phospho/total AKT ratio decreased with GSK2636771 in tumor and surrogate tissue. A castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) patient harboring *PIK3CB* amplification had a partial response for over a year; an additional 10 patients derived durable (≥24 weeks) clinical benefit, including two other patients with CRPC with *PIK3CB* alterations (≥34 weeks). GSK2636771 400 mg once daily orally induced sufficient exposure and target inhibition with a manageable safety profile.

Conclusions: Genomic aberrations of *PIK3CB* may be associated with clinical benefit from GSK2636771. *Clin Cancer Res; 23(19); 5981–92. ©2017 AACR.*

[1]Drug Development Unit, The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom. [2]GSK, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, USA. [3]Sarah Cannon Research Institute UK, University College London Cancer Centre, London, United Kingdom. [4]Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, Tennessee, USA. [5]Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine Seoul, South Korea. [6]PAREXEL International, 2560 Meridian Parkway, Durham, North Carolina, USA. [7]Biostat Consulting, Inc., Portage, Michigan, USA. [8]AbbVie Ltd., Translational Oncology & Precision Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA. [9]MedImmune, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA. [10]Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. [11]Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, Connecticut, USA. [12]University of Utah Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Clinical Cancer Research Online (http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

Prior presentation: The results were presented in part at the ASCO 2014 Annual Meeting; AACR 2015 Annual Meeting.

Corresponding Authors: Johann S. de Bono, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SM2 5NG, UK. Phone: 44-20-8722-4028; Fax: 44-20-8642-7979; E-mail: johann.de-bono@icr.ac.uk; and Li Yan, GSK Oncology, GSK, 1250 S Collegeville Road, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, USA. Phone: 610-917-5388; Fax: 610-917-4100; E-mail: li.1.yan@gsk.com

doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0725

©2017 American Association for Cancer Research.

Introduction

Activation of PI3K/protein kinase B (AKT)/mTOR signaling (1), most commonly by activating mutations of *PI3K/AKT* family members or loss of PTEN phosphatase function, contributes to carcinogenesis of many malignancies (2–5). Inhibition of PI3K signaling has been challenging therapeutically, and inhibitors of PI3K have so far had limited clinical success (6, 7). Reasons for this include biological feedback loops permitting the tumor to reactivate the pathway (8, 9), activation of alternative pathways (10), and the nonspecificity of pan-PI3K inhibitors, resulting in a plethora of PI3K-related and off-target toxicities that limit administration of clinically active doses for long enough (11). PI3K is composed of a heterodimer between a p110 catalytic subunit and a p85 regulatory subunit. The four described isoforms of the catalytic subunit are p110α, p110β, p110γ, and p110δ, encoded by genes *PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG,* and *PIK3CD*, respectively (12). PI3K-isoform-selective inhibitors have been developed in attempts to reduce off-target toxicities seen with pan-PI3K inhibitors (13).

Loss of PTEN function is common in a number of cancers, including glioblastoma, prostate, endometrial, melanoma, and

Translational Relevance

The PI3K/AKT pathway is commonly activated in several tumor types. Selective targeting of p110β could result in successful pathway inhibition while avoiding the on- and off-target effects of pan-PI3K inhibitors. We present preclinical studies conducted to characterize the effect and define the optimal target population for development of GSK2636771, a selective p110β inhibitor, and results from a first-time-in-human clinical trial study indicating that GSK2636771 can be active in PTEN-deficient and/or *PIK3CB*-aberrant advanced solid tumors. Further phase II clinical studies of GSK2636771 in combination with other agents, including androgen receptor antagonists, are currently underway based on these data.

breast cancers. Preclinical studies have indicated that the PI3Kβ isoform (containing the p110β catalytic subunit) is the critical lipid kinase that drives primarily PI3K pathway activation, cell growth, and survival in PTEN-deficient tumor cells (14–17).

We hypothesize that highly selective PI3Kβ inhibition would have utility in PTEN-deficient cancers, while avoiding toxicities associated with inhibition of other PI3K isoforms (18), in particular skin rash, hyperglycemia and diarrhea, or other off-target effects. This strategy would be expected to maximize therapeutic efficacy by enabling administration of appropriate doses and rational drug combinations with other agents, such as androgen receptor antagonists in PTEN-deficient prostate cancer (19–21), or erbB2 inhibitors and hormonal treatments in breast cancer (22–25).

GSK2636771 (Fig. 1A) is a potent, orally bioavailable, adenosine triphosphate-competitive, selective inhibitor of PI3Kβ with an apparent K_i value of 0.89 nmol/L ($IC_{50} = 5.2$ nmol/L), >900-fold selectivity over p110α and p110γ, and >10-fold selectivity over p110δ isoforms, while sparing other PI3K superfamily kinases (Fig. 1B). Although pan-PI3K inhibitors have been tested in clinical trials, to our knowledge, this is the first study of a truly selective inhibitor of PI3Kβ, which confers the advantage of avoiding on- and off-target toxicities associated with pan-PI3K inhibitors.

Here we present preclinical data characterizing the selectivity of GSK2636771 in cell line and murine xenograft models, together with the results of a dose-finding, first-time-in-human study of GSK2636771 monotherapy in patients with PTEN-deficient or *PIK3CB* genomically altered advanced solid tumors. The aim of this first-time-in-human study was to further characterize the tolerability, safety and pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic (PK–PD) profile of GSK2636771, while also assessing its antitumor activity. We also pursued genomics analyses to identify any alterations as putative predictive biomarkers of antitumor response to determine the optimal target population.

Materials and Methods

Preclinical studies

Cell lines and reagents. Cell lines were obtained from ATCC, cultured in the appropriate medium supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma–Aldrich) at 37 °C in humidified incubators under 5% carbon dioxide, and passaged no greater than 20 times. The cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling and tested for *Mycoplasma* upon receipt using the ATCC Universal Mycoplasma Detection Kit. GSK2636771 was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at a stock concentration of 20 mmol/L.

Selectivity of GSK2636771 for PI3Kβ. Biochemical selectivity of GSK2636771 was tested using the PI3-Kinase HTRF Assay (EMD Millipore), as well as the entire panel of GSK in-house kinase selectivity assays. Affinity-enrichment-based chemoproteomics using kinobeads was performed as described previously (26). Briefly, 14 lipid and atypical kinases were enriched from a standard mixture of extracts derived from HeLa, K562, and Jurkat cells using a compound-derivatized bead matrix. The enriched proteins were identified by quantitative mass spectrometry analysis, enabling the simultaneous assessment of binding specificity, and potency for all detected affinity-captured proteins.

Soft agar cell-viability assay. Cells were cultured in 96-well plates (5×10^3 cells/well) and treated with GSK2636771 (dose range, 30.7 μmol/L–1.6 nmol/L) for 6 days in soft agar media (bottom layer: 0.6% final concentration; top layer: 0.3% final concentration). Cell proliferation was measured using the alamarBlue Cell Viability Assay (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. One cell plate was developed with alamarBlue reagent at the time of compound addition (T0 plate). Results were then expressed as a percentage of the T0 value (normalized to 100%) and plotted against the compound concentration after 6 days of treatment. The cellular response was determined by fitting the concentration response data using a four-parameter curve fit equation and determining the concentration that inhibited 50% of the $Y_{max} - Y_{min}$ window (EC_{50}).

Western blot analysis. HCC1954 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells were treated with increasing concentrations of GSK2636771 for 24 hours. PC3 prostate cancer cells were treated with 1 or 10 μmol/L GSK2636771 for up to 48 hours. Cells were lysed with 1× cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Subsequently, 30 to 40 μg of protein was run on 4% to 12% Bis-Tris gels (Thermo Fisher), and protein was transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Thermo Fisher). Membranes were blocked for 1 hour using Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR Biosciences), before immunoblotting using the following antibodies (all from Cell Signaling Technology): pAKT Ser473 (#4060), pAKT Thr308 (#13038), total AKT (#9272), pERK (#9101), total ERK (#4695), pS6 (#2211), total S6 (#2317), PTEN (#9188), and p100β (#3011). Western blots were processed using Odyssey CLx Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

In vivo studies. Female nude mice (Charles River Laboratories) were injected with 2.0×10^6 PC3 cells to establish subcutaneous PC3 tumor xenografts. Once tumors reached ~200 to 250 mm^3, mice were randomized ($n = 8$/group) and treated with vehicle or GSK2636771 at 1, 3, 10, or 30 mg/kg by oral gavage for 21 days. Tumor volume measurements and body weights were collected twice weekly. For PK/PD studies, mice bearing PC3 tumor xenografts ($n = 3$/group) were dosed once orally with either vehicle or GSK2636771 at 3 and 10 mg/kg for 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 24 hours. Blood was collected and mixed 1:1 with water, and tumors were excised into two halves with one half flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for compound concentration determination by the GSK Drug Metabolism and PK (DMPK) group. The other half of

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025



PI3K family	Human enzyme	Enzyme assay Mean IC_{50} (nmol/L) ± SD	Kinobeads extract Mean kD (nmol/L)
Class I	PI3Kβ	5.2 ± 2.9	1.2
	PI3Kα	>5,800	>30,000
	PI3Kδ	58 ± 32	234.4
	PI3Kγ	>12,600	6760.8
Class II	PIK3C2B	>20,000	>30,000
Class III	VPS34	6,310	8511.4
Class IV	DNA–PK	>50,000	>30,000
	mTOR	>50,000	>30,000

Figure 1.

GSK2636771 is a potent, selective inhibitor of PI3Kβ that exhibits antitumor activity in PTEN-deficient cancers. **A,** Chemical structure of GSK2636771. **B,** The selectivity of GSK2636771 for PI3Kβ against other PI3K isoforms and PI3K family members was tested in a biochemical activity assay (left column) and using a cancer cell lysate-based chemoproteomics approach to measure binding affinity (right column). **C,** Anchorage independent tumor cell growth was measured after 6 days of GSK2636771 treatment (dose range, 0.16–3.07 µmol/L) comparing PTEN WT with PTEN-deficient cells. Error bars correspond to SD. **D,** HCC1954 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells were treated with increasing concentrations of GSK2636771 for 24 hours, and lysates were probed by Western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies. **E,** PC3 prostate cancer cells were treated with GSK2636771 1 or 10 µmol/L for up to 48 hours and probed with the indicated antibodies. **F,** Mice bearing subcutaneous PC3 tumor xenografts ($n = 8$/group) were treated with vehicle or GSK2636771 (1, 3, or 10 mg/kg) once daily by oral gavage for 21 days, and tumor volumes were assessed. Error bars correspond to standard error of the mean. **G,** PK/PD relationship of GSK2636771 was tested in mice bearing PC3 tumor xenografts ($n = 3$/group) dosed once orally with either vehicle, 3 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg of GSK2636771. Tumors and blood samples were harvested at the indicated time points to measure plasma compound concentration and the ratio of pAKT to total AKT in tumors using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. The numbers above the bars indicate percent inhibition of pAKT relative to vehicle-treated tumors. Error bars correspond to SD. PD, pharmacodynamics; PK, pharmacokinetic.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

excised tumors was immediately processed using a sterile Medicon (BD Biosciences) in 1 mL Meso-Scale Discovery (MSD) lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Phospho and total AKT protein levels were measured using the MSD Phospho (Ser473)/Total AKT Whole Cell Lysate ELISA Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. To measure glucose and insulin response, female nude mice ($n = 3$/group) were dosed orally for 3 days with vehicle, 100 mg/kg GSK2636771, or 3 mg/kg GSK2126458 (a pan PI3K/mTOR inhibitor), then starved for 20 hours before receiving a final dose of compound followed by blood collection after 0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 hours. Compound concentrations were determined by the GSK DMPK group, glucose was measured using an ACCU-CHEK Compact Plus glucose meter (Roche), and insulin was measured from plasma using an ALPCO Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the GSK Policy on the Care, Welfare and Treatment of Laboratory Animals and were reviewed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at GSK.

First-time-in-human study

Study design. The study followed a multistage design to maximize the number of patients receiving potentially active doses and prioritize acquisition of tumor tissue biopsies for PD analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). Part 1 was a dose selection stage, to assess the PK of GSK2636771 following single-dose administration and determine the optimal starting dose for part 2. The primary objective of part 1 was to establish a GSK2636771 dose that provided a median area under the concentration–time curve 0 to 24 hours ($AUC_{[0-24]}$) at steady state of 10–50 μg·h/mL. Part 2 was a dose-escalation stage utilizing a modified 3+3 design and allowing enrollment of additional patients for PD analysis of tumor biopsies. The primary objectives of part 2 were to determine a recommended phase II dose (RP2D), further characterize the PK and PD of GSK2636771 after repeated daily dosing, and confirm the inhibition of PI3Kβ activity by GSK2636771 in tumor biopsies. Part 3 was an expansion cohort stage including patients with PTEN-deficient tumors and/or genomic *PIK3CB* genomic aberrations, to determine tumor responses to the RP2D of GSK2636771.

Clinical trial oversight. The study was designed by GSK representatives and study investigators. The research ethics committee at each participating site approved the study protocol. Data were collated and analyzed by GSK.

Trial population. Patients with advanced solid tumors progressing on standard therapy were enrolled after providing written consent and based on eligibility criteria. These included: age $\geq$18 years; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0 to 1; adequate organ function including renal function (based on blood creatinine and urine protein/creatinine ratio); and normal left-ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). Patients receiving medication impacting platelet aggregation or with a baseline platelet-function defect were excluded. Full eligibility criteria can be found in the Supplementary Appendix.

For parts 1 and 2, the target population were patients with PTEN-deficient tumors (determined by IHC) and one of the following primary tumor types: endometrial, ovarian, triple-negative breast cancer, castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), non–small cell lung cancer, glioblastoma, gastric adenocarcinoma, colorectal, head and neck squamous carcinoma, and melanoma. In part 3, the expansion cohorts included patients with PTEN-deficient CRPC, colorectal cancer, and/or genomic abnormalities (copy-number gain or mutations) in *PIK3CB*. For eligibility purposes, PTEN assessments during the dose-escalation stage of the trial were performed at either the local laboratory of the investigator sites or at a central laboratory (Ventana Medical Systems). In the expansion phase of the study, all samples were tested at the central laboratory (Ventana Medical Systems) prior to enrollment and loss of PTEN function was defined as an *H*-score $\leq$30, with a maximum of 30% of cells at a 1+ staining intensity. A rabbit monoclonal anti-PTEN antibody (clone D4.3, catalog no. 9188, Cell Signaling Technologies) was used for PTEN IHC staining.

Treatment, starting dose, and dose-escalation. Treatment was administered orally as white gelatin capsules containing 10, 25, or 100 mg of GSK2636771. Based on nonclinical toxicology studies predicting an $AUC_{[0-24]}$ in human subjects of 13 μg·h/mL and a maximum observed plasma concentration (C_{max}) of 0.85 μg/mL at steady state, the starting dose in part 1 was 25 mg. This was <1/20 of the dose estimated with FDA recommendations for starting doses based on the highest nonseverely toxic dose (HNSTD) of 100 mg/kg/day, which was also the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) in canine studies. Part 2 followed a modified 3+3 design (Supplementary Table S1), starting at the selected dose from part 1. Dose-limiting toxicities (DLT) were defined as any grade 3/4 nonhematological drug-related toxicity (apart from grade 3 rash, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, or mucositis that responds to treatment within 48 hours) occurring during the first 4 weeks of drug administration. In addition, grade 4 neutropenia lasting >5 days, grade 4 anemia, grade 4 thrombocytopenia (or grade 3 with bleeding), an eight-fold increase in transaminases (over the upper limit of normal), a >20% decrease in LVEF, or any toxicity leading to >25% of the planned dose being missed, were also considered DLTs. Dose escalation was pursued until the maximum tolerated dose was established, defined as the maximum dose level before DLTs were observed in $\geq$33% of patients.

Study evaluations. Adverse events (AEs) were recorded throughout the study, and graded based on Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v4.0, including monitoring of changes in renal function via blood and urine tests and other vital signs assessments. Cardiac evaluations (echocardiograms/multigated acquisition scans) were performed at baseline and bimonthly during treatment. Response to therapy was assessed every 8 weeks by computed tomography/magnetic resonance imagery (and whole-body bone scintigraphy for patients with CRPC) (27). Tumor markers were analyzed every 8 weeks if appropriate, according to tumor type.

Blood samples for PK analysis were collected $\leq$1 hour pre-dose and 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 24, 48, and 72 hours after single dose administration (parts 1 and 2) and then $\leq$1 hour pre-dose on days 8 and 15 and $\leq$1 hour pre-dose and 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 24 hours after administration on day 22 during the first cycle of continuous treatment (part 2). Blood samples at $\leq$1 hour pre-dose, 1 to 2 hours, 3 to 4 hours, 6 to 8 hours, and 22 to 26 hours post-dose on day 22 were collected in part 3.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Analyses of markers of target modulation (pSer473 AKT, pSer9 GSK3β, and pThr421/Ser424 P70S6K) were undertaken on platelet-rich plasma (PRP) from patients during the dose-escalation stage using MSDelectrochemiluminescent immunoassays validated to Good Clinical Practice standards. Changes in pSer473 AKT, pThr246 PRAS40, pSer235/236 S6RP, and pThr308 AKT were measured in tumor biopsies using IHC (*H*-scores) at pretreatment and days 8 to 15 (2–4 hours post-dose).

Next-generation sequencing and copy-number analyses. Retrospective targeted next-generation sequencing of archival or fresh tumor samples was performed if tissue was available. DNA was extracted using the GeneRead formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded DNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen; Catalog No. 180134) and libraries prepared utilizing a customized sequencing panel (Qiagen GeneRead v2; Supplementary Table S2) including *PI3K/AKT* pathway genes, and sequencing was carried out on an Illumina Sequencer. Copy-number variation was determined using Nanostring or quantitative polymerase chain reaction platforms. Background corrected, normalized values relative to a normal (diploid) control for one to three probes were used for each gene.

Functional characterization of the *PIK3CB* p.L1049R mutation *in vitro*

BacMam vectors (pHTBV1.1) containing human wild-type (WT) p110β or mutant p110β (L1049R) were obtained from the GSK plasmid repository. Viral particles were generated and added into six-well plates at a range of 0 to 500 multiplicity of infection. PC3 cells were then plated in the wells (1.0×10^6 cells/well) and allowed to incubate overnight. The media containing viral particles was removed and replaced with media lacking serum for 10 hours. PC3 cells were then lysed for Western blot analysis.

Statistical considerations

The number of subjects in parts 1 and 2 was dependent on the number of subjects enrolled to select a starting dose, characterize individual cohorts, and explore the PD profile. Planned enrolment for part 3 included a minimum of 12 and maximum of 20 subjects in each Tumor-Specific Expansion Cohort.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize safety data in all patients who received at least one dose of GSK2636771. All patients who underwent sampling were included in the PK analyses, which used descriptive statistics to summarize $AUC_{(0-t)}$, $AUC_{(0-24)}$, C_{max}, time to reach C_{max} (T_{max}), calculated using standard noncompartmental methods. In addition, $AUC_{(0-\infty)}$ and half-life were assessed after the single run-in dose. Tumor response rate was evaluated according to Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 criteria (28). The data were analyzed with Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) v9.2.

Results

Preclinical studies

To determine the effects of selectively inhibiting PI3Kβ activity on tumor cell growth and pathway signaling, a series of experiments was performed with GSK2636771 to compare its effects in PTEN-deficient and PTEN WT tumor cells. GSK2636771 primarily inhibited the growth of PTEN-deficient cancer cells in a cell line panel spanning multiple tumor types (Fig. 1C). Inhibition of AKT and ribosomal S6 kinase phosphorylation was observed in a concentration- and time-dependent manner mainly in PTEN-deficient cells (Fig. 1D and E and Supplementary Fig. S2). GSK2636771 had no effect on MAPK signaling, as evidenced by measurement of ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 1D). When administered orally in mice bearing PC-3 prostate tumor xenografts, GSK2636771 resulted in tumor growth inhibition, and a dose- and time-dependent PK–PD response was observed (Fig. 1F and G). Importantly, GSK2636771 did not elevate glucose or insulin levels in mice compared with the pan PI3K/mTOR inhibitor, GSK2126458 (Supplementary Fig. S3).

First-time-in-human clinical study

Patients and administered treatments. Overall, 65 patients were enrolled and received at least one dose of study medication. Data from three patients in part 1 (dose selection), 50 in part 2 (dose-escalation and additional PD exploratory cohorts), and 10 as part of the expansion cohorts in part 3 made up a cohort of 63 patients. Data from the last two patients with known *PIK3CB* genomic alterations made up the overall trial population of 65, but were analyzed separately for some parameters and were not included in the PK–PD analyses. Baseline patient demographics and characteristics for the entire population are summarized in Table 1. Briefly, the median age of the study population was 62 years (range, 30–79), 26 (40%) patients were female, and the most common tumor types were colorectal ($n = 23$, 35%) and prostate cancers ($n = 12$, 18%). All patients had received at least one previous anticancer treatment; 37 (57%) had received >4 anticancer treatments. In total, seven dose levels (25–500 mg once daily) were investigated. Median time on treatment was 55 days (range, 5–478).

Table 1. Baseline patient demographics and clinical characteristics

	Total ($N = 65$)
Age, years	
Mean (SD)	59.7 (11.13)
Median (range)	62.0 (30–79)
Female, *n* (%)	26 (40)
Race, *n* (%)	
African American/African	2 (3)
Asian (Central/Southern)	1 (2)
Asian (Eastern)	13 (20)
Caucasian	48 (74)
Unknown	1 (2)
Primary tumor type, *n* (%)	
Colon/rectum	23 (35)
Prostate	12 (18)
Gastric/GE junction	7 (11)
Breast (triple negative)	6 (9)
Ovary/fallopian tube	5 (8)
Endometrium/uterus	3 (5)
NSCLC	3 (5)
CNS	2 (3)
Head and neck	2 (3)
Melanoma	1 (2)
Cervix	1 (2)
ECOG status, *n* (%)	
0	37 (57)
1	28 (43)
Prior lines of therapy, *n* (%)	
1	7 (11)
2	9 (14)
3	4 (6)
4	8 (12)
>4	37 (57)

CNS, central nervous system; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; GE, gastroesophageal junction; NSCLC, non–small cell lung cancer.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Table 2. Summary of AEs and treatment-related AEs occurring in ≥10% of all patients, by grade

	Any AE Total ($N = 65$)		Treatment-related AE Total ($N = 65$)	
Preferred term, *n* (%)	**Any grade**	**Grade ≥3**	**Any grade**	**Grade ≥3**
Any AE	65 (100)	33 (51)	60 (92)	15 (23)
Hypophosphatemia	8 (12)	6 (9)	6 (9)	5 (7)
Rash	10 (15)	3 (5)	5 (8)	3 (5)
Fatigue	17 (26)	3 (5)	14 (22)	2 (3)
Hypocalcemia	9 (14)	2 (3)	6 (9)	2 (3)
Diarrhea	31 (48)	1 (2)	27 (42)	1 (2)
Nausea	27 (41)	2 (3)	18 (28)	1 (2)
Vomiting	20 (31)	2 (3)	12 (18)	1 (2)
Decreased appetite	15 (23)	1 (2)	9 (14)	1 (2)
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	8 (12)	2 (3)	5 (8)	1 (2)
Hypertension	6 (9)	2 (3)	3 (5)	1 (2)
Rash maculopapular	3 (5)	1 (2)	3 (5)	1 (2)
Rash pruritic	3 (5)	1 (2)	3 (5)	1 (2)
Hypoalbuminemia	4 (6)	2 (3)	2 (3)	1 (2)
Increased NT-proBNP	3 (5)	1 (2)	2 (3)	1 (2)
Proteinuria	4 (6)	1 (2)	2 (3)	1 (2)
Dehydration	3 (5)	3 (5)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Nephropathy	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Urinary retention	2 (3)	1 (2)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Dysgeusia	4 (6)	0 (0)	4 (6)	0 (0)
Headache	13 (20)	1 (2)	4 (6)	0 (0)
Increased blood creatinine	9 (14)	0 (0)	4 (6)	0 (0)

NT-proBNP, N-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide.

Selection of the starting dose for dose-escalation stage. Three patients were enrolled in part 1 of the study and received a single dose of 25 mg of GSK2636771. The geometric mean $AUC_{[0-24]}$ was 15.7 μg · h/mL, which was within the prespecified target range of 10 to 50 μg · h/mL. Consequently, 25 mg once daily was selected as the initial dose for the dose-escalation stage.

Safety, tolerability, and DLTs. No DLTs were observed in any patient receiving 25 to 350 mg once daily of GSK2636771. Dose-escalation then continued to 500 mg once daily, where three of four treated patients experienced a DLT [hypocalcemia (grades 2 and 3) and hypophosphatemia (grade 3)], during the first to third week of continuous treatment. One patient also experienced a grade 1 creatinine elevation. These toxicities, indicative of potential renal tubular damage, resolved after GSK2636771 discontinuation (except for one with normalized phosphate levels but persisting grade 1 hypocalcemia); two of the three patients were able to continue GSK2636771 treatment at a lower dose. An intermediate lower dose of 400 mg once daily was explored ($n = 6$), and no DLTs were observed. As such, 400 mg once daily was selected as the RP2D.

All 65 patients experienced at least one AE during the study; 60 patients experienced AEs that were considered to be related to the study drug (Table 2). The most common AEs across all dose levels (any; treatment-related) were gastrointestinal [diarrhea ($n = 31$, 48%; $n = 27$, 42%), nausea ($n = 27$, 41%; $n = 18$, 28%), vomiting ($n = 20$, 31%; $n = 12$, 18%)], and fatigue [$n = 17$ (26%), $n = 14$, 22%; Table 2]. AEs by dose level are shown in Supplementary Table S3. Overall, 10 (15%) patients had treatment permanently discontinued due to an AE. Reasons for discontinuation were fatigue ($n = 1$ in the 25 mg dose escalation cohort); fatigue/nausea ($n = 1$) and hypophosphatemia/hypocalcemia ($n = 1$), both in the 500 mg dose escalation cohort; proteinuria ($n = 1$; 200 mg); pain ($n = 1$), increased N-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide/upper respiratory tract infection ($n = 1$) and small intestinal obstruction ($n = 1$), all with 350 mg of GSK2636771; and dyspnea ($n = 1$), fatigue/vomiting ($n = 1$), and urinary retention ($n = 1$), all in the 400 mg expansion cohort. Dose reductions were required during trial treatment by four of 18 (22%) patients treated at the RP2D of 400 mg once daily. Forty-one serious AEs (SAEs) occurred in 24 (37%) patients. Nine SAEs in five patients were considered related to the study drug (nausea, fatigue, hypocalcemia, and hypophosphatemia in one patient, increased creatinine and decreased appetite in one patient, and vomiting, urinary retention, and pruritic rash in one patient each). Apart from the grade 1 increased creatinine, related SAEs were grade 2 or 3. One patient experienced dyspnea, which had a fatal outcome, but the event was considered not related to study treatment. The primary cause of death for this patient was disease under study. Eight (12%) additional deaths occurred during the study, all of which were considered related to the underlying disease.

Hyperglycemia, which has been reported when targeting other nodes in the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway (29), was reported in 36 (55%) patients receiving GSK2636771 treatment and was predominantly mild in severity; only two (3%) incidences of hyperglycemia were reported as AEs (grade 1 in one patient and grade 2 in the other). No cases of drug-related grade ≥3 hyperglycemia were observed in this study. Cutaneous toxicity was uncommon: 10 cases (15%) of skin rash were documented across all dose levels (four of which were in the GSK2636771 400 mg once-daily group). In addition, three cases of pruritic rash (200 and 500 mg once-daily dose-escalation cohorts and 400 mg once-daily expansion cohort) and three cases of maculopapular rash (50 mg once-daily PD, 350 mg once-daily dose escalation, and 400 mg once-daily expansion cohorts) were reported. Other than the aforementioned cases of hypophosphatemia and hypocalcemia, evidence for renal tubular toxicity also included proteinuria, which was reported in four (6%) patients: one in each of the GSK2636771 50, 200, 350, and 500 mg once-daily dose groups.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

PK–PD. PK–PD data are reported for the $N = 63$ cohort. Following a single run-in dose of GSK2636771, drug exposure (C_{max}, AUC) increased dose proportionally up to 350 mg, with below-proportional increments above this dose. The median T_{max} was 4 hours (range, 1–10 hours). Blood concentrations declined in a monophasic manner with a geometric mean half-life between 13 and 23 hours. Single-dose PK data are shown in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5. Similar dose-proportional findings [for both $AUC_{(0-\tau)}$ and C_{max}] were observed after repeated daily oral dosing at day 22, with ratio of $AUC_{(0-\infty)}$ versus $AUC_{(0-\tau)}$, suggesting steady-state had been achieved (Table 3 and Supplementary Table S6). PK parameters for the PD cohorts and concentrations in patients ($n = 2$) in part 3 were similar to those observed in the dose-escalation cohorts.

GSK2636771 doses above 200 mg consistently resulted in blood concentrations greater than 0.6 μg/mL, the level predicted to robustly inhibit PI3Kβ from preclinical experiments. At the RP2D of 400 mg once daily, pre-dose concentrations remained above 3.04 μg/mL from Week 2 onward, T_{max} ranged between 1.02 and 5.8 hours post-dose, and $AUC_{(0-\tau)}$ had a geometric mean of 205 μg/mL.

Inhibition of PI3K signaling was observed in PRP with GSK2636771 doses of 100 to 500 mg once daily; the median percentage decrease from baseline at all post-dose time points was ≥61% for pSer473/Total AKT (Fig. 2A) and ≥60% for pSer9/Total GSK3β (Fig. 2B). At day 1, the inhibitory effects were shown to be greatest 1 to 2 hours post-dose with inhibition duration increasing from 10 to 24 hours at GSK2636771 doses ≥100 mg (data not shown).

In four of five (80%) patients who received the RP2D of 400 mg once daily, decreases in pSer473 AKT and its downstream target (pThr246 PRAS40) were observed in paired tumor biopsies (pre- and on-treatment; Fig. 2C and D). Decreases in pSer235/236 S6RP and pThr308 AKT were also observed in two of four (50%) and two of five (40%) of evaluable patients, respectively (Fig. 2C and D).

Antitumor activity. Of the total 65 enrolled patients, we observed one partial response (PR), 21 stable disease (SD); three noncomplete response (CR)/non-progressive disease (PD); 35 PD and five non-evaluable investigator-assessed best responses (based on RECIST 1.1 criteria). No CRs were reported. One patient with metastatic CRPC treated with GSK2636771 200 mg once daily during the dose-escalation phase experienced a confirmed PR, as well as a 78% fall in his prostate-specific antigen levels. The response was durable with progression after 68 weeks of treatment (Fig. 3A–C). An additional 10 patients treated with GSK2636771 remained on therapy and free of progression for at least 24 weeks, including two more patients with CRPC (34 and 57 weeks), five colorectal (25–33 weeks), one endometrial (33 weeks), one gastric (25 weeks), and one non–small cell lung cancer (33 weeks).

Association between antitumor activity and genomic biomarkers

Archival or fresh tumor biopsy samples from 55 patients participating in the study were retrieved. Of those, 48 (87%) passed quality control for next-generation sequencing. Overall, five patients had *PIK3CB* copy-number gains or a putatively activating mutation (Fig. 3D and Supplementary Tables S7–S9); upon including the two additional patients with known

Table 3. PK parameters following repeated daily oral dosing of GSK2636771 (PK population)

	Dose selection cohort	Dose escalation cohort						
	25 mg	25 mg	50 mg	100 mg	200 mg	350 mg	400 mg	500 mg
PK parameter, *n* (%)	***n* = 3**	***n* = 6**	***n* = 4**	***n* = 3**	***n* = 3**	***n* = 7**	***n* = 6**	***n* = 4**
C_{max}, ng/mL[a]	$n = 2$	$n = 5$	$n = 4$	$n = 3$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n = 6$	$n = 2$
	1,459 (13)	1,770 (65)	2,336 (43)	2,882 (85)	13,175 (6)	16,452 (9)	15,078 (55)	29,530 (71)
T_{max}, hour, median (range)	$n = 2$	$n = 5$	$n = 4$	$n = 3$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n = 6$	$n = 2$
	8.96 (8.03, 9.88)	4.05 (3.00, 6.07)	4.05 (4.03, 6.00)	3.25 (3.10, 8.02)	23.9 (3.22, 23.92)	3.60 (2.03, 8.85)	2.01 (1.02, 5.80)	6.33 (2.58, 10.08)
$AUC_{(0-\tau)}$, hour·ng/mL[a]	$n = 2$	$n = 4$	$n = 4$	$n = 2$	$n = 1$	$n = 4$	$n = 5$	$n = 2$
	26,181 (23)	28,951 (77)	33,052 (31)	30,866 (136)	189,479	282,665 (25)	205,014 (41)	485,325 (70)

$AUC_{(0-\tau)}$, area under the time concentration–time curve over the dosing interval; C_{max}, maximum observed plasma concentration; CVb, coefficient of biological variation; PK, pharmacokinetic; T_{max}, time to reach C_{max}.
[a]Data presented as geometric mean (CVb%).

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025



Figure 2.

GSK2636771 inhibits PI3K signaling at doses of 100 to 500 mg once daily. Median values of pAKT/total AKT ratio (**A**) and pGSK3β/total GSK3β (**B**) were measured in platelet rich plasma on day 1, cycle 1, using Meso Scale Discovery electrochemiluminescent assay ($n = 3$ replicates per sample). **C,** Changes in pSer473, pThr246 PRAS40, pSer235/236, and pThr308 were measured in tumor biopsies using IHC (*H*-scores) at pretreatment and days 8 to 15 (2–4 hours post-dose; $n = 1$). **D,** Representative photomicrographs (20× magnification) of IHC staining showing tumor PD effects of p-AKT (Ser473, Thr308), p-PRAS40 (Thr246), and pSer6RP (Ser235/236) for two patients treated with GSK2636771 400 mg once daily (melanoma and TNBC). Biopsies were collected 2 to 4 hours post-dose between day 8 and 15. *, $P < 0.05$ Paired *t*-test. PD, pharmacodynamics; TNBC, triple negative brain cancer.

PIK3CB aberrations at enrollment, three of seven (43%) patients with *PIK3CB* genomic aberrations were on trial for ≥6 months. All three of these patients had CRPC, and remained on GSK2636771 treatment for 34, 57, and 68 weeks, respectively (the latter being the single patient with a *PIK3CB* copy-number gain, exhibiting an investigator-assessed radiological PR). A patient with cervical cancer harboring a known *PIK3CB* copy-number gain received 22 weeks of GSK2636771 treatment, and showed a differential radiological response in lymph nodes (best overall response: SD).

Finally, we analyzed the frequency of genomic events in other tumor suppressor and cancer promoting genes in the trial population. *PIK3CA* activating mutations were identified in 11 patients, with one additional patient showing a *PIK3CA* copy gain; nine of these tumors harbored mutations in the RAS/RAF pathway, three in ataxia telangiectasia mutated (*ATM*), a key element of DNA damage response, and one in *BRAF* (Fig. 3D). Interestingly, all but one of these were mutually exclusive with *PIK3CB* aberrations in this population and did not correlate with antitumor responses.

The p.L1049R mutation identified in a patient with CRPC, who achieved prolonged SD, is homologous to the very well-characterized *PIK3CA* hotspot mutation p.H1047R reported in cancer (Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B). To assess the functional relevance of the p.L1049R *PIK3CB* mutation, we transduced PC3 cells with pHTBBV1.1 (using baculovirus gene transfer into mammalian cells) expressing WT or the p.L1049R mutant at a range of multiplicity of infections. After 12 hours, substantially higher levels of pSer473 AKT were observed in cells with the p.L1049R mutation compared with WT cells (Supplementary Fig. S4C), suggesting an activating and potentially driving function for this mutation. Similar findings have now been reported for other mutations in the same region of *PIK3CB* (30, 31).

Discussion

We report here on a first-time-in-human trial of GSK2636771, an oral selective PI3Kβ inhibitor. DLTs were identified and guided the selection of the RP2D, which was also supported by PK/PD data. Renal tubular damage, presenting in the form of hypophosphatemia, hypocalcemia, and proteinuria, was dose dependent, reversible, and manageable. Hyperglycemia and

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Figure 3.

Summary of antitumor activity of GSK2636771 in the first-in-man trial, and association with putative predictive biomarkers. **A,** Treatment duration in the 65 patients showed that one patient with CRPC had a PR, 24 patients had SD or non-CR/non-PD, seven of whom received GSK2636771 treatment for ≥6 months. **B,** The PR was observed at week 24 in the patient with CRPC, and was accompanied by a 78% reduction in PSA levels (**C**), which was durable with progression after 68 weeks of treatment ($n = 1$). **D,** Summary of data for 55 patients whose tumor tissue was analyzed retrospectively for somatic mutations and copy-number alterations: one copy loss (light green), two copy loss (dark green), gain (light red), amplification (dark red), coding mutations (M), noncoding mutations (M*), no data (gray). CNV was determined based on Nanostring or qPCR platforms. CNS, central nervous system; CNV, copy-number variation; CRPC, castrate-resistant prostate cancer; GE, gastroesophageal junction; PD, pharmacodynamics; PR, partial response; qPCR, quantitative PCR.

rash, typically reported for pan PI3K inhibitors, were uncommon. Also, GSK2636771 did not elevate insulin levels in mice compared with a pan PI3K/mTOR inhibitor. Furthermore, no hemorrhagic events or coagulation alterations were observed, despite preclinical data indicating that PI3Kβ plays an important role in adenosine diphosphate-induced platelet aggregation (32).

Target inhibition was demonstrated at tolerated doses. Repeat-dose exposure appeared to increase in a generally dose-proportional manner. GSK2636771 doses >200 mg once daily consistently resulted in blood concentrations >0.6 μg/mL, the level predicted to robustly inhibit PI3Kβ from preclinical experiments. The observed inhibitory effect of GSK2636771 on pAKT (Ser473) and other biochemical markers (e.g., pGSK3β [Ser9]) in PRP confirmed an effective modulation of the PI3K pathway across doses. The RP2D of 400 mg once daily was selected based on safety data. Significant target inhibition observed in tumor biopsies at this dose supported its selection.

Several genomic landscape studies of different tumor types have identified that the *PI3K/AKT* pathway is altered in squamous cell lung (33), endometrial and head and neck cancers (34), and advanced prostate (35) and ovarian (36) cancers. However, in tumor types where activation of *PIK3CA* is more common, such as breast or colorectal cancer, genomic aberrations in *PIK3CB* are rare (<2%) (3, 37). We, therefore, pursued retrospective tumor-targeted next-generation sequencing to explore putative predictive biomarkers of antitumor activity.

Activating mutations in *PIK3CA* have been previously associated to responses to pathway inhibitors (38); mutations leading to activation of *PIK3CB* have been reported in different tumor types, but their clinical relevance remains to date unknown (31, 39). Of 48 samples analyzed in this study, interestingly, five (10%) had *PIK3CB* aberrations, namely four patients with copy-number gains and one with an activating mutation (p.L1049R). In addition, two patients harboring *PIK3CB* copy-number gains that were previously determined were also enrolled in the expansion phase. Among these seven patients, we observed one durable radiological PR (on treatment for 68 weeks) and prolonged SD (on treatment for 34 and 57 weeks) in the CRPC subset. This association, albeit preliminary, is of particular interest in advanced prostate cancer, where molecular stratification for therapy selection remains an unmet medical need. However, the patient population investigated here was very small and further studies are needed to fully determine the role of *PIK3CB* aberrations and indeed other biomarkers in the molecular stratification of patients when targeting this pathway. Importantly, several patients without *PIK3CB* aberrations benefited from therapy with prolonged SD. Therefore, *PIK3CB* aberrations do not fully explain the responses observed, highlighting the complexity of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, and the likely need for combination therapy to drive robust antitumor responses. The study was limited by the pre-selection of patients with PTEN-deficient tumors, which did not allow for assessment of the impact of genetic aberrations in non-PTEN–deficient tumors. Moreover, mostly archival rather than fresh tumor biopsies were analyzed which precluded the detection of aberrations that may emerge during tumor evolution (35, 40). Despite this, the preliminary results presented here are of interest and form the basis for further studies into the association of *PIK3CB* aberrations with clinical benefit. Further studies will also be needed to better characterize the mechanisms associated with tubular damage at high doses, although these were not a concern at the RP2D.

In conclusion, 400 mg once-daily continuous dosing was established as the RP2D for GSK2636771 based on DLTs. The safety profile of GSK2636771 400 mg once daily, together with proof-of-target modulation and the preliminary association of clinical benefit with *PIK3CB* genomic aberrations, support the continued evaluation of this compound in phase II clinical trials. The antitumor activity of GSK2636771 is being further studied as a single agent in molecularly defined populations within the NCI-MATCH clinical trial, in combination with the androgen receptor antagonist enzalutamide (Xtandi) in CRPC, in combination with paclitaxel in gastric cancer, and in combination with immunotherapy in melanoma.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

D.A. Smith is an employee of and holds ownership interest (including patents) in GlaxoSmithKline. M. Motwani is an employee of GlaxoSmithKline. J.R. Infante is a consultant/advisory board member for ARMO, Bio Med Valley, and Novartis. J.S. de Bono is a consultant/advisory board member for GlaxoSmithKline. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: G. Ganji, H.A. Burris, R. Kumar, Y.-J. Bang, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau
Development of methodology: C. Lemech, H.A. Burris, K. Swales, S. Decordova, J. Wu, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): J. Mateo, C. Lemech, H.A. Burris, S.-W. Han, K. Swales, S. Decordova, M. Phillip DeYoung, S. Kalyana-Sundaram, M. Motwani, J.M. Tolson, S.Y. Rha, H.C. Chung, J.P. Eder, S. Sharma, Y.-J. Bang, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): J. Mateo, C. Lemech, H.A. Burris, K. Swales, S. Decordova, M. Phillip DeYoung, D.A. Smith, S. Kalyana-Sundaram, J. Wu, M. Motwani, R. Kumar, J.M. Tolson, H.C. Chung, Y.-J. Bang, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: J. Mateo, G. Ganji, C. Lemech, H.A. Burris, S.-W. Han, K. Swales, S. Decordova, M. Phillip DeYoung, D.A. Smith, S. Kalyana-Sundaram, J. Wu, M. Motwani, R. Kumar, J.M. Tolson, S.Y. Rha, H.C. Chung, J.P. Eder, S. Sharma, Y.-J. Bang, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): C. Lemech, H.A. Burris, K. Swales, S. Decordova, M. Motwani, H.C. Chung, J.S. de Bono
Study supervision: C. Lemech, J.M. Tolson, H.C. Chung, S. Sharma, J.R. Infante, L. Yan, J.S. de Bono, H.-T. Arkenau

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by GSK. Their role included study concept and design, funding of participating centres, analysis of data, development, and funding of the final report and manuscript. The authors acknowledge the contributions of Wendy Halsey and Harjeet van der Keyl (genomics), Ralph Rivero and his team (chemistry), and Jen Ariazi, Chris Sherk, and Ramona Plant (preclinical biology). They would also like to acknowledge Min-kyu Jung, Hyu Song Kim, Roy Herbst, and Todd Bauer for site participation and patient recruitment. Medical writing and editorial assistance were provided by Paul O'Regan, PhD, Katy Tucker, PhD, CMPP, and Clare Slater, PhD, CMPP of Fishawack Indicia Ltd., UK, also funded by GSK.

Grant Support

We acknowledge support from Cancer Research UK to The Institute of Cancer Research and the Royal Marsden Cancer Centre (grant No. C51/A6883); from an Experimental Cancer Medicine Centres network grant; and from a National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre grant to The Royal

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

Marsden. J. Mateo was supported by a Prostate Cancer Foundation Young Investigator Award and a Medical Research Council/Prostate Cancer UK-Movember Foundation fellowship. K. Swales is supported by Cancer Research UK (grant No. C347/A18077). This study was funded by GSK.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received March 14, 2017; revised May 16, 2017; accepted June 19, 2017; published OnlineFirst June 23, 2017.

References

1. Liu P, Cheng H, Roberts TM, Zhao JJ. Targeting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009;8:627–44.
2. Koboldt DC, Fulton RS, McLellan MD, Schmidt H, Kalicki-Veizer J, McMichael JF, et al. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2012;490:61–70.
3. Muzny DM, Bainbridge MN, Chang K, Dinh HH, Drummond JA, Fowler G, et al. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature 2012;487:330–7.
4. Di Cristofano A, Pandolfi PP. The multiple roles of PTEN in tumor suppression. Cell 2000;100:387–90.
5. Samuels Y, Wang Z, Bardelli A, Silliman N, Ptak J, Szabo S, et al. High frequency of mutations of the PIK3CA gene in human cancers. Science 2004;304:554.
6. Courtney KD, Corcoran RB, Engelman JA. The PI3K pathway as drug target in human cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1075–83.
7. Rodon J, Dienstmann R, Serra V, Tabernero J. Development of PI3K inhibitors: lessons learned from early clinical trials. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2013;10:143–53.
8. Chandarlapaty S, Sawai A, Scaltriti M, Rodrik-Outmezguine V, Grbovic-Huezo O, Serra V, et al. AKT inhibition relieves feedback suppression of receptor tyrosine kinase expression and activity. Cancer Cell 2011; 19:58–71.
9. Stratikopoulos EE, Dendy M, Szabolcs M, Khaykin AJ, Lefebvre C, Zhou MM, et al. Kinase and BET inhibitors together clamp inhibition of PI3K signaling and overcome resistance to therapy. Cancer Cell 2015;27:837–51.
10. Ihle NT, Lemos R Jr, Wipf P, Yacoub A, Mitchell C, Siwak D, et al. Mutations in the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway predict for antitumor activity of the inhibitor PX-866 whereas oncogenic Ras is a dominant predictor for resistance. Cancer Res 2009;69:143–50.
11. Busaidy NL, Farooki A, Dowlati A, Perentesis JP, Dancey JE, Doyle LA, et al. Management of metabolic effects associated with anticancer agents targeting the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway. J Clin Oncol 2012;30: 2919–28.
12. Engelman JA, Luo J, Cantley LC. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. Nat Rev Genet 2006;7:606–19.
13. Brachmann SM, Kleylein-Sohn J, Gaulis S, Kauffmann A, Blommers MJ, Kazic-Legueux M, et al. Characterization of the mechanism of action of the pan class I PI3K inhibitor NVP-BKM120 across a broad range of concentrations. Mol Cancer Ther 2012;11:1747–57.
14. Jia S, Liu Z, Zhang S, Liu P, Zhang L, Lee SH, et al. Essential roles of PI(3)K-p110beta in cell growth, metabolism and tumorigenesis. Nature 2008; 454:776–9.
15. Ni J, Liu Q, Xie S, Carlson C, Von T, Vogel K, et al. Functional characterization of an isoform-selective inhibitor of PI3K-p110beta as a potential anticancer agent. Cancer Discov 2012;2:425–33.
16. Schwartz S, Wongvipat J, Trigwell CB, Hancox U, Carver BS, Rodrik-Outmezguine V, et al. Feedback suppression of PI3Kalpha signaling in PTEN-mutated tumors is relieved by selective inhibition of PI3Kbeta. Cancer Cell 2015;27:109–22.
17. Wee S, Wiederschain D, Maira SM, Loo A, Miller C, deBeaumont R, et al. PTEN-deficient cancers depend on PIK3CB. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:13057–62.
18. Foukas LC, Claret M, Pearce W, Okkenhaug K, Meek S, Peskett E, et al. Critical role for the p110alpha phosphoinositide-3-OH kinase in growth and metabolic regulation. Nature 2006;441:366–70.
19. Carver BS, Chapinski C, Wongvipat J, Hieronymus H, Chen Y, Chandarlapaty S, et al. Reciprocal feedback regulation of PI3K and androgen receptor signaling in PTEN-deficient prostate cancer. Cancer Cell 2011;19:575–86.
20. Ferraldeschi R, Nava Rodrigues D, Riisnaes R, Miranda S, Figueiredo I, Rescigno P, et al. PTEN protein loss and clinical outcome from castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with abiraterone acetate. Eur Urol 2015;67: 795–802.
21. Reid AH, Attard G, Danila DC, Oommen NB, Olmos D, Fong PC, et al. Significant and sustained antitumor activity in post-docetaxel, castration-resistant prostate cancer with the CYP17 inhibitor abiraterone acetate. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1489–95.
22. Bosch A, Li Z, Bergamaschi A, Ellis H, Toska E, Prat A, et al. PI3K inhibition results in enhanced estrogen receptor function and dependence in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. Sci Transl Med 2015; 7:283ra51.
23. Crowder RJ, Phommaly C, Tao Y, Hoog J, Luo J, Perou CM, et al. PIK3CA and PIK3CB inhibition produce synthetic lethality when combined with estrogen deprivation in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Cancer Res 2009;69:3955–62.
24. Serra V, Scaltriti M, Prudkin L, Eichhorn PJ, Ibrahim YH, Chandarlapaty S, et al. PI3K inhibition results in enhanced HER signaling and acquired ERK dependency in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer. Oncogene 2011;30: 2547–57.
25. Zhang Y, Moerkens M, Ramaiahgari S, de Bont H, Price L, Meerman J, et al. Elevated insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor signaling induces antiestrogen resistance through the MAPK/ERK and PI3K/Akt signaling routes. Breast Cancer Res 2011;13:R52.
26. Bantscheff M, Eberhard D, Abraham Y, Bastuck S, Boesche M, Hobson S, et al. Quantitative chemical proteomics reveals mechanisms of action of clinical ABL kinase inhibitors. Nat Biotechnol 2007;25: 1035–44.
27. Scher HI, Halabi S, Tannock I, Morris M, Sternberg CN, Carducci MA, et al. Design and end points of clinical trials for patients with progressive prostate cancer and castrate levels of testosterone: recommendations of the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26:1148–59.
28. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 2009;45:228–47.
29. Dienstmann R, Rodon J, Serra V, Tabernero J. Picking the point of inhibition: a comparative review of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors. Mol Cancer Ther 2014;13:1021–31.
30. Nakanishi Y, Walter K, Spoerke JM, O'Brien C, Huw LY, Hampton GM, et al. Activating mutations in PIK3CB confer resistance to PI3K inhibition and define a novel oncogenic role for p110beta. Cancer Res 2016; 76:1193–203.
31. Pazarentzos E, Giannikopoulos P, Hrustanovic G, St John J, Olivas VR, Gubens MA, et al. Oncogenic activation of the PI3-kinase p110beta isoform via the tumor-derived PIK3Cbeta(D1067V) kinase domain mutation. Oncogene 2016;35:1198–205.
32. Garcia A, Kim S, Bhavaraju K, Schoenwaelder SM, Kunapuli SP. Role of phosphoinositide 3-kinase beta in platelet aggregation and thromboxane A2 generation mediated by Gi signalling pathways. Biochem J 2010;429: 369–77.
33. Hammerman PS, Lawrence MS, Voet D, Jing R, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature 2012;489:519–25.
34. Lawrence MS, Sougnez C, Lichtenstein L, Cibulskis K, Lander E, Gabriel SB, et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature 2015;517:576–82.
35. Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu YM, Schultz N, Lonigro RJ, Mosquera JM, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. Cell 2015;161:1215–28.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025

36. Bell D, Berchuck A, Birrer M, Chien J, Cramer DW, Dao F, et al. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. Nature 2011;474:609–15.
37. Ciriello G, Gatza ML, Beck AH, Wilkerson MD, Rhie SK, Pastore A, et al. Comprehensive molecular portraits of invasive lobular breast cancer. Cell 2015;163:506–19.
38. Janku F, Wheler JJ, Naing A, Falchook GS, Hong DS, Stepanek VM, et al. PIK3CA mutation H1047R is associated with response to PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway inhibitors in early-phase clinical trials. Cancer Res 2013;73:276–84.
39. Dbouk HA, Khalil BD, Wu H, Shymanets A, Nurnberg B, Backer JM. Characterization of a tumor-associated activating mutation of the p110beta PI 3-kinase. PLoS One 2013;8:e63833.
40. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. The molecular taxonomy of primary prostate cancer. Cell 2015;163:1011–25.

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/clincancerres/article-pdf/23/19/5981/2041826/5981.pdf by guest on 14 February 2025