

Cetuximab/C225-Induced Intracellular Trafficking of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor

Hong-Jun Liao and Graham Carpenter

Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee

Abstract

The monoclonal antibody C225 interacts with the ectodomain of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor (EGFR) to block ligand binding and initiates receptor endocytosis and intracellular trafficking. The data herein show that C225-dependent EGFR trafficking relocalizes the receptor to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and nucleus. This mechanism, which also involves interaction of the C225-internalized receptor with the Sec61 translocon within the ER, is, in most respects, analogous to the pathway previously described for EGF-induced trafficking to the ER and nucleus. However, although inhibition of receptor tyrosine kinase activity blocks EGF-induced nuclear localization of the receptor, the same kinase inhibitors stimulate C225-dependent nuclear localization of EGFR in the nucleus. In contrast, the kinase inhibitor Lapatinib fails to stimulate nuclear accumulation of the receptor in C225-treated cells and does not provoke receptor dimerization as do inhibitors that recognize the open conformation of the receptor kinase. This suggests that inhibitor-dependent receptor dimerization may facilitate C225-induced receptor trafficking. [Cancer Res 2009;69(15):6179–83]

Introduction

Agents that prevent the activation of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor (EGFR) and ErbB-2 receptor tyrosine kinases are prominent in current clinical practice and trials. Among these is the C225 monoclonal antibody (Cetuximab, Erbitux) that blocks growth factor binding to EGFR (1, 2). Crystallographic analysis shows that the antibody binding site overlaps the ligand binding site (3). This reagent is approved for the treatment of colon and head and neck tumors and is in clinical trials for other cancers (4). In many tumor cell lines, C225 provokes growth arrest (5–11), whereas in a few, cell death is induced (12, 13). Whether these responses are mediated by the antibody's capacity to interact with the EGFR ligand binding site is unclear. The binding of C225 to the ectodomain of EGFR does not provoke a significant level of receptor tyrosine phosphorylation, but does bring about receptor internalization by an uncertain route (14, 15). The internalized receptor is not extensively processed to the lysosome, but rather is recycled to the cell surface (16). Whether the bound antibody is also recycled is not known. Also, it is not known whether antibody-induced trafficking of the receptor is related to the antibody's biological activity.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/>).

Requests for reprints: Graham Carpenter, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 21st Avenue South, Nashville, TN 37232-0146. Phone: 615-322-6678; Fax: 615-322-2931; E-mail: graham.carpenter@vanderbilt.edu.

©2009 American Association for Cancer Research.
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-0049

EGF provokes nuclear localization of full-length EGFR (17), and a novel intracellular trafficking pathway has been identified for this intracellular destination (18). This pathway involves sorting of the internalized cell surface receptor to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and its interaction with the Sec61 translocon, which facilitates bidirectional movement of proteins, including transmembrane proteins, between the cytoplasm and the ER. The Sec61 complex is able to retrotranslocate the mature EGFR from the ER to the cytosol, as a prerequisite for receptor translocation to the nucleus (18). This pathway is required for EGF to induce cyclin D and therefore constitutes a signal transduction pathway (17).

In this article, we present an evaluation of the capacity of C225 to induce intracellular translocation of EGFR to the ER, its interaction with the Sec61 trafficking pathway, and nuclear localization.

Materials and Methods

Materials. DMEM containing L-glutamine and high glucose, Ham's F-12 medium, and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Life Technologies, Inc. Human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-468 from American Type Culture Collection. Recombinant human EGF was obtained from R & D Systems, Inc. DiFi cells, C225, and 528 antibodies were the gifts from Dr. Robert Coffey, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. Mouse monoclonal antibody 455 was from Oncogene. Fab fragments of C225 were generously provided by Dr. Carlos Arteaga, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. EGFR kinase inhibitor AG 1478 was from Calbiochem. Lipofectamine 2000 reagent was from Invitrogen. Antibodies to EGFR and Sec61 β were from Upstate, Inc. Antibody to HDAC was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. The pDsRed2-ER construct (calreticulin ~ RFP) was from Clontech. The EGFR ~ mGFP construct was previously described (18). Lapatinib was a generous gift obtained from Drs. William Bronnann and Ashotosh Pal, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX.

Cell culture and treatment. MDA-MB-468 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS. DiFi cells were maintained in a mixture of DMEM and Ham's F-12 medium (1:1, v/v) with 10% FBS. Cultures were incubated in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Forty percent to 50% confluent cells were incubated for overnight in DMEM (for MDA-MB-468) or DMEM and F-12 (1:1 v/v) plus 0.5% FBS before treatment with EGF (4 nmol/L) or C225 (5 nmol/L) for the indicated times.

Preparation of nuclear extracts and SDS lysates. The nuclear fractionation protocol was described previously (17, 18). Briefly, cells in a 10-cm dish were rinsed twice with ice-cold PBS and removed with a rubber cell scraper in 1 mL Buffer A [10 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5), 10 mmol/L KCl, 2 mmol/L MgCl₂, protease inhibitor tablet with EDTA at 1 tablet/10 mL] containing 1% NP40. Cells were disrupted by 10 passes through a 21-gauge needle, and the extent of nuclear isolation was monitored microscopically. Nuclei were centrifuged (500 \times g, 5 min) and washed once with Buffer A. The resulting supernatant was designated as the nonnuclear fraction. The nuclear pellet was resuspended in 50 μ L Buffer A supplemented with 500 mmol/L NaCl and 25% glycerol, and kept on ice for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged (12,000 \times g, 5 min), and the supernatant (nuclear extracts) was aliquoted and frozen at 1°C to 80°C. The pellet (SDS lysate) was solubilized in 1 \times SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer.

Coprecipitation and Western blotting. Cells were lysed in cold Buffer A containing 1% NP40 and incubated for 30 min on ice. After centrifugation

(12,000 × g, 5 min), Sec61β antibody and Protein A beads were added to the supernatant and incubated overnight. The precipitate was then washed thrice with Buffer A. After SDS-PAGE and transfer to nitrocellulose membranes, the samples were probed with the indicated antibody. For Western blots, cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and probed with the indicated antibody. Bound antibody was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence.

Confocal microscopy. MDA-MB-468 cells were cotransfected with pEGFR~mGFP and pDsRed2-ER DNA (Clontech) using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer instruction. The cells were subcultured (1:1 split) 24 h after transfection and placed into normal culture medium for 24 h. Cells were serum starved overnight and incubated with or without C225 (5 nmol/L) for the indicated time. Cells were imaged with a Zeiss LSM510 confocal scanning microscope and a Plan-Neofluar 40 × 1.3 NA oil immersion lens was used for imaging all the samples with a 1 μm optical slice. GFP was excited with an argon laser with excitation at 488 nm and RFP was excited at a 543 nm. The emission was detected with filter sets (505–550 band pass for GFP and 560 long pass for RFP). Image analysis was performed using Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corp.). Line intensity scan was used to show colocalization of GFP and RFP.

Results

C225-induced nuclear localization of EGFR. To assess the capacity of C225 to provoke translocation of EGFR to the nucleus, the experiment described in Fig. 1 was performed. MDA-MB-468 cells were incubated with EGF or C225 for increasing periods of time. Cell fractionation was used to prepare a nuclear fraction and this was extracted with high-salt to release nonmembrane and nonchromatin bound molecules (17). EGF induced translocation of receptor to the salt-extracted nuclear fraction with the appearance of intact receptor together with 150 kDa and 130 kDa degradation products, which have previously been reported to represent the loss




Figure 1. EGF and C225-induced EGFR translocation to the nucleus. MDA-MB-468 cells were incubated with EGF or C225 for the indicated times. High-salt nuclear extracts were blotted with anti-EGFR and reblotted with the nuclear marker HDAC1, as a loading control. Arrows, 170 kDa mature EGFR and the 150, 130 kDa fragments. N.S., a nonspecific band. WB, Western blotting.




Figure 2. Capacity of different antibodies to induce EGFR translocation to the nucleus. MDA-MB-468 cells were incubated with each at the indicated antibodies (5 nmol/L) for 3 h. High-salt nuclear extracts were blotted with anti-EGFR and reblotted with the nuclear marker HDAC1, as a loading control. The 455 antibody is a mouse monoclonal antibody to a carbohydrate EGFR epitope. The 528 antibody is a mouse monoclonal antibody to the ecto-domain of the EGFR. Arrows, 170 kDa mature EGFR and the 150, 130 kDa fragments.

of ectodomain residues (18). Incubation with C225 induced a similar time course of receptor translocation to the salt-extracted nuclear fraction, but with a substantially decreased level of the lower molecular mass fragments and increased retention of the native 170-kDa receptor species. Upon longer exposure (Fig. 1, middle), it is clear that the similar receptor fragments are produced following treatment with C225, but at a markedly lower level compared with EGF. Exposure of MDA-MB-468 cells to C225 for 3 hours does not alter the total level of EGFR (Supplementary Fig. S1).

To determine whether the capacity of C225 to provoke nuclear localization of the EGFR was specific to that antibody, two other antibodies to the EGFR plus a Fab fragment of C225 were tested. The results are presented in Fig. 2 and indicate that antibodies C225 or 528, but not antibody 445 or the Fab C225, induce substantial levels of nuclear EGFR. Interestingly, both C225 and 528 block EGF binding to EGFR (2) and it is clear from structural data that C225 directly contacts the ligand binding site (3). Antibody 445, which does recognize the EGFR ectodomain, does not block ligand binding (2). The results with C225 Fab indicate that antibody bivalence is necessary for the C225 effect on EGFR trafficking.

C225-dependent EGFR trafficking to the ER. In cells treated with EGF, EGFR is slowly trafficked from the cell surface to the ER before nuclear localization (18). This trafficking pathway was examined in cells treated with C225. Cells were transfected to coexpress the ER marker calreticulin~RFP and EGFR~mGFP. The data in Fig. 3 show the individual signals produced by these two proteins plus the overlap of the signals. In the absence of C225, there is no overlap of the two markers. However, following addition of C225 for 3 hours, there is substantial overlap (brown yellow) of the calreticulin and EGFR signals, indicating ER localization of the receptor. These results are very similar to those published for EGF-induced trafficking of EGFR to the ER (18). In this experiment, the confocal plane was chosen to visualize the ER and the presence of nuclear EGFR is not obvious in this plane.

The data in Fig. 1 indicate, based on extractability with high salt, that nuclear EGFR is not membrane bound, similar to that reported for EGF-induced nuclear localization of the receptor (17, 18). Following EGF treatment, extraction of the transmembrane EGFR from the ER lipid bilayer is accomplished by retrotranslocation of the receptor through the Sec61 translocon into the cytosol as a soluble




Figure 3. C225-induced translocation of EGFR into ER. MDA-MD-468 cells were stably cotransfected with cDNAs encoding the ER protein calreticulin ~ RFP and EGFR ~ mGFP. The quiescent cells were then treated with C225 for 3 h. Live cell images were taken by confocal microscopy with a 1 μ m optical slice. Red, ER; green, the plasma membrane and intracellular markers containing EGFR ~ mGFP.

protein (18). In this process, EGFR association with the Sec61 β subunit can be detected in EGF-treated cells. The results shown in Fig. 4A show that when cells are treated with C225, a time-dependent association of EGFR and Sec61 β is similarly detected. There is detectable association at 30 min and a maximal level of association at 1 h after addition of the antibody. This experiment has also been accomplished in DiFi cells along with an additional control (Fig. 4B). Incubation of C225 with cells at 4 $^{\circ}$ C does not result in significant coassociation, indicating that postlysis association is unlikely and that active cell metabolism is required.

Influence of tyrosine kinase inhibition on C225-induced nuclear EGFR. Because EGFR tyrosine kinase activity is reported

to be necessary for receptor internalization (19) and nuclear localization (17, 18) following the addition of EGF, the influence of kinase inhibitors was tested for C225-dependent nuclear localization of EGFR. Surprisingly, the results shown in Fig. 5 show that AG1478 significantly potentiates C225-dependent EGFR nuclear localization in both MDA-MB-468 (A) and DiFi (B) cells. In the experiment with MDA-MB-468 cells, EGFR nuclear localization following the addition of EGF was also assessed. As previously reported (17, 18), the kinase inhibitor prevented growth factor-induced nuclear translocation of EGFR. Also, this experiment allows a direct comparison of the levels of nuclear EGFR at the same time point following exposure to EGF or C225. Clearly,




Figure 4. C225-induced association between Sec61 β and EGFR. A, MDA-MB-468 cells were incubated with C225 for the indicated times. The nonnuclear fraction was precleared with Protein A beads and then precipitated with Sec61 β antibody and Protein A beads. The precipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then blotted with anti-EGFR and Sec61 β antibody. B, DiFi cells were incubated with C225 antibody for the indicated times. The nonnuclear fraction was precleared by Protein A beads and then precipitated with anti-Sec61 β antibody and Protein A beads. The precipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and then blotted with anti-EGFR and anti-Sec61 β .




Figure 5. Influence of EGFR kinase inhibition on C225-induced EGFR translocation to the nucleus. MDA-MB-468 (A) and DiFi (B) cells were preincubated with or without AG1478 (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) for 30 min before incubation with EGF or C225 for 3 h. Aliquots of nuclear high-salt extracts were blotted with anti-EGFR. The same blots were reblotted with anti-HDAC1, a nuclear marker. Arrows, the 170 kDa full-length EGFR plus receptor fragments of 150 and 130 kDa.

C225 provokes a significantly greater level of nuclear receptor at the same period of incubation time. When this experiment was repeated using other EGFR kinase inhibitors (Tarceva, Iressa) the results were similar to those obtained with AG1478 (data not shown). Lapatinib, however, did not increase the C225-dependent EGFR presence in the nuclear fraction (Fig. 6A, lanes 3 and 4).

AG1478, Iressa, and Tarceva are thought to bind to an open conformation of the EGFR kinase (20, 21), whereas Lapatinib is reported to bind to a closed conformation of the kinase (22). Also, it has been shown that AG1478 and related inhibitors provoke dimerization of receptor EGFR in cells (23, 24). Therefore, we tested whether Lapatinib could also produce receptor dimerization. The data shown in Fig. 6B show that, although AG1478 (lane 9), C225 (lane 10), or EGF (lane 12) provoked receptor dimerization, Lapatinib does not increase EGFR dimerization. These data show that following treated with AG1478 plus C225 (lane 11) the level of receptor dimer was greater than that with C225 alone (lane 10). Under the same conditions, Iressa and Tarceva did provoke EGFR dimerization (data not shown).




Figure 6. Influence of Lapatinib on C225-induced EGFR translocation and dimerization to the nucleus. A, MDA-MB-468 cells were preincubated with or without Lapatinib (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) and AG1478 (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) for 30 min before incubation with C225 for 3 h. Top, aliquots of nuclear high-salt extracts were blotted with anti-EGFR. Bottom, reblotted with HDAC1, a nuclear marker. B, the cells were pretreated with or without Lapatinib (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) and AG1478 (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) for 10 min, then incubated with C225 or EGF for 30 min. The cells were lysed by TGH buffer, then incubated with or without cross-linker BS³ for 30 min at room temperature. Aliquots of lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and blotted with anti-EGFR.

Discussion

The manner in which EGF induces internalization and intracellular trafficking of the EGFR has been described in detail (19). The ligand induces rapid receptor internalization in a manner that requires receptor tyrosine kinase activity for entrance into clathrin-coated pits. When the receptor is overexpressed, there is evidence that kinase-independent slow internalization can occur, but the mechanism of internalization is unclear. In the case of C225 antibody-induced internalization, it is known that receptor kinase activity is not necessary and that the internalization process is slow compared with ligand-dependent internalization (16).

Once endocytosis has occurred, it is well established that ligand/receptor complexes are trafficked primarily to the lysosome, but also can be recycled to the cell surface (19). Recently, it has been reported that EGF also induces intracellular trafficking of a small fraction of the receptor to the ER (18). In the ER, the receptor interacts with the Sec61 translocon and is thereby exported from the ER to the cytosol and subsequently translocates into the nucleus. Nuclear localization sequences have been reported for residues within the intracellular domain (25).

In the case of antibody-dependent intracellular trafficking of the EGFR, most of the internalized antibody/receptor complex is recycled to the cell surface (16). Whether any of the antibody-internalized receptor is trafficked to the lysosome is not known directly. However, because C225 and other receptor antibodies provoke a slow down-regulation of the receptor (8, 16, 26, 27), it seems likely that some

receptor is degraded in the lysosomes. The data in this article show an additional destination for antibody-dependent internalized EGFR. In this trafficking route, the receptor is trafficked to the ER, interacts with the Sec61 translocon, and is ultimately found in the nucleus. The most significant difference for trafficking of the receptor through this pathway under the influence of ligand or antibody is tyrosine kinase activity requirement for EGF-induced nuclear localization, but not for antibody-induced nuclear localization. This difference in kinase activity requirement is most likely due to the kinase requirement for ligand-dependent entry of receptor into coated pits, whereas C225-induced cellular entry may occur through a different cell surface portal. Whether C225 translocation of the EGFR to the nucleus influences the biological responses of cells to the antibody is not known.

Other extracellular ligands that are trafficked by their receptors from the cell surface to the ER include certain toxins (28) and the SV40 virus (29). In neither case is there a reported requirement for tyrosine kinase activity, and for each of these two different ligands, the Sec61 translocon mediates export from the ER. In the case of toxins, export is to the cytoplasm, whereas for the virus, cytoplasmic localization would seem to be a precursor step for nuclear localization. Neither toxin nor virus is internalized through coated pits, but rather are internalized through caveolae. Based on our results with C225-dependent receptor trafficking, it would seem that receptor trafficking to the ER and nucleus occurs in the absence of tyrosine kinase activity.

The mechanism by which some tyrosine kinase inhibitors potentiate antibody-induced receptor trafficking to nucleus is not clear. Based on the fact that Lapatinib, which binds to a closed kinase conformation, fails to provoke receptor dimerization or C225-dependent nuclear localization of the receptor, the most likely mechanism might seem to be that inhibitors, such as AG1478 stabilize an inactive open form of receptor dimer at the cell surface and this may provide for either enhanced antibody association and/or for a more efficiently internalized antibody/receptor complex.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

G. Carpenter: Advisory board, Genomic Health, Inc. The other authors disclosed no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

Received 1/9/09; revised 5/8/09; accepted 5/16/09; published OnlineFirst 7/14/09.

Grant support: NIH grant CA125649 (G. Carpenter). Experiments and data analysis were performed in part through the use of the VUMC Cell Imaging Shared Resource (supported by NIH grants CA68485, DK20593, DK58404, HD15052, DK59637, and EY08126).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Fan Z, Masui H, Altas I, et al. Blockade of epidermal growth factor receptor function by bivalent and monovalent fragments of 225 anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibodies. *Cancer Res* 1993; 53:4322–8.
- Gordon G, Tomoyuki K, Claude C, et al. Monoclonal anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibodies which are inhibitors of epidermal growth factor binding and antagonists of epidermal growth-stimulated tyrosine protein kinase activity. *J Biol Chem* 1984;259:7755–60.
- Li S, Schmitz KR, Jeffrey PD, et al. Structural basis for inhibition of the epidermal growth factor receptor by cetuximab. *Cancer Cell* 2005;7:301–11.
- Ciardello F, Tortora G. EGFR antagonists in cancer treatment. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1160–74.
- Rivera F, Vega-Villegas ME, López-Brea MF. Cetuximab, its clinical use and future perspectives. *Anticancer Drugs* 2008;19:99–113.
- Galizia G, Lieto E, De Vita F, et al. Cetuximab, a chimeric human mouse anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody, in the treatment of human colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 2007;26:3654–60.
- Bernier J. Drug Insight: cetuximab in the treatment of recurrent and metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2008;5:705–13.
- Fan Z, Lu Y, Wu X, Mendelsohn J. Antibody-induced epidermal growth factor receptor dimerization mediates inhibition of autocrine proliferation of A431 squamous carcinoma cells. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269:27595–602.
- Wu X, Rubin M, Fan Z, et al. Involvement of p27KIP1 in G1 arrest mediated by an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody. *Oncogene* 1996;12: 1397–403.
- Kiyota A, Shintani S, Mihara M, et al. Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody 225 upregulates p27(KIP1) and p15(INK4B) and induces G1 arrest in oral squamous carcinoma cell lines. *Oncology* 2002;63:92–8.
- Peng D, Fan Z, Lu Y, et al. Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody 225 up-regulates p27KIP1 and induces G1 arrest in prostatic cancer cell line DU145. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:3666–9.
- Liu B, Fan Z. The monoclonal antibody 225 activates caspase-8 and induces apoptosis through a tumor necrosis factor receptor family-independent pathway. *Oncogene* 2001;20:3726–34.
- Huang SM, Bock JM, Harari PM. Epidermal growth factor receptor blockade with C225 modulates proliferation, apoptosis, and radiosensitivity in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Cancer Res* 1999;59: 1935–40.
- Sunada H, Magun BE, Mendelsohn J, et al. Monoclonal antibody against epidermal growth factor receptor is internalized without stimulating receptor phosphorylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1986;83: 3825–9.
- Sunada H, Yu P, Peacock JS, et al. Modulation of tyrosine, serine, and threonine phosphorylation and intracellular processing of the epidermal growth factor receptor by anti-receptor monoclonal antibody. *J Cell Physiol* 1990;142:284–92.
- Jaramillo ML, Leon Z, Grothe S, et al. Effect of the anti-receptor ligand-blocking 225 monoclonal antibody on EGF receptor endocytosis and sorting. *Exp Cell Res* 2006;312:2778–90.
- Lin SY, Makino K, Xia W, et al. Nuclear localization of EGF receptor and its potential new role as a transcription factor. *Nat Cell Biol* 2001;3:802–8.
- Liao HJ, Carpenter G. Role of the Sec61 translocon in EGF receptor trafficking to the nucleus and gene expression. *Mol Biol Cell* 2007;18:1064–72.
- Sorkin A, Goh LK. Endocytosis and intracellular trafficking of ErbBs. *Exp Cell Res* 2008;314:3093–106.
- Stamos J, Sliwkowski MX, Eigenbrot C. Structure of the epidermal growth factor receptor kinase domain alone and in complex with a 4-anilinoquinazoline inhibitor. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:46265–72.
- Yun CH, Boggon TJ, Li Y, et al. Structures of lung cancer-derived EGFR mutants and inhibitor complexes: mechanism of activation and insights into differential inhibitor sensitivity. *Cancer Cell* 2007;11:217–27.
- Wood ER, Truesdale AT, McDonald OB, et al. A unique structure for epidermal growth factor receptor bound to GW572016 (Lapatinib): relationships among protein conformation, inhibitor off-rate, and receptor activity in tumor cells. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:6652–9.
- Arteaga CL, Ramsey TT, Shawer LK, et al. Unliganded epidermal growth factor receptor dimerization induced by direct interaction of quinazolines with the ATP binding site. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272:23247–54.
- Lichtner RB, Menrad A, Sommer A, et al. Signaling-inactive epidermal growth factor receptor/ligand complexes in intact carcinoma cells by quinazoline tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:5790–5.
- Hsu SC, Hung MC. Characterization of a novel tripartite nuclear localization sequence in the EGFR family. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:10432–40.
- Friedman LM, Rinon A, Schechter B, et al. Synergistic down-regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases by combinations of mAbs: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:1915–20.
- Perez-Torres M, Guix M, Gonzalez A, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antibody down-regulates mutant receptors and inhibits tumors expressing EGFR mutations. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:40183–92.
- Sandvig K, van Deurs B. Transport of protein toxins into cells: pathways used by ricin, cholera toxin and Shiga toxin. *FEBS Lett* 2002;529:49–53.
- Marsh M, Helenius A. Virus entry: open sesame. *Cell* 2006;124:729–40.