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ABSTRACT

Background: Weight loss (WL) is associated with a decrease in calcium absorption and may be one mechanism that induces bone loss with weight reduction.

Objective: Because vitamin D supplementation has been shown to increase true fractional calcium absorption (TFCA), the goal of this study was to examine the effect of vitamin D during WL or weight maintenance (WM).

Design: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind 6-wk study was conducted in 82 postmenopausal women [BMI (in kg/m\textsuperscript{2}; ±SD): 30.2 ± 3.7] with 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentrations <70 nmol/L during either WL or WM. All women were given 10 \( \mu \)g vitamin D\textsubscript{3}/d and 1.2 g Ca/d and either weekly vitamin D\textsubscript{3} (375 \( \mu \)g) or a placebo equivalent to 6 g vitamin D\textsubscript{3}/d and 1.2 g Ca/d, respectively. We measured TFCA with the use of dual-stable isotopes, 25(OH)D, parathyroid hormone, estradiol, calcitriol, and urinary calcium at baseline and 6 wk in weight loss and vitamin D\textsubscript{3}–supplementation (WL-D; \( n = 19 \)), weight maintenance and vitamin D\textsubscript{3}–supplementation (WM-D; \( n = 20 \)), weight loss and placebo (\( n = 22 \)), and weight maintenance and placebo (\( n = 21 \)) groups.

Results: WL groups lost 3.8 ± 1.1% of weight with no difference between vitamin D\textsubscript{3} supplementation and the placebo. The rise in serum 25(OH)D was greatest in the WL-D group (19.8 ± 14.5 nmol/L) compared with WM-D (9.1 ± 13.0 nmol/L) and placebo groups (1.5 ± 10.9 nmol/L). TFCA increased with vitamin D\textsubscript{3} supplementation compared with placebo treatment (\( P < 0.01 \)) and decreased with WL compared with WM. Serum 25(OH)D or 1,25-(OH)\textsubscript{2}D did not correlate with TFCA.

Conclusion: These data show that vitamin D supplementation increases TFCA and that WL decreases TFCA and suggest that, when calcium intake is 1.2 g/d, either 10 or 63 \( \mu \)g vitamin D\textsubscript{3}/d is sufficient to maintain the calcium balance. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00473031.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D regulates calcium absorption and skeletal homeostasis. The active form 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{4} facilitates the intestinal absorption of calcium by mediating active calcium transport across the intestinal mucosal brush border to the basolateral side of the cell (1). Previous studies have shown that serum concentrations of 1,25(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} are associated with calcium absorption and are sometimes, but not always, associated with serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] (2–4). The proportion of ingested calcium that is absorbed is best estimated by true fractional calcium absorption (TFCA) that is in the range from 0.10 to 0.50 across all age groups (including women >50 y of age) and decreases with aging or in conditions of vitamin D deficiency. Low TFCA will ultimately lead to bone loss in the absence of adequate calcium intake.

Body weight has a positive effect on bone mineral density (BMD) and has been attributed to many factors including a greater efficiency of calcium absorption at a higher body weight (5) and in obese women (6). Weight reduction is recommended to reduce comorbidities associated with obesity, but it is also associated with loss of bone. Caloric restriction (CR) reduces TFCA efficiency by ~10% (7), which may be one mechanism whereby weight reduction induces bone loss. Several endocrine changes occur during CR and may contribute to the decrease in calcium absorption including a decrease in serum estrogen concentrations (8, 9) or the presence of higher cortisol (7, 10, 11). Significantly, these hormonal changes that are due to CR are more pronounced in older (7) than younger women (12).

Nutrient interventions such as increasing protein intake positively influence TFCA (13) during weight maintenance (WM). Similarly, some, but not all, studies have shown that vitamin D supplementation increases calcium absorption (4, 14–19). Differences in these findings may be due to several factors such as...
age, dose of vitamin D, form of vitamin D (vitamin D$_3$ compared with vitamin D$_2$), the baseline 25(OH)D concentration, calcium intake, adiposity, and the presence of other physiologic conditions (20–22). CR is associated with a decrease in TFCA in postmenopausal women. The primary aim in this study was to determine whether supplementation with vitamin D would increase TFCA during CR in a randomized, double-blind trial, and determine whether hormones could explain changes in TFCA.

We hypothesized that a high vitamin D intake would attenuate the decline in TFCA during CR compared with a rise in weight-stable women.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Postmenopausal women who reported no menstruation for ≥2 y before the study were recruited. Recruitment and the intervention were done during the winter months in an effort to minimize seasonal effects on serum concentration of 25(OH)D. Advertisements in local newspapers and university online bulletins were done (December through February) over a 2-y period. All participants were aged between 50 and 70 y and free from any disease states or medications known to influence bone metabolism. Subjects were recruited for weight loss (WL) or WM for the 6-wk period. Before the initiation of any study procedures including screening, subjects signed an informed consent approved by the Institutional Review Board at Rutgers University and an external data safety-monitoring advisory board. Volunteers were monitored for adverse side effects during the trial. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT0047303. The protocol met the ethical standards in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

All participants had to pass a 3-step screening process that included telephone, laboratory, and physical screenings. The telephone screening included questions about current diseases and medications and participation in previous WL programs in the past few months. Participants had a comprehensive metabolic panel test and physical examination and were screened for serum 25(OH)D concentrations before the study. Individuals with 25(OH)D concentrations >75 nmol/L were excluded. In addition, subjects were excluded if they took medications known to influence bone metabolism or had evidence of metabolic bone disease, immune disease, anemia, diabetes, or renal disease, or had a history of heart attack, stroke, kidney stones, or active cancer or cancer therapy in the past 12 mo. For participants who were taking thyroid medications, a stable dose for ≥2 y was required for inclusion. Subjects between BMI (in kg/m$^2$) of 25 and 40 were included who had not recently lost or gained >2% of body weight in the past 6 mo. Subjects who passed all parts of the screening were considered eligible for the study.

**Study design**

Study participants underwent a month of stabilization during which they were instructed to consume a multivitamin/mineral and calcium supplement to total 1.2 g Ca/d and asked to maintain body weight. All participants completed a calcium and vitamin D food-frequency questionnaire under the guidance of the research staff. A standard multivitamin/mineral supplement (NatureMade Multi 50+) for women >50 y of age was also provided throughout the study to standardize nutritional status. The supplement contained 200 mg Ca, 5 µg (400 IU) vitamin D$_3$, 10 µg vitamin K, 100 mg Mg, 48 mg P, and other standard nutrients. Recommendations were made to adjust intake to 1.2 g Ca/d in some subjects by using a calcium citrate supplement without added vitamin D (200 mg Ca/tablet; Citracal; Bayer Health care LLC). The extra calcium supplement was only given if calcium intake was <1.2 g from the habitual dietary intake and the daily multivitamin/mineral supplement. At the end of the stabilization period, participants brought back their empty pill containers, and baseline TFCA measurements were performed before random assignment into intervention arms.

In this randomized, double-blind study, participants received either vitamin D$_3$ supplements or matching placebos (Bio Tech Pharmacal). Women were asked to consume 3 tablets of vitamin D$_3$ or placebo once weekly for 5 wk with final measurements at week 6. Subjects in the vitamin D group were given a dose equivalent to 62.5 µg vitamin D (2500 IU)/d (375 µg/wk from a vitamin D$_3$ supplement + 10 µg/d from a multivitamin), and subjects in the placebo group received 10 µg vitamin D$_3$ (400 IU)/d (placebo + 10 µg/d from a multivitamin). To enhance compliance, vitamin D$_3$, multivitamin/mineral, and calcium tablets were distributed biweekly, and the first week of pills were distributed in a weekly pill dispenser with instructions to use the dispenser in the subsequent weeks. All participants were asked to take these supplements with the largest meal of the day.

Women were recruited for WM and WL protocols separately by using different advertisements. WM volunteers were asked to maintain their weight for 6 wk; afterward, they offered a couple of free WL counseling sessions with the dietitian as an incentive to improve adherence during the maintenance period. For the WL group, a standard nutrition-education and behavior-modification weight-reduction program was provided, whereas weekly morning instruction was given under the supervision of a dietitian (n = 10/group) to reduce energy by 500–600 kcal/d while maintaining habitual exercise levels.

**Food records**

All participants were instructed to maintain food diaries for 1 wk during the stabilization period to reflect the baseline intake and again for ≥3 d/wk (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) during the intervention. Dietary intakes were analyzed with Food Works software (version 10).

**Weight, height, and body composition**

At baseline, weight and height were measured with a balance-beam scale and stadiometer, respectively (Detecto). During the intervention, women were weighed weekly in the morning, and this was recorded without shoes and with minimal clothing. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans (Lunar Prodigy Advanced; GE-Lunar) (CV <1% for all sites were performed by using enCORE software (version 8.10.027; GE Lunar) to assess total hip, lumbar spine, and total-body BMD as well as total fat and lean mass.
TFCA

$^{42}\text{Ca}$ (96% enrichment) and $^{43}\text{Ca}$ (90% enrichment) spikes (Trace Sciences International) were prepared for human use by the Pharmacy Department at St Peters University Hospital (New Brunswick, NJ). All isotopes were tested for sterility and pyrogenicity before use. Briefly, on the day of the calcium-absorption test protocol, women were admitted between 0700 and 0900 after an overnight fast. The dose was individualized according to body weight (0.012 mg $^{42}\text{Ca}$/kg and 0.017 mg $^{43}\text{Ca}$/kg) by using stock solutions of $^{42}\text{Ca}$ and $^{43}\text{Ca}$ (eg, 0.70 and 0.48 mg/mL, respectively). The administered volume was calculated on the basis of body weight before the test. After collection of a fasting blood and urine sample, subjects were served a standard breakfast that consisted of milk, toast, fruit, and orange juice. The total calcium load of this meal was 200 mg and included $^{43}\text{Ca}$ that had been mixed in one-half cup of skim milk (153 mg Ca) that had been equilibrated overnight (~12 h). The milk was consumed in its entirety under supervision, and the cup was rinsed with deionized water 3 times. All of the rinsed water was also consumed by patients. Immediately after breakfast, an intravenous injection of $^{42}\text{Ca}$ was administered over ~3 min. Syringes that contained the isotopes (that were mixed with the milk or infused intravenously) were weighed before and after administration on a precision balance scale. The women were given instructions on how to collect a pooled 24-h urine sample, monitored during the day, and asked to remain overnight at the University Inn proximal to the clinical facility. On completion of the 24-h urine collection, women returned their samples to the researchers the next morning.

**Laboratory methods**

**TFCA measurement**

After administration of oral and intravenous enriched calcium isotopes, 24-h spot pooled urine specimens were collected before random assignment and after 6 weeks of treatment. In addition, an 8-h sample was collected for methods development. At baseline and before administration of isotope calcium spikes, a fasting urine sample was collected to determine the individual’s natural calcium-isotope abundances (see Equation 1). Calcium was precipitated as the oxalate salt and ashed to examine the mineral content. The ratio of each isotope to $^{44}\text{Ca}$ (the natural internal standard) was determined by using high-resolution, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Element-1; Finnigan MAT) (23). The precision and accuracy of the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry instrument were ~1% (SD), and the day-to-day CV for 6 women measured twice was 1.2% (SD). Briefly, TFCA was calculated as the relative recovery in the 24-h pooled urine of the oral isotopes ($^{43}\text{Ca}$) divided by the intravenous isotope ($^{42}\text{Ca}$) as follows:

$$TFCA = \left[ \frac{\text{observed }^{43}\text{Ca} - \text{baseline }^{43}\text{Ca}}{\text{observed }^{42}\text{Ca} - \text{baseline }^{42}\text{Ca}} \right] \times \left( \frac{\text{dose }^{42}\text{Ca}}{\text{dose }^{43}\text{Ca}} \right) \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Net calcium absorption was calculated as

$$\text{TFCA} \times \text{total calcium intake} \ (\sim 1200 \text{ mg/d})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

**Blood and urine analysis**

Fasting blood and urine samples were collected at baseline and week 6 and were analyzed in batch analysis. 25(OH)D and serum 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (DiaSorin) (interassay and intraassay CVs <12.5% and <15%, respectively) were measured by radioimmunoassay using internal and external standards. Our laboratory also participates and met certification in a vitamin D external quality-assessment scheme that monitors the performance of the 25(OH)D assay. In addition, 25(OH)D was measured in a subset ($n = 80$) twice at baseline (1–5 d apart) with a CV of 5.7%. To measure estradiol, we used an ultrasensitive estradiol (E$_2$) 125I radioimmunoassay (Diagnostic Systems Laboratory, DSL) (interassay and intraassay CVs of 12.2% and 8.9%, respectively). Intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) was determined by immunoradioassay (Scantibodies) (interassay and intraassay CVs <6.8%). Urinary calcium was measured in a pooled 24-h sample and serum by using the o-cresolphthalein complex method with the use of a spectrophotometer and were also checked by atomic absorption spectrometry (Solar Series; Thermo Electron Corp).

**Statistical analysis**

The study aimed to determine whether vitamin D supplementation and WL influences the primary outcome variable TFCA. The hormones PTH, 25(OH)D, 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$, and estradiol were analyzed to determine whether they could explain changes in the primary outcome variable between groups. This study was designed to achieve a serum 25(OH)D concentration >75 nmol/L with a weekly dose of 375 μg vitamin D$_3$ (equivalent to 63 μg/d) supplementation in overweight/obese persons during WL. In a report that was available when this study was designed, calcium absorption at 2 doses of vitamin D intake (0 and 800 IU every other day) showed a fractional calcium-abosorption difference of 0.14, with an SD of 0.12 (4). A power analysis was performed with α set at 0.05 and β set at 0.10 and showed that 16 subjects were required for a 90% probability to detect significant differences between groups (4). To control for one covariate, as had been deemed necessary in a previous study (7), and to allow for anticipated dropouts, additional subjects per group were recruited. We used single-block randomization for vitamin D and placebo assignments. To assess baseline differences between groups, 1-factor ANOVA was used. Variables that differed between groups at baseline were used as covariates during additional analysis. The influence of treatment (vitamin D$_3$ supplementation or placebo) and weight (WL or WM) on TFCA and hormones was measured by using 2-way ANCOVA. Tukey’s post hoc analysis was performed when the interaction was significant. Stepwise regression analysis was performed with changes in hormones as independent variables and the change in TFCA as the dependent variable performed, wherein predictors of TFCA were added one at a time to the model as long as the $F$ statistic $P$ value was below the specified α of 0.05. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used to evaluate associations between changes in different variables.
measured. Analyses were conducted with SAS Statistical Package (version 9.2; SAS Institute). Values are expressed as means ± SDs. *P* < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 214 women were screened, and 98 women were shown to be eligible and passed all parts of the screening (Figure 1). Eighty-three women were randomly assigned to vitamin D (*n* = 40) and placebo (*n* = 43) groups, of which 82 women completed the study in weight loss and vitamin D supplementation (WL-D; *n* = 19), weight maintenance and vitamin D3 supplementation (WM-D; *n* = 20), weight loss and placebo supplementation (WL-PI; *n* = 22), and weight maintenance and placebo supplementation (WM-PI; *n* = 21). Of these subjects, 67 women were white, 10 women were African American, 2 women were Hispanic, 2 women were Asian, and one woman was of mixed ethnic background. The women had a mean age of 58 ± 6 y and BMI of 30.2 ± 3.7 with no differences between the 4 groups at baseline. At screening, the serum 25(OH)D concentration for the eligible women was 55.3 ± 17.8 nmol/L. After 1 mo of stabilization with the multivitamin/mineral pill and calcium supplementation, women brought back empty containers, and 25(OH)D concentration rose slightly to 62.3 ± 14.3 nmol/L at baseline. Thirteen percent of these women had osteopenia (*T* score less than −1.0 and greater than −2.5) at the total hip and lumbar spine, and only one woman had a *T*-score less than −2.5 for the lumbar spine. Fat, lean mass, and BMD at the total hip and lumbar spine and TFCA at baseline are presented in Table 1. Variables were not different between the 4 groups except for hip BMD, which was lower in the WM-Pl than in the WL-D group at baseline (Table 1).

Weight, hormones, and urinary calcium at baseline and during CR

Baseline values for serum hormones [25(OH)D, 1,25(OH)2D3, PTH, and estradiol] and urinary calcium did not differ between groups at baseline (Table 2). Because of significant differences in weight between groups at baseline, baseline values were used as covariates for examining the change in other variables because of the intervention. In addition, although hip BMD was also different between groups, it was not added as an additional covariate because it is both a component and correlates with total weight (*r* = 0.49, *P* < 0.001). The mean WL in WL-D and WL-Pl groups was −3.8 ± 1.1% and did not differ between the groups. Seventy-nine percent of women had serum concentrations >50 nmol/L and 21% of women (*n* = 17) had serum 25(OH)D concentrations between 29 and <50 nmol/L and were distributed between all 4 groups (9 women in placebo groups and 8 women in vitamin D–supplemented groups). Serum 25(OH)D concentrations increased with 63 μg/d vitamin D supplementation by 19.8 ± 14.5 nmol/L in the WL-D group and by 9.1 ± 10.3 nmol/L in the WM-D group (*P* < 0.05). There was no significant change in 25(OH)D in placebo groups. PTH was influenced by treatment (*P* = 0.023) with a greater decrease in groups supplemented with vitamin D3 compared with no decrease in placebo groups, whereas estradiol and 1,25(OH)2D3 was not influenced by WL or vitamin D supplementation. The change in 24-h urinary calcium excretion was not different between the 4 groups (Table 2). None of the subjects reported a change in any medications when questioned during the intervention.

Changes in TFCA during the intervention

TFCA increased because of vitamin D treatment (*P* < 0.001) and, as expected, decreased during WL (*P* < 0.001), and there was no weight × treatment effect (Figure 2A). The fractional changes in TFCA from baseline during the intervention in the 4 groups were −0.006 ± 0.037 (WL-D), +0.037 ± 0.049 (WM-D), −0.026 ± 0.037 (WL-PI), and −0.003 ± 0.030 (WM-Pl) (Figure 2A). The final net calcium absorption was higher as a result of vitamin D supplementation (Figure 2B), and the change (mg/d) from baseline (Table 2) showed that both the vitamin D treatment and WL influenced the net calcium absorption. Serum concentrations of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)2D3 did not correlate with TFCA before or after the intervention. Stepwise regression analysis showed that none of the hormones [25(OH)D, 1,25(OH)2D3, PTH, or estradiol] explained changes in TFCA for the entire population or individual groups.

Nutrient intake during the intervention

Mean daily intake of macronutrients and micronutrients at baseline and during the intervention are presented in Table 3. The mean calcium intake from diet and multivitamin and calcium supplements in the 4 groups during the intervention was 1184 ± 138 mg/d compared with 687 ± 272 mg/d from diet alone. There was no evidence of noncompliance to vitamin D or other supplements over the 6 wk based on pill counts at weekly visits. Other macronutrient and micronutrient intakes did not differ between the 4 groups at baseline or final measurements.

Safety

Mean urinary and serum calcium concentrations did not differ significantly between groups at any time during the study. No individual in either group had evidence of hypercalciuria (24-h urinary calcium >300 mg) or hypercalcemia (>10.5 mg/dL) at 6 wk. There were no serious adverse events in participants.
during this study. Nonserious adverse events were recorded at baseline and after 6 wk to determine the safety of vitamin D supplementation. These events included pain in legs, swelling in legs, pain or heaviness in chest, headaches, dizziness, nausea, fatigue, muscle weakness, muscle aches, abnormal urinary frequency, and abdominal pain. The cumulative frequency of nonserious adverse events for these 11 symptoms was 13 ± 7% (n = 59) and 13 ± 9% (n = 61) after 1 mo of stabilization (baseline) in women in vitamin D3-supplementation and placebo groups, respectively. After 6 wk, the cumulative frequency of events was 9 ± 8% (n = 41) and 7 ± 4% (n = 31) for vitamin D3-supplementation and placebo groups, respectively, and did not differ significantly between groups. For individual symptoms, the number of mild headaches differed between groups from baseline to wk 6 (P < 0.05). There were 8–9 mild headaches at baseline, and after 6 wk, there were 11 mild headaches in the vitamin D3-supplementation group, and 4 mild headaches in the placebo group. Besides mild headaches, none of the following events changed significantly over time for vitamin D3-supplementation and placebo groups: pain in legs (−2 and −2, respectively), swelling in legs (−2 and −1, respectively), pain or heaviness in chest (0 and 0, respectively), headaches (3 and −5, respectively), dizziness (−2 and 0, respectively), nausea (−2 and 0, respectively), fatigue (−4 and −8, respectively), muscle weakness (−2 and −3, respectively) muscle aches (−5 and −5, respectively), abnormal urinary frequency (−1 and −4, respectively), and abdominal pain (−1 and −2, respectively). In a separate analysis, after 6 wk, there was no difference in cumulative or individual events in WL compared WM groups.

**DISCUSSION**

The vitamin D endocrine system is an important regulator of intestinal calcium absorption. In this 6-wk study, postmenopausal women were supplemented with 2 doses of vitamin D3 to understand the combined effect of supplementation and WL on TFCA. CR reduced TFCA by 10%, as we have shown previously (7), and may be one mechanism that regulates the loss of bone mass during weight reduction. In this study, we showed that vitamin D supplementation with 375 μg vitamin D3/wk and 10 μg vitamin D3/d during WL increased the serum 25(OH)D concentration by 20 nmol/L, but TFCA did not increase significantly compared with baseline. In contrast, in WM women, there was only a 9-nmol/L increase in the 25(OH)D concentration, and the rise in TFCA was 0.037. The disparity in the larger increase in 25(OH)D but smaller increase in TFCA in the vitamin D-supplemented WL compared with WM groups suggested that other factors are important in the regulation of calcium absorption during CR.

Overweight/obese women in this study had a serum 25(OH)D concentration of 62 nmol/L and, 20% of women had concentration <50 nmol/L (2% of women were deficient at <30 nmol/L). These concentrations are similar to those seen in the general population (24). The attenuated rise in serum 25(OH)D because of vitamin D supplementation (~0.2 nmol/μg vitamin D intake) in weight-stable women may have been due to their relatively higher baseline concentrations than in other studies and their excess adiposity (20–22, 25). In addition, a rise in serum 25(OH)D as a result of WL has been shown previously (11, 12, 26, 27), and although not significant here, it has been attributed to its release from adipose tissue.

The absence of a significant effect of vitamin D on TFCA in the WL group, despite a greater rise in serum 25(OH)D, suggested that factors other than the concentration of serum 25(OH)D act together or alone to suppress a rise in TFCA. For example, there is an increase in serum cortisol that is due to CR that may attenuate the ability of supplemental vitamin D to increase TFCA (7). In addition, a decrease in serum estradiol because of a loss of adipose tissue and reduced peripheral androdiestrone synthesis could decrease TFCA (28). Both estradiol and cortisol are important regulators of calcium absorption during CR (7, 9). Previously, we showed that serum PTH is the major predictor of TFCA during CR and, may be one mechanism that regulates the loss of bone mass during weight reduction. In this study, we showed that vitamin D supplementation with 375 μg vitamin D3/wk and 10 μg vitamin D3/d during WL increased the serum 25(OH)D concentration by 20 nmol/L, but TFCA did not increase significantly compared with baseline. In contrast, in WM women, there was only a 9-nmol/L increase in the 25(OH)D concentration, and the rise in TFCA was 0.037. The disparity in the larger increase in 25(OH)D but smaller increase in TFCA in the vitamin D-supplemented WL compared with WM groups suggested that other factors are important in the regulation of calcium absorption during CR.

**TABLE 1**

Baseline characteristics of participants who completed the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>WL-D (n = 19)</th>
<th>WM-D (n = 20)</th>
<th>WL-Pl (n = 22)</th>
<th>WM-Pl (n = 21)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>58.1 ± 5.7</td>
<td>58.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>56.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>58.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSM</td>
<td>6.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>9.2 ± 8.0</td>
<td>7.7 ± 9.9</td>
<td>8.4 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>30.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>30.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>29.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>35.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>35.6 ± 7.0</td>
<td>37.3 ± 8.3</td>
<td>30.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>40.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>41.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>42.4 ± 7.0</td>
<td>38.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-body BMC (g)</td>
<td>2455 ± 372</td>
<td>2416 ± 238</td>
<td>2489 ± 358</td>
<td>2273 ± 326</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-body BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.176 ± 0.097</td>
<td>1.161 ± 0.078</td>
<td>1.171 ± 0.086</td>
<td>1.117 ± 0.078</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lip BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.120</td>
<td>0.0976 ± 0.108</td>
<td>1.100 ± 0.130</td>
<td>0.914 ± 0.093</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine L2–L4 (g/cm²)</td>
<td>1.235 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.196 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.238 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.134 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCA (fraction)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All values are means ± SDs. Eleven percent of women were taking thyroid medications [WL-D (n = 1), WM-D (n = 2), WL-Pl (n = 4), and WM-Pl (n = 1)]. P values represent results of 1-factor ANOVA for the 4 groups. Values in the same raw with different superscript letters represent significant differences in group means, P < 0.05. Tukey’s post hoc analysis was performed when a P value was significant. BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density; TFCA, true fractional calcium absorption; WL-D, weight loss and vitamin D3 supplementation; WL-Pl, weight loss and placebo; WM-D, weight maintenance and vitamin D3 supplementation; WM-Pl, weight maintenance and placebo; YSM, years since menopause.

**TABLE 2**

Changes in bone mass during weight reduction. In this study, we showed that vitamin D supplementation with 375 μg vitamin D3/wk and 10 μg vitamin D3/d during WL increased the serum 25(OH)D concentration by 20 nmol/L, but TFCA did not increase significantly compared with baseline. In contrast, in WM women, there was only a 9-nmol/L increase in the 25(OH)D concentration, and the rise in TFCA was 0.037. The disparity in the larger increase in 25(OH)D but smaller increase in TFCA in the vitamin D-supplemented WL compared with WM groups suggested that other factors are important in the regulation of calcium absorption during CR.

Overweight/obese women in this study had a serum 25(OH)D concentration of 62 nmol/L and, 20% of women had concentration <50 nmol/L (2% of women were deficient at <30 nmol/L). These concentrations are similar to those seen in the general population (24). The attenuated rise in serum 25(OH)D because of vitamin D supplementation (~0.2 nmol/μg vitamin D intake) in weight-stable women may have been due to their relatively higher baseline concentrations than in other studies and their excess adiposity (20–22, 25). In addition, a rise in serum 25(OH)D as a result of WL has been shown previously (11, 12, 26, 27), and although not significant here, it has been attributed to its release from adipose tissue.

The absence of a significant effect of vitamin D on TFCA in the WL group, despite a greater rise in serum 25(OH)D, suggested that factors other than the concentration of serum 25(OH)D act together or alone to suppress a rise in TFCA. For example, there is an increase in serum cortisol that is due to CR that may attenuate the ability of supplemental vitamin D to increase TFCA (7). In addition, a decrease in serum estradiol because of a loss of adipose tissue and reduced peripheral androdiestrone synthesis could decrease TFCA (28). Both estradiol and cortisol are important regulators of calcium absorption during CR (7, 9). Previously, we showed that serum PTH is the major predictor of TFCA during CR in women who received 10 μg vitamin D/d (7). The suppression of PTH with a higher intake of vitamin D in the current study may have attenuated the ability of PTH to explain the TFCA variance. It is well established that a high or low calcium intake will decrease and increase calcium absorption, respectively. However, we controlled for calcium and other micronutrients, and thus, this
TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Weight (kg) Baseline</th>
<th>Weight change</th>
<th>P change</th>
<th>Weight × vitamin ΔD supplementation P change</th>
<th>P change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>79.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (nmol/L)</td>
<td>81.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (pmol/L)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol (pmol/L)</td>
<td>46.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,25(OH)2D3 (pmol/L)</td>
<td>271.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>46.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net calcium absorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>WL-D (n = 19)</th>
<th>WM-D (n = 22)</th>
<th>WL-Pl (n = 20)</th>
<th>WM-Pl (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline weight (kg)</td>
<td>79.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight change (kg)</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P change</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vitamin D supplementation had a positive effect on TFCA in the current trial. There was a decrease in serum PTH with vitamin D supplementation, as expected (30, 31), with a rise in 1,25(OH)2D3. Stepwise regression analysis showed that none of the hormones could explain why TFCA differed because of vitamin D intake. The hypothesis that serum concentrations of 25(OH)D or 1,25(OH)2D3 can predict TFCA (32) is not consistent with our findings, which showed no relation. This inconsistency was possibly due to the relatively high baseline serum 25(OH)D in the current study, although the absence of this relation has also been reported in other cohorts (6, 33). Therefore, mechanisms that regulate the rise in TFCA because of vitamin D supplementation are not clear.

Several studies have shown that vitamin D supplementation has either a positive or no effect on TFCA. In a study that examined calcium absorption by using the AUC, calcium absorption was estimated to increase by 65% at serum concentration of 25(OH)D of 86 compared with 50 nmol/L (4). However, the method (AUC) (4) only estimates calcium absorption from a rise in serum calcium, whereas the dual stable-isotope method used in this study is the most precise method because it calculates fractional calcium absorption.
TABLE 3

Nutrient intakes at baseline and after 6 wk of weight loss or weight maintenance in postmenopausal women randomly assigned to receive vitamin D supplementation or placebo during caloric restriction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WM-D (n = 20)</th>
<th>WM-Pl (n = 22)</th>
<th>WM-Pl (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>1412 ± 246</td>
<td>1428 ± 281</td>
<td>1389 ± 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>57.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>54.7 ± 31.0</td>
<td>46.7 ± 15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g)</td>
<td>174.4 ± 26.4</td>
<td>185.0 ± 32.4</td>
<td>166.9 ± 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>62.2 ± 13.3</td>
<td>61.9 ± 21.1</td>
<td>246.0 ± 15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg)</td>
<td>102 ± 29.8</td>
<td>92 ± 33.3</td>
<td>59 ± 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg)</td>
<td>2330 ± 120</td>
<td>1879 ± 69</td>
<td>2270 ± 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg)</td>
<td>923 ± 201</td>
<td>923 ± 201</td>
<td>923 ± 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin K (µg)</td>
<td>124 ± 53</td>
<td>124 ± 53</td>
<td>124 ± 53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All values are means ± SD. W.D. = weight loss and vitamin D; W.Ph. = weight maintenance and vitamin D; W.M.D. = weight maintenance and vitamin D; W.M.D. = weight maintenance and vitamin D. Intake was from the diet, vitamin D supplement (or placebo), and MV supplement (48 mg P, 10 g vitamin D, 10 mg vitamin K, and other standard micronutrients). Subjects were supplemented for 1 mo before baseline measurements to receive calcium and an MV. If intake was 1200 mg from the diet and MV, a calcium supplement was provided.

2 Two-way ANOVA showed no significant differences for any nutrient at baseline or during the diet between the 4 groups, except for higher vitamin D intake in the intervention (P < 0.05).

3 net absorption of calcium ranged between 227 and 310 mg/d in these women and, without additional calcium supplements, would have led to a net absorption of ~140 mg/d, with assumption of 22% TFCA during CR. If 200 mg Ca/d is required to maintain a balance, this 60-mg/d deficit would be expected to result in an additional 0.9% bone loss/y.

4 There were several strengths and limitations to this study. The dual-isotope method is considered a gold standard to estimate absorption and was used in this study. Also, the double-blind, placebo-controlled design and weekly pill distribution and counts were strengths to increase compliance in this study. Our laboratory participates in the vitamin D external quality-assessment scheme, which is to maintain a balance, this 60-mg/d deficit would be expected to result in an additional 0.9% bone loss/y.
which is an international quality assurance group that monitors the performance of the 25(OH)D assay. To our knowledge, this was the first study to address the influence of vitamin D supplementation during CR. These studies were carried out in the winter and early spring to avoid the influence of sun on serum 25(OH)D measures. Some limitations of this study included the potential generalizability of the data because women who were enrolled in the study were primarily white, and thus, results may not apply to other ethnic groups. Second, women in our studies were younger postmenopausal women, and it is possible that there is a differential response of vitamin D on TFCA in older individuals because of a decline in renal function (conversion to calcitriol) or other factors. In addition, although there was compliance to the vitamin D and placebo intake on the basis of pill counts, we did not watch subjects consume the pills, and thus, it is possible that compliance was lower than reported. Finally, it is possible that a higher vitamin D dose or longer duration >6 wk could have increased the TFCA response to CR; however, we achieved a serum 25(OH)D concentration >80 nmol/L.

In conclusion, CR reduced calcium absorption in postmenopausal women as expected. Vitamin D supplementation equivalent to 63 μg/d (2500 IU/d) compared with 10 μg/d (400 IU/d) did not increase absorption during CR, despite a 20-nmol/L rise in serum 25(OH)D. The mechanism that regulates the decline in calcium absorption during CR is not clear; however, lowered calcium absorption might contribute to bone loss associated with weight reduction. Because bone loss and a higher fracture risk can be expected after WL (37), understanding its cause remains important. These findings suggest that, if both vitamin D and calcium intake are at recommended intakes (24), a calcium balance would be expected even during CR.
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