

## Tau Mutations as a Novel Risk Factor for Cancer—Response

Giacomina Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Veronica Redaelli<sup>1</sup>, Paola Perego<sup>2</sup>, Raffaele Ferrari<sup>3</sup>,  
Giorgio Giaccone<sup>1</sup>, and Fabrizio Tagliavini<sup>1</sup>



We thank Deuschländer and colleagues (1) for their interest in our work and for providing us with the opportunity to expand on concepts included in our original article.

We do not exclude that some *MAPT* mutations may have a little or no effect on cancer development. Most of *MAPT* missense mutations cause a reduced microtubule polymerization (2) and some of them, including the P301L, have been demonstrated to produce overly dynamic microtubules (3). These alterations can impact on the mitotic spindle dynamics, causing chromosome missegregation. Furthermore, the DNA chaperone ability also may be impaired by a mutated protein, leading to DNA damage. It is of course possible that, due to their position in the protein or the feature of the specific amino acids, some missense mutations may be less cancer predisposing than others.

As for *MAPT* exon 10 splicing mutations, they usually increase the 4R tau isoforms, which can cause excessive microtubule stabilization (4), whereas DNA binding may not be affected by an excess of wild-type protein. Thus, otherwise than in the case of missense mutations, splicing mutations may not affect the microtubule dynamics of the mitotic spindle and/or the DNA-binding ability of tau, thus not causing abnormal chromosome segregation or DNA damage, that are processes that may lead to cancer.

Deuschländer and colleagues reported on a large family carrying the N279K *MAPT* mutation, which affects exon 10 splicing and does not influence microtubule polymerization (2). Deuschländer and colleagues did not find evidence of

cancer development. They also indicated a rather low cancer incidence in their cohort of FTD families carrying a *MAPT* mutation, yet it did not appear clear what *MAPT* mutations occurred in their 63 subjects, as only 5 subjects with N279K and 19 with P301L *MAPT* mutations were reported (24/63), whereas the *MAPT* mutations identified in the remainder 39 of 63 mutations carriers were not specified.

In our study, we reported a cohort with 1 family carrying the N279K *MAPT* mutation who had 2 cancer-affected subjects. A single family comprises too small a number of subjects to conclude that the mutation is linked to cancer, and the data of Deuschländer and colleagues may support the view that there is not a causal effect. Alternatively, their particular family might carry some unknown protective factors, genetic or of other nature. We had also 2 families carrying the IVS10+16C>T *MAPT* splicing mutation, one having 1 cancer-affected subject and the other none, thus being *per se* not conclusive.

Deuschländer and colleagues cited an article demonstrating that *MAPT* mutations cause aneuploidy in neurons and glia, where the N279K *MAPT* mutation was analyzed but not reported in detail, as only aggregated data from different missense mutations are shown (5). Although aneuploidy can cause neurodegeneration, it can be linked to cancer in nonneural tissues (6).

We think that the P301L is the most evident *MAPT* mutation showing a link between mutated tau and cancer, as 7 of 8 families had cancer-affected subjects (6). The influence on microtubule polymerization and dynamics is well documented (2, 3). We hope that other families carrying, in particular, *MAPT* missense mutations will be studied to strengthen the connection between tau and cancer. Further effort is needed to investigate cancer risk modifiers as well as to validate findings of cancer risk by mutation position, resembling the work carried out for well-known cancer susceptibility genes.

### Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Received September 5, 2018; revised September 12, 2018; accepted September 17, 2018; published first October 29, 2018.

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Neurology V and Neuropathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Molecular Pharmacology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milano, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Neuroscience, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom.

**Corresponding Author:** Giacomina Rossi, PhD, Unit of Neurology V and Neuropathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, via Amadeo 42, 20133 Milano, Italy. Phone: 39-02-2394-4582; Fax: 39-02-2394-2101; E-mail: Giacomina.Rossi@istituto-besta.it

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-2730

©2018 American Association for Cancer Research.

### References

- Deuschländer AB, Boeve B, Rosen H, Boxer A, Wszolek ZK, on behalf of the LEFFIDS Consortium. Tau Mutations as a Novel Risk Factor for Cancer—Letter. *Cancer Res* 2018;78:6523–4.
- Rossi G, Tagliavini F. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: old knowledge and new insight into the pathogenetic mechanisms of tau mutations. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2015;7:192.
- Bunker JM, Kamath K, Wilson L, Jordan MA, Feinstein SC. FTDP-17 mutations compromise the ability of tau to regulate microtubule dynamics in cells. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:11856–63.
- Bunker JM, Wilson L, Jordan MA, Feinstein SC. Modulation of microtubule dynamics by tau in living cells: implications for development and neurodegeneration. *Mol Biol Cell* 2004;15:2720–8.
- Caneus J, Granic A, Rademakers R, Dickson DW, Coughlan CM, Chial HJ, et al. Mitotic defects lead to neuronal aneuploidy and apoptosis in frontotemporal lobar degeneration caused by *MAPT* mutations. *Mol Biol Cell* 2018;29:575–86.
- Rossi G, Redaelli V, Contiero P, Fabiano S, Tagliabue G, Perego P, et al. Tau mutations serve as a novel risk factor for cancer. *Cancer Res* 2018;78:3731–39.