Spatiotemporal variation of late Quaternary river incision rates in southeast Tibet, constrained by dating fluvial terraces
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ABSTRACT

River incision results from interactions among tectonics, climate change, and surface processes, and yet the role of each process operating at different time scales remains poorly understood. In this study, we address this issue by reconstructing the late Quaternary spatiotemporal variation of aggradation and incision rates along the Lancang River (Upper Mekong River) in southeast Tibet. Our work combined field observations, topographic data analysis, and optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and cosmogenic radionuclide (CRN) dating of geologically well-defined fluvial terrace deposits, and it reveals five levels of fluvial terraces with strath heights up to 200–240 m and a 300-km-wide knickzone along the Lancang River. The new data indicate that: (1) the Lancang River has experienced four aggradation events at >120–100 ka, 90–70 ka, 25–15 ka, and <9 ka, with each event followed by rapid incision at ca. 100 ka, ca. 45 ka, ca. 15 ka, and ca. 6 ka; (2) river incision rates since the late Pleistocene decrease upstream across the knickzone from <2.8–2.3 and <2.1–1.7 to <0.5 mm/yr; and (3) they decrease with time at the knickzone from <2.1 mm/yr at ca. 100 ka to <1.1 mm/yr at 15–6 ka. The terrace-derived incision rates since the late Pleistocene from this study are more than an order of magnitude higher than the existing landscape-scale erosion rates derived from both thermochronological dating of bedrock bounding the river valley at million-year scales and cosmogenic nuclide concentrations of river sand at millennial scales. These findings imply decoupling of hydrologically induced river incision rates since the late Pleistocene from regional erosion rates on million-year and millennial time scales. Specifically, the hydrologically driven incision in a large fluvial system like the Lancang River in southeast Tibet, most likely related to local climate conditions, is much more efficient than tectonically driven erosion at a time scale of 100–10 k.y.

INTRODUCTION

The closely spaced (~50 km apart) and parallel segments (~200 km long) of the Nu-Salween, Lancang-Mekong, and Jinsha-Yangtze Rivers are the most dominant drainage systems in southeast Tibet (Fig. 1; Brookfield, 1998; Hallet and Molnar, 2001; Clark et al., 2006). These rivers carved up to 3000-m-deep valleys that are bounded by regions displaying relics of low-relief surfaces on top of the valley-bounding ranges (Clark et al., 2006; Liu-Zeng et al., 2008). The geometry of the drainage basins is characterized by an abnormally low width-length ratio of ~0.0074 (Gregory and Gregory, 1925; Hallet and Molnar, 2001). These first-order observations have been used to infer plateau-uplift mechanisms (e.g., Clark et al., 2006), surface processes during plateau construction (e.g., Liu-Zeng et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2015), and tectonic strain (e.g., Brookfield, 1998; Hallet and Molnar, 2001; Clark et al., 2006) and lower-crustal flow (Clark et al., 2004) during the Indo-Asian collision.

Although stimulating, these existing studies are mostly conceptual or based on inferences from numerical modeling. As a result, the roles of different competing processes operating at different time scales during the landscape evolution of a tectonically active region remain poorly quantified. Even when high-quality river incision data are locally available in southeast Tibet, they typically represent an averaged effect over either a specific duration of geologic time or a defined space. For example, low-temperature thermochronometry has been used to quantify the bedrock exhumation rates over million-year time scales (Clark et al., 2005; Schoenbohm et al., 2006; Ouimet et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; H.P. Zhang et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Liu-Zeng et al., 2018), whereas in situ–produced cosmogenic 10Be concentrations of modern river sand provide basin-averaged denudation rates at a millennial time scale over the recent several thousand years (e.g., Hench et al., 2011). Although these approaches have provided important constraints on landscape evolution in southeast Tibet, there are very few direct measurements of incision rates using dated fluvial terraces in a single drainage basin from a river that cuts across the southeastern margin of Tibet.

In this study, we combined field observations, digital topographic analysis, and optical stimulated luminescence (OSL) and cosmogenic radionuclide (CRN) dating of multiple levels of fluvial terraces preserved along the Lancang River to quantify the late Quaternary spatial and temporal...
Figure 1. (A) Topographic map of southeast Tibet based on 90-m-resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model, showing the distribution of major river systems, active faults, and historical earthquakes. The main rivers, i.e., Yarlung-Brahmaputra, Nu-Salween, and Jinsha-Yangtze Rivers, are marked by white lines, whereas the Lancang-Mekong River in this study is marked by blue lines, with the Yanjing-Weixi knickzone between Yanjing (YJ) and Weixi (WX) highlighted by the purple line. Yellow rectangles show three study reaches with fluvial terraces along the Lancang River, near Mangkang (MK), Deqin (DQ), and Yunlong (YL). Active faults are marked by red lines with arrows to indicate direction of horizontal motion of main strike-slip faults, based on the work by Tapponnier et al. (2001), Deng et al. (2003), and Taylor and Yin (2009). ANH F.—Anninghe fault; JL F.—Jiali fault; GZ F.—Ganzi fault; XSH F.—Xianshuihe fault; XJ F.—Xiaojiang fault; RR F.—Red River fault; JSR F.—Jinsha River fault; LCR F.—Lancang River fault; NR F.—Nu River fault; NTH F.—Nantinghe fault; SJF—Shijie fault. Historical earthquakes from 780 B.C. to 2008 are cited from China Earthquake Networks Center (http://www.ceic.ac.cn/history). Geographic names include: BT—Batang; ZD—Zhongdian; and WX—Weixi. Yellow star marks the location of the Xiaobailong Cave in southeast Tibet (XBL; Cai et al., 2015). (B) Spatial distribution of annually averaged precipitation across the Lancang drainage basin derived from Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) data (adapted from http://www.geog.ucsb.edu/~bodo/TRMM/) and normalized (reference concavity $\theta_{ref} = 0.45$) steepness indices, $k_{sn}$, along the Lancang River determined by the integral method (Perron and Royden, 2013; Mudd et al., 2014). (C) Longitudinal profile for the Lancang River (blue) and its drainage divide (cyan), TRMM-derived precipitation (black), normalized channel steepness (green), and river discharge with drainage area weighted by TRMM data (purple). Black arrows point to geographic locations within the Lancang drainage area as shown in part A. The Yanjing-Weixi knickzone is highlighted in purple with gray background, while the three reaches with fluvial terraces in this study are denoted by black rectangles. Right-lateral active faults intersecting with the Lancang River channel are also indicated as follows: BT F.—Batang fault; ZD F.—Zhongdian fault; WX F.—Weixi fault; and LCR F.—Lancang River fault; NTH F.—Nantinghe fault.
variation of river incision rates in southeast Tibet. These terraces provide average incision rates of the main trunk valley of the Lancang River at time scales of 100–10 k.y. They thus fill the time gap between the million-year time scale obtained by the thermochronological methods and the recent millennial time scale estimated from $^{10}$Be concentrations in stream sediments. Furthermore, the distribution of fluvial terraces and their spatial variation in height above the river provide important information on the spatiotemporal patterns of river incision, which may help to discern between tectonic and climate processes controlling river incision (e.g., Burbank et al., 1996; Whipple, 2004; Whittaker, 2012).

The most important findings of this work include: (1) the average river incision rate since the late Pleistocene is more than an order of magnitude higher than the averaged erosion rates over million-year and millennial time scales as derived from thermochronological and cosmogenic $^{10}$Be concentrations methods, (2) the river incision rates since the late Pleistocene decrease upstream, and (3) the river incision rates within the knickzone decrease with time from the late Pleistocene to Holocene. These results suggest that the spatial pattern of climate conditions and hydrologic response with time may play an important role in modulating river incision rates at various space and time scales in a tectonically active region.

REGIONAL GEOLOGIC AND CLIMATIC SETTINGS

The >2000-km-long Lancang River descends ~4000 m in elevation from southeast Tibet to the lower segment of the Mekong River that enters the South China Sea (Fig. 1). Near Deqin (Fig. 1C), the regional topography transitions from a low-relief region in the northwest to a more rugged region farther southeast, and the average elevation decreases from ~5000 m to ~2000 m over a distance of ~1000 km. Within this transition zone, the longitudinal profile of the Lancang River exhibits an ~300-km-long knickzone between Yanjing and Weixi, hereafter referred to the Yanjing-Weixi knickzone (Fig. 1C). Across the knickzone, the river decreases in elevation downstream from ~2400 m to ~1700 m, whereas the normalized (reference concavity $\theta_{ref} = 0.45$) channel steepness indices ($k_5$), determined by the integral method (Perron and Royden, 2013; Mudd et al., 2014), decrease from 270 to 140 m$^{0.5}$ (Figs. 1B and 1C).

The Lancang drainage basin is situated in the Qiangtang terrane in the north and the Lanping-Simao terrane in the south (Pan et al., 2004; Burchfiel and Chen, 2012). Above the Yanjing-Weixi knickzone, the Lancang River mainly flows across Mesozoic sedimentary rocks of the Qiangtang terrane, especially the Middle Triassic strata containing felsic and intermediate volcanic rocks at latitude 30°N–29°N. At the knickzone, the river flows dominantly within Paleozoic sedimentary rocks of the Qiangtang terrane. Downstream from the knickzone, the river flows across Mesozoic sedimentary rocks, including Late Triassic mafic volcanic rocks of the Lanping-Simao terrane. South of Yunlong, the river cuts across the Chongshan mylonitic shear zone.

The Lancang drainage basin is cut by the active right-lateral Jinsha River, Red River, and Lancang River fault zones, and a left-lateral fault system near 24°N (Fig. 1A; Tapponnier et al., 2001; Deng et al., 2003; Taylor and Yin, 2009). The Lancang River fault zone trends mostly subparallel to the main trunk of the Lancang River between 25°N and 31°N, but it cuts across the river at several locations. South of Yunlong (Fig. 1A), where the Lancang River fault zone intersects the Lancang River, the hairpin-shaped river-trunk geometry and the displaced tributaries on the east side of the river indicate right-lateral strike-slip motion with a west-side-down normal-fault component. The NNE-striking Batang fault, the NW-striking Zhongdian fault, the NW-striking Weixi fault, and the NWW-striking Nanlinghe fault intersect the Lancang River obliquely, with the latter two structures dipping to the north (Figs. 1A and 1C). The Yanjing-Weixi knickzone along the Lancang River is situated between the right-lateral Batang and Weixi faults (Figs. 1A and 1C). Thus, the formation of the knickzone may have been related to transpression due to motion along the two faults. Alternatively, the knickzone may have been generated by differential vertical motion across the Lancang River fault zone.

Two climate systems dominate our study area at present: the mid-latitude westerlies and the Indian monsoon. In this region, the wet summer monsoon season lasts for 4 months from June to September, and the resultant discharge transports 86% of the suspended sediment load (Henck et al., 2010). Subparallel ridges and valleys in southeast Tibet exert a strong topographic control on the spatial distribution of precipitation. The north-trending valleys act as conduits to transmit moisture upstream, whereas the intervening ridges act as barriers that block transport of moisture eastward. Along the Lancang River, mean annual precipitation derived from Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) data decreases upstream from 2000 to 340 mm/yr, with a sharp drop near the plateau topographic transition zone near Deqin (Fig. 1). The Lancang drainage basin also encompasses the southeastern edge of active glacial areas in southeast Tibet.

METHODS

Fluvial terraces perched above the active channel of the Lancang River were first mapped using Google Earth™ images. In the field, we measured the heights of terrace treads and bedrock straths using a laser rangefinder and established the terrace stratigraphy and its lateral correlative relationships. This field-based geological framework allowed us to collect meaningful OSL and CRN samples, with which we determined the timing of key geologic events related to river evolution.

OSL Dating

We obtained burial ages of terrace sediments using the quartz OSL method. Samples were collected from fine-grained sand to silt lenses embedded in terrace deposits as close to the bedrock surfaces as possible. By doing so, the obtained dates offer a minimum age of strath-surface formation. Both the accuracy and precision of OSL dating depend on how completely quartz grains are bleached prior to deposition, which is controlled by the intensity and duration of exposure to daylight during sediment transport (e.g., Rhodes, 2011). The origin and geologic history of the quartz grains are also important: Grains recently eroded from bedrock are much more difficult to bleach than those that have experienced multiple cycles of deposition and reworking at the surface (Rhodes, 2011; J.Y. Zhang et al., 2016). Considering the fluvial origin of the samples along the mostly turbulent Lancang River, we expect the possibility of partially bleached grains, which would result in an overestimation of depositional ages of terrace sediments (i.e., the actual ages of terrace sedimentation could be younger; Rodnight et al., 2006).

All OSL samples were collected in stainless-steel tubes with ~5 cm inner diameter and ~25 cm length. After sampling, both ends of the tubes were immediately sealed with aluminum foil and wrapped with opaque tape to limit exposure to sunlight. In addition, the ~5 cm outer sediments near the ends of the tube were removed in the laboratory before mineral separation. The samples were prepared to extract purified quartz following conventional techniques (Aitken, 1998). OSL age determination was performed at the Luminescence Dating Laboratory of the Institute of Geology, China Earthquake Administration. The sensitivity-corrected multiple-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol (SMAR) was applied for most of our samples to yield one value of equivalent dose (De) for each sample.
Cosmogenic Nuclide (\(^{10}\)Be) Dating

In situ–produced cosmogenic \(^{10}\)Be in quartz dates the exposure ages of terrace surfaces to cosmic rays (e.g., Lal, 1991; Gosse and Phillips, 2001; Dunai, 2010). These exposure ages are typically interpreted to indicate the time when rivers incised and abandoned a terrace tread (e.g., Anderson et al., 1996; Repka et al., 1997; von Blanckenburg and Willenbring, 2014). However, individual clasts exposed on terrace treads likely experienced a protracted history of exhumation, transport, and transient burial and are therefore likely to start with different nuclide concentrations at the time of exposure. We amalgamated at least 30 individual pebbles of 1–3 cm size to average the inheritance of cosmogenic nuclides and obtain an upper limit for the time of terrace abandonment (Repka et al., 1997). To avoid local sources, we collected rounded pebbles, indicative of substantial transport distance, far from adjoining hillslopes. In addition, we amalgamated 3–5-cm-thick rock chips from the top of five to seven large (i.e., >1 m wide and tall) and presumably stable boulders that were imbedded within the terrace tread. As boulders of this size likely originate from landslides, they probably have very little inheritance in \(^{10}\)Be concentrations. Furthermore, postdepositional erosion of the boulders tends to make the exposure ages younger, and we would expect that the amalgamated boulder samples would be younger than the amalgamated pebble samples. Both kinds of samples were collected from areas as flat as possible, without any apparent anthropogenic modification and away from the edges of the terrace surfaces to minimize postdepositional erosion.

Separation and purification of quartz grains and chemical extraction of beryllium were done following the revised method of von Blanckenburg et al. (2004) at the Helmholtz Laboratory for the Geochemistry of the Earth Surface (HELGES) at the GFZ German Research Center for Geosciences. Subsequent isotope measurements for cosmogenic \(^{10}\)Be were conducted at the accelerator mass spectrometer facility at the University of Cologne in Germany (Dewald et al., 2013). Table 1B lists the analytical sample results and the associated uncertainties, based on the propagated uncertainties in the carrier concentration, one process blank, and the isotope measurements. We calculated exposure ages from \(^{10}\)Be concentrations using the CRONUS-Earth online calculator v. 2.3 (Balco et al., 2008). The exposure ages reported here are based on the time-dependent version of the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) production scaling model (“Lm” in Balco et al., 2008). Our samples were collected from high-relief valleys, and we thus corrected for topographic shielding using 90-m-resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data and following Dunne et al. (1999). Shielding by snow or vegetation is negligible for the CRN samples collected in our study.

### TABLE 1A. OPTICALLY STIMULATED LUMINESCENCE (OSL) DATING RESULTS FOR TERRACE DEPOSITS ALONG THREE REACHES OF THE LANCANG RIVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Lab code</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Burial depth (m)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>U-238 (Bg/kg)</th>
<th>Ra-226 (Bg/kg)</th>
<th>Th-232 (Bg/kg)</th>
<th>K-40 (Bg/kg)</th>
<th>Water content (%)</th>
<th>Dose rate (Gy/k.y.)</th>
<th>De (Gy)</th>
<th>Age (ka) ± Exposure ±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MK14-01</td>
<td>LED15-73</td>
<td>29.96357</td>
<td>98.06708</td>
<td>2929</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SMAR</td>
<td>36.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>39.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>56.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>72.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>56.3 ± 3.7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>259.0 ± 20.0</td>
<td>59.9 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK14-02</td>
<td>LED14-388</td>
<td>29.98882</td>
<td>98.06416</td>
<td>2923</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>SMAR</td>
<td>32.7 ± 3.7</td>
<td>31.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>47.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>613.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>305.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>86.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>100 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SMAR—sensitivity-corrected multiple-aliquot regenerative dose protocol for fine-grained quartz grains; SAR—single-aliquot regenerative dose protocol for fine-grained quartz grains; De—equivalent dose for the sample estimated in the laboratory.
River Incision Rates Derived from Fluvial Terraces

The strath surfaces of fluvial terraces along the Lancang River are overlain by alluvial deposits with a thickness 0.5–2 times the strath height above the current river. This indicates that river incision is far from a monotonous downcutting process, with possibly several successive episodes of strath abrasion, fill deposition, re-incision, and downcutting into the bedrock. Due to lateral migration associated with river aggradation or blockage events, a river channel may not systematically reoccupy an early course. Instead, it may erode the walls or shoulders of the former valley (Lavé and Avouac, 2001; Ouimet et al., 2007), and thus possibly create a strath surface that does not correspond to the bedrock floor of the former channel. Hence, the boundary between bedrock strath and its overlying alluvial deposits is likely to yield an upper limit on river incision into the bedrock. To minimize this uncertainty, we always measured the lowest strath exposed at a field site. Because of the unknown depth to the bedrock below the modern river surface and the thickness of the sediment cover, we used the modern river elevation as our reference level, which yields a minimum estimate for the amount of river incision. Compared with the 10Be exposure dating of the topmost terrace deposits, which yields the time of incision (if erosion is zero), the OSL burial ages of the stratigraphically lowest alluvium directly above the strath surfaces should offer a lower age limit of the corresponding strath-carving event (Lavé and Avouac, 2001; Wegmann and Pazzaglia, 2009). In addition, most terrace deposits along the Lancang River in this study are thicker than the scour depth (Lavé and Avouac, 2001; Wegmann and Pazzaglia, 2009). The uncertainty of the incision rate is

\[ \sigma_r^2 = \left( \frac{1}{T_n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{H_s}{T_n} \right)^2 \sigma_S^2, \]

where \( \sigma_r \) is the uncertainty in the height of strath surfaces (m) above the modern river, and \( \sigma_S \) is the OSL uncertainty (ka). Table 2 summarizes the calculated incision rates together with the ages and heights of strath surfaces obtained in this study.

RESULTS

Fluvial Terraces

We studied fluvial terraces with strath surfaces that lie at 220–250 m, 140–170 m, 100–120 m, 35–60 m, and 10–20 m, respectively, above the Lancang River. The strath surfaces are overlain by alluvial deposits from 2 m to >100 m thick. In this study, we focused on the terraces located at Mangkang, Deqin, and Yunlong, which are above, at, and below the Yanjing-Weixi knickzone (Fig. 1). Mangkang Reach

There are three levels of strath surfaces at the Mangkang reach at 220–240 m, 100–140 m, and 35–60 m above the present Lancang River (Figs. 2 and 3). A prominent subhorizontal terrace surface is 130–180 m above the river (Fig. 3B). The associated strath surface at 35–60 m is overlain by fluvial gravels, grading upward from boulders and cobbles at the base to pebbles and overbank deposits of silt and clay (Figs. 3C and 3D). Our two OSL ages from the top of the terrace deposits indicate that a river aggradation event occurred at >90–60 ka (Table 1A; Fig. 2A). In addition, a strath terrace is exposed at the height of 220–240 m above the river, and it is capped by ~15-m-thick fluvial boulders, pebbles, and coarse- to medium-grained sand lenses (Fig. 3E).

Deqin Reach

We identified four levels of bedrock strath at 210–250 m, 140–190 m, 90–110 m, and 10–20 m along the Deqin reach, each capped by alluvial deposits (Figs. 2 and 4). A prominent strath surface at a height of 140–190 m above the river can be traced for ~80 km between Yanjing and Xidang along the main trunk (Figs. 4B–4E). The overlying terrace deposits consist of boulders, pebbles, and sand beds, locally overlaying colluvial and tributary fan deposits, and have thicknesses varying from

![Table 2](http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/lithosphere/article-pdf/10/5/662/4344189/662.pdf)

**Note**: Bold indicates the selected ages of terrace deposits, height of strath, and river incision rates.
Figure 2. Simplified cross sections for various levels of fluvial terraces along the Lancang River: (A) Mangkang reach; (B–E) Deqin reach, including the Yanjing and Adong, Xidang, Junda, and Yunling sites; and (F) Yunlong reach. The locations and age results of optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and cosmogenic radionuclide (CRN) samples are also shown by green and cyan stars, correspondingly.
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10 m to 100 m. OSL dating of fine-grained sand layers close to the bedrock strath between Yanjing and Xidang revealed a regional aggradation event initiating before ca. 90 ka (Table 1A; Figs. 2B, 4C, and 4E). Three samples from terrace surfaces with bedrock straths at 140–190 m yielded CRN exposure ages of ca. 57–46 ka (Table 1B; Figs. 2B, 4D, and 4E), consistent with the OSL ages.

The highest terrace at Yunling has a bedrock strath at 210–250 m above the Lancang River that is capped by ~70-m-thick tributary fan conglomerates, and locally by fluvial gravels and thickly bedded fine-grained sand (Fig. 4F). OSL dating of a sand layer near the top of the terrace deposits indicates that this aggradation was under way at ca. 120 ka (Table 1A; Figs. 2D, 4F, and 4G). A lower strath surface lies at 90–110 m above the river, and it is overlain by 30–60-m-thick colluvium and tributary fluvial deposits. Two OSL ages from sand layers in the fluvial deposits reveal that aggradation started prior to 90 ka and ended no later than 40 ka (Table 1A; Figs. 2D and 4F). At Xidang, the lowest strath surface at 15–20 m is covered by a thick sequence of fluvial conglomerates, grading upward from boulders and cobbles to pebbles that are capped by overbank sand deposits (Fig. 4E). OSL dating of a sand layer near the terrace surface indicates that the transition from aggradation to incision occurred around 15 ka, compatible with CRN exposure dating of the terrace surface (Table 1; Figs. 2B and 4E). At Junda, the lowest strath surface lies at 8–10 m above the Lancang River, and it is capped by 25-m-thick fluvial, boulder-to-cobble conglomerate with occasional sand lenses (Figs. 4H and 4I). The OSL age from a sand layer close to the bedrock strath indicates that river aggradation was under way at 9 ka, in agreement with a CRN exposure age of the terrace surface of 6 ka (Table 1; Figs. 2C, 4H, and 4I).

Yunlong Reach

The Yunlong reach below the Yanjing-Weixi knickzone features four levels of river terraces: two higher terraces with bedrock strath heights of 284 m and 150–170 m above the river, and two lower fill terraces with terrace surfaces at heights of 53 m and 21 m above the Lancang River (Figs. 2 and 5). At Songdeng, the 284 m strath is capped by boulder-to-cobble conglomerate and medium- to fine-grained sand and silt, whereas the 166 m strath is capped by well-rounded and well-sorted gravels of cobble to pebble size and medium- to fine-grained sand (Figs. 5B–5D). Both terrace treads and strath surfaces dip gently toward the Lancang River valley, indicating a high ratio of lateral planation to vertical incision during strath formation (e.g., Hancock and Anderson, 2002; Finnegan and Dietrich, 2011). OSL dating of sand deposits indicated that the corresponding aggradation events were under way at 100 ka and 70 ka (Table 1A; Figs. 2B, 4D, and 4E). Downstream at Songping, the bedrock strath is found at 158 m above the nearby river, overlain by reddish clay with angular gravels (Fig. 5E).
Figure 4. (A) Google Earth™ image showing the distribution of fluvial terraces along the Deqin reach. (B) Google Earth™ image at Yanjing showing the flat and extensive terrace tread at ~300 m above the Lancang River. Black boxes indicate the locations for part C. (C) Field picture showing the boundary between bedrock strath and overlying terrace alluvium at 151 m south of Yanjing. Terrace alluvium includes fluvial conglomerate and sand layers and the overlying colluvial deposits. Location of sample YJ15–01 is also shown. (D) Google Earth™ image east of Adong showing the bedrock platform at ~177 m, locally covered by 2-m-thick fluvial pebble gravels. Cosmogenic radionuclide (CRN) samples 15–8–30-(1) and 15–8–30-(2) are marked by cyan stars. (E) Field picture showing three levels of fluvial terraces at Xidang on the Deqin reach with two levels of bedrock straths at 155 m and 16 m. Green stars show locations of optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) samples DQ14–02 and DQ15–01, and cyan stars show locations for CRN samples 15–6–2-(1) and 15–6–2–(5). (F) Google Earth™ image for two levels of fluvial terraces at Yunling with bedrock straths at 243 and 104 m. Black box shows the location of part G, while green stars indicate locations of OSL samples YL15–01, DQ14–04, and DQ14–05. (G) Field picture of the eastern bank of the Lancang River at Yunling showing the boundary between bedrock strath and overlying terrace deposits at 243 m with OSL sample YL15–01 marked by green star. (H) Google Earth™ image showing three levels of fluvial terraces at Junda. Black boxes mark the location for field picture in part I, while cyan star marks the location of sample 15–6–3–(2). (I) Field picture for the eastern bank of the Lancang River at Junda showing fluvial conglomerate interbedded with sand lens overlaying 10 m bedrock strath with sample DQ14–03 marked by green star.
River Incision Rates

Our OSL and CRN exposure dating reveals four aggradation events at >120–100 ka, ca. 90–70 ka, ca. 25–15 ka, and <9 ka, each followed by incision that started no earlier than 100 ka, 45 ka, 15 ka, and 6 ka, respectively (Figs. 2 and 6). The incision rates related to each incision period are summarized in Table 2, and the procedures for obtaining them are described below.

Along the Mangkang reach, the river incision rate since <60 ka is <0.5 mm/yr (Fig. 6A). The highest strath level along the Deqin reach is 243 ± 20 m, and the upper limit of the incision rate since 100 ka is 2.1 mm/yr (Fig. 6D). The prominent bedrock strath at 140–190 m is overlain by terrace alluvium deposited at 90–70 ka, which yields an incision rate <1.7 mm/yr (Figs. 6B and 6C). The lower strath surface at 104 ± 10 m yields an upper limit for the incision rate prior to 40 ka of 1.2 mm/yr (Fig. 6D). For the lowest strath levels at 10–20 m above the river, the river incision rates since ca. 9 ka and ca. 15 ka are both <1.1 mm/yr (Figs. 6C and 6D). Along the Yunlong reach, the river incision rates are <2.8 mm/yr and <2.3 mm/yr for two higher strath terraces since 100 ka and 70 ka, respectively (Fig. 6E).

In summary, the rates of river incision since the late Pleistocene along the Lancang River have decelerated upstream (Figs. 6 and 7). Incision rates averaged over ~100 k.y. decrease from <2.8 mm/yr below the knickzone to <2.1 mm/yr at the knickzone. Incision rates averaged over the last ~45 k.y. decrease upstream from <2.3 mm/yr below the knickzone to <1.7 mm/yr at the knickzone and to <0.5 mm/yr above the knickzone. In addition, incision rates at the knickzone appear to decrease temporally from <1.7–2.1 mm/yr since the late Pleistocene to <1.1 mm/yr since the Holocene.

DISCUSSION

Our work reveals the following spatiotemporal pattern of river incision along the Lancang River (Figs. 6 and 7): (1) river aggradation occurred at >120–100 ka, ca. 90–70 ka, ca. 25–15 ka, and <9 ka, followed by river incision starting at ca. 100 ka, ca. 45 ka, ca. 15 ka, and ca. 6 ka, respectively; (2) the incision rates since the late Pleistocene vary between <0.5 mm/yr and 2.8 mm/yr; (3) the incision rates since the late Pleistocene decrease upstream; and (4) the incision rates at the knickzone decreased from ca. 100 ka to ca. 10 ka. Next, we first evaluate the uncertainties in our terraced-derived river incision rates. This allows us to quantitatively compare our results against the regionally averaged erosion rates estimated by thermochronological dating and cosmogenic nuclide concentrations. Potential factors controlling the competing erosional processes at different temporal and spatial scales are discussed.

Uncertainties in the Estimated River Incision Rates

Systematic overestimation of river incision rates can occur if the dated terrace sediments were deposited significantly later than strath formation.
Wide valleys tend to store thick sequences of alluvial sediments that protect the underlying bedrock strath from subsequent episodes of incision. In addition, poor exposure of terrace sediment sequences due to dense vegetation and farming activities may lead to preferred OSL dating of fine-grained overbank deposits, which could make the resulting age estimates younger than the timing of deposition of the first, coarser-grained sediments after beveling the bedrock strath. Potential overestimation of the strath height above the river may be introduced due to the uneven strath-alluvium contact, such as gently dipping bedrock straths in wide river channels. It is difficult to confidently exclude or quantify such uncertainties in the age estimates of strath formation and in the height estimates of the strath surfaces. Among the three river reaches that we investigated, the narrow Lancang River channel within the knickzone probably suffers less from these uncertainties compared to the wider Yunlong reach below the knickzone. Hence, a lower bound of strath height for the lower terrace at Yunlong is estimated to be 130 ± 20 m, which was obtained by projecting the gently dipping bedrock strath to the present-day position of the Lancang River. Together with the older burial age of the higher terrace (100.4 ± 5.1 ka), this would yield a minimum incision rate of ~1.3 mm/yr below the knickzone, which decreases to more than one half of the uncorrected value of <2.3 mm/yr and is slightly lower than that at the knickzone (Figs. 2 and 7).

Erosion Rates at Different Time Scales in Southeast Tibet

The incision rates calculated from fluvial terraces along the Lancang River in this study vary between 0.5 and 2.8 mm/yr since the late Pleistocene. The exact rate depends strongly on the ages of strath development as constrained by our dating of the overlying terrace deposits. Even in the presence of unquantified uncertainties, these values are significantly higher...
The different erosion rates estimated by the three methods differ in their ability to capture the spatial and temporal variability of incision processes. Apatite (U-Th)/He dating of bedrock samples from ridge tops yields average erosion rates since the late Miocene of ~0.1–0.3 mm/yr (Liu-Zeng et al., 2018), whereas samples from near the valley bottom of the Lancang River yield erosion rates since the late Miocene of ~0.4–1.0 mm/yr (Yang et al., 2016). These rates are similar to millennial-scale catchment-averaged denudation rates of ~0.2–0.6 mm/yr, which are based on 10Be concentrations in modern river sand sampled from either the main stem or from tributaries along the Lancang River (Hench et al., 2011)

The different erosion rates estimated using three different methods from samples collected at different locations may reflect erosion processes that operate at different temporal and spatial scales. For example, the response of hillslopes could lag behind a recently accelerated trunk river incision event. In this context, our faster river incision rates compared to the upland have relatively lower erosion rates than the valley bottom weighted values over the very large drainage area of the Lancang River, Lancang River at 1–10 k.y. time scales that are lower than our trunk-interpretation is compatible with 10Be-derived denudation rates of the Lancang River (Henck et al., 2011; McPhillips et al., 2016).

Another possible explanation for the difference between the long (>1 m.y.) and short (<100 k.y.) time scale erosion rates in southeast Tibet is the nonsteady process of river incision into bedrock, in that periods of incision are punctuated by episodic incision hiatuses (e.g., Gardner et al., 1987; Mills, 2000; Finnegnan et al., 2014). The terrace-derived incision rates in this study cover time scales of 10^2–10^4 yr, whereas erosion rates from thermochronology are averaged over 10^5 yr. Finnegnan et al. (2014) have shown that estimated fluvial incision rates will be larger, due to the shorter measurement interval, if hiatuses in river incision have a heavy-tailed length distribution (cf. Ganti et al., 2016). Our dating results suggest that episodes of river aggradation above bedrock strath surfaces persisted for >10^4 yr (Fig. 6), but we cannot judge whether hiatuses in river incision truly follow a heavy-tailed distribution. In any case, in contrast to what would be expected for a heavy-tailed distribution, we observe the opposite: a decrease in the incision rate with decreasing measurement interval. In other words, if the hiatus distribution were heavy-tailed, the actual increase in incision rate with decreasing time scale would be even greater.

The different erosion rates estimated by the three methods from samples collected at different locations may reflect erosion processes that operate at different temporal and spatial scales. For example, the response of hillslopes could lag behind a recently accelerated trunk river incision event. In this context, our faster river incision rates compared to the upland have relatively lower erosion rates than the valley bottom weighted values over the very large drainage area of the Lancang River, Lancang River at 1–10 k.y. time scales that are lower than our trunk-interpretation is compatible with 10Be-derived denudation rates of the Lancang River (Henck et al., 2011; McPhillips et al., 2016).

Another possible explanation for the difference between the long (>1 m.y.) and short (<100 k.y.) time scale erosion rates in southeast Tibet is the nonsteady process of river incision into bedrock, in that periods of incision are punctuated by episodic incision hiatuses (e.g., Gardner et al., 1987; Mills, 2000; Finnegnan et al., 2014). The terrace-derived incision rates in this study cover time scales of 10^2–10^4 yr, whereas erosion rates from thermochronology are averaged over 10^5 yr. Finnegnan et al. (2014) have shown that estimated fluvial incision rates will be larger, due to the shorter measurement interval, if hiatuses in river incision have a heavy-tailed length distribution (cf. Ganti et al., 2016). Our dating results suggest that episodes of river aggradation above bedrock strath surfaces persisted for >10^4 yr (Fig. 6), but we cannot judge whether hiatuses in river incision truly follow a heavy-tailed distribution. In any case, in contrast to what would be expected for a heavy-tailed distribution, we observe the opposite: a decrease in the incision rate with decreasing measurement interval. In other words, if the hiatus distribution were heavy-tailed, the actual increase in incision rate with decreasing time scale would be even greater.

Our observations also suggest that hiatuses in river incision into bedrock are not coupled in a simple way to periodic glacial-interglacial climatic cycles, as documented elsewhere (e.g., Gardner et al., 1987; Mills, 2000; Finnegnan et al., 2014). Alternating transient pulses of river aggradation and incision have been documented at several locations along the margins of the Tibetan Plateau, with the transition occurring at ca. 140 ka, ca. 96–106 ka, 53–40 ka, 18.6–15.3 ka, ca. 9 ka, ca. 5.2 ka, and 3.6 ka (Harkins et al., 2007; Dey et al., 2016). These times are often coincident with those revealed in this study along the Lancang River in southeast Tibet and may point to regional causes such as shifts in monsoon strength, perhaps coupled to glacial cycles (e.g., Srivastava et al., 2008; Scherler et al., 2015).

Overall, it is striking that deeply entrenched bedrock rivers in southeast Tibet still preserve coherent strath terraces up to 150 m high above the modern river that are older than 90 ka. The terraces demonstrate that the Lancang River has experienced intermittent incision, i.e., phases of rapid incision, separated by long periods of quiescence or aggradation. Processes such as climatic shifts, landslides, or tectonic or glacial ponding (e.g., Kong et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014; Scherler et al., 2014, 2016) can lead to long periods of aggradation that are followed by river lateral abrasion and formation of terraces, which are abandoned during subsequent periods of fast incision. We are inclined to believe that along the Lancang River, complex relationships among tectonic uplift, climate, and surface processes may control the nonsteady bedrock river incision (Burbank et al., 1996; Whipple, 2001; Whittaker et al., 2007; Finnegnan et al., 2014; Gallen et al., 2015).

**Spatiotemporal Variations in River Incision Rates**

River aggradation along the Lancang River occurred at >120–100 ka, ca. 90–70 ka, ca. 25–15 ka, and <9 ka, each followed by incision periods that started at ca. 100 ka, ca. 45 ka, ca. 15 ka, and ca. 6 ka, respectively (Fig. 6). A notable feature in this history is that after 100 m of aggradation at 90–70 ka, there has been >150 m of incision since ca. 45 ka. The stalagmite oxygen-isotope records in southeast Tibet (Xiaobailong [XBL] Cave in Fig. 1A) reflect the variability in the Indian summer monsoon precipitation, which varies on orbital time scales (Fig. 8B; Cai et al., 2015). Furthermore, moraine ages in southeast Tibet are clustered at 105 ± 10 ka, 50 ± 10 ka, and 15 ± 5 ka, indicating that glaciers advanced during marine isotope stages (MIS) 5C, 3, and 2/1 (Fu et al., 2013, and reference therein), at times when the climate was cold but also wet enough (Fig. 8C). Periods of river incision from this study occurred both during cold, glacial periods as well as during warmer and wetter interglacial periods (e.g., Bridgland and Westaway, 2008). It is worth noting, however, that our reconstructed periods of river aggradation and incision may be incomplete due to limited dating opportunities along the Lancang River.
summary, it is presently difficult to assign clear cause-and-effect relationships to periods of river aggradation and incision in southeast Tibet, but there appears to be a tendency for prolonged periods of aggradation during glacial periods, punctuated by periods of incision, when strong monsoon precipitation increases river discharge (e.g., Scherler et al., 2015). The actual timing of individual aggradation and incision periods may result from the complex hydrologic response to climate changes and other factors that influence sediment storage and transport (e.g., Pederson et al., 2006; Pazzaglia, 2013).

Our work resolves the spatial pattern of river incision along the Lancang River since the late Pleistocene. The spatial pattern for the Holocene incision is not resolved, however, because incision rates since the late Pleistocene decrease between 26°N and 30°N, from <2.3 mm/yr below the knickzone, to <1.7 mm/yr at the knickzone, and to <0.5 mm/yr above the knickzone (Fig. 7). This pattern of upstream-decreasing incision rates is different from that of other proxies of erosion at either shorter or longer time scales. However, the river incision rate at the knickzone since the Holocene is <1.1 mm/yr, which is similar to other proxies of erosion. Other proxies of erosion include river steepness, detrital 10Be concentrations, and low-temperature thermochronological data, and all yield the maximum values within the knickzone (Fig. 7). Normalized channel steepness indices indicate greater erosional efficiency at the knickzone that drop markedly below and above the knickzone (Fig. 1C). This pattern is corroborated by 10Be-derived denudation rates from either the main stem of the Lancang River or its tributaries (Henck et al., 2011), as well as apatite (U-Th)/He dating of bedrock samples across the knickzone (Fig. 7; Yang et al., 2016; Liu-Zeng et al., 2018). The spatial and temporal pattern of river incision rates along the Lancang River indicates that river
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